CS 423
Operating System Design

https://cs423-uiuc.github.io

Tianyin Xu
tyxu@illinois.edu

* Thanks Adam Bates for the slides.

Yet another level of virtualization?

- The OS has thus far served as the illusionist, tricking unsuspecting applications into thinking they have their own private CPU and a large virtual memory, while secretly switching between applications and sharing memory.

- Why do we need another level of indirection (virtualization)?

Yet another level of virtualization?



Yet another level of virtualization?



Yet another level of virtualization?



You can build your own cloud

(on your laptop)

openstack.

apachecloudstack™
open source cloud computing



Containerization vs Virtualization

- What's the difference from containers and virtual machines?
- How about chroot, jails, and zones?
- What is the difference between Xen and VMWare ESX?

Different Types of Virtual Machines

- What are they virtualizing?
  - VM
  - JVM
  - LLVM

Virtualization

- Creation of an isomorphism that maps a virtual guest system to a real host:
  - Maps guest state S to host state V(S)
  - For any sequence of operations on the guest that changes guest state S1 to S2, there is a sequence of operations on the host that maps state V(S1) to V(S2)

Important Interfaces

- Application programmer interface (API):
  - High-level language library such as `libc`
- Application binary interface (ABI):
  - User instructions (User ISA)
  - System calls
- Hardware-software interface:
  - Instruction set architecture (ISA)

What's a machine?

- Machine is an entity that provides an interface
  - From the perspective of a language…
    - Machine = Entity that provides the API
  - From the perspective of a process…
    - Machine = Entity that provides the ABI
  - From the perspective of an operating system…
    - Machine = Entity that provides the ISA

What's a virtual machine?

- Virtual machine is an entity that emulates a guest interface on top of a host machine
  - Language view:
    - Virtual machine = Entity that emulates an API (e.g., JAVA) on top of another
    - Virtualizing software = compiler/interpreter
  - Process view:
    - Machine = Entity that emulates an ABI on top of another
    - Virtualizing software = runtime
  - Operating system view:
    - Machine = Entity that emulates an ISA
    - Virtualizing software = virtual machine monitor (VMM)

Purpose of a VM

- Emulation
  - Create the illusion of having one type of machine on top of another
- Replication (/ Multiplexing)
  - Create the illusion of multiple independent smaller guest machines on top of one host machine (e.g., for security/isolation, or scalability/sharing)
- Optimization
  - Optimize a generic guest interface for one type of host

Types of VMs

- Emulate (ISA/ABI/API) for purposes of (Emulation/Replication/Optimization) on top of (the same/different) one.

Types of VMs

- Emulate (ISA/ABI/API) for purposes of (Emulation/Replication/Optimization) on top of (the same/different) one.
  - Process/language virtual machines (emulate ABI/API)
  - System virtual machines (emulate ISA)

Types of VMs

- Emulate (ISA/ABI/API) for purposes of (Emulation/Replication/Optimization) on top of (the same/different) one.
  - Process/language virtual machines (emulate ABI/API)
  - System virtual machines (emulate ISA)

Ex 1: Multiprogramming

- Emulate what interface?
- For what purpose?
- On top of what?

Ex1: Emulation

- Emulate one ABI on top of another (early emulation wants to run Windows apps on MacOS)
  - Emulate an Intel IA-32 running Windows on top of PowerPC running MacOS (i.e., run a process compiled for IA-32/Windows on PowerPC/MacOS)
    - Interpreters: Pick one guest instruction at a time, update (simulated) host state using a set of host instructions
    - Binary translation: Do the translation in one step, not one line at a time. Run the translated binary

Writing an Emulator

- Create a simulator data structure to represent:
  - Guest memory
    - Guest stack
    - Guest heap
  - Guest registers
- Inspect each binary instruction (machine instruction or system call)
  - Update the data structures to reflect the effect of the instruction

Ex2: Binary Optimization

- Emulate one ABI on top of itself for purposes of optimization
  - Run the process binary, collect profiling data, then implement it more efficiently on top of the same machine/OS interface.

