

Recap: Supervised Learning

$$x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n \xrightarrow{\text{Algo}} \hat{f} \xrightarrow{x} \hat{y} \stackrel{?}{\sim} y$$

kNN as a prob classifier

$$\hat{P}(Y=y|x) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{j=1}^K \mathbb{I}[y_j = y]$$

For $\hat{g}_z = \{0, 1\}$

$$\hat{y} = \underset{j \in \{0, 1\}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \hat{P}(y=j|x) = \mathbb{I}\left[\hat{P}(Y=1|x) > \frac{1}{2}\right]$$

let's use $\mathbb{I}[\hat{P}(Y=1|x) > \theta]$ instead

this changes the classification rates.

Classification rates

#TP = no. of test examples
w/ $y=1, \hat{y}=1$

#TN, #FN, #FP def similarly

Confusion matrix

	y	1	0
1	#TP	#FP	
0	#FN	#TN	

Rates:

$$\text{TPR} = \frac{\#TP}{\#P} = \frac{\#TP}{\#TP + \#FN}$$

$$\text{TNR} = \frac{\#TN}{\#N} = \frac{\#TN}{\#FN + \#TN}$$

$$TPR = \frac{\#TP}{\#P} = \frac{\#TP + \#FN}{\#P}$$

$$TNR = \frac{\#TN}{\#N} = \frac{\#TN}{\#TN + \#FP}$$

$$FNR = 1 - TPR \quad FPR = 1 - TNR$$

$$Acc = 1 - (\text{Avg 0-1 loss}) = \frac{\#TP + \#TN}{\#TP + \#TN + \#FP + \#FN}$$

$$\text{Recall} = TPR$$

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{\#TP}{\#TP + \#FP}$$

$$F\text{-Score} = \frac{2}{\frac{1}{\text{prec}} + \frac{1}{\text{recall}}}$$

Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve

The ROC curve is the plot of FPR (x-axis) vs TPR (y-axis) as we vary the classification threshold, θ .

ROC tells us how good a prob classification is, regardless of the specific threshold.

Can quantify how good an ROC curve looks in terms of its Area Under the curve (AUC)

$$AUC = \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \text{Corresponds to}$$

$AUC = \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow$ corresponds to
random guessing

$AUC = 1 \rightarrow$ corresponds to
perfect prediction

Fun & useful fact:

AUC exactly equal to the prob
that given ^{two} examples, one pos & one neg
that our score sorts them in the
right order.

Regression

Recall regression is the setting
when Y can be real-valued

$$Y \in G_L = \mathbb{R}$$

KNN as a regression method

$$\hat{Y} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{j=1}^K Y_{ij}$$

Lazy vs Eager training

KNN is an example of "lazy" training
or "memory-based"

- wait until we get a query X
in order to compute $f(x)$
- " "
- " "

"in order to compute $f(x)$ "
 "Eager" training tries to build our predictor f ahead of time & summarize it into a simple model.

The Linear Model

Post a model parametrized by $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{P+1}$

$$f_{\beta}(x) = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^P \beta_j \cdot x_j$$

$$= \beta_0 + \underbrace{\beta_{1:P}^T x}_{\substack{\text{Intercept/bias} \\ |}} \quad \underbrace{\beta_{1:P}^T}_{\substack{\text{coefficients/} \\ \text{slopes/weights}}}$$

To make things simple, we'll just assume that one of the features in x is the constant feature: $x_{i1} = 1 \ \forall i$

Then we write $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^P$

$$f_{\beta}(x) = \beta^T x$$

The question \Rightarrow how how to choose f_{β} in this model class — i.e. how to choose β

Least Squares

To evaluate the fit of any f to regression data we'll use the squared error loss:

$$l(y, \hat{y}) = (y - \hat{y})^2$$

This leads to the avg squared residuals (empirical) risk:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{R}_n(f) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n l(y_i, f(x_i)) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\underbrace{y_i - f(x_i)}_{\text{residual}})^2\end{aligned}$$

The linear model defines a particular class of f 's:

$$f \in \{f_\beta : \beta \in \mathbb{R}^p\}$$

Seek the f in this class that does the best on the training data.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{R}_n(f_\beta) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - f_\beta(x_i))^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta^\top x_i)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \| \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \beta \|_2^2\end{aligned}$$

Where we define $\mathbf{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

design matrix $\rightarrow \bar{X} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \dots & x_{1p} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \dots & x_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \dots & x_{np} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$

$$\bar{X}_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1\beta}^T \\ \vdots \\ x_{n\beta}^T \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_\beta(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ f_\beta(x_n) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

We want

$$\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \hat{R}_n(f_\beta)$$

Call the optimizer $\hat{\beta}$

Diff'able
 & Convex (cup-like)
 fn so min
 occurs at critical pt,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \hat{R}_n(f_\beta)}{\partial \beta_j} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_j} (\beta^T x_i - \gamma)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_i 2(\beta^T x_i - \gamma) x_{ij} \\ &= \frac{2}{n} \sum_i (\beta^T x_i - \gamma) \bar{X}_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

$$\nabla_{\beta} \hat{R}_n(f_\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \hat{R}_n(f_\beta)}{\partial \beta_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \hat{R}_n(f_\beta)}{\partial \beta_p} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{2}{n} \bar{X}^T (\bar{X}_\beta - \bar{Y})$$

Want to solve for β in

$$0 = \nabla_{\beta} \hat{R}_n(f_\beta) = \frac{2}{n} \bar{X}^T (\bar{X}_\beta - \bar{Y})$$