The Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha, a text of the Śivadharma corpus A Critical Edition Volume 1

## Università di Napoli L'Orientale Dipartimento Asia, Africa e Mediterraneo

The Śivadharma Project

Studies on the History of Śaivism X??

*Editor-in-Chief* Florinda De Simini

#### Editorial & Scientific Board

Peter C. Bisschop (Universiteit Leiden), Dominic Goodall (École Française d'Extrême-Orient), Kengo Harimoto (Università di Napoli L'Orientale), Csaba Kiss (Università di Napoli L'Orientale), Krishnaswamy Nachimuthu (École Française d'Extrême-Orient), Annette Schmiedchen (Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin), Judit Törzsök (École Pratique des Hautes Études), Margherita Trento (Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique), Yuko Yokochi (Kyoto University)







## Università di Napoli L'Orientale Dipartimento Asia, Africa e Mediterraneo

The Śivadharma Project

Studies on the History of Śaivism XX??

The Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha, a text of the Śivadharma corpus A Critical Edition Volume 1

Csaba Kiss



UniorPress Nuova Marina, 59 - 80133, Napoli uniorpress@unior.it



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

ISBN 978-88-6719-???-?

Stampato in Italia Il presente volume è stato sottoposto al vaglio di due revisori anonimi

# **Contents**

Preface Aims and problems	ix
Introduction	
The Śivadharma corpus	хi
Reading the Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha	хi
The title	хi
	xiv
The genre	xiv
Contents of chapters 1–12	XV
Dating and provenance	XV 
1 1	xvii 
	xvii •
	xix
Notes on the language	xxi
Metre	xxi 
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	xiii
8	xiii
	xiii
Stem form nouns x	xiii
A Critical Edition of Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha 1–12	
Witnesses	1
The Cambridge manuscripts	2
The Kathmandu manuscripts	4
The Munich manuscript	6
The Paris manuscript	7
The Oxford manuscript	8
The Kolkata manuscripts	8
The Tübingen manuscript	8
The London manuscript	9
Naraharinath's edition	10
Editorial policies	11
The Sanskrit text	12

## An Annotated Translation

Appendices																		
passeges from p	art	tw	O							•				•				1055
Symbols, Abb	rev	iat	io	ns	s a	nd	1 E	Bil	oli	io;	gr	aj	oh	Ŋ				
Symbols										٠,	•	-		•				1057
Abbreviations																		1057
Primary source	s.																	1059
REFFFS!																		1065

## Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Alexis Sanderson, Dominic Goodall and Harunaga Isaacson for initiating me into the philological study of Śaivism, and to Florinda De Simini for encouraging me to apply for a position in her ŚIVADHARMA PROJECT (ERC no. 803624), for sharing with me all the relevant manuscript material and in general leading the project in the most friendly and generous way through difficult Covid-affected years. While working on the *Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha*, I have also been affiliated with another ERC project, the DHARMA PROJECT (ERC no. 809994), and I'm grateful to all my colleagues involved in that enterprise, including Arlo Griffith, Emmanuel Francis, Annette Schmiedchen, and Dániel Balogh.

During my visit to the National Archives in Kathmandu, the staff were helpful and professional. I wish to express my thanks to Jyoti Neupane, Manita Neupane, Saubhagya Pradhananga, Rubin Shrestha, Sahan Ranjitkar, and all other members. Sushmita Das made great efforts to acquire the manuscripts in Calcutta.

My colleagues and friends working in Naples or visiting Naples for shorter periods have helped me on a daily basis, during our regular reading sessions and in every other possible way, and I am thankful to them: to Florinda De Simini, Nirajan Kafle, Kengo Harimoto, Giulia Buriola, Alessandro Battistini, Lucas den Boer, Torsten Gerloff, Kenji Takahashi, Francesco Sferra, Dorotea Operato, Daniela Cappello, Michael Bluett, Marco Franceschini, Martina Dello Buono, Chiara Livio, Margherita Trento, Nina Mirnig, Timothy Lubin, SAS Sarma, R. Sathyanarayanan, and others.

Colleagues I have known for countless years, such as Judit Törzsök, Dominic Goodall, Harunaga Isaacson, Csaba Dezső and Gergely Hidas, are always the first to help my work and support me in every possible way.

I am infinitely grateful to my family for always supporting me unwaveringly.

The present publication is a result of the project Dharma 'The Domestication of "Hindu" Asceticism and the Religious Making of South and Southeast Asia'. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement no. 809994). This book reflects the views of the author only. The funding body is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

# Preface

## Aims and problems

What is this edition? It is not much more than a new copy, and carefully prepared new version of a text called *Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha*, based on a number of witnesses, augmented with an analysis of the contents, with contextualisation, and with an English translation. As for the critical edition, while I went to great lengths to understand the textual history behind the manuscripts used, it is obviously a deeply contaminated version of a text transmitted through contaminated witnesses. Nevertheless, it is hopefully a version that is as close to the authors' and redactors' original intention around the time they assembled these chapters together, approximately in the seventh to tenth centuries, as possible. Of course we do not know if there was a single moment when the intention to compose a new text on Dharma under the title *Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha* was born or if there was one single 'original copy', but it is hopefully the most meaningful and most readable among all available copies. Still, the present book is just a version of a text that surely has never existed exactly in this very form, inevitably showing signs of being an eclectic edition. Furthermore, it may show unintentional characteristics of the 21th century (ones that go beyond the modern Devanāgarī font face or occasional choices based on our modern understandings and misunderstandings) mixed with characteristics of the first millenium. We know that '[a]ll editing is an act of interpretation.<sup>22</sup> And many of the editorial decisions I made were based on opinions expressed by colleagues during our regular reading sessions. Thus this edition is a result of the interpretative efforts of a group of scholars, and this may sometimes, but hopefully rarely, have caused contradictions.

And as to complicate things, we are publishing this long text in two volumes, and the second volume is still in the making when the first comes out. This may produce various problems: of interpretation, of internal references, of repetition, and most importantly of presenting a text of embedded and recurring layers cut

Find a hard copy of McGann's Textual Condition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This reminds one of James McLaverty's question (as quoted in McGann 1991, ??): "If the Mona Lisa is in the Louvre in Paris, where is Hamlet?"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> McGann 1991, ??.

in half. To counteract some of these problems, I had finished editing and studying some of the most significant passages in the second part of the text by the time I let the first one out of my hands; some of these the reader can find in the Appendices. A further minor problem arises when I discuss topics that I have already touched upon in Kiss 2021: some overlaps are inevitable.

And what is the purpose of this edition? The main objective of the ŚIVADHARMA PROJECT has been to understand better the function of individual texts within the so-called Śivadharma corpus, and thus the *raison d'être* of the corpus itself. My attempt is rather simplistic: it is to understand what the *Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha* tried to convey when when it was composed and to try to see why this text got inserted in those multi-text manuscripts that usually transmit the so-called Śivadharma corpus. But even without this ideal to fully understand the purpose and function of the *Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha*, to make a pre-eleventh-century Sanskrit text easily available in the twenty-first century is, I believe, a noble aspiration.

# Introduction

# The Śivadharma corpus

In general...

# Reading the Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha

#### The title

The title *Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha* can be translated as: 'A Compendium on the Essence of the Bull [of Dharma].' The last two elements (sāra-saṃgraha) need little explanation: this work is a 'compendium' on, a 'collection' or 'summary' of (saṃgraha) the 'essence' (sāra) of its topic. The words 'compendium' and 'collection' reflect the composite nature of the *Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha* well; see sections on the structure of the text and on the its possible sources on pp. ??ff and pp. ??ff. The remaining question is weather the bull in the title is only a reference to a representation of Dharma or also a hint at Śiva's bull, his vehicle or mount, sometimes called Nandi or Nandin in other works.³

Dharma is frequently referred to as a (four-legged) bull in Sanskrit literature from at least the time of the *Mahābhārata*. See, e.g., this passage (MBh 3.188.10–13):

kṛte catuṣpāt sakalo nirvyājopādhivarjitaḥ |
vṛṣaḥ pratiṣṭhito dharmo manuṣyeṣv abhavat purā || 10 ||
adharmapādaviddhas tu tribhir aṃśaiḥ pratiṣṭhitaḥ |
tretāyāṃ dvāpare 'rdhena vyāmiśro dharma ucyate || 11 ||
tribhir aṃśair adharmas tu lokān ākramya tiṣṭhati |
caturthāṃśena dharmas tu manuṣyān upatiṣṭhati || 12 ||
āyur vīryam atho buddhir balaṃ tejaś ca pāṇḍava |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> There is no trace of Nandi/Nandin as identified with the bull in the *Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha*. On the possible time after which Nandi or Nandin, originally a *gaṇa* was considered a bull, see Bhattacharya 1977 and Goodall, Rout, Sathyanarayanan *et al* 2005, 100–108 and 171–172.

manuṣyāṇām anuyugaṃ hrasatīti nibodha me || 13 ||

Śiva got his bull, MBh: 13076027a vṛṣabhaṃ ca dadau tasmai saha tābhiḥ prajāpatiḥ 13076027c prasādayām āsa manas tena rudrasya bhārata 13076028a prītaś cāpi mahādevaś cakāra vṛṣabhaṃ tadā 13076028c dhvajaṃ ca vāhanaṃ caiva tasmāt sa vṛṣabhadhvajaḥ 13076029a tato devair mahādevas tadā paśupatiḥ kṛtaḥ 13076029c īśvaraḥ sa gavāṃ madhye vṛṣāṅka iti cocyate

Manusmṛti also confirms this (8.16a): vṛṣo hi bhagavān dharma.

MMW 'vṛṣa':

"Justice or Virtue personified as a bull or as "Siva's bull Mn. viii, 16 Pur. Kāvyād.; just or virtuous act, virtue, moral merit "Siś. Vās.;"

Mahākṣapaṇaka's koṣa (CHECK date), the Anekārthadhvanimañjarī, places the meaning 'dharma' as first when defining the word 'vṛṣa':

```
dharmo vrso vrsah śrestho vrso gaur mūsiko vrsah | vrso balam vrsah kāmo vrsalo vrsa ucyate || 1.48
```

The ŚDhU also mentions the 'Dharma bull':

```
īśvarāyatanasyādhaḥ śrīmān dharmavṛṣaḥ sthitaḥ | yatra vīravṛṣas tatra kṣityām gomātaraḥ sthitā || 12.87
```

visnusmrdn:ViS 86.15a/ vṛṣo hi bhagavān dharmaś catuṣ-pādaḥ prakīrtitaḥ / Śivapurāṇa 2.3.40.54–55:

```
śuddhasphaṭikasaṃkāśo vṛṣabhaḥ sarvasundaraḥ |
yo dharma ucyate vedaiḥ śāstraiḥ siddhamaharṣibhiḥ ||
tam ārūḍho mahādevo vṛṣabhaṃ dharmavatsalaḥ |
śuśubhe 'tīva devarṣisevitaḥ sakalair vrajan ||
```

smrti/dharma/krtyaratnaakara.dn: !!! dharmo 'yaṃ vṛṣarūpeṇa nāmnā nandīśavaro vibhuḥ | dharmān māheśvarān vakṣyaty ataḥ prabhṛti nārada||

tak2015/AtmapujaT55Muktabodha.dn: dharmas tatra vṛṣākāro jñānaḥ siṃhas-varūpakaḥ | vairāgyaṃ

Sanderson 2015 (210 n. 136), in general, on *vṛṣa* being Dharma, and on the bull appearing on the coins of the Hephthalite Hun Mihirakula in particular says the following:

To laud the bull (*vṛṣa*) would be surprising if the intended meaning were the bull that is Śiva's mount, but not if the word is intended in its figurative meaning, namely *dharmaḥ*, or *sukṛtam* 'the virtuous actions [prescribed by the Veda].' For this meaning of *vṛṣaḥ* see, for example, Amarasiṃha, *Nāmalingānuśāsana* 1.4.25b (*sukṛtam vṛṣaḥ*), 3.3.220 (*sukṛte vṛṣaḥbe vṛṣaḥ*); Halāyudha, *Abhidhānaratnamālā* 1.125cd

#### Introduction

(dharmaḥ puṇyam vṛṣaḥ śreyaḥ sukṛtam ca samam smṛtam); Manusmṛti 8[.]16a (vṛṣo hi bhagavān dharmas...); and the Gwalior Museum Stone Inscription of Pataṅ-gaśambhu (Mirashi 1962), l. 15, vṛṣaikaniṣṭho 'pi jitasmaro 'pi yaḥ śaṅkaro 'bhūd bhuvi ko 'py apūrvvaḥ, concerning the Śaiva ascetic Vyomaśambhu: 'He was in the world an extraordinary new Śiva, since he too was vṛṣaikaniṣṭhaḥ ('devoted solely to pious observance'; in Śiva's case 'riding only on the Bull') and he too was jitasmaraḥ ('one who had defeated sensual urges'; in Śiva's case 'the defeater of the Love god Kāmadeva'). This is also the meaning of vṛṣaḥ in the title Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha, one of the works of the Śivadharma corpus (see, e.g., Sanderson 2014, p. 2), i.e., 'Summary of the Essentials of the [Śiva]dharma'.

In his last sentence here, Sanderson implies that the *Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha* is organically part of the teachings that we call the Śivadharma corpus, and thus he adds Śiva in square brackets when translating the title *Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha*. A closer examination of the VSS reveals no direct references to either Śiva's bull or to the bull as embodying the Śivadharma. Instead, the bull in the VSS is repeatedly associated with the Dharma that is the four *āśramas* (see p. ??). My conclusion is that while the word *vṛṣa*n the title may well carry a reference to Śiva's bull, it is always only implied and never explicitely taught, while the bull as the personification of Dharma as the four *āśramas* explicitely appears. Thus the title actually lacks any explicit hint to Śaivism, which fits in well with the rather blurred and multi-layered affiliation of the text to Dharmaśāstra, Vaiṣṇavism and Śaivism.

Bhattacharya (1977, 1552) suggests that

In the Purāṇas the bull (Vṛṣabha or Vṛṣa) of Śiva is identified with Dharma, "virtue personified". This is a new development to sanctify the animal vehicle of the god. This new situation took place with the religious rite when an offering of a bull to a Brahmin deemed to be of a high religious merit.

Is he ignoring the fact that Dharma as a bull appears already in the *Mahābhārata*? He comes to the conclusion (Bhattacharya 1977, 1555) that one of the earliest sources to fuse the figures of Nandin and the bull is the relatively early *Matsyapurāṇa*.

Vṛṣadeva's commission? As a fanciful experiment, and if one supposes that the VSS originated in Nepal, one could wonder if the title *Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha* has anything to do with the Licchavi king Vṛṣadeva. Sanderson (2009, 74) mentions that Vṛṣadeva is 'described in an inscription of his eighth-century descendant Jayadeva

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See also Bakker 2014, 69, who while discussing a seal of Śarvavarman that features a beautifully carved bull representing Dharma, remarks: 'The reader may also see in the image the thriving Śaiva religion, represented by the Bull, the vāhana of Śiva [...]'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Rocher 1986, 199.

as having inclined towards Buddhism;' (Vajrācārya 1973, 148, l. 9: sugataśāsana-pakṣapātī) 'a view confirmed by a local chronicle, which attributes to him the establishing of Buddhist images,' and that this king established 'the Caitya of the Sīnagu-vihāra (the Svayambhūnāth Caitya).' More importantly, Sanderson summarises the information to be found in the Changu Narayana Pillar Inscription (east shaft), 'namely that Vṛṣadeva was the great-grandfather of Mānadeva, whose 'dated inscriptions range in date from 459 to 505/6 [CE]' (Sanderson 2009, 75). This would place the reign of Vṛṣadeva around 400 CE. The early fifth century may look too early for the date of composition of the Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha, and any connection between this king and the text is impossible to prove at the moment, but it is equally impossible to reject any connection, and if there were one, it would give some explanation for the slightly unusual nature of the title.

Petech 1984:80 Vṛttasārasamgraha = Vṛṣasārasangraha

Pañcāvaraṇastava 71: pratyag āśāsthitaṃ vande vṛṣaṃ ca vṛṣabhākṛtim sākṣād dharmaṃ sitaṃ tryakṣaṃ parameśasya vāhanam + notes to this verse on p. 171

#### The genre

Is the VSS a Purāṇa? There are at least two reasons to think so. One is the section VSS 1.63–76, a list of so-called *vedavyāsas*, transmitters of Purāṇas, from Brahmā, to Vyāsa Dvaipāyana, Romaharṣa and his son. Why should a text include in its first chapter such a list if the implication is not that it is about its own origin?

