Database Design

```
philip306apply@cloudshell:~ (cinetravel) $ gcloud sql connect cinetravel --user=root
Allowlisting your IP for incoming connection for 5 minutes...done.
Connecting to database with SQL user [root].Enter password:
Welcome to the MySQL monitor. Commands end with; or \g.
Your MySQL connection id is 24
Server version: 8.0.37-google (Google)

Copyright (c) 2000, 2025, Oracle and/or its affiliates.

Oracle is a registered trademark of Oracle Corporation and/or its affiliates. Other names may be trademarks of their respective owners.

Type 'help;' or '\h' for help. Type '\c' to clear the current input statement.

mysql>
```

Part 1: 5 Tables Creation:

Table 1:

Table 2:

```
mysql> select count(*) from movie_location;
+-----+
| count(*) |
+-----+
| 1348 |
+-----+
1 row in set (0.03 sec)
```

Table 3:

```
create table flight(
   Sched_dep_time varchar(255) not null,
   Sched_arr_time varchar(255) not null,
   Tailnum varchar(50) primary key,
   Origin varchar(10) not null,
   Dest varchar(10) not null,
   Time varchar(255) not null,
   Name varchar(255) not null
);

mysql> select count(*) from flight;
+-----+
   | count(*) |
+-----+
   | 4045 |
+------+
   | row in set (0.02 sec)
```

Table 4:

Table 5:

```
create table movie(

Id_movies int primary key,

Title varchar(255) not null,
```

1 row in set (0.04 sec)

Part 2:

Advanced SQL queries 1

Baseline performance:

Total execution time: ~53.9 mseconds Estimated cost: 743434

```
mysql> select ml.Movie, count(a.code) as count_flight from airport_new a join
movie_location_new ml on a.City = ml.Locations group by ml.Movie order by count_flight DESC limit 15;
                             | count_flight |
| region
| can case
  energy give treat
                                           1326
 south opportunity health |
                                            1326
  item
                                            1326
| cost item create
                                            1326
  possible
                                             844
  tonight poor write
                                             844
  through
                                             844
  by
                                             844
  away represent
                                             844
  site actually
                                             844
                                             844
  or degree bag
15 rows in set (0.10 sec)
```

Index 1:

CREATE INDEX idx_airport_city ON airport_new(City);

```
-+
| -> Sort: count_flight DESC (actual time=104..104 rows=198 loops=1)
-> Table scan on ttemporary> (actual time=103..103 rows=198 loops=1)
-> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=103..103 rows=198 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=5734 rows=2252). (actual time=0.102..50.9 rows=97058 loops=1)
-> Filter: (ml.Locations is not null) (cost=96.9 rows=946) (actual time=0.0471..0.841 rows=946 loops=1)
-> Table scan on ml (cost=66.9 rows=946) (actual time=0.04671..0.841 rows=946 loops=1)
-> Covering index lookup on a using idx_airport_city (City=ml.Locations) (cost=3.57 rows=23.9) (actual time=0.00489..0.0455 rows=103 loops=946)
```

Total execution time: 104 mseconds Estimated cost: 5734

The query optimizer switched from a hash join to a **nested loop join with a covering index lookup** on the airport_new table. This transformation occurred after adding an index on the airport_new.City column (idx_airport_city), enabling more efficient row matching without scanning the entire table.

Index 2:

CREATE INDEX idx location city ON movie location new(Locations);

```
-+
| -> Sort: count_flight DESC (actual time=99.2.99.2 rows=198 loops=1)
-> Table scan on <temporary> (actual time=99..99.1 rows=198 loops=1)
-> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time+99..99 rows=198 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=5734 rows=22592) (actual time+0.0901..48.7 rows=97058 loops=1)
-> Filter: (ml.Locations is not null) (cost=96.9 rows=946) (actual time+0.0901..0584 rows=946 loops=1)
-> Table scan on ml (cost=96.9 rows=946) (actual time+0.0422..0.669 rows=946 loops=1)
-> Covering index lookup on a using idx_airport_city (City=ml.Locations) (cost=3.57 rows=23.9) (actual time=0.0045..0.044 rows=103 loops=946)
```

Total execution time: 99.2 mseconds Estimated cost: 5734

After adding an index on movie_location_new.Locations (idx_location_city), the query optimizer continued to use a **nested loop join**, but with greater efficiency. The database performed a **covering index lookup** on airport_new using the join condition City = Locations, as it did in the previous run with Index 1.

Index 3:

CREATE INDEX idx_movie_title ON movie_location_new(Movie);

Total execution time:101 mseconds Estimated cost: 5734

After creating an index on movie_location_new.Movie (idx_movie_title), the query optimizer retained the **nested loop join** strategy and continued to use a **covering index lookup** on airport_new.City. However, there was **no major change in the join method or overall execution plan** compared to the previous configuration.