Ex3: Language VMs

- Emulate one API on top of a set of different ABIs
  - Compile guest API to intermediate form (e.g., JAVA source to JAVA bytecode)
  - Interpret the bytecode on top of different host ABIs
- Examples:
  - JAVA
  - Microsoft Common Language Infrastructure (CLI), the foundation of .NET

Types of VMs

- Emulate (ISA/ABI/API) for purposes of (Emulation/Replication/Optimization) on top of (the same/different) one.
  - Process/language virtual machines (emulate ABI/API)
  - System virtual machines (emulate ISA)

Types of VMs

- Emulate (ISA/ABI/API) for purposes of (Emulation/Replication/Optimization) on top of (the same/different) one.
  - Process/language virtual machines (emulate ABI/API)
  - System virtual machines (emulate ISA)

System VMs

- Implement VMM (ISA emulation) on bare hardware
  - Efficient
  - Must wipe out current operating system to install
  - Must support drivers for VMM
- Implement VMM on top of a host OS (Hosted VM)
  - Less efficient
  - Easy to install on top of host OS
  - Leverages host OS drivers

System VMs

- Implement VMM (ISA emulation) on bare hardware
  - Efficient
  - Must wipe out current operating system to install
  - Must support drivers for VMM

TYPE ONE HYPERVISOR

- Implement VMM on top of a host OS (Hosted VM)
  - Less efficient
  - Easy to install on top of host OS
  - Leverages host OS drivers

TYPE TWO HYPERVISOR

What is Xen?

What is VirtualBox?

What is KVM/Qemu?


Dom 0
Dom U
OS
Dom U
OS
back end
front end
front end
Device drivers
Virtual hardware
Xen Hypervisor
HARDWARE
Xen
Native software
Guest VM
Guest VM
QEMU
KVM
Linux Kernel
HARDWARE
KVM
Guest Application
Guest Application
QEMU
Host OS Kernel
HARDWARE
QEMU

Taxonomy

- Language VMs
  - Emulate same API as host (e.g., application profiling?)
  - Emulate different API than host (e.g., Java API)
- Process VMs
  - Emulate same ABI as host (e.g., multiprogramming)
  - Emulate different ABI than host (e.g., Java VM, MAME)
- System VMs
  - Emulate same ISA as host (e.g., KVM, VBox, Xen)
  - Emulate different ISA than host (e.g., MULTICS simulator)

Point of Clarification

- Emulation: General technique for performing any kind of virtualization (API/ABI/ISA)
- Not to be confused with *Emulator* in the colloquial sense (e.g., Video Game Emulator), which often refers to ABI emulation.

Writing an Emulator

- Problem: Emulate guest ISA on host ISA

Writing an Emulator

- Problem: Emulate guest ISA on host ISA
- Create a simulator data structure to represent:
  - Guest memory
    - Guest stack
    - Guest heap
  - Guest registers
- Inspect each binary instruction (machine instruction or system call)
  - Update the data structures to reflect the effect of the instruction

Emulation

- Problem: Emulate guest ISA on host ISA
- Solution: Basic Interpretation, switch on opcode

```
inst = code (PC)
opcode = extract_opcode (inst)
switch (opcode) {
        case opcode1 : call emulate_opcode1 ()
        case opcode2 : call emulate_opcode2 ()
    …
}
```

Emulation

- Problem: Emulate guest ISA on host ISA
- Solution: Basic Interpretation

```
new             inst = code (PC)
                opcode = extract_opcode (inst)
                routineCase = dispatch (opcode)
                jump routineCase
                …
routineCase                     call routine_address
                                jump new
```

Threaded Interpretation…

```
[ body of emulate_opcode1 ]
inst = code (PC)
opcode = extract_opcode (inst)
routine_address = dispatch (opcode)
jump routine_address
```

```
[ body of emulate_opcode2]
inst = code (PC)
opcode = extract_opcode (inst)
routine_address = dispatch (opcode)
jump routine_address
```

Emulation

- Problem: Emulate guest ISA on host ISA
- Solution: Basic Interpretation, switch on opcode

```
inst = code (PC)
opcode = extract_opcode (inst)
switch (opcode) {
        case opcode1 : call emulate_opcode1 ()
        case opcode2 : call emulate_opcode2 ()
    …
}
```