Another argument is that the topics dealt with in the VSS are exactly what we expect from a Purāṇa. The famous purāṇapañcalakṣaṇa includes, following Wilson's translation (in Rocher 1986, 26), the following: (1) primary creation, cosmogony and chronology (sarga); (2) creation, destruction of the world (pratisarga); (3) geneologies (vaṇṣáa); (4) Manu eras (manvantaras); (5) history (vaṇṣánucarita). Arguably all these are present in the VSS, most of them already in chapter one, and later in twenty-one and twenty-four, plus narratives of the deeds of gods (e.g. in chapter twenty-three), and much more that one normally sees in Purāṇas.

Hazra. CHECK Brahmāṇḍapurāṇa is similar CHECK

#### The structure of the VSS

- Matryoshka - dialogues - affiliations - lotus diagramme - ch. 2 misplaced?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Gnoli etc. and https://siddham.network/inscription/in02001/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Vṛṣadeva was succeeded by Śaṅkaradeva and Dharmadeva.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See, e.g., SivP 7.1.41: sargaś ca pratisargaś ca vamśo manvantarāṇi ca | vamśānucaritam caiva purāṇam paṃcalakṣaṇam ||

### Contents of chapters 1–12

Adhyāya 1 After a mangala-verse that addresses a deity whose identity is obscure (is it Siva or the impersonal Brahman?, verse 1.1), we enter the first layer of the text, which comprises a dialogue between Janamejaya and Vaisampāyana and could be labelled Dharmaśāstric. Janamejaya wishes to hear the essence, the ultimate Dharmic teaching, of the *Mahābhārata*. In response, Vaiśampāyana starts relating a dialogue in which Viṣṇu, diguised as a Brahmin, is testing an ascetic called Anarthayajña, reknown for performing non-material sacrifice (anarthayajña, the topic of adhyāya eleven), and a devotee of Viṣṇu (which becomes clear in adhyāya twenty-one). This is the beginning of the layer one could label Vaiṣṇava. The first topic they discuss is  $brahmavidy\bar{a}$  (1.9–10), and ambiguous definition of the impersonal Brahman and/or the syllable *om*. The next topic is *kāla* ('death, time'), the origin of the body, karma (1.11-17), and the divisions of time (from truti, nimesa up to kalpas, 1.18–31), which leads to a teaching on numbers, from one up to two hundred quadrillion (para, 1.32–36). Verses 1.37–40 introduce a list of the rulers of the eight regions of the Brahmanda (1.41–49). In addition, Viṣṇu features as the ruler of the centre of the Brahmāṇḍa (1.50), reconfirming the general Vaiṣṇava character of this layer. 1.51-58 give the number of subordinates to each ruler mentioned above. 1.59-62 teaches the measurments of the Brahmāṇḍa. Finally, verses 1.63-76 list the redactors and transmitters of the Purāṇas, from Brahmā to Vyāsa Dvaipāyana and Romaharşa.

Adhyāya 2 . śivāṇḍasaṃkhyā 3. ahiṃsāpraśaṃsā 4. yamavibhāga 5. śaucācāravidhi 6. yajñavidhi (also lokāḥ) 7. dānapraśaṃsā 8. niyamapraśaṃsā (p. 603: types of svādhyāyana: śaiva, sāṃkhya, purāṇa, smārta, bhārata) 9. traiguṇyaviśeṣaṇīya 10. kāyatīrthavivarṇana 11. caturāśramadharmavidhāna 12. vipulopākhyāna (narrative) 13. garbhotpatti (on conception) 14. praśnavyākaraṇa (why people are tall/short etc.) 15. jīvanirṇaya 16. adhyātmanirṇaya (yoga) 17. dānadharma 18. pūrvakarmavipāka 19. dānayajñaviśeṣa 20. pañcaviṃśatitattvanirṇaya 21. kalpanirṇaya 22. varṇagotrāśrama 23. nidrotpatti 24. śāstravarṇana

- Summary of the contents of all 24 chapters of the VSS
- References to other works Mahābhārata nakule vipule etc.

#### Dating and provenance

- Dating
  - the archaic yoga of chapter 10 (no Pingalā), Śaiva
  - order of āśramas, cf. Bisschop, Kafle, & Lubin 2021, 23, Chapter 11,
     Śajya
  - 11.23a: 4 kalās (nivṛttyādi caturvedaś), instead of the later 5, Śaiva

#### Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha

- the tattvas (no tanmātras), Chapter 20, Vaiṣṇava
- varṇas and the Liṅgapurāṇa
- check lists of deities such as Vasus
- bull, Nandi
- Place of composition: geographical names and persons mentioned

To make assumptions about the place of composition of the *Vṛṣasārasaṃ-graha*, we can consider the following: the location of the manuscript evidence, place names and individuals mentioned in the text... The geographical locations mentioned in the *Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha* are the following:

- in the narrative in chapter 12:
  - Mṛgendraśikhara (on the southern slopes of the Himalayas; 22.5ab: himavaddakṣiṇe pārśve mṛgendraśikhare)
  - Mahendrapathaga(?, the name of a river near Mṛgendraśikhara)
  - Kusuma (i.e., Pāṭaliputra)
  - the Gāṇgā and the Gaṇḍakī River
  - Naravīrapura (in the south, see 12.60)
  - the Sahya mountain (12.93)
- tīrthas mentioned in ch. 10:
  - Himavat (the Himalayas)
  - Kurukşetra
  - Prayāga
  - Vārānasī
  - Yamunā
  - Gaṅgā
  - Agnitīrtha
  - Somatīrtha
  - Sūryatīrtha
  - Puşkara
  - Mānasa
  - Naimisa
  - Bindusāra (= Bindusaras)
  - Setubandha
  - Suradraha
  - Ghantikeśvara
  - Vāgīśa

## Interpretation of chapters

- Chapter 12
  - everybody is donating to everybody,
  - the final donor is Brahmā
  - lot of testing going on in the frame story and also
  - in chapter 12
  - also the disguise thing is recurring: 12.37 and ch 1 and
  - when Viṣṇu reveals his identity

## The role of the VSS in the Sivadharma corpus

- general ideas
  - is this text really Saiva? why in this collection?
  - niśvāsa as sadāśiva in ch. 16; Niśvāsa uttarasūtra 5.50-51; see also Kafle Niśvāsamukha p.11ff; ibid. p.12: "The term niśvāsa means sighing. Thus, an alternative meaning of the Niśvāsatattvasamhitā could also be a "sighing tantra." To be more precise, a tantra that originated from the sighing of Śiva. This is to say, the speech of Śiva."
  - tattva-system: mati and suśira (ch. 20)
  - parallels: MBh, Bṛhatkālottara,
  - ch. 21: Viṣṇu; is this a Śaiva text?
  - āśramas are in an order different from usual; compare this to NĀT;
     "Variations on the āśrama-system"
- History of Dharmasastra 2.1 pp. 416ff on āśramas
- n. 988! see Āpastamba-dharma-sūtra ii.9.21.1: catvāra āśramā gārhasthyam ācāryakulam maunam vānaprasthyam iti| Quoted by Śankara But the chapters in Āpastamba follow the traditional order. "Āp. places the householder first among the āśramas, probably on account of the importance of that stage to all other āśramas." Kane ibid.
- ibid p. 417: person in last āśrama is called: parivrāţ, parivrājaka(!), bhikṣu, muni, yati. See Olivelle, Patrick. The Āśrama System. The History and Hermeneutics of a Religious Institution. New York, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1993. [megvan] p.82ff: The Order of Āśramas; ibid: "In later texts the usual order is student, householder, hermit, and renouncer, reflecting the sequence of the passage from one āśrama to another... In the Dharmasūtras, however, only Baudhāyana and Vasiṣṭha follow that order... A specific order

becomes insignificant when the *āśramas* are taken as four alternative adult vocations." Are they alternative adult vocations here in the Vṛṣasārasaṃ-graha? They are numbered.

- Gṛhastha. The Householder in Ancient Indian Religious Culture. Edited by Patrick Olivelle. OUP, 2019. Especially Csaba Dezső's article in it.
- %dscn 8034.jpg ff in folder /home/csaba/mmedia/images/scan/saiva/sivadharmacorpus/pasupatimatam4/ % in Naraharinātha's Paśupatimatam pp. 580ff % CHECK if Naraharinath seems to be better at Sanskrit in other texts % the edition seems problematic at many places % a dialogue between Janamejaya and Vaiśampāyana, the latter of whom relates dialogues between Vigatarāga and Anarthayajña % revise ¤s and lost/ill Bisschop in "Universal Śaivism": " En-dashes indicate a lost or illegible syllable in the manuscript."
- %N. of a celebrated king to whom Vaiśampāyana recited the [MBh.] (great-grandson to Arjuna, as being son and, successor to Parikshit who was the son of Arjuna's son Abhimanyu) ["SBr.] xi, xīi AitBr. "Sānkhir. xvi [MBh.] &c.;
- Bisschop 2018, 2: "The full text of the corpus was first published by Naraharinātha in 1998, while over the past few years several scholars have started to work on individual parts of the corpus or referred to them in their studies. See, in particular, Acharya 2009; Bisschop 2010, 2014; De Simini 2013, 2016a, 2016b, 2017; De Simini & Mirnig 2017; Goodall 2011; Kafle 2013, 2015; Magnone 2005; Sanderson 2003/04, 2012/13; Schwartz 2012. An edition of the Śivadharmaśāstra alone, based on a single manuscript in the Adyar Library, has been published more recently as well (Jugnu & Sharma 2014). The Śivopaniṣad, which also forms part of the Śivadharma corpus, was already published much earlier but was not recognised as such, being included in a collection of Upaniṣads (Kunhan Raja 1933)."
- What MS did Naraharinātha used? See Biscchop 2018:58–59.
- Palm leaf: /home/csaba/mmedia/images/scan/saiva/sivadharmacorpus/mss\_florinda/newari/ngmpp/palm\_leaf: 3:3/fr.8493.0.A 0003-03\_3/A3-03+65851+177\_vss\_start.jpg Paper MS /home/csaba/mmedia/images/scan/saiva-1341-06/DSCN0331 fol. 204\_vss.JPG
- Vipula

Vipula in the MBh:

MBh 13040016aff

Devaśarman and his wife Ruci 13040017a tasya rūpeṇa -> 13040017a tasyā rūpeṇa

all gods, esp. Indra, are in love with her but Devasarman guards her wants to perform yajña: how to guard her during the ritual?

#### Introduction

calls his pupil, Vipula tells him that Indra can assume various forms Vipula decides that the only way to protect her from Indra is to magically 'enter' her (with yoga) he tells her stories and enters her

MBh 13041001ff Indra sees the opportunity and enters the āśrama as a beautiful man he sees Vipula's lifeless body Ruci fancies Indra, but Vipula in his body stops her from standing up Indra sings to her beautiful songs he says "I have come for you, I am Devendra, I am in love" Vipula stops her from doing anything Indra is a bit shocked by her not being moved, gets angry and can see now that Vipula is in her Vipula leaves her, enters his own body, and abuses Indra and tells Indra how wicked he is Indra is ashamed and disappears Devaśarman returns to the āśrama, Vipula tells him what happened and Devaśarman praises him

- ETC., see translation here: https://www.sacred-texts.com/hin/m13/m13b005.htm
- See summary also here: V. S. Sukthankar. Critical Studies in the Mahābhārata. Poona, V. S. Sukthankar Memorial Edition Committee, 1944. 317–318 https://archive.org/details/in.ernet.dli.2015.281344/page/n333

#### Misc

- susūkṣma: Sivadharmottara 10.45cd-46: rudraḥ ṣaḍviṃśakaḥ proktaḥ śi-vaś ca paratas tataḥ || 45 || saptaviṃśatimaḥ śāntaḥ susūkṣmaḥ parameś-varaḥ | svargāpavargayor dātā taṃ vijñāya vimucyate || 46 ||. yamas-niyamas: see table in Bisschop, Kafle, & Lubin 2021, 17
- other Why is this mentioned at http://cudllib.cam.ac.uk/view/MS-ADD-01694-00001/403: C., Kunhan Raja, Un-published Upanishads (Adyar: The Adyar Library, 1933). Ahhh, Sivopaniṣat is in there! cf. sivasaṃkalpa in pp 319 ff. (Sivasaṃkalpopaniṣat) Bonazzoli, Giorgio, "Introducing Sivadharma and Sivadharmottara", Altorientalische Forschungen vol. 20 issue. 2 pp. 342-349 (1993). "There is no raw data." EdX Harvard Digital Humanities
- CHECK out Kenji on the Umāmaheśvarasaṃvāda in the MBh, his summary looks similar to the VSS
- Kenji: "BDhS 2: Discussion of gṛhastha. but BDh 2.11.9–34 is a digression on the topic of caturāśrama (vikalpa type, not krama type), and the author denies caturāśrama idea."
- MSS: see Bisschop 2018, 52–53; De Simini & Mirnig pp. 587, 591 % "a stable element of the corpus"

## Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha

 $\,-\,$  Vindicate your edition: look at the apparatus, all the Ed entries

## Notes on the language

The language of the VSS goes beyond the idiosyncrasies of epic Sanskrit. It exhibits strong similarities to Śaiva Aiśa Sanskrit, and it applies particular metrical licences and uses a special vocabulary, morphology and syntax. The analysis of this language, ideally, could lead us to the author(s) or redactors of the text and to its place of composition. Here I only give a brief overview of the most important phenomena. For details see the observatoins on the constitution of the Sanskrit text in the footnotes to the translation (pp. ??), as well as the Index.

#### Metre

As regards metrical licences, the first striking feature is the generous use of the poetic licence sometimes labelled 'muta cum liquida,'10 namely that some consonant clusters that would normally turn the previous short (laghu) syllable long (guru) may in some cases do not do so. The syllables pra, bra, hra, kra, especially at the beginning of words CHECK, are well-known candidates for this licence.<sup>11</sup> In the VSS, tra, vra, śra, pra, and also śya, śva, sva, dva, all involving conjunct consonants with a liquid sounds or semi-vowels in second position, and possibly also rpa,CHECK! seem additional ones.

The well-known author on prosody, Kedārabhaṭṭa (11-12th centuries), <sup>12</sup> frequently quoted by Mallinātha, gives the following definition in his *Vṛṭṭaratnākara* (here given together with Sulhaṇa's *Sukavihṛḍayanandinī* commentary):

padādāv iha varņasya saṃyogaḥ kramasaṃjñikaḥ | puraḥsthitena tena syāl laghutā 'pi kvacid guroḥ || 1.10 ||

vibhaktyantam padam tasya padasyādau vartamāno yo varnas tasya saṃyogaḥ | sa iha śāstre kramasaṃjño jñeyaḥ | tena krameṇa purovartinā prākpadānte vartamānasya prāptagurubhāvasyāpi laghutā syāt | kvacil lakṣānurodhena | nanu ka eṣaḥ kramo nāma saṃyoga ucyate | pūrvācāryāṇāṃ piṅgalanāgaprabhṛtīnām kālidāsādīnām ca kavīnām samayaḥ parigrhītaḥ | saṃyogaḥ kramasaṃyogaḥ || 10 || tatra grasaṃyogena yathā | idam asyodāharaṇam |

taruṇaṃ sarṣapaśākaṃ navaudanaṃ picchalāni ca dadhīni | alpavyayena sundari grāmyajano miṣṭam aśnāti || 1.11 ||

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See Goodall, Törzsök, Hatley, Kiss, Meyr?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> For recent contributions on this phenomenon, see e.g. Ranjan Sen 2006 (discussing it as appearing in Latin) and Balogh 2018, note 6 (discussing Sanskrit metre).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See e.g. Apte' XXX Dictionary Appendix A p. 1. ADD real life examples.

<sup>12</sup> SOUŘCÉ

## TRANSLATE the whole passage!

In this [work], a consonant cluster at the beginning of a word is called krama. A [preceding] long syllable can sometimes be turned short by that initial [syllable].

