Exploring Reachable States

Transition system: M = (S, I, r, A), where $I \subseteq S$, $r \subseteq S \times A \times S$

$$\overline{r} = \{(s, s') \mid \exists a \in A.(s, a, s') \in r\}$$

Similarly to post(X) previously, define:

$$G: 2^S \to 2^S$$
$$G(X) = I \cup \overline{r}[X]$$

What properties does function *G* have?

Exploring Reachable States

Transition system: M = (S, I, r, A), where $I \subseteq S$, $r \subseteq S \times A \times S$

$$\bar{r} = \{(s,s') \mid \exists a \in A.(s,a,s') \in r\}$$

Similarly to post(X) previously, define:

$$G: 2^S \to 2^S$$
$$G(X) = I \cup \overline{r}[X]$$

What properties does function *G* have? *G* is monotonic!

Exploring Reachable States

Transition system: M = (S, I, r, A), where $I \subseteq S$, $r \subseteq S \times A \times S$

$$\overline{r} = \{(s,s') \mid \exists a \in A.(s,a,s') \in r\}$$

Similarly to post(X) previously, define:

$$G: 2^S \to 2^S$$
$$G(X) = I \cup \overline{r}[X]$$

What properties does function G have? G is monotonic! Indeed. Say $S \subseteq S'$. Then $\overline{r}[S] \subseteq \overline{r}[S']$, so $I \cup \overline{r}[S] \subseteq I \cup \overline{r}[S']$. That is, $G(S) \subseteq G(S')$.

Define
$$G^0(X) = X$$
, $G^{n+1}(X) = G(G^n(X))$.

$$G(X) = I \cup \overline{r}[X]$$

$$G(\emptyset) =$$

$G(X) = I \cup \overline{r}[X]$

$$G(\emptyset) =$$

$$G(\emptyset) = I$$
 $G^2(\emptyset) = I$

$G(X) = I \cup \overline{r}[X]$

$$G(\emptyset) = I$$

$$G^2(\emptyset) = I \cup \overline{r}[I]$$

$$G^{2}(\emptyset) = I \cup I$$
 $G^{3}(\emptyset) = I \cup I$

 $G(X) = I \cup \overline{r}[X]$

$$G(X) = I \cup I[X]$$

$$G(\emptyset) = I$$
 $G^2(\emptyset) = I \cup \overline{r}[I]$

$$G^{2}(\emptyset) = I \cup \overline{r}[I]$$

$$G^{3}(\emptyset) = I \cup \overline{r}[I \cup \overline{r}[I]]$$

What $G^n(\emptyset)$ is

$$G(X) = I \cup \overline{r}[X]$$

$$G(\emptyset) = I$$

$$G^{2}(\emptyset) = I \cup \overline{r}[I]$$

$$G^{3}(\emptyset) = I \cup \overline{r}[I \cup \overline{r}[I]] \ I \cup \overline{r}[I] \cup \overline{r}^{2}[I]$$

$$G^n(\emptyset) = \bigcup_{k < n} \overline{r}^n[I]$$

All states reachable in less than n steps!

What $G^n(\emptyset)$ is

$$G(X) = I \cup \overline{r}[X]$$

$$G(\emptyset) = I$$

$$G^{2}(\emptyset) = I \cup \overline{r}[I]$$

$$G^{2}(\emptyset) = I \cup r[I]$$

$$G^{3}(\emptyset) = I \cup \overline{r}[I \cup \overline{r}[I]] \ I \cup \overline{r}[I] \cup \overline{r}^{2}[I]$$

. . .

$$G^n(\emptyset) = \bigcup_{k < n} \overline{r}^n[I]$$

All states reachable in less than n steps!

