CS 4110

Programming Languages & Logics

Lecture 3
Inductive Definitions and Proofs

Arithmetic Expressions

Last time we defined a simple language of arithmetic expressions,

$$e ::= x \mid n \mid e_1 + e_2 \mid e_1 * e_2 \mid x := e_1 ; e_2$$

and a small-step operational semantics, $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$.

Arithmetic Expressions

Last time we defined a simple language of arithmetic expressions,

$$e::=x\mid n\mid e_1+e_2\mid e_1*e_2\mid x:=e_1\ ;\ e_2$$
 and a small-step operational semantics, $\langle\sigma,e\rangle\to\langle\sigma',e'\rangle$. Example:

Assuming σ is a store that maps foo to 4...

$$\frac{\sigma(foo) = 4}{\frac{\langle \sigma, foo \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma, 4 \rangle}{\langle \sigma, foo + 2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma, 4 + 2 \rangle}} \, \text{LAdd}}{\frac{\langle \sigma, foo + 2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma, 4 + 2 \rangle}{\langle \sigma, (foo + 2) * (bar + 1) \rangle} \, \text{LMultiple}}{\langle \sigma, (foo + 2) * (bar + 1) \rangle} \, \text{LMultiple}}{\langle \sigma, (foo + 2) * (bar + 1) \rangle} \, \text{LMultiple}}$$

Properties

Today we'll prove some useful program properties by induction.

Properties

Today we'll prove some useful program properties by induction.

Determinism: Every configuration has at most one successor.

$$\forall e \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma, \sigma', \sigma'' \in \mathbf{Store}. \ \forall e', e'' \in \mathbf{Exp}.$$
if $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle$
then $e' = e''$ and $\sigma' = \sigma''$.

Properties

Today we'll prove some useful program properties by induction.

Determinism: Every configuration has at most one successor.

$$\forall e \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma, \sigma', \sigma'' \in \mathbf{Store}. \ \forall e', e'' \in \mathbf{Exp}.$$
 if $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle$ then $e' = e''$ and $\sigma' = \sigma''$.

Termination: Evaluation of every expression terminates.

$$\forall e \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma \in \mathbf{Store}. \ \exists \sigma' \in \mathbf{Store}. \ \exists e' \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \ \mathsf{and} \ \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \not\rightarrow,$$

Where $\langle \sigma', e' \rangle \not\rightarrow$ is shorthand for:

$$\neg (\exists \sigma'' \in \mathbf{Store}. \exists e'' \in \mathbf{Exp}. \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle)$$

Soundness

• Soundness: Evaluation of every expression yields an integer.

$$\forall e \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma \in \mathbf{Store}. \ \exists \sigma' \in \mathbf{store}. \ \exists n' \in \mathbf{Int}. \ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n' \rangle$$

Soundness

It is tempting to try to prove this property.

• Soundness: Evaluation of every expression yields an integer.

$$\forall e \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma \in \mathbf{Store}. \ \exists \sigma' \in \mathbf{store}. \ \exists n' \in \mathbf{Int}. \ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n' \rangle$$

But it's not true!

Soundness

It is tempting to try to prove this property.

• Soundness: Evaluation of every expression yields an integer.

$$\forall e \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma \in \mathbf{Store}. \ \exists \sigma' \in \mathbf{store}. \ \exists n' \in \mathbf{Int}. \ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n' \rangle$$

But it's not true!

Counterexample

If
$$\sigma = \emptyset$$
, then $\langle \sigma, \mathbf{x} \rangle \not\rightarrow$.

In general, evaluation of an expression can get stuck...

Idea: Restrict our attention to well-formed configurations $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$, where all the variables that e refers to have values in σ .

Idea: Restrict our attention to well-formed configurations $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$, where all the variables that e refers to have values in σ .

Free Variables: Let's define a function fvs(e) inductively.

Idea: Restrict our attention to well-formed configurations $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$, where all the variables that e refers to have values in σ .

Free Variables: Let's define a function fvs(e) inductively.

$$fvs(x) \triangleq \{x\}$$

Idea: Restrict our attention to well-formed configurations $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$, where all the variables that e refers to have values in σ .

Free Variables: Let's define a function fvs(e) inductively.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} fvs(\mathbf{x}) & \triangleq & \{\mathbf{x}\} \\ fvs(\mathbf{n}) & \triangleq & \{\} \end{array}$$

Idea: Restrict our attention to well-formed configurations $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$, where all the variables that e refers to have values in σ .

Free Variables: Let's define a function fvs(e) inductively.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} fvs(\mathbf{x}) & \triangleq & \{\mathbf{x}\} \\ fvs(\mathbf{n}) & \triangleq & \{\} \\ fvs(\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2) & \triangleq & fvs(\mathbf{e}_1) \cup fvs(\mathbf{e}_2) \end{array}$$

Idea: Restrict our attention to well-formed configurations $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$, where all the variables that e refers to have values in σ .

