CS 4110 – Programming Languages and Logics Lecture #4: Large-step semantics



1 Large-step operational semantics

In the last lecture we defined a semantics for our language of arithmetic expressions using a small-step evaluation relation $\rightarrow \subseteq$ **Config** \times **Config** (and its reflexive and transitive closure \rightarrow *). In this lecture we will explore an alternative approach—large-step operational semantics—which yields the final result of evaluating an expression directly.

Defining a large-step semantics boils down to specifying a relation \downarrow that captures the evaluation of an expression. The \downarrow relation has the following type:

$$\Downarrow \subseteq (\mathbf{Store} \times \mathbf{Exp}) \times (\mathbf{Store} \times \mathbf{Int}).$$

We write $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$ to indicate that $((\sigma, e), (\sigma', n)) \in \Downarrow$. In other words, the expression e with store σ evaluates in one big step to the final store σ' and integer n.

We define the relation \downarrow inductively, using inference rules:

$$\frac{1}{\langle \sigma, n \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma, n \rangle} \text{ Int } \frac{n = \sigma(x)}{\langle \sigma, x \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma, n \rangle} \text{ Var}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n_1 \rangle \qquad \langle \sigma', e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n_2 \rangle \qquad n = n_1 + n_2}{\langle \sigma, e_1 + e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n \rangle} \text{ Add}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n_1 \rangle \qquad \langle \sigma', e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n_2 \rangle \qquad n = n_1 \times n_2}{\langle \sigma, e_1 * e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n \rangle} \text{ Mul}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n_1 \rangle \qquad \langle \sigma'[x \mapsto n_1], e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n_2 \rangle}{\langle \sigma, x := e_1 ; e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n_2 \rangle} \text{ Assgn}$$

To illustrate the use of these rules, consider the following proof tree, which shows that evaluating $\langle \sigma, foo := 3 ; foo * bar \rangle$ using a store σ such that $\sigma(bar) = 7$ yields $\sigma' = \sigma[foo \mapsto 3]$ and 21 as a result:

$$\frac{\sqrt{\langle \sigma, 3 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma, 3 \rangle} \text{ Int } \frac{\sqrt{\langle \sigma', foo \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', 3 \rangle} \text{ Var } \sqrt{\langle \sigma', bar \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', 7 \rangle} \text{ Var}}{\langle \sigma', foo * bar \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', 21 \rangle} \text{ Mul}}{\langle \sigma, foo := 3 \; ; \; foo * bar \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', 21 \rangle} \text{ Assgn}$$

A closer look to this structure reveals the relation between small step and large-step evaluation: a depth-first traversal of the large-step proof tree yields the sequence of one-step transitions in small-step evaluation.

2 Equivalence of semantics

A natural question to ask is whether the small-step and large-step semantics are equivalent. The next theorem answers this question affirmatively.

Theorem (Equivalence of semantics). For all expressions e, stores σ and σ' , and integers n we have:

$$\langle \sigma, e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$$
 if and only if $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to {}^*\langle \sigma', n \rangle$

To streamline the proof, we will work with the following definition of the multi-step relation:

$$\frac{}{\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to^* \langle \sigma, e \rangle}$$
 Refl

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \qquad \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \to^* \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to^* \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle} \text{ Trans}$$

Proof sketch. We show each direction separately.

 \implies : We want to prove that the following property P holds for all expressions $e \in \mathbf{Exp}$:

$$P(e) \triangleq \forall \sigma, \sigma' \in \mathbf{Store}. \ \forall n \in \mathbf{Int}. \ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle \Longrightarrow \langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n \rangle$$

We proceed by structural induction on e. We have to consider each of the possible axioms and inference rules for constructing an expression.

Case e=x: Assume that $\langle \sigma, x \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$. That is, there is some derivation in the large-step operational semantics whose conclusion is $\langle \sigma, x \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma, n \rangle$. There is only one rule whose conclusion matches the configuration $\langle \sigma, x \rangle$: the large-step rule Var. Thus, we have $n=\sigma(x)$ and $\sigma'=\sigma$. By the small-step rule Var, we also have $\langle \sigma, x \rangle \to \langle \sigma, n \rangle$. By the Refl and Trans rules, we conclude that $\langle \sigma, x \rangle \to {}^*\langle \sigma, n \rangle$, which finishes the case.

