CS 4110

Programming Languages & Logics

Lecture 23 **Advanced Types**

Review

We've developed a type system for the λ -calculus and mathematical tools for proving its type soundness.

We also know how to extend the λ -calculus with new language features.

Today, we'll extend our *type system* with features commonly found in real-world languages: products, sums, and references.

Products (Pairs)

Syntax

```
e ::= \cdots \mid (e_1, e_2) \mid \#1 e \mid \#2 e

v ::= \cdots \mid (v_1, v_2)
```

Products (Pairs)

Syntax

$$e ::= \cdots \mid (e_1, e_2) \mid \#1 e \mid \#2 e$$

 $v ::= \cdots \mid (v_1, v_2)$

Semantics

$$E ::= \cdots \mid (E, e) \mid (v, E) \mid \#1 E \mid \#2 E$$

$$\#1\left(v_1,v_2\right) \rightarrow v_1$$

$$\#2\left(v_1,v_2\right)\rightarrow v_2$$

Product Types



Product Types

$$\tau_1 \times \tau_2$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : \tau_1 \times \tau_2}$$

Product Types

$$\tau_1 \times \tau_2$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : \tau_1 \times \tau_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \times \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \#1 \, e : \tau_1}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \times \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \#2 \, e : \tau_2}$$

Sums (Tagged Unions)

Syntax

```
e ::= \cdots \mid \mathsf{inl}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2} \ e \mid \mathsf{inr}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2} \ e \mid (\mathsf{case} \ e_1 \ \mathsf{of} \ e_2 \mid e_3)
v ::= \cdots \mid \mathsf{inl}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2} \ v \mid \mathsf{inr}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2} \ v
```

Sums (Tagged Unions)

Syntax

```
e ::= \cdots \mid \operatorname{inl}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2} e \mid \operatorname{inr}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2} e \mid (\operatorname{case} e_1 \operatorname{of} e_2 \mid e_3)

v ::= \cdots \mid \operatorname{inl}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2} v \mid \operatorname{inr}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2} v
```

Semantics

$$E ::= \cdots \mid \operatorname{inl}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2} E \mid \operatorname{inr}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2} E \mid (\operatorname{case} E \operatorname{of} e_2 \mid e_3)$$

case inl_{$$\tau_1+\tau_2$$} v of $e_2 \mid e_3 \rightarrow e_2 v$

case
$$\operatorname{inr}_{\tau_1+\tau_2} v$$
 of $e_2 \mid e_3 \rightarrow e_3 v$

Sum Types

$$\tau ::= \cdots \mid \tau_1 + \tau_2$$

Sum Types

$$\tau ::= \cdots \mid \tau_1 + \tau_2$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{inl}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2} e : \tau_1 + \tau_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \colon \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{inr}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2} e \colon \tau_1 + \tau_2}$$

Sum Types

$$\tau ::= \cdots \mid \tau_1 + \tau_2$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \colon \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{inl}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2} e \colon \tau_1 + \tau_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \colon \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{inr}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2} e \colon \tau_1 + \tau_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \colon \tau_1 + \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{case} \ e \ \mathsf{of} \ e_1 \mid e_2 \colon \tau}$$

Example

```
let f = \lambda a : \text{int} + (\text{int} \to \text{int}).

case a of (\lambda y : \text{int}. y + 1) | (\lambda g : \text{int} \to \text{int}. g 35) in

let h = \lambda x : \text{int}. x + 7 in

f(\text{inr}_{\text{int}+(\text{int}\to \text{int})} h)
```

References

Syntax

$$e ::= \cdots \mid \text{ref } e \mid !e \mid e_1 := e_2 \mid \ell$$

 $v ::= \cdots \mid \ell$

References

Syntax

$$e ::= \cdots \mid ref e \mid !e \mid e_1 := e_2 \mid \ell$$
 $v ::= \cdots \mid \ell$

Semantics

$$E ::= \cdots \mid \text{ref } E \mid !E \mid E := e \mid v := E$$

$$\frac{\ell \not\in \mathit{dom}(\sigma)}{\langle \sigma, \mathsf{ref} \, \mathsf{v} \rangle \to \langle \sigma[\ell \mapsto \mathsf{v}], \ell \rangle} \qquad \frac{\sigma(\ell) = \mathsf{v}}{\langle \sigma, \, !\ell \rangle \to \langle \sigma, \mathsf{v} \rangle}$$

$$\overline{\langle \sigma, \ell := \mathsf{v} \rangle \to \langle \sigma[\ell \mapsto \mathsf{v}], \mathsf{v} \rangle}$$

$$au ::= \cdots \mid au$$
 ref

$$au ::= \cdots \mid au$$
 ref

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{ref}\, e : \tau \, \mathsf{ref}}$$

$$\tau ::= \cdots \mid \tau \text{ ref}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{ref } e : \tau \text{ ref}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \text{ ref}}{\Gamma \vdash !e : \tau}$$

$$\tau ::= \cdots \mid \tau \text{ ref}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \colon \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{ref } e \colon \tau \text{ ref}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \colon \tau \text{ ref}}{\Gamma \vdash !e \colon \tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \colon \tau \text{ ref}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \vcentcolon = e_2 \colon \tau}$$

S

Question

Is this type system sound?

