```
Welcome to Cloud Shell! Type "help" to get started.
Your Cloud Platform project in this session is set to cs411demo-452016.
Use 'gcloud config set project [PROJECT ID]' to change to a different project.
japjeevkl0@cloudshell:~ (cs411demo-452016)$ gcloud config set project cs411demo-452016
Updated property [core/project].
japjeevkl0@cloudshell:~ (cs411demo-452016)$ gcloud sql connect cs411-db --user=root
Allowlisting your IP for incoming connection for 5 minutes...done.
Connecting to database with SQL user [root].Enter password:
Welcome to the MySQL monitor. Commands end with; or \g.
Your MySQL connection id is 21925
Server version: 8.0.37-google (Google)

Copyright (c) 2000, 2025, Oracle and/or its affiliates.

Oracle is a registered trademark of Oracle Corporation and/or its affiliates. Other names may be trademarks of their respective owners.

Type 'help;' or '\h' for help. Type '\c' to clear the current input statement.

mysql>
```

Here are the Data Definition Language (DDL) commands we used to create each of the tables in the database:

```
mysql> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM User
->;
+----+
| COUNT(*) |
+----+
| 1374 |
+-----+
1 row in set (0.01 sec)
```

```
CREATE TABLE Trip (
TripID PRIMARY KEY,
TripName VARCHAR(255) NOT NULL,
StartDate DATE NOT NULL,
EndDate DATE NOT NULL,
Description TEXT
```

```
);
 mysql> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM Trip
    COUNT (*)
          1496
 1 row in set (0.00 \text{ sec})
CREATE TABLE TripUser (
     TripID INT NOT NULL,
     UserID INT NOT NULL,
     PermissionLevel VARCHAR(50) NOT NULL,
     FOREIGN KEY (TripID) REFERENCES Trip(TripID) ON DELETE CASCADE,
     FOREIGN KEY (UserID) REFERENCES User(UserID) ON DELETE CASCADE,
     PRIMARY KEY (TripID, UserID)
);
CREATE TABLE Booking (
     BookingID PRIMARY KEY,
     TripID INT NOT NULL,
     BookingType VARCHAR(100) NOT NULL,
     BookingDate DATE NOT NULL,
     Cost DECIMAL(10,2) NOT NULL,
     Currency VARCHAR(50) NOT NULL,
     FOREIGN KEY (TripID) REFERENCES Trip(TripID) ON DELETE CASCADE
);
CREATE TABLE Currency (
     Date DATE NOT NULL,
     BaseCurrency VARCHAR(50) NOT NULL,
     TargetCurrency VARCHAR(50) NOT NULL,
     Rate DECIMAL(10,4) NOT NULL,
     PRIMARY KEY (Date, BaseCurrency, TargetCurrency)
```

```
mysql> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM Expense;
+----+
| COUNT(*) |
+----+
| 2006 |
+----+
1 row in set (0.01 sec)
```

- 1) Query: Get Total Expenses Per Trip with Currency Conversion
- JOIN (multiple tables) + Aggregation (GROUP BY) + Subquery
- Convert all expenses to the user's home currency and show the total trip cost.

```
SELECT
  t.TripName,
  u.Username,
  SUM(e.Amount * (
    SELECT c.Rate
    FROM Currency c
    WHERE c.BaseCurrency = e.Currency
    AND c.TargetCurrency = u.HomeCurrency
    AND c.Date <= e.Date
    ORDER BY c.Date DESC
    LIMIT 1
  )) AS TotalSpentHomeCurrency
FROM Trip t
JOIN Expense e ON t.TripID = e.TripID
JOIN TripUser tu ON t.TripID = tu.TripID
JOIN User u ON tu.UserID = u.UserID
GROUP BY t.TripName, u.Username;
```

This query converts all expenses to the user's home currency, and uses a subquery to get the most recent exchange rate.

