

```

1  (*
2           CS51 Lab 3
3           Polymorphism and record types
4  *)
5
6  (*
7           SOLUTION
8  *)
9
10 (*=====
11 Readings:
12
13 This lab builds on material from Chapters 7.4 and 9–9.5 of the
14 textbook <http://book.cs51.io>, which should be read before the lab
15 session.
16
17 Objective:
18
19 In this lab, you'll exercise your understanding of polymorphism and
20 record types. Some of the problems extend those from Lab 2, but we'll
21 provide the necessary background code from that lab.
22 =====)
23
24 (*=====
25 Part 1: Records and tuples
26
27 Records and tuples provide two different ways to package together
28 data. They differ in whether their components are selected by *name*
29 or by *position*, respectively.
30
31 Consider a point in Cartesian (x-y) coordinates. A point is specified
32 by its x and y values, which we'll take to be ints. We can package
33 these together as a pair (a 2-tuple), as in the following data type
34 definition: *)
35
36 type point_pair = int * int;;
37
38 (* Then, we can add two points (summing their x and y coordinates
39 separately) with the following function:
40
41 let add_point_pair (p1 : point_pair) (p2 : point_pair) : point_pair =
42     let x1, y1 = p1 in
43     let x2, y2 = p2 in
44     (x1 + x2, y1 + y2);;

```

```

45
46 .....  

47 Exercise 1:  

48  

49 It might be nicer to deconstruct the points in a single match, rather
50 than the two separate matches in the two 'let' expressions. Reimplement
51 'add_point_pair' to use a single pattern match in a single 'let'
52 expression.  

53 .....*)  

54  

55 let add_point_pair (p1 : point_pair) (p2 : point_pair) : point_pair =  

56   let (x1, y1), (x2, y2) = p1, p2 in  

57     x1 + x2, y1 + y2 ;;  

58  

59 (* Analogously, we can define a point by using a record to package up
60 the x and y coordinates. *)  

61  

62 type point_recd = {x : int; y : int} ;;  

63  

64 let example_point_recd = {x = 1; y = 3} ;;  

65  

66 (*.....  

67 Exercise 2A:  

68  

69 Replace the two lines below with a single top-level 'let' expression
70 that extracts the x and y coordinate values from 'example_point_recd'
71 above into global variables 'x1' and 'y1', respectively.  

72 .....*)  

73  

74 let {x = x1; y = y1} = example_point_recd ;;  

75  

76 (*.....  

77 Exercise 2B:  

78  

79 Implement a function 'add_point_recd' to add two points of type
80 'point_recd' and returning a 'point_recd' as well.  

81 .....*)  

82  

83 (* A direct reimplementation of 'add_point_pair' would be: *)  

84  

85 let add_point_recd (p1 : point_recd) (p2 : point_recd) : point_recd =  

86   let {x = x1; y = y1}, {x = x2; y = y2} = p1, p2 in  

87     {x = x1 + x2; y = y1 + y2} ;;  

88  

89 (* By making use of dot notation for selecting pair elements, this
90 version may be a bit cleaner

```

```

91
92     let add_point_recd (p1 : point_recd) (p2 : point_recd) : point_recd =
93         {x = p1.x + p2.x; y = p1.y + p2.y} ;;
94     *)
95
96 (* Recall the dot product from Lab 2. The dot product of two points
97 'x1, y1' and 'x2, y2' is the sum of the products of their x and y
98 coordinates.
99
100 .....
101 Exercise 3: Write a function `dot_product_pair` to compute the dot
102 product for points encoded as the `point_pair` type.
103 .....
104
105 let dot_product_pair (x1, y1 : point_pair) (x2, y2 : point_pair) : int =
106     x1 * x2 + y1 * y2 ;;
107
108 (* In this example, we've gone even further, performing the match
109 directly in the `let` definition of the function itself. This is
110 actually the stylistically preferred way of implementing this in
111 OCaml, as discussed in the Style Guide. Can you adjust the solution
112 to Exercises 1 and 2B to use this syntactic sugar? *)
113
114 (*.....
115 Exercise 4: Write a function `dot_product_recd` to compute the dot
116 product for points encoded as the `point_recd` type.
117 .....
118
119 let dot_product_recd (p1 : point_recd) (p2 : point_recd) : int =
120     p1.x * p2.x + p1.y * p2.y ;;
121
122 (* Converting between the pair and record representations of points
123
124 You might imagine that the two representations have
125 different advantages, such that two libraries, say, might use
126 differing types for points. In that case, we may want to have
127 functions to convert between the two representations.
128
129 .....
130 Exercise 5: Write a function `point_pair_to_recd` that converts a
131 `point_pair` to a `point_recd`.
132 .....
133
134 let point_pair_to_recd (x, y : point_pair) : point_recd =
135     {x; y} ;;
136