Free Variables: Let's define a function fvs(e) inductively.

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
fvs(\mathbf{x}) & \triangleq & \{\mathbf{x}\} \\
fvs(\mathbf{n}) & \triangleq & \{\} \\
fvs(\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2) & \triangleq & fvs(\mathbf{e}_1) \cup fvs(\mathbf{e}_2) \\
fvs(\mathbf{e}_1 * \mathbf{e}_2) & \triangleq & fvs(\mathbf{e}_1) \cup fvs(\mathbf{e}_2)
\end{array}$$

Idea: Restrict our attention to well-formed configurations $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$, where all the variables that e refers to have values in σ .

Free Variables: Let's define a function fvs(e) inductively.

$$fvs(\mathbf{x}) \triangleq \{\mathbf{x}\}$$

$$fvs(\mathbf{n}) \triangleq \{\}$$

$$fvs(\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2) \triangleq fvs(\mathbf{e}_1) \cup fvs(\mathbf{e}_2)$$

$$fvs(\mathbf{e}_1 * \mathbf{e}_2) \triangleq fvs(\mathbf{e}_1) \cup fvs(\mathbf{e}_2)$$

$$fvs(\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{e}_1 ; \mathbf{e}_2) \triangleq fvs(\mathbf{e}_1) \cup (fvs(\mathbf{e}_2) \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\})$$

Idea: Restrict our attention to well-formed configurations $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$, where all the variables that e refers to have values in σ .

Free Variables: Let's define a function fvs(e) inductively.

$$fvs(\mathbf{x}) \triangleq \{\mathbf{x}\}$$

$$fvs(\mathbf{n}) \triangleq \{\}$$

$$fvs(\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2) \triangleq fvs(\mathbf{e}_1) \cup fvs(\mathbf{e}_2)$$

$$fvs(\mathbf{e}_1 * \mathbf{e}_2) \triangleq fvs(\mathbf{e}_1) \cup fvs(\mathbf{e}_2)$$

$$fvs(\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{e}_1 ; \mathbf{e}_2) \triangleq fvs(\mathbf{e}_1) \cup (fvs(\mathbf{e}_2) \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\})$$

Well-Formedness:

A configuration $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$ is well-formed if and only if $fvs(e) \subseteq dom(\sigma)$.

Progress and Preservation

Now we can formulate two properties that imply soundness:

• Progress:

```
 \forall e \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma \in \mathbf{Store}. \\ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \ \text{well-formed} \implies \\ e \in \mathbf{Int} \ \text{or} \ (\exists e' \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \exists \sigma' \in \mathbf{Store}. \ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle)
```

Progress and Preservation

Now we can formulate two properties that imply soundness:

Progress:

```
 \forall e \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma \in \mathbf{Store}. \\ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \ \text{well-formed} \implies \\ e \in \mathbf{Int} \ \text{or} \ (\exists e' \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \exists \sigma' \in \mathbf{Store}. \ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle)
```

• Preservation:

$$\forall e, e' \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma, \sigma' \in \mathbf{Store}.$$

 $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$ well-formed and $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \implies$
 $\langle \sigma', e' \rangle$ well-formed.

Progress and Preservation

Now we can formulate two properties that imply soundness:

• Progress:

```
 \begin{aligned} &\forall e \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma \in \mathbf{Store}. \\ &\langle \sigma, e \rangle \ \text{well-formed} \implies \\ &e \in \mathbf{Int} \ \text{or} \ (\exists e' \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \exists \sigma' \in \mathbf{Store}. \ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle) \end{aligned}
```

• Preservation:

$$\forall e, e' \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma, \sigma' \in \mathbf{Store}.$$

 $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \ \text{well-formed and} \ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \implies \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \ \text{well-formed}.$

How are we going to prove these properties? Induction!

Inductive Sets

Inductive Sets

An *inductively-defined set A* is one that can be described using a finite collection of inference rules:

$$\frac{a_1 \in A \quad \cdots \quad a_n \in A}{a \in A}$$

This rules states that if a_1 through a_n are elements of A, then a is also an element of A.