#### ... TRANSLATE

Tender mustard seed, fresh porridge, and slimy curds: village people eat this kind of dishes, O beautiful goddess, due to lack of money.

To sum this example up: the verse given above (1.11) is in āryā, and the metric pattern of the second half-verse is the following: ----- This yields 28 morae, instead of the expected 27. By treating the final syllable of sundari short, in spite of the following grā, the pattern conforms to the expected pattern: ----

The commentator gives several more examples (involving the syllables gra, hra, bhra), including this Śārdūla line:

nidravyo hriyam eti hrīparigataḥ prabhraśyate tejasaḥ

In this line, the last syllable of eti should count as short, in spite of the fact that the beginning of the next word ( $hr\bar{i}^{\circ}$ ) would normally turn it long.

The VSS abounds in this phenomenon of 'muta cum liquida'. EXAMPLES from the VSS and from my emendations. CHECK

- only beginning of words in the VSS?
- final -am etc. counts as long (reverse of muta cum liquida)
- In Kannada and Telugu prosody, under the name of śithila-dvitva, the exception is that sometimes in a consonant cluster of the form [consonant + "r"], the "r" (repha) can be ignored, so that it is not a conjunct consonant anymore.
- The exception is not accepted by purists: Shatavadhani Ganesh says that the Sanskrit masters like Kālidāsa, Bhāravi, Māgha, Śrīharṣa, and Viśākhadatta have not freely used this exception (though the masters in Kannada and other languages have). Being more of a "poetic licence" and a violation of the standard rule (only found in later poetry), it is extremely unlikely that any sane poet would have indulged in that exception in all four pāda-s of a verse. Thus it is very unlikely that the program will miss identifying a verse that indulges in this
- Reference: see comments by Dr. Ganesh and Nityananda Misra in this thread started by Vishvas Vasuki: %https://groups.google.com/forum/#!topic/bvparishat/ya1cGLuhc14/discussion

#### Introduction

## Vocabulary

- Special vocabulary/language: karhacit, hṛdi as nominative 10.27cd, tirya, me as mayā, āhūtaplavana
- generate list from index

## Number and gender

Number: singular next to numerals, and general confusion (CHECK)

## Syntax

- Special structures: caturmaunasya vakṣyāmi indreṇāsmi phalaṃ dattaṃ

#### Stem form nouns

- stem form nouns (prātipadika)
- a more or less full collation is important: we cannot automatically reject 'ungrammatical' or unmetrical forms because they may well be the 'original' one

# A Critical Edition of Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha 1–12

## Witnesses

In the pre-modern era, the VSS has been transmitted exclusively in multiple-text manuscripts that were produced in Nepal. Even when a manuscript of the VSS seems to be a single-text MS, chances are high that it originally belonged to a multiple-text manuscript. In the manuscript descriptions below, in addition to some general remarks, I will mainly focus on information relevant to the VSS. For much more detail on the overall features of these manuscripts, see De Simini 2016b and the catalogues I mention at some of the individual manuscript. In manuscript in the vertical section of the individual manuscript. In manuscript in the vertical section is set to be a single-text MS, chances are high that it originally belonged to a multiple-text manuscript in a multiple-text manuscript in a multiple-text manuscript in a multiple-text manuscript in the vertical section in the vertical secti

In recently published and forthcoming critical editions of and articles on the Sivadharma corpus (e.g. Bisschop 2018 and Bisschop, Kafle, & Lubin 2021), the sigla of the manuscripts used are made up of a letter signifying the script (e.g. 'N' for Nepālākṣara/Newari), a superscript letter for the current location where the manuscript is deposited (e.g. 'C' for Cambridge), and two (sometimes only one or even three) subscript digits echoing the last digit(s), if any, of the reference number of the manuscript in the library where it is located or, in the case of NGMPP reel numbers, the last two digits of the first part of the reel number. For details of this system and for the underlying reasons, see Bisschop 2018, 50–51. Since in the case of the VSS all available manuscripts use some variant of the Nepālākṣara script, in this publication I omit the first letter, making the letter for the current

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> As I remarked elsewhere (Kiss 2021, 185, n. 9): 'Asiatic Society (Calcutta), Manuscript G 4076, cat. no. 4083, may seem to be an independent manuscript of the *Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha*, but as De Simini has already remarked (2016b, 240 n. 19) [= De Simini 2016b], it is probably from a multiple text manuscript. In fact, from what can be gathered from its description in Shastri 1928, 716ff, it seems likely that this manuscript was originally part of manuscript Asiatic Society (Calcutta) G 3852, cat. no. 4085. See for example the folio numbering in these two manuscripts: ASC G 3852 contains 210 folios, and ASC G 4076 starts on folio 210.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> I owe thanks to Florinda De Simini for sharing with me most of the manuscripts listed here, to Kengo Harimoto and Gudrun Melzer (Munich) for providing photos of the Munich MS, and to Nirajan Kafle for sharing a digital copy of the Paris MS with me.

location non-superscript. This helps keeping the apparatus more readable. In the manuscript descriptions below, I give this omitted and implied 'N' in brackets as a reminder.

#### The Cambridge manuscripts

(N)C<sub>94</sub> Cambridge University Library, Add. 1694.1. This MS has been fully collated for chapters 1–12 of the critical edition in this volume. See a detailed description of this manuscript in the CUDL online catalogue. According to this catalogue, the date of creation of this manuscript is the 12th century, its dimensions are 5 × ca. 53.5 cm. The script is Nepālākṣara. It is a palm-leaf multiple-text manuscript containing 258 folios and transmitting eight texts: 1) Śivadharmaśāstra, 2) Śivadharmottara, 3) Śivadharmasaṃgraha, 4) Umāmaheśvarasaṃvāda, 5) Uttarottamamahāsaṃvāda, 6) Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha, 7) Dharmaputrikā, 8) Śivopaniṣad.

The VSS occupies 45 folios: it starts on f. 193 (the recto side, onlineimage no. 381, is an empty folio side, the text itself starts on the verso side); it ends on f. 239r (online image no. 473). The text of the VSS is transmitted fully, without any folios or major sections of the text missing. The leaves transmitting the VSS are well-preserved. Some folio sides are faded and most folios are somewhat damaged on the right side, sometimes at other parts, and it seems from the images that some opaque-looking tape has been applied to protect these damaged sections. In my critical edition the broken off, completely lost, *akṣaras* are represented by  $\times$ , the illegible *akṣaras* under the tape by  $\times$  ('illegible'). The quality of the readings of this manuscript is one of the best among the available witnesses, comparable only to  $K_{82}$  and  $P_{57}$ , making it one of the most important sources for the VSS.

(N)C<sub>45</sub> Cambridge University Library, Add. 1645. This MS has been fully collated for chapters 1–12 of the critical edition in this volume. See a detailed description of this manuscript in the CUDL online catalogue. According to this catalogue, the dimensions of the manuscript are  $4.4 \times 61.7$ cm. The manuscript is dated to (Nepala) 'samvat 259 śrāvaṇa śukla dvādaśiyādi(?) < trayodaśyām,' which converts to July 10/11 Monday/Tuesday, 1139 CE. The script is Nepālā-

<sup>15</sup> https://cudl.lib.cam.ac.uk/view/MS-ADD-01694-00001/382

<sup>16</sup> https://cudl.lib.cam.ac.uk/view/MS-ADD-01645/404

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> F. 247r line 6. The CUDL website transcribes this colophon as: saṃvat 259 śrāvaṇaśuklad-vādaśi[pyaḍi 8 trayodaśyām (retrived 8 Dec 2021). The element dvādaśipyaḍi might be read as dvādaśiyā di, perhaps a mistake for dvādaśyām di (di for a misplaced diva/divā?), and the symbol that does look like a figure '8' of a slightly later period than the manuscript itself (resembling the mathematical symbol <) might also be a kākapada. Another faint kākapada is perhaps to be seen under daśi, therefore it is possible that the scribe's intention was to delete dvādaśi° and correct it to trayodaśyām, and then the date becomes the 11th of July. Kengo Harimoto has suggested that the unclear element (yādi/pyaḍi) is in fact ghaṭi, and after comparing these two syllables to other

kṣara. It is a palm-leaf multiple-text manuscript containing 247 folios. Eight texts are transmitted in this manuscript: 1) Śivadharmaśāstra, 2) Śivadharmottara, 3) Śivadharmasaṃgraha, 4) Śivopaniṣad. 5) Umāmaheśvarasaṃvāda, 6) Uttarottamamahāsaṃvāda, 7) Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha, 8) Dharmaputrikā.

The VSS occupies 37 folios plus one folio side: it starts on f. 201v line 4 (online image no. 404), and it ends on f. 238v line 3 (online image no. 478). The readings of this manuscript seem to follow those of  $K_{82}$  remarkably closely while transmitting the Śivadharmottara (as observed by De Simini and Harimoto). This is more difficult to see in the case of the VSS, but indeed, they seem closely related.

(N)C<sub>02</sub> Cambridge University Library, Add. 2102. All available folios of this MS have been collated for chapters 1–12 of the critical edition in this volume. See a detailed description of this manuscript in the CUDL online catalogue. According to this catalogue, the date of creation is the 12th century, and the dimensions of the manuscript are 4.8 × ca. 52.5cm. The script is Nepālākṣara. It is a palm-leaf multiple-text manuscript containing 96 folios. Six texts are transmitted in this manuscript: 1) Śivadharmottara, , 2) Śivadharmasamgraha, 3) Umāmaheśvarasamvāda, 4) Śivopaniṣad, 5) Vṛṣasārasamgraha, 6) Dharmaputrikā (only f. 322v). Note that the Śivadharmottara starts on f. 51r, thus the part that most probably contained the Śivadharmaśāstra is lost.

The *Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha* starts on f. 267r line 1 (online image no. 181). The online description labels this image as f. 237r. This first folio in fact has no visible foliation. The previous text, the *Śivopaniṣad*, ended on f. 236v, with pāda b of verse 7.122,  $^{20}$  which is not the end of the *Śivopaniṣad*: about eighteen verses, probably transmitted in one single folio, are lost. This means that, if the foliation and the order of the folios are presented correctly, and if the portion containing the VSS indeed belongs to the same manuscript, folios 237-266, i.e. thirty folios, are missing. They must have transmitted the *Uttarottamamahāsaṃvāda*, which takes up twenty-three folios in  $C_{94}$ , and twenty folios in  $C_{45}$ . Thus this MS did most probably transmit all eight texts of the Śivadharma corpus.  $^{21}$ 

This first folio of the VSS is in a hand which is different from the rest of the manuscript, but the hand changes back in the next folio.<sup>22</sup>

instances of *gha* and *ta*, one cannot but agree. In this case this should be an indication of the exact time (*ghaṭikā*) the scribe finished copying the text. It is still not clear if we should take *dvādaśi* or *trayodaśyām* as the date. For help on the conversion of the date and for a detailed discussion on the colophon I am indebted to Kengo Harimoto.

- <sup>18</sup> Personal communication, 1 Dec 2021.
- 19 https://cudl.lib.cam.ac.uk/view/MS-ADD-02102/181
- <sup>20</sup> Image no. 180, Śivopaniṣad 7.122: yauvanasthā gṛhasthāś ca [prāsā]dasthāś ca ye nṛpāḥ.
- <sup>21</sup> Compare with the claim of the online catalogue: "The present manuscript probably contained seven texts."
- <sup>22</sup> Cf. the metadata on the CUDL site: '1 folio of the same dimensions is a modern supply for the beginning of the *Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha*.' A hardly readable note in pencil to the same effect is visible

In this multiple-text manuscript, the VSS is trasmitted in an incomplete form, that is to say, a number of folios are missing (most notably chapters 15–17). The first partially visible folio number is in image 184: the numeral characters 200+60 are visible (268v, according to the CUDL online catalogue). In image 186, the folio number 269 is clearly visible (f. 269v). In folio 270v, the continuous text is broken at verse 2.21c (kāmarū°), ff. 271 and 272 are missing, and the text resumes on f. 273r with verse 3.30b ([ahiṃsā pa]ramaṃ sukham). Folio 291 is missing (verses 12.87cd–12.113). In folio 296v (image no. 234) the text breaks off again at vātaśūlair upadrutā | śukro (verse 14.22b), the next folio being 306r (carmatāś ca dvijasundarīṣu, verse 18.27b; nine folios and chapters 15–17 are completely missing).

Again, there are two missing folios after bandhus sarvva° in verse 18.47c in f. 306v. The text resumes in f. 309r (image 237) with °neṣu ca sarvveṣu vidvān sreṣṭha sa ucyate (verse 19.52cd). Another folio is missing between iṣṭāniṣṭadvaya° (verse 20.22, f. 309v) and snāyu majjā sirā tathā (verse 20.51d, f. 311r). The VSS ends on f. 322v (image no. 262) with the concluding colophon vṛṣasārasaṅgraha samāpta iti. This folio also contains the beginning of the Dharmaputrikā, but this multipletext manuscript contains no more folios.

In the apparatus, the siglum  $C^{\Sigma}$  signifies all three Cambridge MSS described above.

#### The Kathmandu manuscripts

(N) $K_{82}$  NGMPP A 1082/3, NAK 3/393. This MS has been fully collated for chapters 1–12 of the critical edition in this volume. See a brief description of this MS in the NGMCP online catalogue. According to this catalogue, the dimensions of the manuscript are 55.6 × 5.5cm. It is dated to Nepāla Samvat 189 (1068–69 CE). The script is Nepālākṣara. It is a palm-leaf multiple-text manuscript containing 274 folios. Eight texts are transmitted in this manuscript: 1) Śivadharmaśāstra, 2) Śivadharmottara, 3) Śivadharmasamgraha, 4) Umāmaheśvarasaṃvāda,

at the top of the first folio side (f. 267r, 'mode..... supply beg of Vṛṣasāra-saṃgr.'). I am not sure how 'modern' this supplement is, but it seems indeed likely that a lost first folio was supplemented with a later copy. To match the end of this new copy with the beginning of the next, older, folio, a scribe more or less erased the beginning of the first line in the old folio, rather than the other way round. This slightly illogical decision may mean that the younger copy was not tailor-made for the old portion, but rather that it was taken from a younger manuscript which was perhaps considered more legible. Otherwise it would have been more practical to stop copying the first folio at the point where the next begins.

https://catalogue.ngmcp.uni-hamburg.de/receive/aaingmcp\_ngmcpdocument\_00098499
 See f. 12r line 2 of the *Dharmaputrikā* in this MS: navottarāsītiyute sate bde āsāḍhaśuklasya

tithau tṛṭīye, translated by De Simini 2016b, 252 n. 49 as: 'in [the year] 189, in the 3rd lunar day of the bright [fortnight] of [the month] Āṣāḍha.' She adds that the date is verified in Petech 1984, 46 as May 24, 1069 CE.

5) Śivopaniṣad. 6) Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha, 7) Dharmaputrikā, 8) Uttarottamamahā-saṃvāda.

As for each text in this collection, the foliation for the VSS restarts from f. 1v (f. 1r is a cover) and the text spans ff. 1v–46r. This is a beautifully written and well-preserved manuscript which gives very useful readings and has proved to be essential for the reconstruction of the *Vṛṣasārasamgraha*. 25

(N) $K_{10}$  NGMPP A 10/5, NAK 1/1261. This MS has been fully collated for chapters 1–12 of the critical edition in this volume. See a brief description of this MS in the NGMCP online catalogue. According to this catalogue, the dimensions of the manuscript are 55 x 5.5cm. It is an undated palm-leaf multiple-text manuscript containing 74 folios. Four text are transmitted in this manuscript: 1)  $\dot{S}i$ vadharmottara, 2)  $Um\bar{a}mahe\acute{s}varasamv\bar{a}da$ , 3)  $\dot{S}ivopani\dot{s}ad$ , 4)  $Vr\ddot{s}as\bar{a}rasamgraha$ .