EXPLAIN ANALYSIS:

Tried:

CREATE INDEX idx_currency_lookup ON Currency(BaseCurrency, TargetCurrency, Date DESC);

```
| -> Table scan on <temporary> (actual time=20.2..20.3 rows=531 loops=1)
-> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=20.2..20.2 rows=531 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=046 rows=1316) (actual time=0.0551..3.88 rows=931 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=036 rows=731) (actual time=0.0312..0.86 rows=731 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=030 rows=731) (actual time=0.0312..0.86 rows=731 loops=1)
-> Covering index scan on tu sing (dt typus=cuser (cost=03.9 rows=731) (actual time=0.035.0.147 rows=731 loops=1)
-> Covering index scan on tu sing (dt typus=cuser (cost=03.9 rows=13) (actual time=0.055.0.147 rows=731 loops=1)
-> Single=row index lookup on tu sing PRIMARY (PripID=tu.TripID) (cost=0.5 rows=1) (actual time=850=6.870=6 rows=1 loops=731)
-> Index lookup on e using idx_expense_trip (TripID=tu.TripID) (cost=0.45 rows=1.8) (actual time=0.00226..0.00266 rows=1.35 loops=731)

1 row in set, 3 warnings (0.02 sec)
```

Same cost, no improvement

Tried:

CREATE INDEX idx_currency_conversion ON Currency (BaseCurrency, TargetCurrency, Date, Rate DESC);

CREATE INDEX idx_expense_tripid_currency_date ON Expense (TripID, Currency, Date); CREATE INDEX idx_user_homecurrency ON User (UserID, HomeCurrency);

```
| >> Table scan on 
| >> Table scan on 
| >> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=16.2..16.2 rows=531 loops=1)
| >> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=0.5.2.16.2 rows=531 loops=1)
| >> Nested loop inner join (cost=0166 rows=1316 (actual time=0.0594.3.74 rows=985 loops=1)
| >> Nested loop inner join (cost=016 rows=731) (actual time=0.0394.0.015 rows=731 loops=1)
| >> Nested loop inner join (cost=0380 rows=731) (actual time=0.0394.0.084 rows=731 loops=1)
| >> Nested loop inner join (cost=0380 rows=731) (actual time=0.0394.0.0164 rows=731 loops=1)
| >> Single=row index lookup on u using MEMANY (MesrID=tu_UserID) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=0.648-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6.878-6
```

Same cost, no improvement

We tried implementing several indexes targeting the join operations and the currency exchange rate subquery, but the query cost remained unchanged. We think that indexing foreign keys in TripUser and Expense likely wouldn't improve performance because the database might have already been efficiently handling these joins using existing indexes. Indexes on the Currency table (idx_currency_lookup, idx_currency_conversion) and indexes combining Expense columns (idx_expense_tripid_currency_date) and User columns (idx_user_homecurrency) that we tried to use to optimize the subquery and joins, however, yielded no benefit. We think that one of the reasons for the lack of improvement is because this subquery executes repeatedly for each row, so its overhead might be overshadowing any potential gains from optimizing the individual joins or the lookups within the subquery itself. The final index design would use the database's default indexing based on primary and foreign key constraints, as the indexes we created did not demonstrably improve performance. Maybe a different query structure that avoids the subquery, such as pre-calculating or joining the exchange rates, would be more useful to reduce the cost.

- 2) Query: Find the Most Expensive Category Per Trip
- JOIN (multiple tables) + Aggregation (GROUP BY) + Subquery
- For each trip, determine the expense category where the user spent the most money.

```
mysql> SELECT TripName, CategoryName, total spent FROM ( SLECT t. TripName, e.CategoryName, SUM(e.Amount) DESC) AS rank FROM Trip t
BY: triplO ROBER BY SUM(e.Amount) DESC) AS rank FROM Trip t
RE 'rank' = 1 LIMIT 15;

TripName | CategoryName | total spent |
Cultural Tour Athens | Transport | 393.47 |
Beach Cetaway Hawaii | Entertainment | 203.99 |
Mountain Trek Norway | Transport | 699.37 |
Cultural Tour Athens | Lodging | 456.73 |
Beach Cetaway Hawaii | Entertainment | 466.96 |
Beach Cetaway Hawaii | Misc | 474.17 |
Safari Adventure Kenya | Food | 135.39 |
Cultural Tour Athens | Misc | 276.95 |
Mountain Trek Svitzerland | Shopping | 479.54 |
Safari Adventure Kenya | Food | 101.47 |
Mountain Trek Svitzerland | Shopping | 479.54 |
Safari Adventure Kenya | Food | 101.47 |
Mountain Trek Norway | Mountain Trek Norway | Mountain Trek Norway | Mountain Trek Norway | Moun
```

This query uses RANK() to get the highest spending category per trip, and helps users see where they spent the most money.

