

# CS 423 Operating System Design: OS support for Synchronization

Tianyin Xu

\* Thanks for Prof. Adam Bates for the slides.

# MPI is due this Thursday



- Thursday 11:59pm
- Please push your code into your GitHub repo
  - This will save you if you want to regrade
- The autograder will run on your VM
  - So please make sure your VM is ready
- There are always "human in the loop."
- Questions: Ask on Piazza.

# Implementing Synchronization



- Take I: using memory load/store
  - See too much milk solution/Peterson's algorithm
- Take 2: (corrected from last class!)

```
Lock::acquire() {
    disableInterrupts();
}
Lock::release() {
    enableInterrupts();
}
```

Above solution "works" on single processor...

## Let's write some simple code



Let's write a smarter implementation of acquire/release

The key idea is to enable interrupts back ASAP

## Let's write some simple code



Let's write a smarter implementation of acquire/release

- The key idea is to enable interrupts back ASAP
- Use queues ready queue and wait queue

## Let's write some simple code



- Let's use two queues: a read queue and a wait queue
- You can use queue.add()/remove()
- Please use 7.5 minutes to write the acquire and release

## Queueing Lock Implementation (1 Proc)



```
Lock::acquire() {
                                     Lock::release() {
                                         disableInterrupts();
    disableInterrupts();
    if (value == BUSY) {
                                         if (!waiting.Empty()) {
        waiting.add(myTCB);
                                             next = waiting.remove();
                                             next->state = READY;
        myTCB->state = WAITING;
        next = readyList.remove();
                                             readyList.add(next);
        switch(myTCB, next);
                                         } else {
        myTCB->state = RUNNING;
                                         value = FREE;
    } else {
        value = BUSY;
                                         enableInterrupts();
    enableInterrupts();
```

# Question



Why won't this work for multiprocessing?

# Multiprocessor Sync Tool!



- Read-modify-write (RMW) instructions
  - Atomically read a value from memory, operate on it, and then write it back to memory
  - Intervening instructions prevented in hardware
- Examples
  - Test and set
  - Intel: xchgb, lock prefix
  - Compare and swap
- Any of these can be used for implementing locks and condition variables!

## Test-and-set



- The **test-and-set** instruction is an instruction used to write I (set) to a memory location and return its old value as a single **atomic** (i.e., non-interruptible) operation. If multiple processes may access the same memory location, and if a process is currently performing a test-and-set, no other process may begin another test-and-set until the first process's test-and-set is finished.
- Please implement a lock using test-and-set (5 minutes)

```
lock:acquire() {
}
lock:release() {
}
```

# Spinlocks



- A spinlock is a lock where the processor waits in a loop for the lock to become free
  - Assumes lock will be held for a short time
  - Used to protect the CPU scheduler and to implement locks

```
Spinlock::acquire() {
    while (testAndSet(&lockValue) == BUSY)
    ;
}
Spinlock::release() {
    lockValue = FREE;
    memorybarrier();
}
```

# Question



Neat. So how many spinlocks do we need?

# What thread is currently running?



- Thread scheduler needs to find the TCB of the currently running thread
  - To suspend and switch to a new thread
  - To check if the current thread holds a lock before acquiring or releasing it
- On a uniprocessor, easy: just use a global
- On a multiprocessor, various methods:
  - Compiler dedicates a register (e.g., r31 points to TCB running on the this CPU; each CPU has its own r31)
  - If hardware has a special per-processor register, use it
  - Fixed-size stacks: put a pointer to the TCB at the bottom of its stack



#### Lock implementation —

```
Lock::acquire() {
                                Lock::release() {
    disableInterrupts();
                                    TCB *next;
    spinLock.acquire();
    if (value == BUSY) {
                                    disableInterrupts();
        waiting.add(myTCB);
                                     spinLock.acquire();
        scheduler->
                                     if (!waiting.Empty()) {
                                         next = waiting.remove();
          suspend(&spinlock);
    } else {
                                         scheduler->makeReady(next);
        value = BUSY;
                                     } else {
                                         value = FREE;
    spinLock.release();
    enableInterrupts();
                                     spinLock.release();
                                    enableInterrupts();
```



Scheduler implementation (7.5 minutes)

```
Sched::suspend(SpinLock *lock) { Sched::makeReady(TCB *thread) {
```

CS423: Operating Systems Design



#### Lock implementation (7.5 minutes)

```
Lock::acquire() {
                                Lock::release() {
    disableInterrupts();
                                    TCB *next;
    spinLock.acquire();
    if (value == BUSY) {
                                    disableInterrupts();
        waiting.add(myTCB);
                                     spinLock.acquire();
        scheduler->
                                     if (!waiting.Empty()) {
          suspend(&spinlock);
                                         next = waiting.remove();
    } else {
                                         scheduler->makeReady(next);
        value = BUSY;
                                     } else {
                                        value = FREE;
    spinLock.release();
    enableInterrupts();
                                     spinLock.release();
                                    enableInterrupts();
```



#### Scheduler implementation —

```
Sched::suspend(SpinLock *lock) {
                                    Sched::makeReady(TCB *thread) {
    TCB *next;
    disableInterrupts();
                                        disableInterrupts ();
    schedSpinLock.acquire();
                                        schedSpinLock.acquire();
    lock->release();
                                        readyList.add(thread);
   myTCB->state = WAITING;
                                        thread->state = READY;
    next = readyList.remove();
                                        schedSpinLock.release();
    thread switch(myTCB, next);
                                        enableInterrupts();
   myTCB->state = RUNNING;
    schedSpinLock.release();
    enableInterrupts();
```

# Locks for user space??



- Kernel-managed threads
  - Manage data structures in kernel space
  - System calls to communicate w/ scheduler
- User-managed threads
  - Implement functionality in thread library
  - Can't disable interrupts, but can temporarily disable upcalls to avoid preemption in library scheduler, etc.