Case e = n: Assume that $\langle \sigma, n \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n' \rangle$. There is only one rule whose conclusion matches $\langle \sigma, n \rangle$: the large-step rule Int. Thus, we have n' = n and $\sigma' = \sigma$ and so $\langle \sigma, n \rangle \to *\langle \sigma, n \rangle$ by the Refl rule.

Case $e = e_1 + e_2$: This is an inductive case. We want to prove that if $P(e_1)$ and $P(e_2)$ hold, then P(e) also holds. Let's write out $P(e_1)$, $P(e_2)$, and P(e) explicitly.

$$\begin{array}{lll} P(e_1) & = & \forall n, \sigma, \sigma'. \; \langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle \Longrightarrow \langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n \rangle \\ P(e_2) & = & \forall n, \sigma, \sigma'. \; \langle \sigma, e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle \Longrightarrow \langle \sigma, e_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n \rangle \\ P(e) & = & \forall n, \sigma, \sigma'. \; \langle \sigma, e_1 + e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle \Longrightarrow \langle \sigma, e_1 + e_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n \rangle \end{array}$$

Assume that $P(e_1)$ and $P(e_2)$ hold. Also assume that there exist σ, σ' and n such that $\langle \sigma, e_1 + e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$. We need to show that $\langle \sigma, e_1 + e_2 \rangle \rightarrow {}^*\langle \sigma', n \rangle$.

We assumed that $\langle \sigma, e_1 + e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$. This means that there is some derivation whose conclusion is $\langle \sigma, e_1 + e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$. By inspection, we see that only one rule has a conclusion of this form: the Add rule. Thus, the last rule used in the derivation was Add and it must be the case that $\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n_1 \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma'', e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n_2 \rangle$ hold for some n_1 and n_2 with $n = n_1 + n_2$.

By the induction hypothesis $P(e_1)$, as $\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n_1 \rangle$, we must have $\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \to *\langle \sigma'', n_1 \rangle$. Likewise, by induction hypothesis $P(e_2)$, we have $\langle \sigma'', e_2 \rangle \to *\langle \sigma', n_2 \rangle$. By Lemma 1 below, we have,

$$\langle \sigma, e_1 + e_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma'', n_1 + e_2 \rangle,$$

and by another application of Lemma 1 we have:

$$\langle \sigma'', n_1 + e_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n_1 + n_2 \rangle$$

Then, using the small-step Add rule and the multi-step Trans rule, we have:

$$\frac{n = n_1 + n_2}{\langle \sigma', n_1 + n_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', n \rangle} \text{ Add } \frac{\langle \sigma', n \rangle \to *\langle \sigma', n \rangle}{\langle \sigma', n_1 + n_2 \rangle \to *\langle \sigma', n \rangle} \text{ Trans}$$

Finally, by two applications of Lemma 2, we obtain $\langle \sigma, e_1 + e_2 \rangle \to {}^*\langle \sigma', n \rangle$, which finishes the case.

Case $e = e_1 * e_2$. Similar to case for $e_1 + e_2$ above.

Case $e = x := e_1; e_2$. Omitted. Try it as an exercise.

 \Leftarrow : We proceed by induction on the derivation of $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to *\langle \sigma', n \rangle$ with a case analysis on the last rule used.

Case Refl: Then e = n and $\sigma' = \sigma$. We immediately have $\langle \sigma, n \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma, n \rangle$ by the large-step rule Int.

Case Trans: Then $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle \to {}^*\langle \sigma', n \rangle$. In this case, the induction hypothesis gives $\langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$. The result follows from Lemma 3 below.

Lemma 1. If $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to *\langle \sigma', n \rangle$, then the following hold:

- $\langle \sigma, e + e_2 \rangle \rightarrow * \langle \sigma', n + e_2 \rangle$
- $\langle \sigma, e * e_2 \rangle \rightarrow * \langle \sigma', n * e_2 \rangle$
- $\langle \sigma, n_1 + e \rangle \rightarrow * \langle \sigma', n_1 + n \rangle$
- $\langle \sigma, n_1 * e \rangle \rightarrow * \langle \sigma', n_1 * n \rangle$
- $\langle \sigma, x := e : e_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', x := n : e_2 \rangle$

Proof. Omitted; try it as an exercise.

Lemma 2. If $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to {}^*\langle \sigma', e' \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma', e' \rangle \to {}^*\langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle$, then $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to {}^*\langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle$.

Proof. Omitted; try it as an exercise. \Box

Lemma 3. If $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$, then $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$.

Proof. Omitted; try it as an exercise. \Box