Advanced SQL queries 2

```
SELECT a.city, COUNT(*) AS hotel_airport_count FROM hotel_new h JOIN airport_new a ON h.City = a.city GROUP BY a.city
```

```
| Natabase changed | mysql > StateTa.city, COUNT(*) | As | hotel_airport_count | FROM | hotel_new | hotel_new | hotel_new | hotel_new | hotel_new | hotel_airport_count | hotel_
```

output is less than 15 rows; 11 rows in set.

index1:

CREATE INDEX idx_airport_city ON a(City);

Total execution time: 114 mseconds Estimated cost: 8211

Without idx_airport_city, the query would require full table scans on both tables, resulting in much higher I/O costs and execution time. After adding idx_airport_city, the query benefits from efficient index lookups when matching cities from the hotel table, dramatically reducing the number of rows that need to be examined in the airport table for each hotel city.

index 2:

CREATE INDEX idx_hotel_city ON h(City);

```
| EXPLAIN

| -> Limit: 15 row(s) (actual time=130..130 rows=5 loops=1)
| -> Sort: hotel airport count DESC, limit input to 15 row(s) per chunk (actual time=130..130 rows=5 loops=1)
| -> Angle sadan on temporaryy (actual time=130..130 rows=5 loops=1)
| -> Angle sadan on temporaryy actual time=130..130 rows=5 loops=1)
| -> Nested loop inner join (cost=13795 rows=173=64) (actual time=0.204..76 rows=11117 loops=1)
| -> Filter: (a.city is not nuil) (cost=967 rows=9424) (actual time=0.038..3.97 rows=9806 loops=1)
| -> Table scan on a (cost=967 rows=9424) (actual time=0.038..3.97 rows=9806 loops=1)
| -> Covering index lookup on h using idx_hotel_city (City=a.city) (cost=14.9 rows=183) (actual time=0.00231..0.00651 rows=11.3 loops=9806)
| -- Tow in set (0.13 sec)
```

Total execution time: 130 mseconds Estimated cost: 313793 reduced cost

With only idx_hotel_city but no index on airport.city, the query would benefit from efficient scanning of hotel cities but would still require a full table scan on the airport table for each matched city, potentially causing higher I/O costs when joining.

index 3: with both index

```
| EXPLAIN

| -> Limit: 15 row(s) (actual time=75.3..75.3 rows=5 loops=1)
| -> Sort: hotel_airport_count DESC, limit input to 15 row(s) per chunk (actual time=75.3..75.3 rows=5 loops=1)
| -> Sort: hotel_airport_count DESC, limit input to 15 row(s) per chunk (actual time=75.3..75.3 rows=5 loops=1)
| -> Sort: hotel_airport_count (DESC, limit input to 15 row(s) per chunk (actual time=75.3..75.3 rows=5 loops=1)
| -> Sort: hotel_airport_count(DESC, limit input to 15 row(s) per chunk (actual time=75.3..75.3 rows=5 loops=1)
| -> Sort: hotel_airport_count(DESC, limit input to 15 row(s) per chunk (actual time=75.3..75.3 rows=5 loops=1)
| -> Nested loop inner join (cost=211 rows=72243) (actual time=0.0648..55.7 rows=11117 loops=1)
| -> Covering index son on h using idx hotel_coity (cost=132 rows=1283) (actual time=0.0348..0.446 rows=1283 loops=1)
| -> Covering index lookup on a using idx_airport_city (city=h.City) (cost=3.79 rows=25.1) (actual time=0.00892..0.0374 rows=86.6 loops=1283)
| -> Covering index lookup on a using idx_airport_city (city=h.City) (cost=3.79 rows=25.1) (actual time=0.00892..0.0374 rows=86.6 loops=1283)
| -> Tow in set (0.08 sec)
```

Total execution time: 75.3 mseconds Estimated cost: 8211

With both idx_airport_city and idx_hotel_city provide an additional time performance improvement by enabling a covering index scan on the hotel table rather than a full table scan, though interestingly, the optimizer's cost estimate remains unchanged at 8211 across all configurations.