```

```

137 (* Note the use of pattern-matching for deconstruction directly in the
138 argument and of "field punning". Without those techniques, we'd
139 have the more cumbersome:
140
141 let point_pair_to_recd (p : point_pair) : point_recd =
142   let x, y = p in
143   {x = x; y = y} ;;
144   *)
145
146 (*.....
147 Exercise 6: Write a function `point_recd_to_pair` that converts a
148 `point_recd` to a `point_pair`.
149 .....*)
150
151 let point_recd_to_pair ({x; y} : point_recd) : point_pair =
152   x, y ;;
153
154 (*=====
155 Part 2: A simple database of records
156
157 A college wants to store student records in a simple database,
158 implemented as a list of individual course enrollments. The enrollments
159 themselves are implemented as a record type, called `enrollment`, with
160 `string` fields labeled `name` and `course` and an integer student ID
161 number labeled `id`. The appropriate type definition is: *)
162
163 type enrollment = { name : string;
164                     id : int;
165                     course : string } ;;
166
167 (* Here's an example of a list of enrollments. *)
168
169 let college =
170   [ { name = "Pat";   id = 603858772; course = "cs51" };
171     { name = "Pat";   id = 603858772; course = "expos20" };
172     { name = "Kim";   id = 482958285; course = "expos20" };
173     { name = "Kim";   id = 482958285; course = "cs20" };
174     { name = "Sandy"; id = 993855891; course = "ls1b" };
175     { name = "Pat";   id = 603858772; course = "ec10b" };
176     { name = "Sandy"; id = 993855891; course = "cs51" };
177     { name = "Sandy"; id = 482958285; course = "ec10b" }
178   ] ;;
179
180 (* In the following exercises, you'll want to avail yourself of the
181 `List` module functions, writing the requested functions in
182 higher-order style rather than handling the recursion yourself.

```

```

183
184 .....  

185 Exercise 7: Define a function called `transcript` that takes an  

186 `enrollment list` and returns a list of all the enrollments for a given  

187 student as specified by the student's ID.  

188  

189 For example:  

190  

191     # transcript college 993855891 ;;  

192     - : enrollment list =  

193     [{name = "Sandy"; id = 993855891; course = "ls1b"}];  

194     {name = "Sandy"; id = 993855891; course = "cs51"}]  

195 .....*)  

196  

197 let transcript (enrollments : enrollment list)  

198         (student : int)  

199         : enrollment list =  

200     List.filter (fun { id; _ } -> id = student) enrollments ;;  

201 (*           ^--- field punning!  

202  

203 Note the use of field punning, using the `id` variable to refer to  

204 the value of the `id` field.  

205  

206 An alternative approach is to use the dot notation to pick out the  

207 record field.  

208  

209     let transcript (enrollments : enrollment list)  

210             (student : int)  

211             : enrollment list =  

212         List.filter (fun studentrec -> studentrec.id = student)  

213             enrollments ;;  

214     *)  

215  

216 (*.....  

217 Exercise 8: Define a function called `ids` that takes an `enrollment  

218 list` and returns a list of all the ID numbers in that list,  

219 eliminating any duplicates. Hint: The `map` and `sort_uniq` functions  

220 from the `List` module and the `compare` function from the `Stdlib`  

221 module may be useful here.  

222  

223 For example:  

224  

225     # ids college ;;  

226     - : int list = [482958285; 603858772; 993855891]  

227 .....*)  

228