Thus,

$$Reach(M) = \bigcup_{n>0} G^n(\emptyset)$$

Consider the infinite sequence $G^i(\emptyset)$ for all i:

$$\emptyset$$
, $G(\emptyset)$, $G(G(\emptyset))$,..., $G^n(\emptyset)$,...,

Consider the infinite sequence $G^i(\emptyset)$ for all i:

$$\emptyset$$
, $G(\emptyset)$, $G(G(\emptyset))$,..., $G^{n}(\emptyset)$,...,

Note:
$$\emptyset \subseteq G(\emptyset)$$
 \longleftarrow because $\emptyset \subseteq Y$ for every Y

Consider the infinite sequence $G^i(\emptyset)$ for all i:

$$\emptyset$$
, $G(\emptyset)$, $G(G(\emptyset))$,..., $G^n(\emptyset)$,...,

Note: $\emptyset \subseteq G(\emptyset)$ \longleftarrow because $\emptyset \subseteq Y$ for every Y

then: $G(\emptyset) \subseteq G(G(\emptyset))$ \leftarrow because G is monotonic!

Consider the infinite sequence $G^i(\emptyset)$ for all i:

$$\emptyset$$
, $G(\emptyset)$, $G(G(\emptyset))$,..., $G^{n}(\emptyset)$,...,

Note: $\emptyset \subseteq G(\emptyset)$ \longleftarrow because $\emptyset \subseteq Y$ for every Y then: $G(\emptyset) \subseteq G(G(\emptyset))$ \longleftarrow because G is monotonic! then: $G(G(\emptyset)) \subseteq G(G(G(\emptyset)))$ \longleftarrow because G is monotonic!

Consider the infinite sequence $G^{i}(\emptyset)$ for all i:

$$\emptyset$$
, $G(\emptyset)$, $G(G(\emptyset))$,..., $G^n(\emptyset)$,...,

Note: $\emptyset \subseteq G(\emptyset)$ \longleftarrow because $\emptyset \subseteq Y$ for every Ythen: $G(\emptyset) \subseteq G(G(\emptyset))$ \leftarrow because G is monotonic! then: $G(G(\emptyset)) \subseteq G(G(G(\emptyset)))$ \leftarrow because G is monotonic!

$$\emptyset \subseteq G(\emptyset) \subseteq G^2(\emptyset) \dots \subseteq G^n(\emptyset) \subseteq G^{n+1}(\emptyset) \subseteq \dots$$

Consider the infinite sequence $G^i(\emptyset)$ for all i:

$$\emptyset$$
, $G(\emptyset)$, $G(G(\emptyset))$,..., $G^n(\emptyset)$,...,

Note:
$$\emptyset \subseteq G(\emptyset)$$
 \longleftarrow because $\emptyset \subseteq Y$ for every Y then: $G(\emptyset) \subseteq G(G(\emptyset))$ \longleftarrow because G is monotonic! then: $G(G(\emptyset)) \subseteq G(G(G(\emptyset)))$ \longleftarrow because G is monotonic! \cdots

 $\emptyset \subseteq G(\emptyset) \subseteq G^2(\emptyset) \dots \subseteq G^n(\emptyset) \subseteq G^{n+1}(\emptyset) \subseteq \dots$

Suppose that S is finite. What must happen?

Consider the infinite sequence $G^i(\emptyset)$ for all i:

$$\emptyset$$
, $G(\emptyset)$, $G(G(\emptyset))$,..., $G^{n}(\emptyset)$,...,

Note: $\emptyset \subseteq G(\emptyset)$ \longleftarrow because $\emptyset \subseteq Y$ for every Ythen: $G(\emptyset) \subseteq G(G(\emptyset))$ \leftarrow because G is monotonic! then: $G(G(\emptyset)) \subseteq G(G(G(\emptyset)))$ \leftarrow because G is monotonic!

$$\emptyset \subseteq G(\emptyset) \subseteq G^2(\emptyset) \dots \subseteq G^n(\emptyset) \subseteq G^{n+1}(\emptyset) \subseteq \dots$$