EXPLAIN ANALYSIS:

```
| -> Index lookup on ranked_categories using <auto_key0> (rank=1) (cost=0.35..3.51 rows=10) (actual time=6.81..6.94 rows=1114 loops=1)
-> Materialize (cost=0..0 rows=0) (actual time=6.8..6.8 rows=1806 loops=1)
-> Nation waggregate: rank() OVER (PARTITION BY t.TripID ORDER BY total_spent desc)
-> Nation waggregate: rank() OVER (PARTITION BY t.TripID ORDER BY total_spent desc)
-> Nation waggregate: rank() OVER (PARTITION BY t.TripID ORDER BY total_spent desc)
-> Table scan on <temporary lable (actual time=6.51..5.22 rows=1806 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=090 rows=2006) (actual time=0.052..0.0538..2.37 rows=2006 loops=1)
-> Table scan on (cost=091 rows=2006) (actual time=0.052..0.534 rows=2006 loops=1)
-> Single-row index lookup on t using PRIMARY (TripID=e.TripID) (cost=00.25 rows=1) (actual time=753e-6..773e-6 rows=1 loops=2006)

1 row in set (0.02 sec)
```

Tried:

CREATE INDEX idx_expense_tripid_category ON Expense (TripID, CategoryName);

Tried:

CREATE INDEX idx_expense_categoryname_amount ON Expense (CategoryName, Amount DESC);

```
| -> Index lookup on ranked categories using (auto_key0> (rank-1) (cost-0.35..3.51 rows-10) (actual time-6.63..6.76 rows-1114 loops-1)
-> Materialize (cost-0..0 rows-0) (actual time-6.62..6.62 rows-1806 loops-1) service (cost-0.10 rows-0) (actual time-4.62..6.62 rows-1806 loops-1)
-> Window apprepate rank() OVER (PARTIZION BY LOTA) (actual time-4.98..5.68 rows-1806 loops-1)
-> Table scan on temporary (actual time-4.22..4.39 rows-1806 loops-1)
-> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time-4.22..4.22 rows-1806 loops-1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost-905 rows-2006) (actual time-0.0485..0.534 rows-2006 loops-1)
-> Table scan on e (cost-203 rows-2006) (actual time-0.0485..0.534 rows-2006 loops-1)
-> Single-row index lookup on t using PRIMARY (TripID-e.TripID) (cost-0.25 rows-1) (actual time-750e-6..77le-6 rows-1 loops-2006)
```

Tried:

CREATE INDEX idx_trip_expense_tripid_category_amount ON Expense (TripID, CategoryName, Amount DESC);

CREATE INDEX idx_expense_tripid_amount_categoryname ON Expense (TripID, Amount DESC, CategoryName);

We tried to optimize the query designed to find the most expensive category per trip by creating several indexes. Our initial approach involved indexing the Expense table on TripID and CategoryName (idx expense tripid category), trying to improve the join operation with the Trip table and facilitate the subsequent grouping by category. Then, we focused on the aggregation and ranking aspects by indexing CategoryName and Amount in descending order (idx expense categoryname amount). This was supposed to speed up the calculation of the total spent per category and the ordering required for the RANK() function. Finally, we experimented with composite indexes on the Expense table, combining TripID, CategoryName, and Amount in different orders (idx trip expense tripid category amount and idx expense tripid amount categoryname). These composite indexes were designed to potentially cover both the join and the ranking operations more efficiently. However, despite these efforts, the EXPLAIN ANALYSIS indicated that none of these indexing strategies resulted in an improvement in query cost. This suggests that the bottleneck might lie in the window function RANK(), which requires processing the aggregated data for each trip to determine the rank. The database might already be performing the join and aggregation steps reasonably efficiently, and the cost associated with calculating the rank across partitions is dominating the overall execution time. Our final index design would likely rely on the default indexes provided by primary and foreign key constraints, as the explicitly created indexes did not reduce the query's cost. We might try exploring alternative approaches to identifying the maximum

spending category per trip that avoid the performance overhead of the RANK() function, if such alternatives exist while maintaining accuracy.