Advanced SQL queries 3

```
SELECT f1.*
FROM flight f1
WHERE STR_TO_DATE(f1.sched_dep_time, '%H:%i') < (
    SELECT AVG(STR_TO_DATE(f2.sched_dep_time, '%H:%i'))
    FROM flight f2
    WHERE f2.origin = f1.origin
)
ORDER BY STR_TO_DATE(f1.sched_dep_time, '%H:%i')
LIMIT 15;</pre>
```

| sched_dep_time | + sched_arr_time | + tailnum | + origin | + dest | time | + name |
|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|-------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 06:50 | N186US | EWR | CLT | 05:00 | US Airways Inc. |
| i | 06:48 | N435US | EWR | CLT | 05:00 | US Airways Inc. |
| i | 06:48 | N566UW | EWR | CLT | 05:00 | US Airways Inc. |
| i | 06:50 | N172US | EWR | CLT | 05:00 | US Airways Inc. |
| i | 06:48 | N152UW | EWR | CLT | 05:00 | US Airways Inc. |
| 05:15 | 08:08 | N69806 | EWR | IAH | 05:15 | United Air Lines Inc. |
| 05:15 | 08:19 | N14228 | EWR | IAH | 05:15 | United Air Lines Inc. |
| 05:15 | 08:08 | N68802 | EWR | IAH | 05:15 | United Air Lines Inc. |
| 05:15 | 07:55 | N653UA | EWR | IAH | 05:15 | United Air Lines Inc. |
| 05:17 | 07:57 | N556UA | EWR | IAH | 05:17 | United Air Lines Inc. |
| 05:25 | 08:20 | N78506 | EWR | IAH | 05:25 | United Air Lines Inc. |
| 05:25 | 08:20 | N37277 | EWR | IAH | 05:25 | United Air Lines Inc. |
| 05:25 | 08:20 | N33264 | EWR | IAH | 05:25 | United Air Lines Inc. |
| 05:29 | 08:30 | N24211 | LGA | IAH | 05:29 | United Air Lines Inc. |
| 05:29 | 08:28 | N493UA | LGA | IAH | 05:29 | United Air Lines Inc. |
| + | + | + | + | + | | ++ |

```
| -> Limit: 15 row(s) (cost=437 rows=15) (actual time=4752.4752 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Sort: str_to_date(fl.sched_dep_time, '%H:%i'), limit input to 15 row(s) per chunk (cost=437 rows=4296) (actual time=4752.4752 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Filter: (str_to_date(fl.sched_dep_time, '%H:%i') < (select #2)) (cost=437 rows=4296) (actual time=5.14..4751 rows=1948 loops=1)
-> Table scan on fl (cost=437 rows=4296) (actual time=0.0424.4.22 rows=4045 loops=1)
-> Select #2 (subquery in condition; dependent)
-> Aggregate: avg(str_to_date(f2.sched_dep_time, '%H:%i')) (cost=93.7 rows=1) (actual time=1.17..1.17 rows=1 loops=4044)
-> Filter: (f2.origin = f1.origin) (cost=50.7 rows=30) (actual time=0.0035..1.01 rows=1371 loops=4044)
-> Table scan on f2 (cost=50.7 rows=4296) (actual time=0.0079..0.701 rows=4045 loops=4044)
```

Index 1:

CREATE INDEX idx_origin ON flight(origin);

Baseline Performance:

Estimated cost 437

This index on the origin column speeds up filtering in the subquery but doesn't lower the overall cost due to the overhead of time conversion

Index 2:

CREATE INDEX idx_sched_dep_time ON flight(sched_dep_time);

Baseline Performance:

Estimated cost 437

Creating an index on sched_dep_time has no effect since the query's use of STR_TO_DATE() prevents the index from being utilized

```
| -> Limit: 15 row(s) (cost=437 rows=15) (actual time=4785..4785 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Sort: str_to_date(f1.sched_dep_time,'%H:%i')) limit input to 15 row(s) per chunk (cost=437 rows=4296) (actual time=4785..4785 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Filter: (str_to_date(f1.sched_dep_time,'%H:%i') (select #2)) (cost=437 rows=4296) (actual time=5.18..4783 rows=1948 loops=1)
-> Table scan on f1 (cost=437 rows=4296) (actual time=0.0669..4.29 rows=4045 loops=1)
-> Select #2 (subquery in condition; dependent)
-> Aggregate: avg(str_to_date(f2.sched_dep_time,'%H:%i')) (cost=93.7 rows=1) (actual time=1.18..1.18 rows=1 loops=4044)
-> Filter: (f2.origin = f1.origin) (cost=50.7 rows=430) (actual time=0.00839..1.01 rows=1371 loops=4044)
-> Table scan on f2 (cost=50.7 rows=4296) (actual time=0.00795..0.707 rows=4045 loops=4044)
```

Index 3:

```
CREATE INDEX idx_dep_time_conv ON flight (

(IF(sched_dep_time COLLATE utf8mb4_0900_ai_ci REGEXP '^[0-9]{1,2}:[0-9]{2}$',

STR_TO_DATE(sched_dep_time, '%H:%i'),

NULL))
);
```

Baseline Performance:

Estimated cost 415

The functional index on the converted time (skipping invalid formats) effectively lowers the query cost by enabling index usage on valid time values

```
| -> Limit: 15 row(s) (cost=415 rows=15) (actual time=7827..7827 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Sort: str_to_date(f1.sched_dep_time, '%H:%i'), limit input to 15 row(s) per chunk (cost=415 rows=4077) (actual time=7827..7827 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Filter: (str_to_date(f1.sched_dep_time, '%H:%i') < (select #2) (cost=415 rows=4077) (actual time=7.71..7823 rows=1948 loops=1)
-> Table scan on f1 (cost=415 rows=4077) (actual time=0.7.7.14 rows=4045 loops=1)
-> Select #2 (subquery in condition; dependent)
-> Aggregate: avg(str_to_date(f2.sched_dep_time, '%H:%i')) (cost=89.3 rows=1) (actual time=1.93..1.93 rows=1 loops=4044)
-> Filter: (f2.origin = f1.origin) (cost=48.5 rows=407) (actual time=0.0155..1.66 rows=1371 loops=4044)
-> Table scan on f2 (cost=48.5 rows=4077) (actual time=0.0148..1.2 rows=4045 loops=4044)
```

Advanced Query 4:

SQL:

SELECT LOWER(ml.Country) AS Country, ROUND(AVG(m.vote_average), 2) AS avg_movie_rating FROM movie m JOIN movie_location_global ml ON m.title = ml.Movie GROUP BY LOWER(ml.Country) ORDER BY avg_movie_rating ASC;

Baseline performance:

Total execution time: ~6.12 mseconds Estimated cost: 692,852

```
| -> Sort: avg_movie_rating (actual time=6.12..6.13 rows=127 loops=1)
-> Table scan on <temporary> (actual time=6.01..6.04 rows=127 loops=1)
-> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=6..6 rows=127 loops=1)
-> Filter: (ml.Movie = m.title) (cost=692852 rows=692538) (actual time=1.34..5.01 rows=1256 loops=1)
-> Inner hash join (<hash>(ml.Movie)=<hash>(m.title)) (cost=692852 rows=692538) (actual time=1.33..4.53 rows=
1256 loops=1)
-> Table scan on ml (cost=0.144 rows=4724) (actual time=0.0487..2.21 rows=4724 loops=1)
-> Hash
-> Table scan on m (cost=150 rows=1466) (actual time=0.0922..0.814 rows=1466 loops=1)
```

Index 1:

CREATE INDEX idx movie title ON movie(title);

CREATE INDEX idx movie location movie ON movie location global(Movie);

Total execution time: ~11.3 mseconds Estimated cost: 1,197

The query optimizer switched from a hash join to a nested loop join with an index lookup on the movie_location_global table. The estimated cost decreased dramatically (from 692,852 to 1,197).

Index 2:

CREATE INDEX idx_movie_location_country ON movie_location_global(Country);

Total execution time: ~10.8 mseconds Estimated cost: 1197

Adding an index on the GROUP BY column (Country) didn't significantly change the execution plan compared to Option 1. The query optimizer still used the index on the Movie column for the JOIN operation and didn't utilize the Country index for the GROUP BY operation. This is likely because the grouping happens after the join, and the optimizer determined that using the Movie index for the join was more beneficial.

```
| -> Sort: avg_movie_rating (actual time=10.8..10.9 rows=127 loops=1)
-> Table scan on <temporary> (actual time=10.8..10.8 rows=127 loops=1)
-> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=10.8..10.8 rows=127 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=1197 rows=2993) (actual time=0.169..9.72 rows=1256 loops=1)
-> Table scan on m (cost=150 rows=1466) (actual time=0.107..0.684 rows=1466 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on ml using idx_movie_location_movie (Movie=m.title) (cost=0.511 rows=2.04) (actual time=0.00
538..0.00596 rows=0.857 loops=1466)
```

Index 3:

CREATE INDEX idx_movie_location_composite ON movie_location_global(Movie, Country); CREATE INDEX idx_movie_title ON movie(title);

Total execution time: ~10.6 mseconds Estimated cost: 1197

The execution plan for the composite index strategy looks very similar to the previous options. The optimizer is still using the index on Movie for the JOIN operation and not taking advantage of the composite index for the GROUP BY operation.

```
| -> Sort: avg_movie_rating (actual time=10.6..10.6 rows=127 loops=1)
-> Table scan on <temporary> (actual time=10.4..10.5 rows=127 loops=1)
-> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=10.4..10.4 rows=127 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=1197 rows=2993) (actual time=0.133..9.42 rows=1256 loops=1)
-> Table scan on m (cost=150 rows=1466) (actual time=0.0623..0.662 rows=1466 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on ml using idx_movie_location_movie (Movie=m.title) (cost=0.511 rows=2.04) (actual time=0.00
```