Suppose that S is finite. What must happen? $G(G^n(\emptyset)) = G^n(\emptyset)$

A Reachability Procedure

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{def} \; \mathsf{findXstar}(\mathsf{S},\mathsf{I},\mathsf{r},\mathsf{A}) = \\ \textbf{def} \; \mathsf{G}(\mathsf{X}) = \mathit{I} \cup \overline{\mathit{r}}[\mathit{X}] \\ \textbf{var} \; \mathsf{X} = \emptyset; \; \textbf{var} \; \mathsf{GX} = \mathsf{G}(\mathsf{X}) \\ \textbf{while} \; \mathsf{GX} \; != \mathsf{X} \; \textbf{do} \\ \mathsf{X} = \mathsf{GX}; \; \mathsf{GX} = \mathsf{G}(\mathsf{X}) \\ \textbf{end} \; \textbf{while} \\ \mathsf{X} \end{array}
```

What do we know about any set X^* where for some n, $X^* = G^n(\emptyset)$ and $G(X^*) = X^*$?

A Reachability Procedure

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{def findXstar}(S,I,r,A) = \\ \textbf{def } G(X) = I \cup \overline{r}[X] \\ \textbf{var } X = \emptyset; \textbf{ var } GX = G(X) \\ \textbf{while } GX := X \textbf{ do} \\ X = GX; GX = G(X) \\ \textbf{end while} \\ X \end{array}
```

What do we know about any set X^* where for some n, $X^* = G^n(\emptyset)$ and $G(X^*) = X^*$?

$$Reach(M) = \bigcup_{i \ge 0} G^i(\emptyset) = \underbrace{G^0(\emptyset) \cup \dots G^{n-1}(\emptyset)}_{\subseteq X^*} \cup \underbrace{G^n(\emptyset)}_{=X^*} \cup \underbrace{G^{n+1}(\emptyset) \cup \dots}_{=X^*} = X^*$$

Stops in step that exceeds the length of the longest trace of non-repeating states. Need not terminate for infinite systems.

Given a function on some set, $f: S \to S$, a value $x \in S$ is a fixed point iff f(x) = x.

Given a function on some set, $f: S \to S$, a value $x \in S$ is a fixed point iff f(x) = x.

Conclusion: reachable states are a fixed point of function $G(X) = I \cup \overline{r}[X]$.

Given a function on some set, $f: S \to S$, a value $x \in S$ is a fixed point iff f(x) = x.

Conclusion: reachable states are a fixed point of function $G(X) = I \cup \overline{r}[X]$.

How did we call a set of states X with the property $G(X) \subseteq X$?

Given a function on some set, $f: S \to S$, a value $x \in S$ is a fixed point iff f(x) = x.

Conclusion: reachable states are a fixed point of function $G(X) = I \cup \overline{r}[X]$.

How did we call a set of states X with the property $G(X) \subseteq X$?

$$I \cup \overline{r}[X] \subseteq X$$

Given a function on some set, $f: S \to S$, a value $x \in S$ is a fixed point iff f(x) = x.

Conclusion: reachable states are a fixed point of function $G(X) = I \cup \overline{r}[X]$.

How did we call a set of states X with the property $G(X) \subseteq X$?

$$I \cup \overline{r}[X] \subseteq X$$

Equivalent to:

Given a function on some set, $f: S \to S$, a value $x \in S$ is a fixed point iff f(x) = x.

Conclusion: reachable states are a fixed point of function $G(X) = I \cup \overline{r}[X]$.

How did we call a set of states X with the property $G(X) \subseteq X$?

$$I \cup \overline{r}[X] \subseteq X$$

Equivalent to:

- 1. *I*⊆*X*
- 2. $\overline{r}[X] \subseteq X$, that is, if $s \in X$ and $(s, s') \in \overline{r}$, then $s' \in X$

Inductive invariants are precisely sets X for which $G(X) \subseteq X$ (called postfix points)

How to implement X and G(X)?