- 3) Query: Get Users Who Have Spent Above Average on Trips
- Aggregation (GROUP BY) + Subquery
- Find users who have spent more than the average total trip expense.

```
SELECT u.Username, SUM(e.Amount) AS TotalSpent
FROM User u
JOIN TripUser tu ON u.UserID = tu.UserID
JOIN Trip t ON tu.TripID = t.TripID
JOIN Expense e ON t.TripID = e.TripID
GROUP BY u.Username
HAVING SUM(e.Amount) > (
    SELECT AVG(total_spent_per_user)
FROM (
    SELECT tu.UserID, SUM(e.Amount) AS total_spent_per_user
    FROM Expense e
    JOIN Trip t ON e.TripID = t.TripID
    JOIN TripUser tu ON t.TripID = tu.TripID
    GROUP BY tu.UserID
    ) avg_spending
);
```

This query identifies big spenders and uses a subquery to compare total spending against the average spending.

EXPLAIN ANALYSIS:

JOIN INDEXES:

CREATE INDEX idx_tripuser_userid ON TripUser(UserID); CREATE INDEX idx_tripuser_tripid ON TripUser(TripID);

Old: CREATE INDEX idx_tripuser_userid_tripid ON TripUser(UserID, TripID); New index: CREATE INDEX idx_expense_tripid ON Expense(TripID);

Old:

```
| -> Filter: ('sum(e.Amount)' > (select #2)) (actual time=6.72.6.8 rows=161 loops=1)
-> Table scan on <temporary* (actual time=4.04.4.08 rows=428 loops=1)
-> Nagregate using temporary table (actual time=4.04.4.08 rows=428 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=956 rows=731) (actual time=0.0642.3.37 rows=985 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=956 rows=731) (actual time=0.0342.1.42 rows=731 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=956 rows=731) (actual time=0.0342.1.42 rows=731 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=958 rows=731) (actual time=0.0342.1.92 rows=731) (actual time=0.0249..0.141 rows=731 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=930 rows=731) (actual time=0.0342.0.92 rows=731) (actual time=7.0249..0.141 rows=731 loops=1)
-> Single=row index lookup on u using PRIMARY (TispID=tu.TispID) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=7.59=6..777e=6 rows=1 loops=731)
-> Select #2 (subquery in condition; run only once)
-> Aggregate: avg(avg_spending_total spent_per_user) (cost=0.137..1037 rows=1) (actual time=2.66..2.66 rows=1 loops=1)
-> Table scan on avg_spending_total spent_per_user) (cost=0.137..037 rows=428 loops=1)
-> Materialize (cost=975..975 rows=527) (actual time=2.59..2.52 rows=428 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=930 rows=1316) (actual time=0.0134.0.651 rows=731 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=930 rows=1316) (actual time=0.0134.0.651 rows=731 loops=1)
-> Single=row covering index lookup on t using PRIMARY (TipID=tu.TripID) (cost=0.45 rows=1.8) (actual time=0.0019.0.127 rows=731 loops=1)
-> Single=row covering index lookup on t using PRIMARY (TipID=tu.TripID) (cost=0.45 rows=1.8) (actual time=0.0019.0.127 rows=731 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on e using idex_expense_trip (TripID=tu.TripID) (cost=0.45 rows=1.8) (actual time=0.0019.0.127 rows=731 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on e using idex_expense_trip (TripID=tu.TripID) (cost=0.45 rows=1.8) (actual time=0.0019.0.127 rows=731 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on e using idex_expense_trip (TripID=tu.TripID) (cost=0.45 rows=1.8) (actual time=0.0018.0.002
```