```

```

229 (* Making good use of the recommended library functions, we have the
230     following succinct implementation: *)
231
232 let ids (enrollments: enrollment list) : int list =
233     List.sort_uniq (compare)
234         (List.map (fun student -> student.id) enrollments) ;;
235
236 (* This time we used the alternative strategy of picking out the `id`
237     using dot notation.
238
239     By the way, the aggregation to eliminate duplicates can also be
240     done using a fold. We leave that strategy as an additional
241     exercise. *)
242
243 (* There's a big problem with this database design: nothing guarantees
244     that a given student ID is associated with a single name. The right
245     thing to do is to use a different database design where this kind of
246     thing can't happen; that would be an application of the *edict of
247     prevention*. But for the purpose of this lab, you'll just write a
248     function to verify that this problem doesn't occur.
249
250 .....
251 Exercise 9: Define a function `verify` that determines whether all the
252 entries in an enrollment list for each of the ids appearing in the
253 list have the same name associated. Hint: You may want to use
254 functions from the `List` module such as `map`, `for_all`,
255 `sort_uniq`.
256
257 For example:
258
259     # verify college ;;
260     - : bool = false
261
262 (Do you see why it's false?)
263 .....
264
265 (* We start with a function to extract all the names from the database. *)
266 let names (enrollments : enrollment list) : string list =
267     List.sort_uniq (compare)
268         (List.map (fun { name; _ } -> name) enrollments) ;;
269
270 (* Then we verify that for each id, the list of names associated with
271     the courses in that id's transcript has length 1. *)
272 let verify (enrollments : enrollment list) : bool =
273     List.for_all (fun l -> List.length l = 1)
274         (List.map

```

```

275     (fun student -> names (transcript enrollments student))
276     (ids enrollments)) ;;
277
278 (* By the way, the computed value in the example is false because
279   Sandy appears with Kim's ID number in one of the entries.) *)
280
281 (*=====
282 Part 3: Polymorphism
283
284 .....
285 Exercise 10: In Lab 2, you implemented a function `zip` that takes two
286 lists and "zips" them together into a list of pairs. Here's a possible
287 implementation of `zip`:
288
289 let rec zip (x : int list) (y : int list) : (int * int) list =
290   match x, y with
291   | [], [] -> []
292   | xhd :: xtl, yhd :: ytl -> (xhd, yhd) :: (zip xtl ytl) ;;
293
294 As implemented, this function works only on integer lists. Revise your
295 solution to operate polymorphically on lists of any type. What is the
296 type of the result? Did you provide full typing information in the
297 first line of the definition? (As usual, for the time being, don't
298 worry about explicitly handling the anomalous case when the two lists
299 are of different lengths.)
300 .....*)
301
302 [@@@warning "-8"]
303 let rec zip (x : 'a list) (y : 'b list) : ('a * 'b) list =
304   match x, y with
305   | [], [] -> []
306   | xhd :: xtl, yhd :: ytl -> (xhd, yhd) :: (zip xtl ytl) ;;
307
308 (* Notice how a polymorphic typing was provided in the first line, to
309   capture the intention of the polymorphic function.
310
311 You can ignore the non-exhaustive match warning, which occurs
312 because we have no match cases for when only one of the two
313 argument lists is empty. We'll have better tools to address that
314 issue later. *)
315
316 (*.....
317 Exercise 11: Partitioning a list -- Given a boolean function, say
318
319   fun x -> x mod 3 = 0
320

```

```

321 and a list of elements, say,
322
323 [3; 4; 5; 10; 11; 12; 1]
324
325 we can partition the list into two lists, the list of elements
326 satisfying the boolean function `([3; 12])` and the list of elements
327 that don't `([4; 5; 10; 11; 1])`.
328
329 The library function `List.partition` partitions its list argument in
330 just this way, returning a pair of lists. Here's an example:
331
332 # List.partition (fun x -> x mod 3 = 0) [3; 4; 5; 10; 11; 12; 1] ;;
333 - : int list * int list = ([3; 12], [4; 5; 10; 11; 1])
334
335 What is the type of the `partition` function, keeping in mind that it
336 should be as polymorphic as possible?
337
338 Now implement the function yourself (without using `List.partition` of
339 course, though other `List` module functions may be useful).
340 .....*)
341
342 (* Let's start by working out the type. The `partition` function takes
343 two arguments, a boolean condition and a list of elements. The
344 boolean condition might apply to elements of any type, so it should
345 be a function of type `'a -> bool`. The list must contain elements
346 appropriate to apply the condition to, that is, elements of type
347 `'a`, so the list itself is of type `'a list`. The result is a pair
348 of lists, each of which contains elements of type `'a`, that is,
349 `'a list * 'a list`. The type of partition itself is then
350
351 ('a -> bool) -> 'a list -> 'a list * 'a list
352
353 The implementation is really straightforward if we just reuse the
354 filtering functionality of the `List.filter` function. *)
355
356 let partition (condition : 'a -> bool) (lst : 'a list)
357   : 'a list * 'a list =
358   let open List in
359   filter condition lst, filter (fun x -> not (condition x)) lst ;;
360
361 (* If, instead, we want to perform the walking of the list directly,
362 we might have
363
364 let rec partition (condition : 'a -> bool) (lst : 'a list)
365   : 'a list * 'a list =
366   match lst with

