

CS 584 – MACHINE LEARNING

TOPIC: NAÏVE BAYES



Mustafa Bilgic



<http://www.cs.iit.edu/~mbilgic>



<https://twitter.com/bilgicm>

CLASSIFICATION

- Input: $\vec{X} = \langle X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \rangle$
- Output: Y
- We have seen
 - Candidate elimination to find the full version space
 - Decision trees

BAYES CLASSIFIER

$$P(Y \mid \vec{X}) = \frac{P(\vec{X} \mid Y)P(Y)}{P(\vec{X})} = \frac{P(Y)P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \mid Y)}{P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)}$$

$$P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = \sum_y P(Y = y)P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \mid Y = y)$$

Assuming all variables are binary, how many independent parameters are needed for the Bayes classifier?

BAYES CLASSIFIER

Flu | Symptoms

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)} \leftarrow$$

$P(\text{Flu})$ — Fever — Runny nose — Sore throat

$$P(Y | \vec{X}) = \frac{P(\vec{X} | Y)P(Y)}{P(\vec{X})} = \frac{P(Y)P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n | Y)}{P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)}$$

$$P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = \sum_y P(Y = y)P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n | Y = y)$$

Assuming all variables are binary, how many independent parameters are needed for the Bayes classifier?

$P(y) \rightarrow 1$ ind

$P(X_1, \dots, X_n | y)$

$y_1 \quad 2^n - 1$

$y_2 \quad 2^n - 1$

$$1 + 2(2^n - 1) = 1 + 2^{n+1} - 2 = 2^{n+1} - 1$$

NAÏVE BAYES ASSUMPTION

$$X_i \perp X_j \mid Y$$

NAÏVE BAYES

Bayes rule:

$$P(Y | X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = \frac{P(Y)P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n | Y)}{\sum_y P(y)P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n | y)}$$

Assuming $X_i \perp X_j | Y$,
naïve Bayes:

$$P(Y | X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = \frac{P(Y) \prod P(X_i | Y)}{\sum_y P(y) \prod P(X_i | y)}$$

Assuming all variables are binary, how many independent parameters are needed for the naive Bayes classifier?

PARAMETER ESTIMATION

- Given a dataset $\mathcal{D} = \left\{ \left\langle \vec{X}[m], Y[m] \right\rangle \right\}$, how can we estimate
 - $P(Y)$
 - $P(X_i | Y)$
- Intuitive idea: count and normalize
 - But, why is this the right idea? Or, is it even the right idea?

TOPIC SWITCH

- Probability estimation from data

ZERO PROBABILITIES

- Assume

- $P(X_i = T|yes) = 0$ and $P(X_i = T|no) > 0$; and
- $P(X_j | yes) > 0$ and $P(X_j | no) > 0$ for all other features
- What is $P(yes | \vec{X})$ if $X_i = T$?

- Assume

- $P(X_i = T|yes) = 0$ and $P(X_i = T|no) = 0$; and
- $P(X_j | yes) > 0$ and $P(X_j | no) > 0$ for all other features
- What is $P(yes | \vec{X})$ if $X_i = T$?

- Are these common?

- What can we do?

ZERO PROBABILITIES

○ Assume

- $P(X_i = T|yes) = 0$ and $P(X_i = T|no) > 0$; and
- $P(X_j | yes) > 0$ and $P(X_j | no) > 0$ for all other features
- What is $P(yes | \vec{X})$ if $X_i = T$? 0

○ Assume

- $P(X_i = T|yes) = 0$ and $P(X_i = T|no) = 0$; and
- $P(X_j | yes) > 0$ and $P(X_j | no) > 0$ for all other features
- What is $P(yes | \vec{X})$ if $X_i = T$? $0/0 = NaN$

○ Are these common?

○ What can we do?

LAPLACE SMOOTHING

- For parameter estimates, instead of MLE, use Bayesian
- Assume uniform prior
- Use the mean of the posterior as the parameter

MULTIPLYING SEVERAL PROBABILITY VALUES

- Assume we have 1,000 features
- What is the product of 1,001 probability values?
 - `p = np.random.random(1001)`
 - `p_c = np.clip(p, 0.01, 0.99)`
 - `print(np.product(p_c))`
- In naïve Bayes,
 - $a = P(Y = T) \prod P(X_i|Y = T)$
 - $b = P(Y = F) \prod P(X_i|Y = F)$
 - $P(Y = T|\vec{X}) = \frac{a}{a+b}$
 - If $a = b = 0$ in your code, then what?

CONVERTING LOGJOINT TO COND PROBS

- $\log \left(P \left(Y = \text{yes}, \vec{X} \right) \right) =$
 - $\log(P(Y = \text{yes})) + \sum \log(P(X_i | Y = \text{yes}))$
- $\log \left(P \left(Y = \text{no}, \vec{X} \right) \right) =$
 - $\log(P(Y = \text{no})) + \sum \log(P(X_i | Y = \text{no}))$
- What is $P(Y = \text{yes} | \vec{X})$?
- Calculate it without causing an underflow.
 - You cannot use `np.exp(log(P(Y = yes, X)))`
 - For example, try `np.exp(-1000)`

CONVERTING LOGJOINT TO COND PROBS

- $\log \left(P \left(Y = \text{yes}, \vec{X} \right) \right) = -1001$
- $\log \left(P \left(Y = \text{no}, \vec{X} \right) \right) = -1002$
- $P \left(Y = \text{yes} \mid \vec{X} \right) = ?$

NAÏVE BAYES IMPLEMENTATIONS

- Bernoulli / categorical naïve Bayes
 - Features are assumed to be binary / categorical
- Multinomial naïve Bayes
 - $P(\vec{X} \mid y)$ is a multinomial distribution
- Gaussian naïve Bayes
 - Each $p(x_i \mid y)$ is a Gaussian distribution