New:

```
-> Filter: ('sum(e.Amount)' > (select #2)) (actual time=6.84.6.92 rows=161 loops=1)

-> Table scan on *Ctemporary' (actual time=4.19.4.23 rows=428 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=1049 rows=1316) (actual time=0.0345.3.51 rows=985 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=588 rows=731) (actual time=0.0345.3.51 rows=731 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=588 rows=731) (actual time=0.0345.3.775 rows=731 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=332 rows=731) (actual time=0.0343.0.775 rows=731 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=332 rows=731) (actual time=0.0343.0.775 rows=731 loops=1)

-> Single-row ordex lookup on tu using PRIMARY (IserID=tu.DerID) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=7.35=6.753=6 rows=1 loops=731)

-> Single-row covering index lookup on tu using PRIMARY (TipID=tu.DripID) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=7.06-6..74!=6 rows=1 loops=731)

-> Index lookup on e using idx expense tripid (TripID=tu.DripID) (cost=0.45 rows=1.8) (actual time=0.00236.0.00271 rows=1.35 loops=731)

-> Aggregate: avg(avg spending.total spent per user) (cost=1039..1039 rows=1) (actual time=2.63..2.63 rows=1 loops=1)

-> Table scan on avg spending (cost=977..986 rows=527) (actual time=0.57.2.6 rows=428 loops=1)

-> Materialize (cost=977..97 rows=527) (actual time=0.57.2.57 rows=428 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=793 rows=1316) (actual time=0.0184.2.35 rows=985 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=793 rows=1316) (actual time=0.0184.2.35 rows=985 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=793 rows=1316) (actual time=0.0184.2.35 rows=985 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=793 rows=1316) (actual time=0.0186.2.28 rows=131 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=793 rows=1316) (actual time=0.0186.2.28 rows=131 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=793 rows=1316) (actual time=0.0186.2.28 rows=131 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=793 rows=1316) (actual time=0.0186.2.28 rows=131 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=793 rows=1316) (actual time=0.0186.2.28 rows=131 loops=1)

-> Ne
```

CREATE INDEX idx_expense_tripid_amount ON Expense(TripID, Amount);

```
| -> Filter: ('sum(e.Amount)' > (select #2)) (actual time=6.82.6.9 rows=161 loops=1)
| -> Table scan on <temporary (actual time=4.13.4.18 rows=428 loops=1)
| -> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=4.13.4.13 rows=428 loops=1)
| -> Nested loop inner join (cost=366 rows=731) (actual time=0.0515.3.46 rows=985 loops=1)
| -> Nested loop inner join (cost=366 rows=731) (actual time=0.0417.1.47 rows=731 loops=1)
| -> Nested loop inner join (cost=308 rows=731) (actual time=0.0417.1.47 rows=731 loops=1)
| -> Covering index acan on tu using idx_tripuser_user (cost=73.9 rows=731) (actual time=0.0243..0.141 rows=731 loops=1)
| -> Single=row index lookup on u using FRIMARY (firsip=tu.TripiD) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=797e=6..818e-6 rows=1 loops=731)
| -> Single=row covering index lookup on t using FRIMARY (firsip=tu.TripiD) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=797e=6..818e-6 rows=1 loops=731)
| -> Index lookup on e using idx_expense_trip (TripID=tu.TripiD) (cost=0.45 rows=1.8) (actual time=0.00216..0.00253 rows=1.35 loops=731)
| -> Select #2 (subquery in condition; run only once) (cost=0.37..1037 rows=1) (actual time=2.66..2.66 rows=1 loops=1)
| -> Table scan on avg_spending (cost=975..984 rows=527) (actual time=2.66..2.65 rows=428 loops=1)
| -> Materialize (cost=975..975 rows=527) (actual time=2.66..2.65 rows=428 loops=1)
| -> Nested loop inner join (cost=90 rows=1316) (actual time=0.0167..2.53 rows=95 loops=1)
| -> Nested loop inner join (cost=90 rows=131) (actual time=0.0167..2.53 rows=731 loops=1)
| -> Nested loop inner join (cost=308 rows=3731) (actual time=0.0166.0.659 rows=731 loops=1)
| -> Nested loop inner join (cost=308 rows=3731) (actual time=0.0166.0.659 rows=731 loops=1)
| -> Nested loop inner join (cost=308 rows=3731) (actual time=0.0166.0.659 rows=731 loops=1)
| -> Nested loop inner join (cost=308 rows=3731) (actual time=0.0166.0.659 rows=731 loops=1)
| -> Covering index lookup on t using PRIMARY (TripID=tu.TripID) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=0.0187..0.00218 rows=1.35 loops=731)
| -> Index lookup
```