Some folios feature monochrome drawings. A great number of the leaves that transmit the VSS are damaged and, at least judging from the microfilm images, faded and slightly disordered. The folio numbers are rarely visible. The VSS starts on exp. 44 (upper leaf, no folio number is visible here). The text continues on the lower leaf and then on the upper leaf on exp. 43 (going backwards, so to say) up to 1.62 (vimśakoţisu gulmesu ūrdhva°). Verses 1.62cd-2.22 seem to be missing. The lower leaf on exp. 43 contains verses 2.23–2.39. The single leaf in exp. 42 contains verses 2.40–3.16a. Exp. 41 contains a single leaf of the *Umāma*heśvarasamvāda, ending in a colophon for its chapter twenty-two, and still going backwards, the preceding folios continue transmitting the *Umāmaheśvarasaṃvāda*. Exploring the presence of the VSS in this manuscript further, one should look at the expositions after no. 44. Exp. 45 contains the end of the Sivopanisad. The single leaf on exp. 46 is almost illegible but most probably contains a fragment of the Gautamadharmasūtra. The second line just above the string hole on the left reads ... vīrud vanaspatīnām ca puṣpāṇi svavad ādadīte..., which is a fragment of Gautamadharmasūtra 2.3.25 (12.28). The remaining parts of the VSS are to be found on exp. 47ff. The upper leaf on exp. 47 continues with VSS 3.16b-36ab, while the lower leaf contains a text that I have not been able to identify. The lower leaf in exp. 48 transmits 3.36cd-4.11ab, the upper one 4.11b-30a. The lower leaf in exp. 49 contains 4.30ab-47ab, the upper one 47d-68a, and so on so forth. Thus when reading the text from these images, after exp. 48, one has to start with the lower leaf and continue with the upper one.

(N) $K_7$  NGMPP B 7/3 = A 1082/2, NAK 1/1075. This MS has been fully collated for chapters 1–12 of the critical edition in this volume. See a brief description of this MS in the NGMCP online catalogue. <sup>27</sup> According to this catalogue,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> See a similar evaluation in Bisschop 2018, 56.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> https://catalogue.ngmcp.uni-hamburg.de/receive/aaingmcp\_ngmcpdocument\_00085264

https://catalogue.ngmcp.uni-hamburg.de/receive/aaingmcp\_ngmcpdocument\_00062373

the dimensions of the manuscript are 58 × 6cm. The script is Nepālākṣara. Dated to Nepāla Samvat 290 (1169–70 ce). It is a palm-leaf multiple-text manuscript containing 289 folios. Eight texts are transmitted in this manuscript: 1) Śivadharmaśāstra, 2) Śivadharmottara, 3) Śivadharmasaṃgraha, 4) Umāmaheśvarasaṃvāda, 5) Śivopaniṣad, 6) Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha, 7) Uttarottamamahāsaṃvāda, 8) Dharmaputrikā. Ff. 209v–264v contain the VSS.

This is a nicely written manuscript, giving generally useful and convincing readings.

(N)K<sub>3</sub> NGMPP A 3/3 (= A 1081/5), NAK 5-737. I have collated this MS only for verses 1.1–15ab to test it. See a brief description of this MS in the NGMCP online catalogue.<sup>28</sup> According to this catalogue, the dimensions of the manuscript are 58.5 x 5.5cm. The script is Nepālākṣara and the MS is dated to Nepāla Samvat 321 (1200–01 cE). It is a palm-leaf multiple-text manuscript containing 215 folios. Eight texts are transmitted in this manuscript: 1) Śivadharmaśāstra, 2) Śivadharmottara, 3) Śivadharmasaṃgraha, missing (only a few folios are extant, e.g. ff. 124 and 143), 4) Umāmaheśvarasaṃvāda, 5) Śivopaniṣad, 6) Uttarottamamahāsaṃvāda, 7) Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha, 8) Dharmaputrikā.

The VSS starts in f. 227 (image no. 177) and seems to end after it begins transmitting chapter 23 in f. 264 (image no. 218), but the last image (no. 253) also contains a fraction of VSS chapter 13. The microfilm images are somewhat blurred and the readings do not seem promising.

Other palm-leaf MSS preserved in Kathmandu, but not used for this critical edition include the following:

NAK 5–738 (NGMPP A 11/3)<sup>29</sup>—the microfilm images of the folios containing the VSS are unfortunately extremely blurred to an extent that make them impossible to use.

NGMPP C 25/1 (Kesar Library 218)—this multiple-text manuscript preserves only a few disordered folios of the VSS.

Paper MSS? hidden

## The Munich manuscript

M his MS is preserved at CHECK and has no access number CHECK. I have collated the readings of this MS only for VSS chapter one as a test. On this MS in more detail, see Harimoto (forthcoming). I received the digital images of this MS from Kengo Harimoto shortly after he had taken pictures of it in Munich on Nov

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> http://catalogue-old.ngmcp.uni-hamburg.de/mediawiki/index.php/A\_3-3\_Śivadharma

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> http://catalogue.ngmcp.uni-hamburg.de/wiki/A\_11-3\_Śivadharmottara

16, 2021. This MS contains the following texts: 1) Śivadharmaśāstra, 2) Śivadharmottara, 3) Umāmaheśvarasaṃvāda, 4) Śivopaniṣad, 5) Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha, 6) Uttarottamamahāsaṃvāda, 7) Dharmaputrikā. The section that must have contained the Śivadharmasaṃgraha, ff. 82–121, is lost. The portion that contains the VSS and the Dharmaputrikā is dated (f. 50r line 5): || iti vṛṣasārasaṅgrahe caturviṃśatimo dhyāyaḥ samāptaḥ | samvat 192 māghakṛṣṇadivāpañcamyām || postakalikhitam iti ||. The year 192 in Nepāla Samvat converts to 1071–1072 CE. The part of the MS the precedes the VSS looks considerably earlier and is potentially an important witness for other texts of the Śivadharma corpus. An interesting feature of this MS is that it gives the number of verses contained in each chapter in the colophons. Ten folios that transmitted the VSS are missing: f. 5 (VSS 3.4-3.33), ff. 11–13 (VSS 6.20–8.45), ff. 24 (VSS 13.9–13.36), and ff. 39–43 (VSS 20.38–22.35).

The foliation for the VSS restarts and the hand in which the VSS and the *Dharmaputrikā* are written are different from, and most probably later than that of the texts that come before them in this bundle.

The MS rarely gives interesting readings for the reconstruction of the VSS, and in general does not seem to be superior to any of the MSS described above.

#### The Paris manuscript

This is a multiple-text palm-leaf manuscript written in Nepālākṣara script and preserved in the Collection Sylvain Lévi at the Institut d'études indiennes, Collège de France as MS Skt 57-B 23. I have collated the readings of this MS for VSS chapters three and eight. It contains 249 palm leaves. Folios 214 and 216 are missing from the part of the manuscript that transmits the VSS, thus we don't have verses 1.60d-2.21ab, as well as 3.14-42 and 4.1-7. Foliation appears on the verso side: in the left-hand margin in Newari alphabetical numerals and in the right-hand margin in arabic numerals by a second hand. The portion that contains the VSS is fairly well-preserved and the text is written in a clear hand. Although it is an undated manuscript, it could be dated to the 11th century CE on palaeographical grounds. It contains the following text in the order they are presented in the manuscript: 1) Śivadharmaśāstra, 2) Śivadharmottara, 3) Śivadharmasamgraha, 4) Umāmaheśvarasamvāda,, 5) Šivopaniṣad, 6) Uttarottamamahāsamvāda, 7) Vṛṣasārasamgraha, 8) Dharmaputrikā. The VSS appears on ff. 212-252. This source gives reliable readings and contains relatively few scribal mistakes.30

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> This description had as its starting point a shorter description written and kindly shared with me by Nirajan Kafle.

#### The Oxford manuscript

(N)O<sub>15</sub> This palm-leaf manuscript is deposited in the Bodleian Library, in Oxford, under shelf mark Sansk. a. 15. It is dated to Nepāla Samvat 307 (1186–87 CE), and it contains 335 folios, transmitting the following texts: 1) Śivadharmaśāstra, 2) Śivadharmottara, 3) Śivadharmasaṃgraha, 4) Umāmaheśvarasaṃvāda, 5) Śivopaniṣad, 6) Uttarottamamahāsaṃvāda, 7) Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha, 8) Dharmaputrikā.

A cursory examination of the text reveals rather disappointing readings, therefore I have not included in the apparatus any of the collation done.

## The Kolkata manuscripts

I have not been able to access either of these two potentially important witnesses:

(N)Ko<sub>76</sub> MS G 4076 in the collection of The Asiatic Society, Kolkata. <sup>31</sup> Shastri 1928 (716–718) gives a detailed description of this manuscript along with the text of VSS 1.1–16. According to Shastri, the dimensions of the MS are  $22\frac{1}{2} \times 2$  inches (57.15 × 5.08cm), the text is complete and the script is of the twelfth century CE.

This manuscript may appear as a rare instance of the VSS being transmitted independently, and not in a multiple-text manuscript, but it seems very likely that it was originally part of  $Ko_{52}$  (MS G 3852), a Śivadharma corpus MS in the same collection lacking the VSS; see note 13 on page 1.

(N)Ko<sub>77</sub> According to Shastri 1928, 720, MS G 4077 in the collection of the Asiatic Society, Kolkata, a palm leaf MS, transmits the VSS in 52 folios. The MS is dated to July 6, 1036 CE (Nepāla Samvat 156; see De Simini 2017, 542), which makes it 'the oldest known dated attestation of the corpus' (De Simini 2016b, 250–251).

#### The Tübingen manuscript

I have not yet utilised MS Ma I 582 in the Universitätsbibliothek of Tübingen, a beautiful and nicely written MS. It seems to contain only sixteen folios that transmit the VSS, and they are from the second half of the text. Nothing appears to have been preserved from chapters 1-12.

 $<sup>^{31}</sup>$  I am grateful to our colleague Sushmita Das for attempting to get a copy of this MS in March 2020.

#### The London manuscript

(N) $L_{16}$  This is a paper manuscript in the Library of the Wellcome Institute for the History of Medicine under the shelf number WI  $\delta$  16 (I–VIII). It contains 406 folios and the following texts: 1) Śivadharmaśāstra, 2) Śivadharmottara, 3) Śivadharmasaṃgraha, 4) Umāmaheśvarasaṃvāda, 5) Śivopaniṣad, 6) Uttarottamamahāsaṃvāda, 7) Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha, 8) Dharmaputrikā. This MS is described in Wujastyk 1985.

While collating MS  $L_{16}$  for VSS chapter 22, I realised that it was to be a direct or close copy of  $K_{82}$ . A few examples to prove this will suffice:

K<sub>82</sub> (f. 40r) reads:



[spha]tikām×ram [= °kāmbaram] eva ca | daśayogāsanāsīno


L<sub>16</sub> (f. 381v) gives:



sphaţikāṃsatam eva ca || devayogāsanāsīto


supplying sa for the lost syllable and misreading the damaged da as de and the  $\dot{s}a$  as va.

Here  $K_{82}$  (f. 39v) reads:




[japo yogas tapo] dhyānaṃ svādhyāyaś ca daśa smṛtaḥ with dhyā and svā damaged;

 $L_{16}$  (f. 381r) cannot read the bit that is completely lost, and it misreads the damaged *dhyānam* as *dhānam*, *svādhyā* as *sādhu*:



In the next example, the text is supposed to read *kare gṛhya tapodhanam* | *tataḥ so 'ntarhitas tatra tenaiva*.

#### $K_{82}$ (f. 39r) gives:



[kare] x x x x x dha\na tatah so 'ntar\hitas tatra tenaiva

 $L_{16}$  (f. 380r) gives:



kare - - - dhatām tataḥ || sati hitas tatra tenaiva

trying to make sense of the fragments. The examples above suggest that  $L_{16}$  was copied, most probably directly, from  $K_{82}$  when the damage had already been done to  $K_{82}$ . For this reason, I have not collated its readings for VSS chapters 1–12.

#### Naraharinath's edition

(N)E Much has been said of Yogi Naraharinath's pioneering but problematic edition (the *editio princeps*) of the Śivadharma corpus (Naraharinath 1998): see e.g. De Simini 2016a, 66, n. 190; 2017, 542, Bisschop 2018, 58–59, Bisschop, Kafle, & Lubin 2021, 55. My impression of the text of the VSS in Naraharinath's edition is that its quality is considerably inferior to those of the other texts of the corpus. It may or may not be Naraharinath's fault; others must have been involved in the process of transcription, and the number and nature of the innumerable mistakes all over the text may also suggest a general problem with the typesetting process. Nevertheless I have recorded the readings found in this publication for all twelve chapters given in my critical edition.

## Editorial policies

- orthography: deviant orth, sandhi, punctuation? - avagrahas usually supplied but sometimes found in the MSS, not used by me for crasis (e.g. a+a=ā) - daṇḍas: usually 4 pādas to a verse, but I have made arbitrary decisions based on sense-units because none of the sources really indicate where a verse ends (||). - falsifications everywhere on purpose and accidentally

SDh MSS from Nepal stemma...

## Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha

## The Sanskrit text

# वृषसारसंग्रहः

# [ प्रथमो ऽध्यायः ]

[स्तुतिः]

अनादिमध्यान्तमनन्तपारं सुसूक्ष्मम्ययन्तजगत्सुसारम् । हरीन्द्रब्रह्मादिभिरासमग्रं

प्रणम्य वक्ष्ये वृषसारसंग्रहम् ॥१:१॥

## [ जनमेजयवैशम्पायनसंवादः ]

शतसाहस्रिकं ग्रन्थं सहस्राध्यायमुत्तमम् । पर्व चास्य शतं पूर्णं श्रुत्वा भारतसंहिताम् ॥१:२॥ अतृप्तः पुन पप्रच्छ वैशम्पायनमेव हि । जनमेजय यत्पूर्वं तच्छृणु त्वमतन्द्रितः ॥१:३॥ जनमेजय उवाच । भगवन्सर्वधर्मज्ञ सर्वशास्त्रविशारद । अस्ति धर्मं परं गृह्यं संसारार्णवतारणम् ॥१:४॥

4

Testimonia for this chapter:  $C_{94}$  ff. 193v–195v,  $C_{45}$  ff. 201v–203v,  $C_{02}$  ff. 267r–270r,  $K_{82}$  ff. 1v–3v,  $K_{10}$  exp. 44, 43 lower and then upper leaf; (1.62cd–2.22 are missing),  $K_7$  ff. 209v–211v,  $K_3$  ff. 227v–229v (collated only up to 1.15ab), M ff. 1r–3v, E pp. 580–585;  $C^{\Sigma} = C_{94} + C_{45} + C_{02}$ 

1a cf. ŚDhU 10.6: आदिमध्यान्तिर्मुक्तः स्वभावविमलः प्रभुः । सर्वज्ञः परिपूर्णश्च शिवो ज्ञेयः शिवागमे ॥ 2c cf. MBh 1.2.70ab: एतत्पर्वशतं पूर्णं व्यासेनोक्तं महात्मना 4ab = MBh 13.112.9ab

4

1a ॰न्तमन्त॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{75}^{ec}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}K_{3}ME$ ; ॰न्तमन्त॰  $C_{45}^{ec}$  • ॰पारं ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{7}ME$ ; ॰पारगं  $K_{82}K_{10}K_{3}$  1b सुसूक्षम॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}K_{3}ME$ ; शुसुक्षम॰  $C_{02}$  • ॰जगत्सुसारम् ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{7}ME$ ; ॰जगशुसारं  $C_{02}$ , ॰जगत्सुसार्स्  $K_{10}$ , ॰जगत्सुसार्म्  $K_{3}$  1c ॰भिरासमग्रं ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}K_{3}E$ ; ॰भिर्यत्समग्रं M (unmetr.) 1d वृष॰ ]  $C_{94}^{ec}C_{45}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}K_{3}ME$ ; ॰वृषो  $C_{94}^{ec}$  2b सहस्राध्यायमु॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}K_{3}M$ ; सहश्रध्यायमु॰  $C_{02}$ , सहस्राध्यायरु॰ E 2c पर्व चास्य ]  $C_{94}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}M^{ec}$ ; पर्वञ्चास्य  $C_{45}$ , पर्वमस्य  $C_{02}K_{3}M^{ec}E$  • शतं पूर्णं ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}K_{3}ME$ ; त  $C_{02}$  2d श्रुत्वा ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}K_{3}ME$ ; श्रद्धा  $C_{45}$  • भारतसंहिताम् ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}M$ ; भारसंहिता  $C_{02}$ , भारतसंहितं E 3a अवृप्तः पुन पप्रच्छ ] em.; अशुप्तः पुनः पप्रच्छ  $C_{94}$ , अतृप्तः पुनः पप्रच्छ  $C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ , अतृपतः पुनः पप्रच्छ  $C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ , अत्रचे प्रच्छ  $C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ , अत्रच सं  $C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ , अत्रच सं  $C_{45$ 

