# CS 4110

# Programming Languages & Logics

Lecture 4 Large-Step Semantics

#### Review

#### So far we've:

- Defined a simple language of arithmetic expressions
- Formalized its semantics as a "small-step" relation:  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$  and  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$

#### Review

#### So far we've:

- Defined a simple language of arithmetic expressions
- Formalized its semantics as a "small-step" relation:  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$  and  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$

#### Today we'll:

- Develop an alternate semantics based on a "large-step" relation
- Prove the equivalence of the two semantics

#### Large-Step Semantics

Idea: Define a new relation that captures the *complete* evaluation of an expression.

Formally: Define a relation  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$ . Our new  $\Downarrow$  binary relation has this type:

$$\Downarrow \subseteq (\mathsf{Store} \times \mathsf{Exp}) \times (\mathsf{Store} \times \mathsf{Int})$$

Intuition: Completely evaluating the expression e in store  $\sigma$  produces the number n while updating the store to  $\sigma'$ .

#### **Variables**

$$rac{n=\sigma( extbf{x})}{\langle \sigma, extbf{x}
angle \Downarrow \langle \sigma, extbf{n}
angle}$$
 Var

# Integers

$$\overline{\langle \sigma, n \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma, n 
angle}$$
 Int

5

#### Addition

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n_1 \rangle \qquad \langle \sigma', e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n_2 \rangle \qquad n = n_1 + n_2}{\langle \sigma, e_1 + e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n \rangle} \; \text{Add}$$

# Multiplication

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n_1 \rangle \qquad \langle \sigma', e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n_2 \rangle \qquad n = n_1 \times n_2}{\langle \sigma, e_1 * e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n \rangle} \; \text{Mul}$$

7

## Assignment

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n_1 \rangle \qquad \langle \sigma'[x \mapsto n_1], e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n_2 \rangle}{\langle \sigma, x := e_1 \; ; \; e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n_2 \rangle} \; \mathsf{Assgn}$$

## Large-Step Semantics

$$\frac{n = \sigma(x)}{\langle \sigma, n \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma, n \rangle} \text{ INT } \frac{n = \sigma(x)}{\langle \sigma, x \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma, n \rangle} \text{ VAR}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n_1 \rangle \qquad \langle \sigma', e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n_2 \rangle \qquad n = n_1 + n_2}{\langle \sigma, e_1 + e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n \rangle} \text{ ADD}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n_1 \rangle \qquad \langle \sigma', e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n_2 \rangle \qquad n = n_1 \times n_2}{\langle \sigma, e_1 * e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n \rangle} \text{ MUL}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n_1 \rangle \qquad \langle \sigma'[x \mapsto n_1], e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n_2 \rangle}{\langle \sigma, x := e_1 ; e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n_2 \rangle} \text{ ASSGN}$$

S

#### Example

Assume that  $\sigma(bar) = 7$ . Let  $\sigma' = \sigma[foo \mapsto 3]$ .

$$\frac{\overline{\langle \sigma, 3 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma, 3 \rangle}^{\text{INT}}}{\frac{\overline{\langle \sigma', foo \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', 3 \rangle}^{\text{VAR}}}{\overline{\langle \sigma', foo * bar \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', 21 \rangle}^{\text{VAR}}}}{\frac{\overline{\langle \sigma', foo * bar \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', 21 \rangle}}{\overline{\langle \sigma, foo := 3 \; ; \; foo * bar \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', 21 \rangle}}^{\text{NUL}}}_{\text{Assert}}$$

#### Theorem (Equivalence of small-step and large-step)

$$\langle \sigma, e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$$
 if and only if  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n \rangle$ 

#### Theorem (Equivalence of small-step and large-step)

$$\langle \sigma, e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$$
 if and only if  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n \rangle$ 

To streamline the proof, we'll use the following multi-step relation:

$$\frac{\overline{\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to^* \langle \sigma, e \rangle}}{\overline{\langle \sigma, e \rangle}} \overset{\mathsf{Refl}}{\leftarrow} \frac{\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e' \rangle}{\overline{\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to^* \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle}} \mathsf{Trans}$$

11

#### Theorem (Equivalence of small-step and large-step)

$$\langle \sigma, e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$$
 if and only if  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to^* \langle \sigma', n \rangle$ 

#### Lemma

- **1.** If  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n \rangle$ , then:
  - $\triangleright \langle \sigma, e + e_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n + e_2 \rangle$
  - $\triangleright$   $\langle \sigma, n_1 + e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n_1 + n \rangle$

#### Theorem (Equivalence of small-step and large-step)

$$\langle \sigma, e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$$
 if and only if  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to^* \langle \sigma', n \rangle$ 

#### Lemma

- **1.** If  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n \rangle$ , then:
  - $\triangleright \langle \sigma, e + e_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n + e_2 \rangle$
  - $\triangleright \langle \sigma, n_1 + e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n_1 + n \rangle$
- 2. If  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to^* \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$  and  $\langle \sigma', e' \rangle \to^* \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle$ , then  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to^* \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle$

#### Theorem (Equivalence of small-step and large-step)

$$\langle \sigma, e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$$
 if and only if  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n \rangle$ 

#### Lemma

- **1.** If  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n \rangle$ , then:
  - $\triangleright \langle \sigma, e + e_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n + e_2 \rangle$
  - $\triangleright \langle \sigma, n_1 + e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n_1 + n \rangle$
  - $\triangleright \langle \sigma, e * e_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n * e_2 \rangle$
  - $\triangleright \langle \sigma, n_1 * e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n_1 * n \rangle$
- **2.** If  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$  and  $\langle \sigma', e' \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle$ , then  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle$
- 3. If  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle$  and  $\langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$ , then  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$