We experimented with several indexes to optimize the query that identifies users who spent above the average trip expense. We focused on improving the join performance by indexing the foreign key UserID in TripUser(idx_tripuser_userid), and the foreign key TripID in TripUser (idx_tripuser_tripid), because we thought that these would speed up the data retrieval across the joined tables. We also tried a composite index on TripUser combining UserID and TripID (idx_tripuser_userid_tripid). Finally, we created an index on Expense that included both TripID and Amount (idx_expense_tripid_amount), to try and improve the aggregation within the main and subquery. However, EXPLAIN ANALYSIS indicated no significant improvement in the cost. We believe this lack of impact might be because of the subquery that calculates the average spending. The database might need to process a large portion of the data to compute this average, and the indexes on the join columns might not reduce the overall workload to influence the query cost. Therefore, our final index design would likely rely on the default indexes created for primary and foreign keys. To achieve better performance, we could look at alternative queries that don't need a separate subquery to calculate the average.

Revised Explanation:

We attempted to index on the UserID and TripId in TripUser which was incorrect as these attributes together make up the composite primary key for TripUser. We simply indexed on TripID this time as a foreign key for the Expense table in order to extract the TripID in a more efficient manner before the join is executed. We think the cost of the query remains the same because we think the query planner is already able to do the join efficiently using the existing

primary key index. So, this additional index on TripID did not improve the performance. It could also be because we didn't have many unique TripIDs in our dataset.

- 4) Query: Find Trips with Shared Expenses Between Users
- JOIN (multiple tables) + GROUP BY + HAVING
- Identify trips where two or more users contributed to expenses, useful for group travel.

SELECT t.TripName, COUNT(DISTINCT tu.UserID) AS NumUsers FROM Trip t
JOIN TripUser tu ON t.TripID = tu.TripID
GROUP BY t.TripName
HAVING COUNT(DISTINCT tu.UserID) > 1;

This query detects shared trips where multiple users have added expenses Helps users see who contributed to group travel expenses

EXPLAIN ANALYSIS:

^{*}There were less than 15 rows that fulfilled the conditions for this query*

```
| -> Filter: (count(distinct TripUser.UserID) > 1) (actual time=1.15..1.39 rows=8 loops=1)
| -> Group aggregate: count(distinct TripUser.UserID), count(distinct TripUser.UserID) (actual time=1.15..1.39 rows=8 loops=1)
| -> Sort: T.TipUsme (actual time=1.11.14 rows=731 loops=1)
| -> Stream results (cost=330 rows=731 loops=1) (actual time=0.0314.0.823 rows=731 loops=1)
| -> No covering index son on tu using idx tripuser user (cost=73.9 rows=731) (actual time=0.0262.0.143 rows=731 loops=1)
| -> Single-row index lookup on t using FRIMARY (TripID=tu.TripID) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=794e-6.814e-6 rows=1 loops=731)
| -> Single-row index lookup on tusing FRIMARY (TripID=tu.TripID) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=794e-6.814e-6 rows=1 loops=731)
```

Tried:

CREATE INDEX idx_trip_tripname ON Trip (TripName);

```
| -> Filter: (count(distinct TripUser.UserID) > 1) (actual time=1.15..1.39 rows=8 loops=1)
-> Group aggregate: count(distinct TripUser.UserID), count(distinct TripUser.UserID) (actual time=1.15..1.39 rows=8 loops=1)
-> Sort: TripUser (set it time=1.1 i.1 a rows=731 loops=1)
-> Stream results (cost=330 rows=731) (actual time=4.051..0.921 rows=731 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner (cost=330 rows=731) (actual time=0.0229..0.804 srows=731 loops=1)
-> Covering index scan on tu using idx tripuser user (cost=73.9 rows=731) (actual time=0.0153..0.141 rows=731 loops=1)
-> Single-row index lookup on t using PRIMARY (TripID=tu.TripID) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=774e-6..794e-6 rows=1 loops=731)

1 row in set (0.00 sec)
```