द्वैपायनमुखोद्गीर्णं धर्मं वा यद्विजोत्तम । कथयस्व हि मे तृप्तिं कुरु यत्नात्तपोधन ॥१:५॥ वैशम्पायन उवाच । शृणु राजन्नवहितो धर्माख्यानमनुत्तमम् । व्यासानुग्रहसम्प्राप्तं गुह्यधर्मं शृणोतु मे ॥१:६॥ अनर्थयज्ञकर्तारं तपोव्रतपरायणम् । शीलशौचसमाचारं सर्वभूतदयापरम् ॥१:७॥ जिज्ञासनार्थं प्रश्नैकं विष्णुना प्रभविष्णुना । द्विज्ञरूपधरो भूत्वा पप्रच्छ विनयान्वितः ॥१:८॥

## [ब्रह्मविद्या]

[विगतराग उवाच ।] ब्रह्मविद्या कथं ज्ञेया रूपवर्णविवर्जिता । स्वरव्यञ्जननिर्मुक्तमक्षरं किमु तत्परम् ॥१:९॥ अनर्थयज्ञ उवाच । अनुच्चार्यमसन्दिग्धमविच्छिन्नमनाकुलम् । निर्मलं सर्वगं सूक्ष्ममक्षरं किमु तत्परम् ॥१:१०॥ [कालपाशः]

विगतराग उवाच ।

4

 $\mathbf{5a}$  द्वैपायन॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}K_{3}ME$ ; द्वेपायन॰  $C_{02}$   $\bullet$  ॰मुखोद्गीर्णं ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; ॰मुखोद्गीर्ण  $C_{02}$ , ॰ मुद्रीण्णं  $K_{3}$ , मुखं गीण्णं  $M^{ac}$ , मुरखार गीण्णं  $M^{pc}$ , मुखाद्रीणं E 5b धर्मं वा यद्वि ॰  $C_{02}$ धर्मं यत्तद्दवि॰  $C_{45}$ , धर्मवत्य द्वि॰  $C_{02}$ , धर्म वा यद्दवि॰  $K_3$ , धर्मवाक्यं द्वि॰  $M \bullet \circ \pi H$  ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7K_3E$ ; ॰त्तमः  $C_{02}$ , ॰तमः M **5c** हि मे तृप्ति ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; हि मे तृप्ति  $K_3$ , प्रसादेन M **5d** यत्नात्तपोधन ]  $C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; यन्नात्त××न  $C_{94}$ , यत्ना तपोधनः  $C_{02}$ , यत्ना तपोधन  $K_{3}$ , यत्नन्तपोधन M  $m{6}$  वैशम्पायन उवाच ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}K_{3}M^{pc}E$ ; omitted in  $M^{ac}$ **6a** राजन्न $\circ$  ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; राजंन $\circ$   $K_{3}$ , राजन॰  $\mathbf{M}$   $\mathbf{6b}$  ॰ख्यानमनुत्तमम् ]  $\mathbf{C}_{94}\mathbf{K}_{82}\mathbf{K}_{10}\mathbf{K}_{7}\mathbf{ME}$ ; ॰ख्यानमुत्तमम्  $\mathbf{C}_{45}$ , ॰ख्यानमुतमम्  $\mathbf{C}_{02}$ , ॰धर्मव्याख्यानमुत्तमं  $K_3$  (hypermetr.) **6c** °प्राप्तं ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7K_3ME$ ; °प्राप्त  $C_{02}$  **6d** °धर्मं ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7K_3ME$ ; ॰ मैं  $C_{02}$  • शृणोतु ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7K_3ME$ ; शृणोत  $C_{02}$  • मे ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_7K_3ME$ ; मै  $C_{45}$  7a ॰ कर्तारं ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_7 K_3 M E$ ; ॰ कर्त्तन्तं  $K_{10}$  7b ॰ व्रत ॰ ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_{10} K_7 K_3 E$ ; ॰ प्रत ॰ M • ॰यणम् ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{10}ME$ ; ॰यन  $C_{02}$ , ॰यण:  $K_{82}$ , ॰यनं  $K_7$ , ॰ $\langle$ यणं $\rangle$   $K_3$  7d ॰परम् ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_7ME$ ; ॰िन्तितम्  $C_{02}K_3$ , ॰ १प\रं  $K_{10}$  8a ॰ थै प्रश्नैकं ]  $C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; ॰ थै प्रश्नैकं  $C_{94}K_3$ , ॰ थैप्रश्नैकं  $C_{02}E$ , ॰ थैप्रश्नैकं M 8b प्रभ॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_3ME$ ; प्रभु॰  $C_{02}$ , प्राभ॰  $K_7$  8c ॰ थरो ]  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{82}K_7K_3ME$ ; ॰ ×रो  $C_{94}$ , ॰ धरा  $K_{10}$  8d ॰िन्तितः ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; ॰िन्तितं  $C_{02}K_3M$  9a ज्ञेया ]  $C_{94}K_{82}K_{10}K_7M$ ; ज्ञेयं  $C_{45}C_{02}$ , ज्ञेय  $K_3$ , भूयो E 9 $\mathbf{b}$  °वर्णि॰ ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}K_7K_3M$ ; °वर्णा॰ E ● °वर्जिता ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_3ME$ ; ॰वर्जितं  $C_{02}$ , ॰वर्जिताः  $\hat{K_7}$  9c ॰व्यञ्जन॰ ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}K_7K_3M$ ; ॰व्यज्जन॰ E 9cd ॰मुक्तमक्ष॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; ॰मुक्त अक्ष॰  $C_{45}$ , ॰मुक्तं अख॰  $K_{3}$ , ॰मुक्तं अक्ष॰ M9d किमु तत्परम् ]  $C_{94}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; किमतः परम्  $C_{45}C_{02}$ , किमतत्परं  $K_{10}K_{3}M$  **10a** ॰ च्यार्य॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}ME$ ; ॰चार्य॰  $C_{02}K_7K_3$  **10ab** ॰सन्दिग्धमविच्छिन्नमनाकुलम् ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_7K_3ME$ ; ॰िवच्छिन्नसन्दिग्धमनाकुन  $C_{02}$ , ॰सन्दिग्धमनच्छिन्नमनाकुलम्  $K_{10}$  **10d** किमु तत्परम् ]  $C_{94}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; किमतः परम्  $C_{45}M$ , किमतत्परं  $C_{02}K_3$  11 ॰राँग उवाच ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10} \breve{K}_7 ME$ ;  $\hat{\circ}$ रागोवाच  $K_3$ 

देही देहे क्षयं याते भूजलाग्निशिवादिभिः ।
यमदूतैः कथं नीतो निरालम्बो निरञ्जनः ॥१:११॥
कालपाशैः कथं बद्धो निर्देहश्च कथं व्रजेत् ।
स्वर्गं वा स कथं याति निर्देहो बहुधर्मकृत् ।
एतन्मे संशयं ब्रूहि ज्ञातुमिच्छामि तत्त्वतः ॥१:१२॥
अनर्थयज्ञ उवाच ।
अतिसंशयकष्टं ते पृष्टो ऽहं द्विजसत्तम ।
दुर्विज्ञेयं मनुष्यैस्तु देवदानवपन्नगैः ॥१:१३॥
कर्महेतुः शरीरस्य उत्पत्तिर्निधनं च यत् ।
सुकृतं दुष्कृतं चैव पाशद्वयमुदाहृतम् ॥१:१४॥
तेनैव सह संयाति नरकं स्वर्गमेव वा ।
सुखदुःखं शरीरेण भोक्तव्यं कर्मसम्भवम् ॥१:१५॥
हेतुनानेन विप्रेन्द्र देहः सम्भवते नृणाम् ।
यं कालपाशमित्याहुः शृणु वक्ष्यामि सुव्रत ॥१:१६॥
न त्वया विदितं किञ्चिज्जिज्ञास्यिस कथं द्विज ।

11b cf. KūrmP 2.23.74: अथ कश्चित्प्रमादेन म्रियते ऽग्निविषादिभिः । तस्याशौचं विधातव्यं कार्यं चैवोदकादिकम् ॥

4

11a देहे क्ष $^{\circ}$  ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{7}$ ; देहात्क्ष $^{\circ}$   $C_{45}$ , देहक्ष $^{\circ}$   $K_{82}K_{10}K_{3}ME$   $\bullet$  याते ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}ME$ ; यान्ते  $K_3$  11b ॰िग्निशवादिभिः ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7ME$ ; ॰िग्निशवादिभि  $C_{02}$ , ॰िग्नेशिवादिभि  $K_3$ **11c** ॰दूतै: ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}ME$ ; ॰दूते  $C_{02}K_{3}$  • नीतो ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}K_{3}$ ; नीत्वा  $C_{02}$ , नीतः M, नीता E **11d** निरञ्जनः ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7K_3ME$ ; निरञ्जन  $C_{02}$  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7ME$ ; ॰पाशे  $C_{02}$ , ॰पाशे  $K_3$  • बद्धो ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_7ME$ ; ब $\langle \xi \xi \rangle$  उद्धे  $C_{45}$ , बद्ध  $K_3$  12b निर्देहश्च ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7M^{pc}E$ ; निर्दहः सं  $C_{02}$ , निर्देहस्य  $K_3$ , निर्देहन्म  $M^{ac} \bullet \overline{g}$  जेत् ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}K_{3}ME$ ; भवेत्  $K_{10}$  12c स्वर्गं ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; स्वर्ग  $C_{02}K_{3}M \bullet H$  ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}K_{3}E$ ; सं  $K_{10}M$  • याति ]  $K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}K_{3}M$ ; यान्ति  $C^{\Sigma}E$  12e संशयं ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{7}ME$ ; संशये  $K_{82}$ , संशयो  $K_{10}K_3$  12f ॰ तुमिच्छामि ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_7K_3ME$ ; ॰ तुमि  $C_{45}$  13 अनर्थयज्ञ उवाच ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}^{pc}K_{10}K_7K_3ME$ ; omitted in  $m K_{82}^{ac}$  13a अतिसंशयकष्टं ते ]  $m C_{45} 
m K_{82} 
m K_{10} 
m K_7 M^{pc}$ ; अतिशंस $m \wr$ य $m \wr$ कष्टन्ते  $m C_{94}$ , अतिशंसयकष्टम्मे  $\mathrm{C}_{\scriptscriptstyle{02}}\mathrm{M}^{\scriptscriptstyle{ac}}\mathrm{E}$ , अतिसंशयकष्टो मो  $\mathrm{K}_{\scriptscriptstyle{3}}$ 13b द्विजसत्तम ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}ME$ ; च द्विजोत्तमः  $C_{02}$ , ्रिजसत्तमः  $K_3$  13c ॰ ज्ञेयं ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_7$ ; ॰ ज्ञेय  $C_{02}K_{10}K_3ME$  ● मनुष्यैस्तु ]  $C_{94}K_{82}K_{10}K_7ME$ ; मनुषेश्च  $C_{45}$ , मणुक्षे/प्तु $\langle C_{02}$ , मनुष्येस्तु  $K_3$  **14a** कर्म $^{\circ}$  ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7K_3M$ ; अनर्थयज्ञ उवाच ॥ कर्म $^{\circ}$   $C_{02}E$   $\bullet$  °हेतु: ]  $C_{45}$ ; °हेतु  $C_{94}K_{82}K_{10}K_7K_3ME$ , °हेतु  $C_{02}$   $\bullet$  शरीरस्य ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7K_3ME$ ; शरीरस्यं  ${
m C_{02}}$   ${
m 14b}$  उत्पत्तिर्नि॰ ]  ${
m M}$ ; उत्पत्तिनि॰  ${
m C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E}$ , उत्पतिनि॰  ${
m C_{02}K_{3}}$   ${
m f e}$  च यत् ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}ME$ ; च यः  $K_{10}$ , यत्  $K_{3}$  **14c** सुकृतं ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}ME$ ; सुकृतकृतन्  $C_{02}$ , सुकृत **15a** तेनैव ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}ME$ ; तेनेव  $C_{02}K_{3}$  • संयाति ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; सा यान्ति  $C_{02}K_3$ , सा याति M 15b वा ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{10}K_7ME$ ; च  $K_{82}K_3$  15c सुख $^{\circ}$  ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; सुखं M • °दुःखं ] C<sub>94</sub>C<sub>45</sub>K<sub>82</sub>K<sub>7</sub>M; °दुःख C<sub>02</sub>K<sub>10</sub>E 15d °सम्भवम् ] C<sub>94</sub>C<sub>45</sub>K<sub>82</sub>K<sub>10</sub>K<sub>7</sub>M; ्सम्भवः  $C_{02}$ E **16a** ॰न्द्र ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_7 M E$ ; ॰न्द्रः  $K_{10}$  **16b** देहः ]  $C_{94} C_{45} K_{82} K_7 E$ ; देहे  $C_{02}$ , देह  $K_{10}M$  • नृणाम् ]  $C_{94}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}ME$ ; नृणा  $C_{45}C_{02}$  **16c** यं कालपाशमित्याहुः ] em.; यं कालपाशिमत्याह  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}$ , कालपासेति सत्वाह  $C_{02}$ , यं कालपाशिमत्याहु  $K_{10}K_7E$ , कालपाषेति  $\wr$ पस्त्वे $\wr$ ह M 16d °त्रत ]  $C_{94}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}ME$ ; °त्रतः  $C_{45}C_{02}$  17a विदितं ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}ME$ ; विदित  $C_{02}$  17ab किञ्चिज्जि॰ ]  $C_{45}M$ ; किञ्चिद्धि॰  $C_{94}^{pc}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ , किद्धि ° $C_{94}^{qc}$ , किञ्चि जि॰ (cancelled) कथं द्विज C<sub>02</sub>

कालपाशं च विप्रेन्द्र सकलं वेत्तुमर्हसि ॥१:१७॥ कलाकलितकालं च कालतत्त्वकलां शृण् । त्रुटिद्वयं निमेषस्तु निमेषद्विगुणा कला ॥१:१८॥ कलाद्विगुणिता काष्ठा काष्ठा वै त्रिंशतिः कला । त्रिंशत्कला मुहूर्तश्च मानुषेन द्विजोत्तम ॥१:१९॥ मुहूर्तत्रिंशकेनैव अहोरात्रं विदुर्बुधाः । अहोरात्रं पुनस्त्रिंशन्मासमाहुर्मनीषिणः ॥१:२०॥ समा द्वादश मासाश्च कालतत्त्वविदो जनाः । शतं वर्षसहस्राणि त्रीणि मानुषसंख्यया ॥१:२१॥ षष्टिं चैव सहस्राणि कालः कलियुगः स्मृतः । द्विगुणः कलिसंख्यातो द्वापरो युग संज्ञितः ॥१:२२॥ त्रेता तु त्रिगुणा ज्ञेया चतुः कृतयुगः स्मृतः । एषा चतुर्युगा संख्या कृत्वा वै ह्येकसप्ततिः ॥१:२३॥ मन्वन्तरस्य चैकस्य ज्ञानमुक्तं समासतः । कल्पो मन्वन्तराणां तु चतुर्दश तु संख्यया ॥१:२४॥ दश कल्पसहस्राणि ब्रह्माहः परिकल्पितम् । रात्रिरेतावती प्रोक्ता मुनिभिस्तत्त्वदर्शिभिः ॥१:२५॥