```

```

367     | [] -> [], []
368     | hd :: tl ->
369         let yeses, noes = partition condition tl in
370             if condition hd then (hd :: yeses), noes
371             else yeses, (hd :: noes) ;;
372
373     An implementation with a single fold is also possible.
374
375     let partition (condition : 'a -> bool) (lst : 'a list)
376         : 'a list * 'a list =
377         List.fold_right (fun elt (yeses, noes) ->
378             if condition elt then (elt :: yeses), noes
379             else yeses, (elt :: noes))
380             lst
381             ([] , []) ;;
382
383     To think about: Which of these do you like best? What are the
384     advantages and disadvantages of each?
385     *)
386
387 (*=====
388 Part 4: Implementing polymorphic application, currying, and uncurrying
389
390 .....
391 Exercise 12: We can think of function application itself as a
392 polymorphic higher-order function (:exploding_head:). It takes two
393 arguments -- a function and its argument -- and returns the value
394 obtained by applying the function to its argument. In this exercise,
395 you'll write this function, called `apply'. You might use it as in the
396 following examples:
397
398     # apply pred 42 ;;
399     - : int = 41
400     # apply (fun x -> x ** 2.) 3.14159 ;;
401     - : float = 9.86958772809999907
402
403     An aside: You may think such a function isn't useful, since we
404     already have an even more elegant notation for function
405     application, as in
406
407     # pred 42 ;;
408     - : int = 41
409     # (fun x -> x ** 2.) 3.14159 ;;
410     - : float = 9.86958772809999907
411
412     But we'll see a quite useful operator that works similarly --

```

```

413     the backwards application operator -- in Chapter 11 of the
414     textbook.
415
416 Start by thinking about the type of the function. We'll assume it
417 takes its two arguments curried, that is, one at a time.
418
419 1. What is the most general (polymorphic) type for its first argument
420     (the function to be applied)?
421
422 2. What is the most general type for its second argument (the argument
423     to apply it to)?
424
425 3. What is the type of its result?
426
427 4. Given the above, what should the type of the function 'apply' be?
428
429 Now write the function.
430
431 Can you think of a reason that the 'apply' function might in fact be
432 useful?
433 .....*)
434
435 (* Thinking through the types of the 'apply' function:
436
437 1. Its first argument, the function to be applied, itself takes an
438     argument of some generic type, call it ''arg''. (We're not
439     restricted to type variables like ''a'', ''b'', ''c''. We might as
440     well use a good mnemonic type variable name like ''arg''.) The
441     result type for the function to be applied we'll call
442     ''result''. So the type of the first argument is ''arg ->
443     'result''.
444
445 2. Its second argument is the argument to apply that function to,
446     and must thus be of type ''arg''.
447
448 3. The type of the result of the application is, of course,
449     ''result''.
450
451 4. So the type for apply is given by the typing:
452
453     apply : ('arg -> 'result) -> 'arg -> 'result
454
455     Types in hand, the apply function itself is truly trivial to
456     implement: *)
457
458 let apply (func : 'arg -> 'result) (arg : 'arg) : 'result =

```

```

459     func arg ;;
460
461 (* Something to think about: One reason the `apply` function might be
462   useful is that it might be handy as *an argument to another
463   higher-order function*. *)
464
465 (*.....)
466 Exercise 13: In the next two exercises, you'll define polymorphic
467 higher-order functions 'curry' and 'uncurry' for currying and uncurrying
468 binary functions (functions of two arguments). The functions are named
469 after mathematician Haskell Curry '1920. (By way of reminder, a
470 curried function takes its arguments one at a time. An uncurried
471 function takes them all at once in a tuple.)
472
473 We start with the polymorphic higher-order function 'curry', which
474 takes as its argument an uncurried binary function and returns the
475 curried version of its argument function.
476
477 Before starting to code, pull out a sheet of paper and a pencil and
478 with your partner work out the answers to the following seven
479 questions.
480
481 ****
482           Do not skip this pencil and paper work.
483 ****
484
485 1. What is the type of the argument to the function 'curry'? Write down
486    a type expression for the argument type.
487
488 2. What is an example of a function that 'curry' could apply to?
489
490 3. What is the type of the result of the function 'curry'? Write down a
491    type expression for the result type.
492
493 4. What should the result of applying the function 'curry' to the
494    function from (2) be?
495
496 5. Given (1) and (2), write down a type expression for the type of the
497    'curry' function itself.
498
499 6. What would a good variable name for the argument to 'curry' be?
500
501 7. Write down the header line for the definition of the 'curry' function.
502
503 Call over a staff member to go over your answers to these
504 questions. Once you fully understand all this, its time to implement