The small-step evaluation relation we just defined, \rightarrow , is an inductive set.

$$\frac{n = \sigma(x)}{\langle \sigma, x \rangle \to \langle \sigma, n \rangle} \text{ VAR}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_1' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, e_1 + e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_1' + e_2 \rangle} \text{ LADD} \qquad \frac{\langle \sigma, e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_2' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, n + e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', n + e_2' \rangle} \text{ RADD}$$

$$\frac{p = m + n}{\langle \sigma, n + m \rangle \to \langle \sigma, p \rangle} \text{ ADD} \qquad \frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_1' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, e_1 * e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_1' * e_2 \rangle} \text{ LMUL}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_2' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, n * e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', n * e_2' \rangle} \text{ RMUL} \qquad \frac{p = m \times n}{\langle \sigma, m * n \rangle \to \langle \sigma, p \rangle} \text{ MUL}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_1' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, x := e_1 : e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_2' \rangle} \text{ ASSGN1} \qquad \frac{\sigma' = \sigma[x \mapsto n]}{\langle \sigma, x := n : e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_2 \rangle} \text{ ASSGN}$$

Every BNF grammar defines an inductive set.

$$e ::= x | n | e_1 + e_2 | e_1 * e_2 | x := e_1 ; e_2$$

Here are the equivalent inference rules:

$$egin{aligned} \overline{x \in \mathbf{Exp}} & \overline{n \in \mathbf{Exp}} \ & \underline{e_1 \in \mathbf{Exp}} & e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp} \ & \underline{e_1 \in \mathbf{Exp}} & e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp} \ & \underline{e_1 \in \mathbf{Exp}} & e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp} \ & \underline{e_1 \in \mathbf{Exp}} & \underline{e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp}} \ & \underline{e_1 \in \mathbf{Exp}} & \underline{e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp}} \ & \underline{x : = e_1 \ ; \ e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp}} \end{aligned}$$

The multi-step evaluation relation is an inductive set.

The set of free variables of an expression is an inductive set.

$$\frac{y \in fvs(e_1)}{y \in fvs(y)} \qquad \frac{y \in fvs(e_1)}{y \in fvs(e_1 + e_2)} \qquad \frac{y \in fvs(e_2)}{y \in fvs(e_1 + e_2)}$$

$$\frac{y \in fvs(e_1)}{y \in fvs(e_1 * e_2)} \qquad \frac{y \in fvs(e_2)}{y \in fvs(e_1 * e_2)} \qquad \frac{y \in fvs(e_1)}{y \in fvs(x := e_1 ; e_2)}$$

$$\frac{y \neq x \qquad y \in fvs(e_2)}{y \in fvs(x := e_1 ; e_2)}$$

The natural numbers are an inductive set.

$$\frac{n \in \mathbb{N}}{0 \in \mathbb{N}} \qquad \frac{n \in \mathbb{N}}{succ(n) \in \mathbb{N}}$$

Induction Principle

Recall the principle of mathematical induction.

To prove $\forall n. P(n)$, we must establish several cases.

- Base case: *P*(0)
- Inductive case: $P(m) \Rightarrow P(m+1)$

Induction Principle

Every inductive set has an analogous principle.

To prove $\forall a. P(a)$ we must establish several cases.

• Base cases: P(a) holds for each axiom

$$\overline{a \in A}$$

Inductive cases: For each non-axiom inference rule

$$\frac{a_1 \in A \quad \dots \quad a_n \in A}{a \in A}$$

if $P(a_1)$ and ... and $P(a_n)$ then P(a).

Inductive Proof: a Recipe

- 1. Choose the inductively-defined set, A, that you want to prove something about.
- 2. Make up a property P such that, if $\forall a \in A$. P(a), then you'll be happy.
- 3. Write, using your own property P: We prove that $\forall a \in A$. P(a) by inducting on the structure of A.
- 4. Write down a case for each inference rule in the definition of A.
- 5. Prove each case by writing down the induction hypotheses (*P* applied to each of the premises) and using them to prove the goal (*P* applied to the conclusion).
- 6. QED!

Example: Induction on Natural Numbers

Recall the inductive definition of the natural numbers:

$$\frac{n \in \mathbb{N}}{0 \in \mathbb{N}} \qquad \frac{n \in \mathbb{N}}{succ(n) \in \mathbb{N}}$$

To prove $\forall n. P(n)$, it suffices to show:

- Base case: *P*(0)
- Inductive case: $P(m) \Rightarrow P(m+1)$

...which is the usual principle of mathematical induction!

Example: Progress

Recall the progress property.

$$\begin{array}{l} \forall e \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma \in \mathbf{Store}. \\ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \ \text{well-formed} \implies \\ e \in \mathbf{Int} \ \text{or} \ (\exists e' \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \exists \sigma' \in \mathbf{Store}. \ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle) \end{array}$$

We'll prove this by structural induction on *e*.

$$egin{aligned} \overline{x \in \mathbf{Exp}} & \overline{n \in \mathbf{Exp}} \ & \underline{e_1 \in \mathbf{Exp}} & e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp} \ & \underline{e_1 \in \mathbf{Exp}} & e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp} \ & \underline{e_1 \in \mathbf{Exp}} & e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp} \ & \underline{e_1 \in \mathbf{Exp}} & \underline{e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp}} \ & \underline{e_1 \in \mathbf{Exp}} & \underline{e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp}} \ & \underline{x : = e_1 : e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp}} \end{aligned}$$