**.** 

22 K<sub>10</sub> omits verses 22-24

4

**17c** कालपाशं च ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; कालपाषेति M **17d** वेत्तुमर्हिस ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}$ ; वेत्तुमूहिस  $K_{7}$ , वक्तुमहींसे ME **18a** कला॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}^{pc}K_{10}K_{7}ME$ ; काला॰  $C_{02}K_{82}^{ac}\bullet$  ॰ कालं च ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; ॰ कालश्च ME **18b** ॰ कलां ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{10}E$ ; ॰ कला  $C_{45}K_{7}$ , ॰ विधि  $K_{82}$ , ॰ कला: M **18c** त्रुटिद्रयं ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_7E$ ; तुटिद्वय  $C_{45}K_{10}$ , तुटिद्वयं  $K_{82}M$  ullet ॰ मेषस्तु  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{10}K_7ME$ ; ॰ मेवस्तु  $C_{94}$ , ॰ मेषद्वि ॰  $K_{82}$  18d निर्मेषद्वि॰ ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_{10} K_7 E$ ; निर्मेषाद्वि॰ M 19a ॰गुणिता ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_{10} K_7 E$ ; ॰गुणित  ${f M}$  19 ${f b}$  काष्ठा वै त्रिंशतिः ]  ${f C}_{94}{f K}_{82}{f K}_{10}{f K}_7{f E}$ ; वै त्रिंशता  ${f C}_{45}$ , काष्ठा वै त्रिंशति  ${f C}_{02}$ , काष्ठान्वै त्रिंशति  ${f M}$ **19c** मुहूर्तश्च ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_7M$ ; मुहूर्त्त  $C_{45}$ , मुहूर्तञ्च E **19d** मानुषेन ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7ME$ ; मानु । पश्चि ।  $C_{02} \bullet \circ \pi \pi$  ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}^{pc}E$ ;  $\circ \pi \pi$ :  $K_{10}M$ ,  $\circ \pi \pi$ :  $K_{7}^{ac}$  20a मुहूर्त  $\circ$  ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; मुहूर्ता M, महर्त E **20c** ॰रात्रं ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; ॰रात्र M **20d** ॰नीषिणः ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; ॰नीषिन **21a** समा ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}ME$ ; मास  $C_{02}$  • ॰मासाश्च ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; ॰मासश्च  $C_{02}E$ , मासाहुः M **21b** काल $^{\circ}$  ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}ME$ ; कला $^{\circ}$   $K_{7}$  **21c** शतं ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}M$ ; शत $^{\circ}$ E 21b मानुष ]  $C_{94}K_{82}K_{10}K_7ME$ ; माणुष्य  $C_{45}C_{02}$  (unmetr.) 22a षष्टिं चैव ]  $C^\Sigma K_7M$ ; षष्टिं वर्ष  $K_{82}$ , omitted in  $K_{10}$ , षष्टिश्चैव E 22b ्युगः ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7$ ; omitted in  $K_{10}$ ,  $\circ$ युग ME22c द्विगुणः किलसंख्यातो ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7$ ; omitted in  $K_{10}$ , किलसंख्यास्तु द्विगुणो M, द्विगुणा किलसंख्यातो 22d द्वापरो युग संज्ञितः ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}$ ; omitted in  $K_{10}$ , द्वापरः युगः संज्ञिकम् M, द्वापरे युग संज्ञितः 23a त्रेता ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}E$ ; तेत्रा  $C_{02}M$ , omitted in  $K_{10}$ , त्रेत्रा  $K_7$  • त्रिगुणा ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_7E$ ; तृगुणो M, omitted in  $K_{10}$  • ज्ञेया ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; ज्ञेयः M, omitted in  $K_{10}$  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}M$ ; omitted in  $K_{10}$ , ॰युग E 23d ह्ये॰ ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}ME$ ; omitted in  $K_{10}$ , हे॰  $K_{7}$  • ॰सप्तितिः ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7E$ ; ॰सप्तिति M, omitted in  $K_{10}$  **24a** चैकस्य ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}^{pc}K_7M^{pc}E$ ; omitted in  $K_{82}^{ac}K_{10}M^{ac}$ 24b ॰क्तं ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; ॰क्त M, omitted in  $K_{10}$  24c कल्पो ]  $C_{45}$ ; कल्प  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_7ME$ , omitted in  $K_{10}$  • मन्वन्त $\circ$  ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_7E$ ; न्वन्त $\circ$   $M^{ac}$ , मंन्वन्त $\circ$   $M^{pc}$ , omitted in K<sub>10</sub> **24d** °दश ] C<sub>94</sub>C<sub>02</sub>K<sub>82</sub>K<sub>7</sub>ME; °दशं C<sub>45</sub>, omitted in K<sub>10</sub> • संख्यया ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_7 E$ ; शंक्षया M, omitted in  $K_{10}$  **25b** ॰आहः ]  $C_{45} C_{02} K_{82} K_{10} K_7 ME$ ; ॰आह  $C_{94}$  • परिकल्पितम् ]  $C_{94}K_7$ ; करिकल्पितम्  $C_{45}$ , परिकल्पितः  $C_{02}K_{10}ME$ , परिकीर्तिताः  $K_{82}$  **25d** ॰दर्शिभिः ] C<sup>Σ</sup>K<sub>82</sub>K<sub>10</sub>K<sub>7</sub>E; ∘दर्शिभि M

रात्र्यागमे प्रलीयन्ते जगत्सर्वं चराचरम् । अहागमे तथैवेह उत्पद्यन्ते चराचरम् ॥१:२६॥ परार्धपरकल्पानि अतीतानि द्विजोत्तम । अनागतं तथैवाहुर्भृगुरादिमहर्षयः ॥१:२७॥ यथार्कग्रहतारेन्दु भ्रमतो दृश्यते त्विह । कालचक्रं भ्रमत्वैव विश्रमं न च विद्महे ॥१:२८॥ कालः सृजति भूतानि कालः संहरते पुनः । कालस्य वशगाः सर्वे न कालवशकृत्क्वचित् ॥१:२९॥ चतुर्दशपरार्धानि देवराजा द्विजोत्तम । कालेन समतीतानि कालो हि दुरतिक्रमः ॥१:३०॥ एष कालो महायोगी ब्रह्मा विष्णुः परः शिवः । अनादिनिधनो धाता स महात्मा नमस्कुरु ॥१:३१॥

## [परार्धादि ]

विगतराग उवाच । श्रुतं वै कालचक्रं तु मुखपद्मविनिःसृतम् । परार्धं च परं चैव श्रोतुं वः प्रतिदीपितम् ॥१:३२॥ अनर्थयज्ञ उवाच । एकं दशं शतं चैव सहस्रमयुतं तथा । प्रयुतं नियुतं कोटिमर्बुदं वृन्दमेव च ॥१:३३॥ खर्वं चैव निखर्वं च शङ्कुः पद्मं तथैव च ।

 ${f 29ab} pprox {
m UMS}~12.34{
m cd}: \;\;$  कालः पचित भूतानि कालः संहरते प्रजाः  ${f 29} pprox {
m K\"urmP}~1.11.32: \;\;$  कालः सृजित भूतानि कालः संहरते प्रजाः । सर्वे कालस्य वशगा न कालः कस्यचिद्वशे ॥  ${f 30d} = {
m MBh}~12.220.41{
m d}$  =  ${
m GarP}~1.108.7{
m d}$   ${f 34ab} = {
m BrahmāṇḍaP}~3.2.101$ 

4

समुद्रो मध्यमन्तं च परार्धं च परं तथा ॥१:३४॥ सर्वे दशगुणा ज्ञेयाः परार्धं यावदेव हि । परार्धिद्वगुणेनैव परसंख्या विधीयते ॥१:३५॥ परात्परतरं नास्ति इति मे निश्चिता मतिः । पुराणवेदपठिता मयाख्याता द्विजोत्तम ॥१:३६॥

[ब्रह्माण्डम् ]

विगतराग उवाच । ब्रह्माण्डं कित विज्ञेयं प्रमाणं प्रापितं क्वचित् । कित चाङ्गुलिमूर्ध्वेषु सूर्यस्तपित वै महीम् ॥१:३७॥ अनर्थयज्ञ उवाच । ब्रह्माण्डानां प्रसंख्यातुं मया शक्यं कथं द्विज । देवास्ते ऽपि न जानन्ति मानुषाणां च का कथा ॥१:३८॥ पर्यायेण तु वक्ष्यामि यथाशक्यं द्विजोत्तम । ब्रह्मणा यत्पुराख्यातो मातिरश्चा यथा तथा ॥१:३९॥ शिवाण्डाभ्यन्तरेणैव सर्वेषामिव भूभृताम् । दश नाम दिशाष्टानां ब्रह्माण्डे कीर्तितं शृणु ॥१:४०॥

[ भूभृतां नामानि ]

[ पूर्वतः ]

4

**34cd** E omits 34cd-35

39cd cf. BrahmandaP 3.4.58cd: ब्रह्मा ददौ शास्त्रमिदं पुराणं मातरिश्वने

**.** 

34c समुद्रो ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; समुद्र $^\circ$  M, omitted in E  $\bullet$  मध्यमन्तं च ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}^{ac}M$ ; मध्यमान्तं च  $K_{82}^{pc}$ , मध्य $\langle$ मन्तञ्च $\rangle$   $K_{10}$ , मध्यमन्तश्च  $K_7$ , omitted in E 34d परार्धं च परं तथा ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; परार्द्धपरद्वेगुणाम् M, omitted in E 35b परार्धं ]  $K_7$ ; परार्ध  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}M$ , परार्थि  $C_{94}$ , omitted in E  $\,$  **35c** परार्ध $^\circ$  ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}M$ ; परार्धं  $K_7$ , omitted in E  $\,$  **35d** ॰संख्या ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; ॰संख्या M, omitted in E **36ab** परात्परतरं नास्ति इति मे निश्चिता मितः ]  $C^\Sigma K_{10} K_{7^c}^{p_c}$ ; परात्परतरं नास्ति इति मे निश्चिता मित  $K_{82} K_{7^c}^{a_c}$ , परापरतरन्नास्ति इति मे निश्चिता मित M, वृन्दञ्चैव महावृन्द द्विपरानन्तमेव च । परात्परतरं नास्ति इति मे निश्चिता मितः ॥ E 36e ॰वेद॰ ]  $C_{94}E$ ; ॰वेदे  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{10}K_7$  (unmetr.), ॰वेदा  $K_{82}$ , ॰वेदैः M **36f** ॰आख्याता ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}$ ; ॰आख्यातं  $C_{02}K_{10}K_7ME$  • ॰त्तम ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; ॰तम M **37a** ब्रह्माण्डं ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7ME$ ; ब्रह्माण्डं  $C_{02}$  **37b** प्रमाणं प्रापितं क्वचित् ] conj.; प्रमाणञ्चापितं क्वचित्  ${
m C}^{\Sigma}{
m K}_{82}{
m K}_{10}{
m E}$ , प्रमाञ्चापितत् क्वचित्  ${
m K}_7$ , प्रमाणञ्चापितां कित  ${
m M}$  37c  ${
m e}$  र्ध्वेषु  ${
m J}$ em.; ॰ धेषु  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}ME$  37d सूर्यस्त॰  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; यों  $M^{ac}$ , शूर्यों  $M^{pc}$  • महीम्  $\vec{J}$  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{82}M$ ; मही $(T_{94}, T_{81}) = (T_{94}, T_{81}) = (T_{94}, T_{81}) = (T_{94}, T_{82}) = (T_$  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7M$ ; प्रसंसा तु  $K_{10}$ , च संख्यातुं E **38b** शक्यं क $^\circ$  ]  $K_{82}K_{10}E$ ; शक्या क $^\circ$   $C^\Sigma K_7$ , सक्याङ्क $^\circ$ M 38c देवास्ते ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; देवतापि M 38d मानुषाणां च ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; मानुषार्नञ्च  $\mathbf{M}^{ac}$ , मानुषानाञ्च  $\mathbf{M}^{pc}$  39c पुराख्यातो ]  $\mathbf{C}^\Sigma \mathbf{K_{82}} \mathbf{K_{10}} \mathbf{K_{7}}$ , पुराख्यातं  $\mathbf{M}$ , ममाख्यातो  $\mathbf{E}$  40a शिवाण्डा $^\circ$  ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; शिवाण्ड॰  $M^{ac}$ , शिवाण्डे॰  $M^{pc}$  40b सर्वेषामिव भूभृताम् ] conj.; सर्वेषामिव भूरिताः  $C_{94}C_{45}K_7$ , सर्वेषामेव भूरिताः  $C_{02}$ , सर्वेषामिव भूरिता  $K_{82}$ , सर्वेषामेव भूरिणाम्  $K_{10}$ , सर्र्षपार इव भाविता M, सर्वेषामेव भूरिमां E 40c दिशा॰ ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}ME$ ; शिवा॰  $K_{10}$  40d ब्रह्माण्डे ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; ब्रह्मण्डा M ullet कीर्तितं शृणु ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; य च कीर्तितम्  $C_{45}$ , कीर्त्तिता शृणु M

सहासहः सहः सह्यो विसहः संहतो ऽसभा । प्रसहो ऽप्रसहः सानुः पूर्वतो दश नायकाः ॥१:४१॥

#### [ आग्नेये ]

प्रभासो भासनो भानुः प्रद्योतो द्युतिमो द्युतिः । दीप्ततेजाश्च तेजाश्च तेजा तेजवहो दश । आग्नेये त्वेतदाख्यातं याम्ये शृण्वथ भो द्विज ॥१:४२॥

## [ याम्ये ]

यमो ऽथ यमुना यामः संयमो यमुनो ऽयमः । संयनो यमनोयानो यनियुग्मा यनोयनः ॥१:४३॥

# [ नैरृते ]

नगजो नगना नन्दो नगरो नग नन्दनः । नगर्भो गहनो गुह्यो गूढजो दश तत्परः ॥१:४४॥

#### [ बारुणे ]

वारुणेन प्रवक्ष्यामि शृणु विप्र निबोध मे । बभ्रः सेतुर्भवोद्भद्रः प्रभवोद्भवभाजनः । भरणो भुवनो भर्ता दशैते वरुणालयाः ॥१:४५॥

#### [ वायव्ये ]

नृगर्भो ऽसुरगर्भश्च देवगर्भो महीधरः ।

4

**41a** सहासहः ]  $K_7$ ; साहासह  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_{10} ME$  ● सहः सह्यो ]  $C_{94} C_{02} K_{82} K_{10} K_7$ ; सहः सज्ञा  $C_{45}$ , सहो सह्राः M, सहः सज्ञो E 41b विसहः ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; विसह  $C_{02}M$  • ऽसभा ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; सहा M, सभाः  $C_{45}$ , सता E 41c प्रसहो ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}M$ ; प्रसहेः E • प्रसहः ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}M$ ; प्रसं(वः)  $C_{02}$ , सप्रहः  $E \bullet \text{ सानु: } ] C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}$ ; सानु  $K_{7}ME \quad \textbf{41d} \text{ पूर्वतो } ] C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}M$ ; पर्वतो E**42a** भासनो ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}M$ ; भास $C_{02}$ , भासतो  $E \bullet$  भानुः ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; भानु  $C_{45}M$  42b द्युतिमो ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}M$ ; द्युतिनो  $K_{7}E$  42c दीप्ततेजाश्च तेजाश्च ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; दीप्ततेजाश्च तेजश्च  $C_{45}$ , दीप्ततेजस् तेजश्च M (unmetr.), दीप्ततेजश्च तेजाश्च E**42d** तेजा तेजवहो **1**  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; तेजतेजयह M  $\stackrel{\cdot}{\bullet}$  42e आग्नेये ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}E$ ; आग्नेय  $K_7$ , आग्नेर्ये M  $\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet}$  त्वेतदा $^\circ$  ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; त्वेचमा M **42f** शृण्वथ ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; शृणुथ M • द्विज ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}ME$ ; 43b संयमो ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; संयम M • यमुनो ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{10}$ ; यमनो  $C_{02}K_{7}$ , युमुना  $K_{82}$ , यमतो M, यमुना $\circ$   $E \bullet$  यमः  $] C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; यन  $M \bullet 43c$  संयनो यमनोयानो  $] K_{82}$ ; संयमो यमनोयानो  $C_{94}C_{02}E$ , संयमो यमुनोयानो  $C_{45}K_{10}$ , संयमा यमनो यामो  $K_7$ , यमियुग्मा यनो यानः M ${f 43d}$  यनियुग्मा यनोयनः ]  ${f K}_{10}$ ; यनियुग्मा नयो यनः  ${f C}_{94}{f C}_{02}{f K}_{82}$ , यनियुग्मा नयो नयः  ${f C}_{45}$ , यनियुग्मा नयो यमः  $K_7$ , दशमा याम्यमाशृता M, यनियुग्मा नयोनय E 44a नगना नन्दो ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; नगजा नन्दो  $C_{45}$ , नगनागेन्द्र M, नगनो नदो E  $44\mathbf{b}$  नगरो नगनन्दनः ]  $K_{10}M^{ac}$ ; नगरोरगनन्दनः  $C_{94}K_7$ , नगरो $\alpha$ नगनन्द $\alpha$ नः  $\mathrm{C}_{45}$ , नग $\langle$ रो $\langle$ \_\_ नन्दनः  $\mathrm{C}_{02}$ , नगरोगरनन्दनः  $\mathrm{K}_{82}$ , नगरो नननन्दनः  $\mathrm{M}^{pc}$ , नगरोन्नगनन्दनः  $\mathrm{E}$  - 44c नगर्भो ] $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}E_{7}^{-}$ नगभी  $K_{10}$ , नगभी  $M \bullet \eta$ हनो गुह्यो ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; गुहनो गुह्य M, गहनो गुह्ये E + 44d गूढजो ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; गुडजो  $M \bullet \pi$ त्परः ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; तत्परम् M 45a वारुणेन ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}M$ ; वारुणे च E 45b शृणु ]  $K_{10}M$ ; शृङ्गे  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_7$ , शृश्ङ्गे  $C_{02}$ , मृद्धे E 45c बभ्रः सेतुर्भ॰ ] corr.; बभ्रं सेतुर्भ॰  $C_{94}C_{45}$ , बभ्रं सेतु भ॰  $C_{02}$ , बभ्रं सेतु भ॰  $K_{82}$ , बभ्रं सोतुर्भ॰  $K_{10}$ , बभ्रं सेतुर्भ॰  $K_7$ , बभ्रं सेतु भ॰ M, बभून्सतुर्भ॰ E 45d प्रभवोद्भव॰ ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; प्रभवोभव॰ M • ॰ भाजनः ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}M$ ;  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}E$ ; दशेते  $K_7$ , दशैता M • ॰आलयाः ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; ॰आलया ME $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; नृगभा  $M \bullet \circ$ गर्भश्च ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{10}K_{7}$ ;  $\circ$ गर्भाश्च  $C_{02}K_{82}ME$ 46b देवगर्भो ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; देवगर्भ M

