# Purdue CS555 Cryptography Lecture 1: Introduction

August 26, 2025

### Introduction

The course focuses on Cryptography's **Primitives**, **Toolkits**, **Applications**, and **Methodology**.

#### Misconceptions

Cryptography is often narrowly perceived, but its true scope is broad:

- Crypto ≠ Cryptocurrencies.
- Crypto \neq Encryption/Secure Communication.

It includes applications like proof of work (integrity), anonymous communication (onion network), and identifiable LLM generated data (watermark).

#### 0.1 Crypto Research - High Level Picture

Cryptographic research sits at the intersection of two key areas:

- 1. Theoretical Computer Science & Math: Deals with assumptions and security proof via reduction
- 2. System & Hardware: Focuses on performance so on accelerating cryptography related computation

# 1 Information Leakage and Privacy Foundations

### 1.1 Information Leakage

- **Definition**: Leakage is any information statistically correlated to the secret X.
- Any process involving a secret X can lead to leakage, modeled by a **leakage function** F(X).
- Examples:
  - Sensitive training data  $(X) \to \text{Model response } (F(X)).$
  - Password (X)  $\rightarrow$  Power consumption or timing (side-channel F(X)).

### 1.2 Privacy Risk Quantification

The main concern is an informed adversary (with full knowledge of F) observing F(X). The goal is to prevent the adversary from reconstructing features of X.

- Quantification: Measures the risk via the **posterior probability** that the adversary can achieve a satisfactory reconstruction of X.
- The risk depends on the secret's **entropy** (objective randomness) and the adversary's **belief** (subjective prior).
- Worst-Case Analysis: Privacy guarantees often remove assumptions on the secret distribution by performing worst-case analysis, meaning guarantees hold for an arbitrary distribution of X.

# 2 Perfect Secrecy and Indistinguishability

#### 2.1 Definition of Perfect Secrecy

A leakage function  $F(\cdot)$  satisfies perfect secrecy if, for a computationally-unbounded and rational adversary with an arbitrary prior belief on secret input X, their posterior belief after observing the leakage F(X) is **identical to their prior belief**. This initializes privacy risk measurement from the **worst-case posterior advantage** angle (the difference between prior and posterior).

### 2.2 Equivalence to Indistinguishability

Perfect secrecy is equivalent to input-independent indistinguishability:

$$\forall Y, Y', c: \Pr[F(Y) = c] = \Pr[F(Y') = c]$$

If the leakage c is equally likely for any two input candidates Y and Y', the output is indistinguishable, and therefore reveals nothing about the specific input. This implies no additional advantage for any adversarial inference.

## 3 Two Types of Leakage

The course differentiates two main contexts for leakage:

### 3.1 Intermediate Secrecy (Cryptography)

- Tradeoff: A "free lunch" in terms of accuracy/utility is possible under additional assumptions, aiming for perfect indistinguishability.
- Goal: Achieving security with weaker assumptions and better computational efficiency.

### 3.2 Output Secrecy (Information Theory and Statistics)

- **Tradeoff**: There is **no free lunch** in terms of accuracy/utility. There is an inherent tradeoff between utility and privacy.
- Goal: Finding the optimal utility-privacy tradeoff (e.g., minimal randomization for required guarantees). This model generally accepts a non-zero posterior advantage.