# Spinning vs Context Switch



What's the tradeoff?

# Locks in Linux



 Most locks are free most of the time. Linux implementation takes advantage of this fact!

#### • Fast path:

- If lock is FREE, and no one is waiting, two instructions to acquire the lock
- If no one is waiting, two instructions to release the lock

#### Slow path

- If lock is BUSY or someone is waiting, use multiproc impl.
- User-level locks also optimized:
  - Fast path: count is mapped to proc address space, no sys call needed when count is 0.
  - Slow path: system call to kernel, use kernel lock when waiting

# Locks in Linux



Lock struct contains 3 (not two) states...

```
struct mutex {
   /* 1: unlocked;
     0: locked;
     negative : locked, possible waiters */
   atomic_t count;
   spinlock_t wait_lock;
   struct list_head wait_list;
};
```

Lock acquire code is a macro (to avoid proc call)...

```
lock decl (%eax)
jns 1f
call slowpath_acquire
1: ...
```

```
// atomic decrement
// %eax is pointer to count
// jump if not signed
// (i.e., if value is now 0)
```

# Synchronization: Semaphores



- Semaphore has a non-negative integer value
  - P() atomically waits for value to become > 0, then decrements
  - V() atomically increments value (waking up waiter if needed)
- Semaphores are like integers except:
  - Only operations are P and V
  - Operations are atomic
    - If value is 1, two P's will result in value 0 and one waiter

## Compare Implementations



#### Lock implementation —

```
Lock::acquire() {
                                  Lock::release() {
    disableInterrupts();
    spinLock.acquire();
    if (value == BUSY) {
        waiting.add(myTCB);
        suspend(&spinlock);
    } else {
                                      } else {
                                       value = FREE;
        value = BUSY;
    spinLock.release();
   enableInterrupts();
```

## Compare Implementations



#### Semaphore implementation —

```
Semaphore::V() {
Semaphore::P() {
    disableInterrupts();
                                      disableInterrupts();
    spinLock.acquire();
                                      spinLock.acquire();
                                      if (!waiting.Empty()) {
    if (value == 0) {
                                          next = waiting.remove();
        waiting.add(myTCB);
                                          scheduler->makeReady(next);
        suspend(&spinlock);
    } else {
                                      } else {
                                       value++;
        value--;
    spinLock.release();
                                      spinLock.release();
   enableInterrupts();
                                      enableInterrupts();
```

# Semaphores Harmful?



- Semaphores conflate the roles of locks and condition variables (mutual exclusion, shared data).
  - Simpler code verification w/o: prove every lock is eventually unlocked.
- Semaphores have state!
  - What does value=3 mean? Programmer must carefully map object state to semaphore value.
  - CVs, in contrast, allows us to wait on arbitrary state/predicate, and are thus a better abstraction.
- However, semaphores have good uses, including...
  - Unlocked waits, e.g., interrupt handler that synchronizes communication between I/O device and waiting threads.

# Semaphore Bounded Queue



```
get() {
    fullSlots.P();
    mutex.P();
    item = buf[front % MAX];
    front++;
    mutex.V();
    emptySlots.V();
    return item;
}

put(item) {
    emptySlots.P();
    mutex.P();
    mutex.P();
    mutex.P();
    mutex.V();
    fullSlots.V();
}
```

```
Initially: front = last = 0; MAX is buffer capacity mutex = 1; emptySlots = MAX; fullSlots = 0;
```



How can we implement Condition Variables using semaphore

#### Take 1:

```
wait(lock) {
    lock.release();
    semaphore.P();
    lock.acquire();
}
signal() {
    semaphore.V();
}
```

Problems?



How can we implement Condition Variables using semaphore

#### Take 2:

```
wait(lock) {
    lock.release();
    semaphore.P();
    lock.acquire();
}
signal() {
    if (semaphore is not empty)
        semaphore.V();
}
```

Problems?



How can we implement Condition Variables using semaphore

#### Take 3:

```
wait(lock) {
    semaphore = new Semaphore;
    queue.Append(semaphore); // queue of waiting threads
    lock.release();
    semaphore.P();
    lock.acquire();
}
signal() {
    if (!queue.Empty()) {
        semaphore = queue.Remove();
        semaphore.V(); // wake up waiter
    }
}
Problems?
```



Implementation used for Microsoft Windows before native support was offered:

#### Take 4:

```
//Put thread on queue of waiting threads...
void CV::wait(Lock *lock){
   semaphore = new Semaphore(0);
   waitQueue.Append(semaphore)
        lock.release();
   semaphore.P();
   lock.acquire();
}

//Wake up one waiter if any.
void CV::signal() {
   if(!waitQueue.isEmpty()) {
        semaphore = queue.Remove();
        semaphore.V();
   }
}
```