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{def} \; \mathsf{findXstar}(\mathsf{S,I,r,A}) = \\ \textbf{def} \; \mathsf{G}(\mathsf{X}) = I \cup \overline{r}[X] \\ \textbf{var} \; \mathsf{X} = \emptyset; \; \textbf{var} \; \mathsf{GX} = \mathsf{G}(\mathsf{X}) \\ \textbf{while} \; \mathsf{GX} \; != \mathsf{X} \; \textbf{do} \\ \mathsf{X} = \mathsf{GX}; \; \mathsf{GX} = \mathsf{G}(\mathsf{X}) \\ \textbf{end} \; \textbf{while} \\ \mathsf{X} \end{array}
```

We can use:

explicit-state model checking: sets of states, e.g. hash tables

 symbolic model checking: formulas and their normal forms, such as BDDs (binary decision diagrams)

Symbolic Algorithm

Instead of a set $X_1 \subseteq S$, we use a formula X that is true precisely for states in X_1 var X = False; var GX = G(X) while $SAT(GX \land \neg X)$ do X = GX; GX = G(X) end while X

- Empty set is formula False.
- ▶ Checking $GX \neq X$ can be replaced by checking $\neg(GX \subseteq X)$
 - the other inclusion always holds
 - ▶ If GX and X are formulas with free variables, $\neg(GX \subseteq X)$ becomes satisfiability of $GX \land \neg X$
- It remains to implement G(X) on formulas

Symbolic *G*

$$GX_1 = I \cup \overline{r}[X_1]$$

$$s \in GX_1 \iff s \in I \lor s \in r[X_1]$$

$$s \in GX_1 \iff s \in I \lor \exists s_0. \ s_0 \in X_1 \land \exists a.(s_0, a, s) \in r$$

We use formulas X, GX over Boolean variables \bar{s} . Relation R has variables \bar{s} , \bar{a} , \bar{s}' , formula Init stands for the set I. Let R' denote $\exists \bar{a}.R[\bar{s}:=\bar{s}_0,\bar{s}':=\bar{s}]$ We define:

$$G(X) = Init \lor \exists \overline{s}_0.(X[\overline{s} := \overline{s}_0] \land R')$$

If we want to keep formulas to be quantifier-free, we need to eliminate $\exists \bar{s}_0$ at every step (exponential blowup, substitute all truth values).

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{def } \mathsf{G}(\mathsf{X}) = \mathit{Init} \ \lor \ \mathit{eliminate}(\overline{s}_0, \ X[\overline{s} := \overline{s}_0] \land R') \\ \textbf{var } \mathsf{X} = \mathsf{False}; \ \textbf{var } \mathsf{GX} = \mathsf{G}(\mathsf{X}) \\ \textbf{while } \mathsf{SAT}(\mathit{GX} \land \neg X) \ \textbf{do} \\ \mathsf{X} = \mathsf{GX}; \ \mathsf{GX} = \mathsf{G}(\mathsf{X}) \\ \textbf{end while} \\ \mathsf{X} \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{def } \mathsf{G}(\mathsf{X}) = \mathit{Init} \ \lor \ \mathit{eliminate}(\overline{s}_0, \ X[\overline{s} := \overline{s}_0] \land R') \\ \textbf{var } \mathsf{X} = \mathsf{False}; \ \textbf{var } \mathsf{GX} = \mathsf{G}(\mathsf{X}) \\ \textbf{while } \mathsf{SAT}(\mathit{GX} \land \neg X) \ \textbf{do} \\ \mathsf{X} = \mathsf{GX}; \ \mathsf{GX} = \mathsf{G}(\mathsf{X}) \\ \textbf{end while} \\ \mathsf{X} \end{array}
```

Does this algorithm terminate for all finite-state systems?

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{def } \mathsf{G}(\mathsf{X}) = \mathit{Init} \ \lor \ \mathit{eliminate}(\overline{s}_0, \ X[\overline{s}:=\overline{s}_0] \land R') \\ \textbf{var } \mathsf{X} = \mathsf{False}; \ \textbf{var } \mathsf{GX} = \mathsf{G}(\mathsf{X}) \\ \textbf{while } \mathsf{SAT}(\mathit{GX} \land \neg X) \ \textbf{do} \\ \mathsf{X} = \mathsf{GX}; \ \mathsf{GX} = \mathsf{G}(\mathsf{X}) \\ \textbf{end while} \\ \mathsf{X} \end{array}
```

Does this algorithm terminate for all finite-state systems? Yes.