Emulation

- Problem: Emulate guest ISA on host ISA
- Solution: Basic Interpretation

```
new             inst = code (PC)
                opcode = extract_opcode (inst)
                routineCase = dispatch (opcode)
                jump routineCase
                …
routineCase                     call routine_address
                                jump new
```

Threaded Interpretation…

```
[ body of emulate_opcode1 ]
inst = code (PC)
opcode = extract_opcode (inst)
routine_address = dispatch (opcode)
jump routine_address
```

```
[ body of emulate_opcode2]
inst = code (PC)
opcode = extract_opcode (inst)
routine_address = dispatch (opcode)
jump routine_address
```

Note: Extracting Opcodes

- extract_opcode (inst)
  - Opcode may have options
  - Instruction must extract and combine several bit ranges in the machine word
  - Operands must also be extracted from other bit ranges
- Pre-decoding
  - Pre-extract the opcodes and operands for all instructions in program.
  - Put them on byte boundaries…



  - Also, must maintain two program counters. Why?

Note: Extracting Opcodes

Example: MIPS Instruction Set

```
0x1000:  LW    r1, 8(r2)
0x1004:  ADD   r3, r3, r1
0x1008:  SW    r3, 0(r4)
```

135		
1	2	08

0x10000: LW

032		
3	1	03

0x10008: ADD

142		
3	4	00

0x10010: SW

Direct Threaded Impl.

- Replace opcode with address of emulating routine

Routine_address07		
1	2	08

Routine_address08		
3	1	03

Routine_address37		
3	4	00

Binary Translation

- Emulation:
  - Guest code is traversed and instruction classes are mapped to routines that emulate them on the target architecture.
- Binary translation:
  - The entire program is translated into a binary of another architecture.
  - Each binary source instruction is emulated by some binary target instructions.

Challenges

- Can we really just read the source binary and translate it statically one instruction at a time to a target binary?
  - What are some difficulties?

Challenges

- Code discovery and binary translation
  - How to tell whether something is code or data?
  - We encounter a jump instruction: Is word after the jump instruction code or data?
- Code location problem
  - How to map source program counter to target program counter?
  - Can we do this without having a table as long as the program for instruction-by-instruction mapping?

Things to Notice

- You only need source-to-target program counter mapping for locations that are *targets of jumps*. Hence, only map those locations.
- You always know that something is an instruction (not data) in the source binary if the source program counter eventually ends up pointing to it.
- The problem is: You do not know targets of jumps (and what the program counter will end up pointing to) at static analysis time!
  – Why?

Solution

- Incremental Pre-decoding and Translation
  - As you execute a source binary block, translate it into a target binary block (this way you know you are translating valid instructions)
  - Whenever you jump:
    - If you jump to a new location: start a new target binary block, record the mapping between source program counter and target program counter in map table.
    - If you jump to a location already in the map table, get the target program counter from the table
  - Jumps must go through an emulation manager. Blocks are translated (the first time only) then executed directly thereafter

Dynamic Basic Blocks

- Program is translated into chunks called “dynamic basic blocks”, each composed of straight machine code of the target architecture
  - Block starts immediately after a jump instruction in the source binary
  - Block ends when a jump occurs
- At the end of each block (i.e., at jumps), emulation manager is called to inspect jump destination and transfer control to the right block with help of map table (or create a new block and map table entry, if map miss)

Dynamic Binary Translation



Edit: The original automata didn't execute the current block unless there was a hit!

Optimizations

- Translation chaining
  - The counterpart of threading in interpreters
  - The first time a jump is taken to a new destination, go through the emulation manager as usual
  - Subsequently, rather than going through the emulation manager at that jump (i.e., once destination block is known), just go to the right place.
    - What type of jumps can we do this with?

Optimizations

- Translation chaining
  - The counterpart of threading in interpreters
  - The first time a jump is taken to a new destination, go through the emulation manager as usual
  - Subsequently, rather than going through the emulation manager at that jump (i.e., once destination block is known), just go to the right place.
    - What type of jumps can we do this with?
      - Fixed Destination Jumps Only!!!