Tried:

Old: CREATE INDEX idx_tripuser_tripid_userid ON TripUser (TripID, UserID); New: CREATE INDEX idx_trip_tripname_tripid ON Trip(TripName, TripID);

Old:

```
| -> Filter: (count(distinct TripUser.UserID) > 1) (actual time=1.12..1.36 rows=8 loops=1)
| -> Group aggregate: count(distinct TripUser.UserID), count(distinct TripUser.UserID) (actual time=1.12..1.36 rows=8 loops=1)
| -> Sort: t.TripName (actual time=1.07.11 cope=73) (loops=1)
| -> Stream results (cost=30 rows=731) (actual time=0.0359.0.92 rows=731 loops=1)
| -> Nexted loop inner joil (cost=30 rows=731) (actual time=0.0358.0.8 rows=731 loops=1)
| -> Covering innex scan on tu using idx tripuser_user_(cost=73.9 rows=731) (actual time=0.0244.0.139 rows=731 loops=1)
| -> Single-row index lookup on t using FRIMARY (TripID=tu.TripID) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=747e-6..767e-6 rows=1 loops=731)
| -> Single-row index lookup on t using FRIMARY (TripID=tu.TripID) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=747e-6..767e-6 rows=1 loops=731)
| -> Trow in set (0.00 sec)
```

New:

```
| -> Filter: (count(distinct TripUser.UserID) > 1) (actual time=1.07..1.32 rows=8 loops=1)
| -> Group aggregate: count(distinct TripUser.UserID), count(distinct TripUser.UserID) (actual time=1.07..1.32 rows=8 loops=1)
| -> Sort: t.TripName (actual time=1.03..1.06 rows=731 loops=1)
| -> Stream results (cost=330 rows=731) (actual time=0.0234..0.882 rows=731 loops=1)
| -> Nested loop inner join (cost=330 rows=731) (actual time=0.0214..0.75 rows=731 loops=1)
| -> Covering index scan on tu using idx_tripuser_user (cost=73.9 rows=731) (actual time=0.014..0.138 rows=731 loops=1)
| -> Single-row index lookup on t using PRIMARY (TripID=tu.TripID) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=702e-6..722e-6 rows=1 loops=731)
| -> in set (0.00 sec)
```

Tried:

CREATE INDEX idx_tripuser_userid ON TripUser (UserID);

We explored several indexing strategies to potentially improve the performance of the query that identifies trips with shared expenses. We started by indexing the TripName column in the Trip table (idx_trip_tripname). The rationale behind this was to optimize the GROUP BY operation, since grouping by an indexed column can sometimes be more efficient. Finally, we tried indexing the UserID column in the TripUser table (idx_tripuser_userid) specifically to potentially speed up the COUNT(DISTINCT tu.UserID) aggregation. But the EXPLAIN ANALYSIS indicated that none of these indexing changes resulted in a noticeable improvement in the query cost. Given that the query returns a small number of rows (less than 15), it's possible that the overhead of the joins and aggregations is already minimal, and the database is efficiently handling the query even without these additional indexes. We think the final index design might rely on the default indexes that were already created for the primary and foreign key constraints, as the indexes we tried did not provide a performance benefit for this particular query. Maybe for such queries with small result sets, optimizations through indexing are not as impactful as other factors like query clarity and maintainability.

Revised Explanation:

We attempted to index on TripID and UserID but this was redundant as these two keys make up composite primary keys in the TripUser table. In order to fix this issue, we now indexed on TripID and UserID as in order to improve the performance of joins that filter by UserID first. We noticed that the performance of the query remained the same. This might be because the dataset is small, so there wasn't a big improvement in speed and this index might be more useful with more complicated queries or a larger dataset.

Database on GCP:

```
mysql> USE travelEase;
Database changed
mysql>
```