```

```

505 the function `curry`.
506 .....*)
507
508 (* In order to think through this problem, it helps to start with the
509 types of the functions. The `curry` function is a *function*; it has
510 a function type, of the form  $_ \rightarrow _$ . It is intended to take an
511 uncurried binary function as its argument, and return the
512 corresponding curried function. An uncurried binary function is a
513 function that takes its two arguments both "at the same time", that
514 is, as a pair. Generically, the type of such a function is thus
515
516 ` 'a * 'b -> 'c` (that's the answer to question (1) above)
517
518 An example (2) would be the function that adds the elements of an
519 `int` pair:
520
521 `fun (x, y) -> x + y`
522
523 A curried binary function takes its two arguments "one at a time".
524 Its type is
525
526 ` 'a -> ('b -> 'c)`
527
528 which is the appropriate result type for the curry function (3). For
529 instance, the curried version of the integer addition function is
530 just the `(+)` operator itself (4).
531
532 Putting these together, the type of curry should be (5)
533
534 `(( 'a * 'b) -> 'c) -> ('a -> ('b -> 'c))` .
535
536 Dropping extraneous parentheses since the `->` type operator is right
537 associative (and of lower precedence than `*`, we can also write this
538 as
539
540 `('a * 'b -> 'c) -> 'a -> 'b -> 'c` .
541
542 A good name for the argument of the curry function is `uncurried`
543 (6), to emphasize that it is an uncurried function.
544
545 This type information already gives us a big hint as to how to
546 write the curry function. We start with the first line giving the
547 argument structure (7):
548
549 let curry (uncurried : 'a * 'b -> 'c) : 'a -> 'b -> 'c = ...
550

```

```

551 The return type is a function type, so we'll want to build a
552 function value to return. We use the `fun _ -> _` anonymous
553 function construction to do so, carefully labeling the type of the
554 function's argument as a reminder of what's going on:
555
556     let curry (uncurried : 'a * 'b -> 'c) : 'a -> 'b -> 'c =
557         fun (x : 'a) -> ...
558
559 The type of the argument of this anonymous function is ``a`` because
560 its type as a whole -- the return type of `curry` itself -- is ``a
561 -> ('b -> 'c)``. This function should return a function of type ``b
562 -> 'c``. We'll construct that as an anonymous function as well:
563
564     let curry (uncurried : 'a * 'b -> 'c) : 'a -> 'b -> 'c =
565         fun (x : 'a) ->
566             fun (y : 'b) -> ...
567
568 Now, how should we construct the value (of type ``c``) that this
569 inner function should return? Remember that `curry` should return a
570 curried function whose value is the same as the uncurried function
571 would have delivered on arguments `x` and `y`. So we can simply
572 apply `uncurried` to `x` and `y` (in an uncurried fashion, of
573 course), to obtain the value of type ``c``:
574
575     let curry (uncurried : 'a * 'b -> 'c) : 'a -> 'b -> 'c =
576         fun (x : 'a) ->
577             fun (y : 'b) -> uncurried (x, y) ;;
578
579 You'll note that all of these anonymous functions are a bit
580 cumbersome, and we have a nicer notation for defining functions in
581 let expressions incorporating the arguments in the definition part
582 itself. We've already done so for the argument `uncurried`. Let's use
583 that notation for the `x` and `y` arguments as well.
584
585     let curry (uncurried : 'a * 'b -> 'c) (x : 'a) (y : 'b) : 'c =
586         uncurried (x, y) ;;
587
588 To make clearer what's going on, we can even drop the explicit
589 types to show the structure of the computation:
590
591     let curry uncurried x y = uncurried (x, y) ;;
592
593 Here, we see what's really going on: `curry uncurried` when applied
594 to `x` and `y` in curried fashion gives the same value that
595 `uncurried` gives when applied to `x` and `y` in uncurried fashion.
596

```


