

CS 4110

Programming Languages & Logics

Lecture 31 Concurrency & Parallelism



Concurrency and Parallelism

Our languages have so far been “single threaded,” but all modern machines are parallel.

PL support for concurrency/parallelism a huge topic:

- Shared memory (*locks* and *transactions*)
- Futures
- Message passing
- Process calculi (foundational message-passing)
- Asynchronous methods, join calculus, ...
- Data-parallel languages (e.g., NESL or ZPL)
- ...

We'll focus on message passing and shared memory.

Concurrency vs. Parallelism

Concurrency is about correctly and efficiently managing access to shared resources.

Examples: operating system, shared hashtable, version control...

Parallelism is about using extra computational resources to do more useful work per unit time

Examples: scientific computing, most graphics, a lot of servers...

Message Passing

In languages with *message passing*, threads communicate via *send* and *receive* along *channels*.

In *synchronous* message-passing, execution *blocks* until communication takes place.

Concurrent ML

Concurrent ML is synchronous message-passing with *first-class synchronization events*.

It's a great match of lambdas and polymorphic types in OCaml.
Also available in:

- Standard ML (originally)
- Racket
- Haskell
- Go (sort of)
- ...

Concurrent ML

```
type 'a channel (* messages passed on channels *)  
val new_channel : unit -> 'a channel
```

```
type 'a event (* when sync'ed on, get an 'a *)  
val send      : 'a channel -> 'a -> unit event  
val receive   : 'a channel -> 'a event  
val sync      : 'a event -> 'a
```

Send and receive return “events” immediately.

Sync blocks until the event “happens.”

Concurrent ML

Can define helper functions by trivial composition:

```
let sendNow ch a = sync (send ch a) (* block *)  
let recvNow ch = sync (receive ch) (* block *)
```

“Who communicates” is up to the CML implementation:

- Can be nondeterministic when there are multiple senders/receivers on the same channel.
- Implementation needs collection of waiting senders xor receivers.

Bank Account Example

See `code31.ml`

- First version: channels are the only way to access a private reference.
- Second version: makes functional programmers smile.

Hints at a deep connection between channels and shared memory.

The Interface

In the example, all the threading and communication gets abstracted away:

```
type acct
val mkAcct : unit -> acct
val get : acct -> float -> float
val put : acct -> float -> float
```

Hidden thread communication:

- `mkAcct` makes a thread (the “this account server”)
- `get` and `put` make the server go around the loop once

There are no *races* between concurrent accesses by construction: the server handles one request at a time.

Streams

We can also use CML to code up *streams*: infinite sequences of values, produced lazily.

See `code31.ml`

The Need for Choice

So far, `sendNow` and `recvNow` have worked just fine. When do you need a separate `sync` operation?

The Need for Choice

So far, `sendNow` and `recvNow` have worked just fine. When do you need a separate `sync` operation?

```
add : int channel -> int channel -> int
```

An “add server” would need to choose which to receive first, which hurts performance if the other operand is ready first.

The Need for Choice

So far, `sendNow` and `recvNow` have worked just fine. When do you need a separate `sync` operation?

```
add : int channel -> int channel -> int
```

An “add server” would need to choose which to receive first, which hurts performance if the other operand is ready first.

```
or : bool channel -> bool channel -> bool
```

Can’t “short circuit” when the first operand arrives.

Choose and Wrap

```
type 'a event (* when sync'ed on, get an 'a *)  
val send : 'a channel -> 'a -> unit event  
val receive : 'a channel -> 'a event  
val sync : 'a event -> 'a
```

```
val choose : 'a event list -> 'a event  
val wrap : 'a event -> ('a -> 'b) -> 'b event
```

- choose: When synchronized on, block until one of the events happen (c.f. UNIX select).
- wrap: A new event with the function as post-processing.

Next Time

Shared-memory multithreading: less elegant, more popular, and far more terrifying!