```
\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{def } \mathsf{G}(\mathsf{X}) = \mathit{Init} \ \lor \ \mathit{eliminate}(\bar{\mathsf{s}}_0, \ X[\bar{\mathsf{s}} := \bar{\mathsf{s}}_0] \land R') \\ \textbf{var } \mathsf{X} = \mathsf{False}; \ \textbf{var } \mathsf{GX} = \mathsf{G}(\mathsf{X}) \\ \textbf{while } \mathsf{SAT}(\mathit{GX} \land \neg X) \ \textbf{do} \\ \mathsf{X} = \mathsf{GX}; \ \mathsf{GX} = \mathsf{G}(\mathsf{X}) \\ \textbf{end while} \\ \mathsf{X} \end{array}
```

Does this algorithm terminate for all finite-state systems? Yes.

To keep formulas smaller, we can try to simplify and normalize formulas at every step.

Disjunctive normal form \rightarrow similar to explicit-state model checking.

A popular alternative normal form: BDDs (binary decision diagrams)

Representation of propositional formulas using rooted directed acyclic graphs with three kinds of nodes:

- two truth value constant nodes 0, 1 (sink nodes)
- ternary connective ite(c, a, b) meaning if c then a else b where c is always a variable and where a, b are formulas (can lead to other parts of the diagram)

How to express:

 \triangleright $x \land y$:

Representation of propositional formulas using rooted directed acyclic graphs with three kinds of nodes:

- two truth value constant nodes 0, 1 (sink nodes)
- ▶ ternary connective ite(c, a, b) meaning **if** c **then** a **else** b where c is always a variable and where a, b are formulas (can lead to other parts of the diagram)

How to express:

 \triangleright $x \land y$: if \times then y else 0

Representation of propositional formulas using rooted directed acyclic graphs with three kinds of nodes:

- two truth value constant nodes 0, 1 (sink nodes)
- ▶ ternary connective ite(c, a, b) meaning **if** c **then** a **else** b where c is always a variable and where a, b are formulas (can lead to other parts of the diagram)

How to express:

- \triangleright $x \land y$: if \times then y else 0
- \triangleright $x \lor y$:

Representation of propositional formulas using rooted directed acyclic graphs with three kinds of nodes:

- two truth value constant nodes 0, 1 (sink nodes)
- ternary connective ite(c, a, b) meaning if c then a else b where c is always a variable and where a, b are formulas (can lead to other parts of the diagram)

How to express:

- \triangleright $x \land y$: if \times then y else 0
- \triangleright $x \lor y$: if \times then 1 else y

Representation of propositional formulas using rooted directed acyclic graphs with three kinds of nodes:

- two truth value constant nodes 0, 1 (sink nodes)
- ternary connective ite(c, a, b) meaning if c then a else b where c is always a variable and where a, b are formulas (can lead to other parts of the diagram)

How to express:

- \triangleright $x \land y$: if \times then y else 0
- \triangleright $x \lor y$: if \times then 1 else y
- **▶** ¬*x*:

Representation of propositional formulas using rooted directed acyclic graphs with three kinds of nodes:

- two truth value constant nodes 0, 1 (sink nodes)
- ternary connective ite(c, a, b) meaning if c then a else b where c is always a variable and where a, b are formulas (can lead to other parts of the diagram)

How to express:

- \triangleright $x \land y$: if x then y else 0
- \triangleright $x \lor y$: if \times then 1 else y
- $ightharpoonup \neg x$: if x then 0 else 1

Two important additional constraints often imposed to make BDDs into ROBDDs:

- **ordered**: impose a total ordering on variables, must always test them in that order
- reduced: cannot have two distinct nodes in the graph that have the same definition (test same variable, lead to same outcome nodes)

Operations on Binary Decision Diagrams

ROBDDs representation of a formula can be made unique!

Consequence: we can check formula equivalence $\models F_1 \iff F_2$ by computing ROBDDs for both and checking if they are identical nodes in a global DAG (constant time!)

Unsatisfiable formula is always represented by node 0.

ROBDD for a formula is in some cases exponential in formula size, but the normal form is still one of the most useful ones known.

It is possible to define operations to:

- convert arbitrary formulas into ROBDDs
- eliminate existential variables

We get reasonably efficient symbolic reachability algorithms; used in practice.

Symbolic Reachability Using BDDs