# UCSD CSE131 F19 – Copperhead

October 17, 2019

Due Date: 11pm Wednesday, October 23 Open to Collaboration

You will implement Copperhead, a language like Boa extended with a static type system, variables, and while loops. Classroom: https://classroom.github.com/a/y0UCOlDY Github: http://github.com/ucsd-cse131-f19/pa3-student

## Syntax

The concrete syntax and type language for Copperhead is below. We use  $\cdots$  to indicate *one or more* of the previous element. So a while expression has at least two sub-expressions, and a let expression at least one binding and body expression.

```
:= n \mid \mathsf{true} \mid \mathsf{false}
            (let ((x e) \cdots) e \cdots)
            (if e \ e \ e)
                                                                        := Num \mid Bool
            (op_2 \ e \ e) \mid (op_1 \ e)
                                                     Γ
                                                                       := \{x:\tau,\cdots\}
        | (while e \ e \cdots) | (set x \ e)
                                                     \Gamma[x]
                                                                   means look up the type of x in \Gamma
                                                     (x,\tau) :: \Gamma
     := add1 | sub1 | isNum | isBool
                                                                   means add x to \Gamma with type \tau
op_2 := + |-| * | < | > | ==
                                                     \Gamma \vdash e : \tau
                                                                   means in environment \Gamma, e has type \tau
      := 63-bit signed number literals
      := variable names
```

#### **Semantics**

The behavior of the existing forms is largely same as in Boa, with a few modifications:

- let expressions in Copperhead can have multiple body expressions. These expressions should be evaluated in order, and the result of the let expression is the result of the last expression in the body.
- The static type checker for Copperhead eliminates the need to check tags of operands to binary operators like +. Overflow is checked and reported at runtime as in Boa.
- The built-in variable input must always be a number. If a user gives a non-number value, the runtime should report a dynamic error that includes the string "input must be a number".

The existing static errors (duplicate bindings and unbound identifiers) should be reported as in Boa. There are two new expressions in Copperhead, as well:

- set expressions update the value of a variable. The behavior of a set expression is to evaluate its subexpression, then set the value of the named variable to the result of that subexpression. The result of the entire set expression is the new value, and its effect is to make future accesses of that variable get the updated value.
- while expressions evaluate a condition and body repeatedly. The condition expression is the first one that appears in the while expression it should evaluate to a boolean (the type rules below enforce this), and if it evaluates to true the body expressions evaluate in order. This process is repeated until the condition evaluates to false, the body is not executed, and the entire while expression evaluates to false.

### Type Checking

Copperhead has the typing rules shown in figure 1. If an expression cannot be typed according to these rules, the compiler should report a static error containing "Type mismatch". A few rules benefit from some extra explanation:

• TR-Sequence describes type-checking a sequence of expressions, as found in the body of while and let expressions. To type-check a sequence, all the expressions must type to *some* type, though they can be different across expressions. The type of the entire sequence is the type of the *last* expression,  $\tau_n$ .

Figure 1: Typing rules for Copperhead

• TR-LetBindings describes type-checking of let expressions with multiple bindings in terms of one binding at a time. Since the first binding is visible in the second, the second in the third, and so on, this rule proceeds one binding at a time. There is a separate rule, TR-Let-One, to handle the case of a single binding.<sup>1</sup>

#### Extensions

These are optional and not for credit, but are interesting to try and discuss in office hours or with your peers:

- 1. Modify the compiler to use type information in code generation to dramatically simplify the compilation of isNum and isBool.
- 2. Add a new type to the definition of typ called NumOrBool, and enable booleans as input. Write a type rule for each of the following cases that exploits the behavior of isNum and isBool and your knowledge of control flow to make them type-check safely:

```
(if (isNum input) (< input 1) input)
(if (isBool input) (if input 10 5) (+ input 10))</pre>
```

Check that your solution works in general for any identifier that has type NumOrBool. Implement a less restrictive version of if that allows differing types across the then and else branches.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>You may find the implied binding-at-a-time matching useful as a suggestion of an implementation strategy.