वृषभो वृषगर्भश्च वृषाङ्को वृषभध्वजः ॥१:४६॥ ज्ञातव्यश्च तथा सम्यग् वृषजो वृषनन्दनः । नायका दश वायव्ये कीर्तिता ये म्या द्विज ॥१:४७॥

[ उत्तरे ]

सुलभः सुमनः सौम्यः सुप्रजः सुतनुः शिवः । सतः सत्य लयः शम्भुर्दश नायकमुत्तरे ॥१:४८॥

[ ईशाने ]

इन्दु बिन्दु भुवो वज्र वरदो वर वर्षणः । इलनो वलिनो ब्रह्मा दशेशानेषु नायकाः ॥१:४९॥

[ मध्यमे ]

अपरो विमलो मोहो निर्मलो मन मोहनः । अक्षयश्चाव्ययो विष्णुर्वरदो मध्यमे दश ॥१:५०॥

[ परिवाराः ]

सर्वेषां दशमीशानां परिवारशतं शतम् । शतानां पृथगेकैकं सहस्रैः परिवारितम् ॥१:५१॥ सहस्रेषु च एकैकमयुतैः परिवारितम् । अयुतं प्रयुतैर्वृन्दैः प्रयुतं नियुतैर्वृतम् ॥१:५२॥ एकैकस्य परीवारो नियुतः पृथगेव च ।

4

46c ॰गर्भश्च ]  $C^\Sigma K_{10} K_7 E$ ; ॰गर्भाश्च  $K_{82}$ , ॰गर्भोश्च M 46d वृषाङ्को ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_{10} K_7 E$ ; वृषांगो M ● वृषभ॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}ME$ ; वृष×॰  $C_{02}$  47a ज्ञातव्यश्च तथा सम्यग् ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; वृषञ्जवृषनन्दश्च M, ज्ञानवाञ्च तथा सत्य॰ E 47b वृषजो वृषनन्दनः ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; वृषनन्दनः  $K_{82}$ , दशनायक वायवे m M 47cd नायका दश वायव्ये कीर्तिता ये मया द्विज ]  $m C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}E$ ; नायका दश वायव्ये कीर्तिता ये मया द्विज:  $C_{02}K_{10}$ , नायका दश वायव्ये कीर्तिता य मया द्विज  $K_7$ , कीर्तितो यं मया द्विप्र यथा तथ्येन सुव्रत: M**48a** सुलभः ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}M$ ; सुरभः  $E \bullet$  सुमनः ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}E$ ; सुमनाः  $K_{7}$ , सुमनो  $M \bullet$  सौम्यः ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; सोम्य M 48c सतः सत्य ] corr.; सत सत्य  $C^{\Sigma}K_{7}$ , सत्यसत्य  $K_{82}$ , सुत सत्य  $K_{10}$ , सुतः सत्य M, सत सत्या॰  $E \bullet m$ यः ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}ME$ ; लयं  $K_7$  48cd शम्भुर्द॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{10}E$ ; शम्भु द॰  $C_{02}K_{82}K_7$ , शम $\dot{y}$  द॰ M **48d** ॰ नायकमु॰  $]C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_7M$ ; ॰ नायक उ॰ E **49a** वज्र ] $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; व्रजः M 49 $\mathbf{b}$  ॰वर्षणः ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}M$ ; ॰×१र्शणम $(K_7, \, \circ \, \mathsf{द}$ र्य्य च E 49 $\mathbf{c}$  इलनों विलनो ब्रह्मा ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; इलिनो विलनो ब्रह्मः M 49d दशे॰ ]  $C_{94}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; दशै॰  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{10}$ , दिशै॰ M ullet नायकाः ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; नायका M  ${ullet}$  50a अपरो विमलो मोहो ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; अपरः विमला मोहा M 50b निर्मलो म॰ ] em.; निमलो म॰  $C_{94}$ , निर्मलोन्म॰  $C_{45}K_7$ , निर्मलोत्म॰  $C_{02}E$ , निमलोर्म $\circ$   $K_{82}K_{10}$ , निर्मलोन्म $\circ$  M ${f 50c}$  अक्षयश्चाव्ययो ]  ${f C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7};$  अक्षयाश्चाव्ययो  ${f C_{02}},$ **50cd** विष्णुर्व॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{7}E$ ; विष्णु व॰  $C_{02}K_{82}M$ , विष्णुर्व अक्षयश्चाव्ययं M, अक्षयञ्चाव्ययो E  $K_{10}$  50d मध्यमे दश ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{7}$ ; मध्यमो दश  $C_{02}K_{82}$ , तरवर्षणः  $K_{10}$ , मध्यमो दशः M, मध्यमे दशः E 51a सर्वेषा ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}ME$ ; सर्वेषा  $K_{7}$  • दशमीशानां ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}M$ ; दशरीशानां E51b परिवार॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{10}K_7ME$ ; परि॰  $C_{45}$ , परिवारं  $K_{82}$  51d सहस्रैः ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; सहस्रै M • ॰वारितम् ]  $C_{94}C_{45}C_{02}^{ee}K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; ॰वारिता  $C_{02}^{ae}$ , ॰वारितः M, ॰वारिताः E 52ab एकैकम॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; एकैकं म $^{\circ}$   $C_{02}K_{82}M$  **52b** परिवारितम् ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; परिवारितः M, परिवारितमाः E 52c अयुतं ] E; अयुतै:  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}M$ , अयुतै  $K_{10}$  • प्रयुतैर्वृन्दै: ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}E$ ; प्रयुतै वृन्दै:  $K_{7}$ , प्रयुतैर्भृत्य M  $^{-}$  52d प्रयुतं नियुतैर्वृतम् ] corr.; प्रयुतैर्नियुतैर्वृतः  $C_{94}^{\circ}C_{45}K_{82}K_{7}$ , प्रयुतैर्नियुतैर्वृतः  $C_{02}^{\circ}$ , प्रयुतै नियुतै वृतः  $K_{10}$ , प्रयुतः नियुतैः वृतः M, प्रयुतं नियुतैर्वृतः E 53a परीवारो ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_{10} K_7$ ; परिवार M(unmetr.), परिवारो E (unmetr.) 53b नियुतः ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}ME$ ; नियुत  $C_{02}$  • च ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}^{pc}ME$ ; चः  $K_{7}^{ac}$ 

कोटिभिर्दशकोट्येन एकैकः परिवारितः ॥१:५३॥ दशकोटिषु एकैकं वृन्दवृन्दभृतैर्वृतम् । वृन्दवर्गेषु एकैकं खर्वभिः परिवारितम् ॥१:५४॥ खर्ववर्गेषु एकैकं दशखर्वगणैर्वृतम् । दशखर्वेषु एकैकं शङ्कुभिः परिवारितम् ॥१:५५॥ शङ्कुभिः पृथगेकैकं पद्मेन परिवारितम् ॥१:५५॥ शङ्कुभिः पृथगेकैकं पद्मेन परिवारितम् ॥१:५६॥ समुद्रेषु तथैकैकं समुद्रैः परिवारितम् ॥१:५६॥ समुद्रेषु तथैकैकं मध्यसंख्यैस्तु तैर्वृतम् । मध्यसंख्येषु एकैकं परार्धपरिवारितम् ॥१:५७॥ अनन्तेषु च एकैकं परार्धपरिवारितम् । एपरार्धेषु च एकैकं परेण परिवारितम् । एष वै कथितो विप्र शक्यं सांख्यमुदीरितम् ॥१:५८॥

#### [प्रमाणम् ]

प्रमाणं शृणु मे विप्र संक्षेपाद्बुवतो मम । चन्द्रोदये पूर्णमास्यां वपुरण्डस्य तादृशम् ॥१:५९॥ कोटिकोटिसहस्रं तु योजनानां समन्ततः । अण्डानां च परीमाणं ब्रह्मणा परिकीर्तितम् ॥१:६०॥ सप्तकोटिसहस्राणि सप्तकोटिशतानि च ।

4

53c कोटिभिर्दशकोट्येन  $C_{94}$   $C_{02}$  E; कोटिभि दशकोट्येन  $C_{45}$ , कोटिभिर्दशकोट्योन  $C_{82}$   $K_7$ , कोटिभिर्दशकोट्येन:  $K_{10}$ , कोटिभिः परिवाराणि कोटिभि दशकोटिकम् M 53d एकैकः परिवारितः ]  $C_{45}K_{82}E$ ; एकैकः परिवरि $\wr$ तः $\wr$  $C_{94}$ , एकैकपरिवारितः  $C_{02}K_{10}K_7$ , एकैकपरिवाराणां M **54a** दशकोटिषु एकैकं ]  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{10}E$ ; दशकोटीषु एकैकं  $C_{94}K_{82}K_7$ , दशकोट्येषु एककं M f 54b वृन्दवृन्दभृतैर्वृतम् ]  $C^\Sigma ec{K}_{10}$ ; वृन्दवृन्दवृतैर्वृतं  $K_{82}$ , वृन्दवृन्दभृतै वृतं  $K_7$ , वृन्द्रवृन्देषु एकैकं M, वृन्दवृन्दं वृतैर्वृतः E  $\mathbf{54\tilde{c}}$  वृन्देवर्गेषु  $\mathbf{\tilde{J}}C^\Sigma K_{s2}K_{10}K_7E$ ; वृन्दवर्गेभिः तै वृतम्  $\mathbf{\tilde{M}}$  $\mathbf{54d}$  खर्विभिः परिवारितम् ]  $\mathbf{C}^\Sigma \mathbf{K}_{s_2}^{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{K}_{10}^{\mathbf{x}}$ , खर्विभिः परिवारितम्  $\mathbf{K}_7$ , खर्विभिः परिवाराणि  $\mathbf{M}$ , खर्विभिः परिवारितः  $\mathbf{E}$ **55a** खर्ववर्गेषु एकैकं  $]C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E;$  खर्ववर्गेव एककम् M **55b** दशखर्वगणैर्वृतम्  $]C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10};$ दशखर्वगणै वृतम्  $C_{45}$ , दशखर्वगणे वृत्तं  $K_7$ , दशखर्वेषु एकैकं दशखर्वगणैर्वृतम् M, दशखर्वगणैर्वृतः E 55c ॰खर्वेषु ] $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}ME$ ; ॰गर्वेषु  $K_7$  **55d** शङ्कुभिः परिवारितम् ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}K_7M$ ; शङ्कुभिः परिवारितः E**56a** पृथगेकैकं ] em.; पृथगेनेव  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_7ME$ , पृथगैनेव  $C_{45}$  **56b** ॰ वारितम् ]  $K_{82}^{pc}M$ ; ॰ वारितः  $C^{\Sigma}K_{10}K_7E$ , ॰ तं  $K_{82}^{ac}$  **56d** समुद्रैः ]  $C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_7ME$ ; समुद्दैः  $C_{94}$ , दमु(दैः)  $C_{45}$  • ॰ वारितम् ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}M;$  ॰ वारितः E ~ **57a** तथै॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}ME;$  तथे॰  $C_{02}$  ~ **57b** मध्यसंख्यैस्तु तैर्वृतम् ]  $C^\Sigma K_{s2}M$ ; मध्यस्ख्यैस्तु तै वृतम्  $K_{10}$ , मध्यसख्यैस्तु तेर्वृतं  $K_7$ , मध्ये शङ्ख्यायुतैर्वृतः E  $\,$  **57c** मध्यसंख्येषु ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; मध्यसांखो च M, मध्ये शंखेषु E **57cd** एकैकमनन्तै:  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}E$ ; एकैकं मनतै: 57 $\mathbf{d}$  ॰वारितम् ]  ${C}^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}M$ ; ॰वारितः  ${E}$  5 $8\mathbf{b}$  परार्धपरिवारितम् ]  $K_7$ , एकैकं अनन्तै M $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; परार्ध \_ \_ \_ रितम्  $C_{02}$ , परार्धे परिवारितम् । अनन्तेषु च एकैकं परार्धपरिवारितं M, परार्धेः परिवारितः E **58d** ॰वारितम्  $]C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}M;$  ॰वारिवारितं  $K_{10}$ , ॰वारितः E **58e** कथितो  $]C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}M;$ ्कथितो $(K_{10}, a$  कथिता E **58f** शक्य  $]C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}ME$ ; शक्य  $C_{02}$  ● सांख्यमु॰  $]C_{94}C_{02}K_{10}M$ ; साख्यमु $\circ$   $C_{45}$ , स्यख्यमु $\circ$   $K_{82}$ , संख्यमु  $K_7$ , संख्यामु $\circ$  E **59a** प्रमाणं ]  $C_{02}K_{82}K_7ME$ ; प्रणामं  $C_{94}C_{45}$ , प्रमाण  $K_{10}$  **59b** संक्षेपाद्बुवतो ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}E$ ; संक्षेपादूदतो  $C_{45}$ , संख्येपाद्बुवतो  $K_7$ , संक्षेप ब्रुवतो  ${
m M}$  **60a** कोटिकोटि॰ ]  ${
m C}^\Sigma {
m K}_{82} {
m K}_{10} {
m K}_7 {
m E}$ ; कोटीकोटि॰  ${
m M}$  **60a** च परीमाणं ]  ${
m C}_{94} {
m C}_{02} {
m K}_{82} {
m K}_{10} {
m K}_7 {
m E}$ ; च परिमाणं  $C_{45}$  (unmetr.), परिमाणञ्च M **60b** ब्रह्मणा ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7ME$ ; \_ \_ \_  $C_{02}$ ॰कीर्तितम् ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; ॰कीर्ति $\langle$ ताः $\rangle$   $C_{02}$ , ॰कीर्तितः  $K_{82}M$ 

विंशकोटिष्वङ्गुलीषु ऊर्ध्वतस्तपते रविः ॥१:६१॥ प्रमाणं नाम संख्या च कीर्तितानि समासतः । ब्रह्माण्डं चाप्रमेयाणां लक्षणं परिकीर्तितम् ॥१:६२॥

#### [ व्यासाः ]

पुराणाशीसहस्राणि शतानि द्विजसत्तम । ब्रह्मणा कथितं पूर्णं मातिरश्चा यथातथम् ॥१:६३॥ वायुना पाद संक्षिप्य प्राप्तं चोशनसं पुरा । तेनापि पाद संक्षिप्य प्राप्तवांश्च बृहस्पतिः ॥१:६४॥ बृहस्पतिस्तु प्रोवाच सूर्यं त्रिंशत्सहस्रिकम् । पञ्चविंशत्सहस्राणि मृत्युं प्राह दिवाकरः ॥१:६५॥ एकविंशत्सहस्राणि मृत्युं नेन्द्राय कीर्तितम् । इन्द्रेणाह विसष्ठाय विंशत्श्लोकसहस्रिकम् ॥१:६६॥ अष्टादशसहस्राणि तेन सारस्वताय तु । सारस्वतस्त्रिधामाय सहस्रदश सप्त च ॥१:६७॥ षोडशानां सहस्राणि भरद्वाजाय वै ततः । दश पञ्चसहस्राणि अन्तरीक्षाय अभाषत ॥१:६८॥ चतुर्दशसहस्राणि अन्तरीक्षाय वै ततः । त्रय्यारुणिं सहस्राणि त्रयोदश अभाषत ॥१:६९॥ त्रय्यारुणिं सहस्राणि त्रयोदश अभाषत ॥१:६९॥

4

61cd The folio in  $K_{10}$  ends with জর্ঘে॰, and the folios that may have contained verses 1.61d-2.22 are missing.

