

# CS 584 – MACHINE LEARNING

## TOPIC: CLASSIFIER EVALUATION



**Mustafa Bilgic**



<http://www.cs.iit.edu/~mbilgic>



<https://twitter.com/bilgicm>

# TASK

- Given a labeled dataset  $\mathcal{D} = \{\langle x_i, y_i \rangle\}$ , where  $x_i$  is the input and  $y_i$  is the discrete output
- Train a classifier  $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  using  $\mathcal{D}$
- The purpose of  $f$  is to perform “well” on unseen data
- How do we define “well”?

# 0/1 ERROR & ACCURACY

- The simplest measure is “is the prediction correct?”
- Examples
  - Given an email, the model predicts it’s spam. Is it correct?
  - Given a patient, the model predicts the patient is suffering from Heart disease. Is it correct?
  - Given a loan application, the model recommends reject. Is the recommendation correct?
- Given a dataset, accuracy is the percentage of objects the model’s predictions are correct

# SOME PROBLEMS WITH ACCURACY

- All mistakes are considered equal; for example
  - Misclassifying a ham email as spam, and misclassifying a spam email as ham are considered equally bad
  - Approving a loan application that should have been rejected, and rejecting a loan application that should have been approved are considered equally bad
- If a class is dominant, it's often easy to get high accuracy by simply predicting every object as the dominant class; for example
  - If 80% of the emails are ham, a classifier that classifies every email as ham will have 80% accuracy
- All cases are considered equal; for example, email from your family, boss, bank, social media updates, ... are all considered equally important, which might or might not be true

# TYPES OF ERRORS – CLASSIFICATION

- Assume a target/positive class
  - Spam, HasHeartDisease, Approve, etc.
  - This step is important; positive does not mean “good”; positive mean the concept of interest and you decide which class is positive
    - For example, positive covid test does not mean “good” news
- *False positive*
  - Falsely classifying an object as positive
    - E.g., classifying a ham email as spam, diagnosing a healthy patient as having heart disease, approving a loan that should have been rejected, and so on
  - Also called *Type I* error
- *False negative*
  - Falsely classifying an object as negative
    - E.g., classifying a spam email as ham, claiming that a heart-disease patient is healthy, rejecting a loan that should have been approved, and so on
  - Also called *Type II* error

# CONFUSION MATRIX

		Predicted Class	
		Positive	Negative
Actual Class	Positive	True Positive	False Negative
	Negative	False Positive	True Negative

# ACCURACY

		Predicted Class	
		Positive	Negative
Actual Class	Positive	True Positive	False Negative
	Negative	False Positive	True Negative

$$Accuracy = \frac{Num\ Correct}{Data\ Size} = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$$

# PRECISION

		Predicted Class	
		Positive	Negative
Actual Class	Positive	True Positive	False Negative
	Negative	False Positive	True Negative

$$Precision = \frac{True\ Positive}{Predicted\ Positive} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$



## TRUE POSITIVE RATE – RECALL – SENSITIVITY

		Predicted Class	
		Positive	Negative
Actual Class	Positive	True Positive	False Negative
	Negative	False Positive	True Negative

$$TPR = Recall = \frac{\text{True Positive}}{\text{Actual Positive}} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

## TRUE NEGATIVE RATE – SPECIFICITY

		Predicted Class	
		Positive	Negative
Actual Class	Positive	True Positive	False Negative
	Negative	False Positive	True Negative

$$TNR = Specificity = \frac{True\ Negative}{Actual\ Negative} = \frac{TN}{TN + FP}$$

## FALSE POSITIVE RATE – FALL-OUT

		Predicted Class	
		Positive	Negative
Actual Class	Positive	True Positive	False Negative
	Negative	False Positive	True Negative

$$FPR = FallOut = \frac{False\ Positive}{Actual\ Negative} = \frac{FP}{TN + FP}$$