Register Indirect Jumps?

- Jump destination depends on value in register.
- Must search map table for destination value (expensive operation)
- Solution?
  - Caching: add a series of if statements, comparing register content to common jump source program counter values from past execution (most common first).
  - If there is a match, jump to corresponding target program counter location.
  - Else, go to emulation manager.

The Simplest Idea

- To run a virtual machine on top of a hypervisor, the basic technique that is used is **limited direct execution** – when we wish to "boot" a new OS on top of the VMM, we simply jump to the address of the first instruction and let the OS begin running.
- **What are the problems you can think about?**

How about protection?

- **Normal Case**
  - Kernel mode
  - User mode

- **Virtualized Case**
  - User mode
  - Kernel mode
  - Hypervisor mode



Privileged Operations

- What if a running application or OS tries to perform privileged operations?
  - Update TLB (assuming a SW-managed TLB)
  - (Guest) OS is no longer the boss anymore.
  - VMM must somehow intercept attempts to perform privileged operations and thus retain control of the machine.

Privileged Operations

- Privileged Operations are supposed to be done through **System Calls**
  - Interrupt/trap
  - **Interrupt/trap handlers**
    - OS, when it is first starting up, establishes the address of such a routine with the hardware.

Normal Case

Process	Hardware	Operating System
1. Execute instructions (add, load, etc.)		
2. System call: Trap to OS		
	3. Switch to kernel mode; Jump to trap handler	
		4. In kernel mode; Handle system call; Return from trap
	5. Switch to user mode; Return to user code	
6. Resume execution (@PC after trap)		

Virtualized Case

- What should happen?
  - VMM should controls the machine
  - VMM should install a trap handler that will first get executed in kernel mode.
- **VMM need handle this system call?**
  - The VMM doesn't really know how to handle the call; after all, it does not know the details of each OS that is running and therefore does not know what each call should do.

How to handle System Call?

- What should happen?
  - VMM should controls the machine
  - VMM should install a trap handler that will first get executed in kernel mode.
- **VMM need handle this system call?**

How to handle System Call?

- What the VMM does know, however, is where the OS's trap handler is.
  - When the OS booted up, it tried to install its own trap handlers;
  - It is privileged, and therefore trapped into the VMM;
  - The VMM recorded the necessary information (i.e., where this OS's trap handlers are in memory).

Process	Operating System
1. System call: Trap to OS	
	2. OS trap handler: Decode trap and execute appropriate syscall routine; When done: return from trap
3. Resume execution (@PC after trap)	

Process	Operating System	VMM
1. System call: Trap to OS		
		2. Process trapped: Call OS trap handler (at reduced privilege)
	3. OS trap handler: Decode trap and execute syscall; When done: issue return-from-trap	
		4. OS tried return from trap: Do real return from trap
5. Resume execution (@PC after trap)		

TLB miss handler?

- We have been assuming a software-managed TLB – so the OS is handling TLB misses
- **What about HW-managed TLBs (x86)?**
  - The hardware walks the page table on each TLB miss and updates the TLB as need be, and thus the VMM doesn't have a chance to run on each TLB miss to sneak its translation into the system

A Recap of Virtual Memory

Process	Operating System
1. Load from memory: TLB miss: Trap	
	2. OS TLB miss handler: Extract VPN from VA; Do page table lookup; If present and valid: get PFN, update TLB; Return from trap
3. Resume execution (@PC of trapping instruction); Instruction is retried; Results in TLB hit	

Process	Operating System	Virtual Machine Monitor
1. Load from mem TLB miss: Trap		
		2. VMM TLB miss handler: Call into OS TLB handler (reducing privilege)
	3. OS TLB miss handler: Extract VPN from VA; Do page table lookup; If present and valid, get PFN, update TLB	
		4. Trap handler: Unprivileged code trying to update the TLB; OS is trying to install VPN-to-PFN mapping; Update TLB instead with VPN-to-MFN (privileged); Jump back to OS (reducing privilege)
	5. Return from trap	
		6. Trap handler: Unprivileged code trying to return from a trap; Return from trap
7. Resume execution (@PC of instruction); Instruction is retried; Results in TLB hit		