Question

Is this type system sound?

Well... what is the type of a location ℓ ?

Question

Is this type system sound?

Well... what is the type of a location ℓ ? (Oops!)

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash e \colon \tau}{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash \mathsf{ref} \, e \colon \tau \, \mathsf{ref}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash \text{ref } e : \tau \text{ ref}}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash e : \tau \text{ ref}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash !e : \tau}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash e \colon \tau}{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash \text{ref } e \colon \tau \text{ ref}} \\ \frac{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash e \colon \tau \text{ ref}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash e \colon \tau} \\ \frac{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash e_1 \colon \tau \text{ ref}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash e_2 \colon \tau} \\ \frac{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash e_1 \colon \tau \text{ ref}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash e_2 \colon \tau} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash e \colon \tau}{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash \text{ref } e \colon \tau \text{ ref}} \\ \frac{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash e \colon \tau \text{ ref}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash e \colon \tau} \\ \frac{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash e \colon \tau}{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash e_1 \colon \tau \text{ ref}} \\ \frac{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash e_1 \colon \tau \text{ ref}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash e_2 \colon \tau} \\ \frac{\Sigma(\ell) = \tau}{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash \ell \colon \tau \text{ ref}} \end{split}$$

Reference Types Metatheory

Definition

Store σ is well-typed with respect to typing context Γ and store typing Σ , written $\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash \sigma$, if $dom(\sigma) = dom(\Sigma)$ and for all $\ell \in dom(\sigma)$ we have $\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash \sigma(\ell) \colon \Sigma(\ell)$.

Reference Types Metatheory

Definition

Store σ is well-typed with respect to typing context Γ and store typing Σ , written $\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash \sigma$, if $dom(\sigma) = dom(\Sigma)$ and for all $\ell \in dom(\sigma)$ we have $\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash \sigma(\ell) : \Sigma(\ell)$.

Theorem (Type soundness)

If $\cdot, \Sigma \vdash e : \tau$ and $\cdot, \Sigma \vdash \sigma$ and $\langle e, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle e', \sigma' \rangle$ and $\langle e', \sigma' \rangle \not\rightarrow$, then e' is a value.

Reference Types Metatheory

Definition

Store σ is well-typed with respect to typing context Γ and store typing Σ , written $\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash \sigma$, if $dom(\sigma) = dom(\Sigma)$ and for all $\ell \in dom(\sigma)$ we have $\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash \sigma(\ell) \colon \Sigma(\ell)$.

Theorem (Type soundness)

If $\cdot, \Sigma \vdash e : \tau$ and $\cdot, \Sigma \vdash \sigma$ and $\langle e, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle e', \sigma' \rangle$ and $\langle e', \sigma' \rangle \not\rightarrow$, then e' is a value.

Lemma (Preservation)

If $\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash e : \tau$ and $\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash \sigma$ and $\langle e, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle e', \sigma' \rangle$ then there exists some $\Sigma' \supseteq \Sigma$ such that $\Gamma, \Sigma' \vdash e' : \tau$ and $\Gamma, \Sigma' \vdash \sigma'$.

Using references, we (re)gain the ability define recursive functions!

let $r = \text{ref } \lambda x$: **int**. 0 **in**

Using references, we (re)gain the ability define recursive functions!

let $r = \text{ref } \lambda x$: int. 0 in let $f = (\lambda x$: int. if x = 0 then 1 else $x \times (!r)(x-1)$ in

Using references, we (re)gain the ability define recursive functions!

```
let r=\operatorname{ref} \lambda x: int. 0 in let f=(\lambda x: int. if x=0 then 1 else x\times (!r)(x-1)) in let a=(r:=f) in
```

Using references, we (re)gain the ability define recursive functions!

```
let r = \text{ref } \lambda x: int. 0 in
let f = (\lambda x: int. if x = 0 then 1 else x \times (!r)(x-1)) in
let a = (r := f) in
f 5
```

Fixed Points

Syntax

$$e ::= \cdots \mid \mathsf{fix}\, e$$

Fixed Points

Syntax

$$e ::= \cdots \mid \mathsf{fix}\, e$$

Semantics

$$E ::= \cdots \mid \text{fix } E$$

$$fix \lambda x : \tau. e \rightarrow e\{(fix \lambda x : \tau. e)/x\}$$

Fixed Points

Syntax

$$e ::= \cdots \mid \mathsf{fix}\, e$$

Semantics

$$E ::= \cdots \mid \text{fix } E$$

$$\overline{\operatorname{fix} \lambda x : \tau. e \to e\{(\operatorname{fix} \lambda x : \tau. e)/x\}}$$

The typing rule for fix is on the homework...