## FALSE NEGATIVE RATE – MISS RATE

		Predicted Class	
		Positive	Negative
Actual Class	Positive	True Positive	False Negative
	Negative	False Positive	True Negative

$$FNR = Miss Rate = \frac{False\ Negative}{Actual\ Positive} = \frac{FN}{TP + FN}$$

# F1

		Predicted Class	
		Positive	Negative
Actual Class	Positive	True Positive	False Negative
	Negative	False Positive	True Negative

$$F1 = \frac{2 * Precision * Recall}{Precision + Recall}$$

## OTHER MEASURES BASED ON CONFUSION MATRIX

- False discovery rate =  $FP/PP$
- False omission rate =  $FN/PN$
- Negative predictive value =  $TN/PN$
- Positive likelihood ratio =  $TPR/FPR$
- Negative likelihood ratio =  $FNR/TNR$
- Diagnostic odd ratio =  $PLR / NLR$
- ...

# MAKING A CLASSIFICATION DECISION

- Given a probabilistic output for an object, say  $\langle p, 1 - p \rangle$ , how do we decide which class to assign to this object?
- The simplest approach is check whether  $p > 0.5$  and make a decision accordingly
- This assumes each mistakes (False Positives and False Negatives) are equally costly

# EQUAL MISCLASSIFICATION COSTS?

- Which one is worse for you:
  - Delivering a spam email into your Inbox (False Negative), or
  - Delivering a legitimate email into your Spam folder (False Positive)?
- If one is worse than the other, then, should we use 0.5 as the decision threshold or should we adjust it to your preference?



# COST MATRIX

		Predicted Class	
		Positive	Negative
Actual Class	Positive	0	$a$
	Negative	$b$	0

Given a probability distribution of  $\langle p, 1 - p \rangle$  for  $\langle \text{Positive}, \text{Negative} \rangle$  respectively, and given the above cost matrix, under what conditions (in terms of  $a, b$ , and  $p$ ) would you classify an object as *Positive*?

# AREA UNDER THE CURVE (AUC)

- **Area Under the Curve**
- **What curve? ROC Curve**
  - **Receiving Operating Characteristic**
  - The X axis is False Positive Rate
  - The Y axis is True Positive Rate
  - The curve is plotted by varying the “decision” threshold

# AUC EXAMPLE

- Assume 10 actual positives and 20 actual negatives
- Plot the ROC curve and compute the area under it for the following cases:
  - P, P, ..., P, N, N, ..., N
  - P, N, N, P, N, N, ..., P, N, N

# ESTIMATING FUTURE PERFORMANCE

- Training performance is useful but misleading
  - Why?
- How can we evaluate performance on unseen data?

# SPLITTING THE DATASET

1. Train-test splits
2. Train-validation-test splits
3. Cross-validation

# TRAIN-TEST SPLIT

- Randomly split the data into two disjoint sets
- A typical approach:  $2/3$  for train and  $1/3$  for test
- Train your model on training data and evaluate it on the test data
  - Use your favorite performance metric
- Report your performance as the expected performance on unseen data
- Caveats:
  - You need a large dataset for this to work
  - You cannot tune your parameters on the test data

# TRAIN-VALIDATION-TEST SPLIT

- Split your data into three disjoint sets
  - Train, validation, test
- Train your model(s) on the training data
- Evaluate your model(s) on the validation data
- Pick the model that performs best on the validation data
- Test the model on the test data, and report its performance
- Caveat:
  - You need a really big dataset for this to work

# CROSS-VALIDATION

- Split your data into  $k$  disjoint sets
- Each time, one set is the test set and the rest is the training set
- See OneNote for more detailed explanation and illustration



# REAL LIFE MEASURES

- Not as clean as the ones we discussed
- Imagine self-driving cars, medical diagnosis, crime prediction, fraud detection, and so on
- Usually, there is not a single performance measure
- Performance is handled on a case-by-case basis; not on an aggregate level