4

 $C^\Sigma K_{s_2} K_7 E$ ; ऊर्ध्व\_\_  $K_{10}$ , ऊर्द्ध्वतो त $^\circ$  M • रिवः ]  $C^\Sigma K_{s_2} K_7 M$ ; रिव E 62a प्रमाणं ]  $C_{94} C_{02} K_{s_2} K_7 M E$ ; प्रणामं  $C_{45}$  62c ब्रह्माण्डं चा $^\circ$  ]  $K_{s_2}$ ; ब्रह्माण्डश्च  $C_{94} C_{45} K_7 M$ ,  $\setminus$  ब्रह्माण्डाश्चा $\setminus$   $\circ$   $\circ$   $\circ$   $\circ$   $\circ$  व्रह्माण्डाश्चा  $\circ$  0. ॰मेयाणां ]  $C_{94}K_{82}ME$ ; ॰मेयाणा  $C_{45}C_{02}K_7$  **62d** ॰कीर्तितम् ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_7E$ ; ॰कीर्तिताः  $C_{02}$ , ॰कीर्त्तितः M ~ 63 $\mathbf{b}$  ॰सत्तम ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{7}ME$ ; \_\_ मः  $C_{02}$  ~ 63 $\mathbf{c}$  पूर्णं ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}E$ ; पूर्वे  $C_{45}$ , पूर्ण  $K_7$ , पूर्वं M 63d मातिरश्चा ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_7 E$ ; मातिरश्च M • ॰तथम् ]  $C_{94} C_{45} K_{82} K_7 E$ ; ॰तथा  $\hat{C}_{02}M$  **64a** संक्षिप्य ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; संक्षिप्यः M **64b** प्राप्तं चोशनसं ]  $C_{45}K_{82}K_{7}$ ; प्राप्तं चौसनसं  $C_{94}$ , प्राप्त×औसनसं  $C_{02}$ , प्राप्ताश्चोशनसम M (unmetr.), प्राप्तश्चोशनसं E **64c** संक्षिप्य ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7E$ ; संक्षिप्यः  $\mathbf{M}$  **64d** प्राप्तवांश्च बृहस्पतिः ]  $\mathbf{C}^\Sigma\mathbf{K}_{82}\mathbf{K}_7\mathbf{E}$ ; प्राप्तधञ्च वृहस्पति  $\mathbf{M}$  **65b** सूर्यं ]  $\mathbf{C}_{02}\mathbf{E}$ ; सूर्यस्  $C_{94}K_{82}K_7$ , सूर्य  $C_{45}M$  • त्रिंशत्स• ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_7E$ ; त्रिंशस॰  $C_{02}M$  65c ॰ विंशत्सहस्राणि ] corr.; ॰ विंशहस्राणि  $C_{94}$ , ॰ विंशतसहस्राणि  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{82}K_7M$ , ॰ विंशत्सहस्राणि E 65d मृत्युं प्राह ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7E$ ; मृत्यु प्राहः M 66a ॰ विंशत॰ ] E; ॰ विंश॰  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7M$  66b कीर्तितम् ] E; कीर्तितः  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_7^{c}M$ , कीर्तिताः  $C_{02}$ , कीर्त्तित  $K_7^{ac}$   $f{66c}$  वसिष्ठाय ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}ME$ ; विशिष्ठाय  $C_{45}$ , वहिष्ठाय  $K_7$   $f{66d}$  विशित्थलो $\circ$  ] corr.; विंशश्लो $\circ C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{7}E$ , विशश्लो $\circ C_{45}$ , त्रिंशश्लो $\circ M$  67a अष्टादशसहस्राणि ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}E$ ; आष्टादशसहस्राणि  $K_7$ , वसिष्ठेदशसहस्रं M **67c** सारस्वतस्त्रि $^{\circ}$  ] em.; सारस्वता त्रि $^{\circ}$   $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_7E$ , सारस्वतास्त्रि॰  $C_{45}$ , सारस्वत तृ॰  $M \bullet \circ$ धामाय ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}^{pc} K_7 M E$ ; omitted in  $K_{82}^{ac} 67d$  सहस्रदश ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; सहस्रादश M **68b** भर $^{\circ}$  ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; भार $^{\circ}$   $C_{02}$ , सन $^{\circ}$  M **68d** अभाषत ]  $\mathrm{C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}};$  अ $\mathrm{``M`ing}$ त $\mathrm{C_{02}}$ , अभाषतः  $\mathrm{K_7E}$ , मभासतः  $\mathrm{M}$   $\mathbf{69b}$  अन्तरी $\mathrm{``J}$   $\mathrm{C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7E};$  अन्तरि $\mathrm{``M}$ **69c** त्रय्यारुणि ] corr.; त्र्यैयारुणि  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}M$ , त्रैयारुणि  $C_{02}E$ , त्र्यैयारूपिनि  $K_7$  **69d** अभाषत ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_7$ ; अभाषतः  $C_{45}$ , स्वभावत  $K_{82}$ , मभासतः M, ह्यभाषत E 70a त्रय्यारुणि $^\circ$  ] corr.; त्र्यैयारुणि $^\circ$  $C^{\Sigma}K_{7}$ , त्रैयारुणि॰  $K_{82}E$ , त्र्यैर्यारुणि॰ M • विप्रेन्द्रो ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; विप्रेन्द  $C_{02}M$  70b धनंजय॰ ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}^{\it pc}K_7ME$ ; धन॰  $K_{82}^{\it ac}$  • भाषत ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_7$ ; ॰भाषतः  $C_{45}ME$ 

द्वादशानि सहस्राणि संक्षिप्य पुनरब्रवीत् ॥१:७०॥ कृतंजयाय सम्प्राप्तो धनंजयमहामुनिः । कृतंजयाद्विजश्रेष्ठ ऋणंजयमहात्मने ॥१:७१॥ ऋणञ्जयात्पुनः प्राप्तो गौतमाय महर्षिणे । गौतमाच्य भरद्वाजस्तरमाद्धर्यात्मनाय तु ॥१:७२॥ राजश्रवास्ततः प्राप्तः सोमशुष्माय वै ततः । सोमशुष्मात्ततः प्राप्तस्तृणबिन्दुस्तु भो द्विज ॥१:७३॥ तृणबिन्दुस्तु वृक्षाय वृक्षः शक्तिमभाषत । शक्तिः पराशरं प्राह जतुकर्णाय वै ततः ॥१:७४॥ द्वैपायनं तु प्रोवाच जतुकर्णो महर्षिणम् । रोमहर्षाय सम्प्राप्तो द्वैपायनमहामुनिः ॥१:७५॥ रोमहर्षण प्रोवाच पुत्रायामितबुद्धये । दश द्वे च सहस्राणि पुराणं सम्प्रकाशितम् । मानुषाणां हितार्थाय किं भूयः श्रोतुमिच्छसि ॥१:७६॥ मानुषाणां हितार्थाय किं भूयः श्रोतुमिच्छसि ॥१:७६॥

॥ इति वृषसारसंग्रहे ब्रह्माण्डसंख्या नामाध्यायः प्रथमः॥

**76ab** ≈ BrahmāṇḍaP 3.4.67ab: मया चैतत्पुनः प्रोक्तं पुत्रायामितबुद्धये

4

71b ॰मुनिः ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; ॰मुणि M 71c कृतंजयाद्वि॰ ]  $C_{94}K_{82}E$ ; कृतंजया द्वि॰  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{7}$ , धनञ्जय द्वि॰  $C_{45}M_{82}E$ , ॰भिश  $C_{45}M_{82}E$ , ॰८० भरद्वाजस्तमाद्वर्यद्वाय  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}E$ , भरद्वारस्तमाद्वर्यद्वाय  $C_{45}M_{82}E$ , श्राज्ञ त ॰  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}E$ , राजश्रव त ॰  $C_{82}E$ , राजश्रव त ॰  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}E$ , राणश्रव त ॰  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}E$ 

# [ द्वितीयो ऽध्यायः ]

विगतराग उवाच । श्रुतं मया जनाग्रेण ब्रह्माण्डस्य तु निर्णयम् । प्रमाणं वर्णरूपं च संख्या तस्य समासतः ॥२:१॥ शिवाण्डेति त्वया प्रोक्तो ब्रह्माण्डालयकीर्तितः । कीदृशं लक्षणं ज्ञेयं प्रमाणं तस्य वा कति ॥२:२॥ कस्य वा लयनं ज्ञेयं प्रमाणं वात्र वासिनः । का वा तत्र प्रजा ज्ञेया को वा तत्र प्रजापतिः ॥२:३॥

[ शिवाण्डसंख्या ]

अनर्थयज्ञ उवाच । शिवाण्डलक्षणं विप्र न त्वं प्रष्टुमिहार्हिस । दैवतैरिप का शक्तिर्ज्ञातुं द्रष्टुं च तत्त्वतः ॥२:४॥ अगम्यगमनं गृह्यं गृह्यादिप समुद्भृतम् । न प्रभुर्नेतरस्तत्र न दण्ड्यो न च दण्डकः ॥२:५॥ न सत्यो नानृतस्तत्र सुशीलो नो दुःशीलवान् । नानृजुर्न च दम्भित्वं न तृष्णा न च ईर्ष्यता ॥२:६॥ न क्रोधो न च लोभो ऽस्ति न मानो ऽस्ति न सूयकः । ईर्ष्या द्वेषो न तत्रास्ति न शठो न च मत्सरः ॥२:७॥ न व्याधिर्न जरा तत्र न शोको ऽस्ति न विक्लवः । नाधमः पुरुषस्तत्र नोत्तमो न च मध्यमः ॥२:८॥ नोत्कृष्टो मानवस्तस्मिन्स्त्रियश्चैव शिवालये ।

4

Testimonia for this chapter:  $C_{94}$  ff. 195v-197r,  $C_{45}$  ff. 203v-204v,  $C_{02}$  ff. 270r-270v (it breaks off at 2.21 and resumes at 3.30b),  $K_{82}$  ff. 3v-4v,  $K_{10}$  exp. 43 and 42 (sic!; it broke off at 1.62d and resumes at 2.23),  $K_7$  ff. 211v-213r; E pp. 585-588;  $C^{\Sigma}=C_{94}+C_{45}+C_{02}$ 

5ab cf. LinP 1.21.71ab: नमो गुण्याय गुह्याय अगम्यगमनाय च

4

1a जनाग्नेण ]  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{82}K_7E$ ; जना  $C_{94}$  2b ब्रह्माण्डा॰ ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7$ ; ब्रह्माण्ड E 2c जेयं ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_7E$ ; जेया  $C_{02}$  2d कित ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_7E$ ; कितिः  $C_{02}$  3a लयनं जेयं ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_7$ ; लयनं  $C_{45}$ , लक्षणं जेयं E 3b वासिनः ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_7E$ ; वासिरानः  $C_{45}$  3c का ] em.; को  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7$ , कि E • प्रजा जेया ]  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{82}K_7E$ ; प्रशार T या  $C_{94}$  4b न त्वं ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7$ ; तत्वं E • °हिंसे ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}E$ ; °हिंसे  $K_7$  4c देवते॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}$ ; देवते॰  $C_{02}K_7E$  • शक्तिर् ]  $C_{94}$ ; शक्ति  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{82}E$ ; ॰ हिंसे  $K_7$  4c देवते॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}$ ; अगम्यगगहनं  $C_{02}$ , अगम्यगगमनं  $K_7$  5b गुह्मा॰ ]  $K_7E$ ; गुहा॰  $C^\Sigma K_{82}$  • समुद्धृतम् ] em.; समुद्धितम्  $C^\Sigma K_{82}$  , समुद्धितं  $K_7$ , समृद्धिदम् E 5c प्रभुनें॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_7E$ ; एउकः  $C_{45}^{\alpha c}$  , पण्डकः  $C_{45}^{\alpha c}$  6a सत्यो ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7$ ; सत्यौ E • तत्र ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7$ ; तत्र E 6b नो ]  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{82}K_7E$ ;  $C_{94}$  6c नान्गुर्न ] em.; नाऋजुन्न  $C_{94}E$ , नाऋजुनं  $C_{45}E_8$ , नाऋजुन्न  $C_{45}E_8$ , हर्ष्यताः  $C_{45}E_8$ , हर्ष्यति  $C_{45}E_8$ , हर

न निन्दा न प्रशंसास्ति मत्सरी पिशुनो न च ॥२:९॥ गर्वदर्पं न तत्रास्ति क्रूरमायादिकं तथा । याचमानो न तत्रास्ति दाता चैव न विद्यते ॥२:१०॥ अनर्थी व्रज तत्रस्थः कल्पवृक्षसमाश्रितः । न कर्म नाप्रियस्तत्र न कलिः कलहो न च ॥२:११॥ द्वापरो न च न त्रेता कृतं चापि न विद्यते । मन्वन्तरं न तत्रास्ति कल्पश्चैव न विद्यते ॥२:१२॥ आहृतसम्प्लवं नास्ति ब्रह्मरात्रिदिनं तथा । न जन्ममरणं तत्र आपदं नाप्नुयात्क्वचित् ॥२:१३॥ न चाशापाशबद्धो ऽस्ति रागमोहं न विद्यते । न देवा नासुरास्तत्र न यक्षोरगराक्षसाः ॥२:१४॥ न भूता न पिशाचाश्च गन्धर्वा ऋषयस्तथा । ताराग्रहं न तत्रास्ति नागकिंनरगारुडम् ॥२:१५॥ न जपो नाह्निकस्तत्र नाग्निहोत्री न यज्ञकृत् । न व्रतं न तपश्चैव न तिर्यन्नरकं तथा ॥२:१६॥ तस्येशानस्य देवस्य ऐश्वर्यगुणविस्तरम् । अपि वर्षशतेनापि शक्यं वक्तुं न केनचित् ॥२:१७॥ हरेच्छाप्रभवाः सर्वे पर्यायेण ब्रवीमि ते । देवमानुषवर्ज्यानि वृक्षगुल्मलतादयः ॥२:१८॥ परार्धद्विगुणोत्सेधो विस्तारश्च तथाविधः । अनेकाकारपुष्पाणि फलानि च मनोहरम् ॥२:१९॥ अन्ये काञ्चनवृक्षाणि मणिवृक्षाण्यथापरे । प्रवालमणिषण्डाश्च पद्मरागरुहाणि च ॥२:२०॥

16d cf. 19.48cd: विशिष्ठे त्विन्द्रियग्रामे तिर्यन्नरकसाधनम् 17c cf. MBh (Indeces) 14.4.2743: ऐश्वर्यगुणसंपन्नाः क्रीडन्ति च यथासुखम्, and BrahmāṇḍaP 1.26.1: महादेवस्य महात्म्यं प्रभुत्वं च महात्मनः । श्रोतुभिच्छामहे सम्यगैश्वर्यगुणविस्तरम् ॥

4

9c प्रशंसास्ति ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_7$ ; प्रशंसाश्च E 10c तत्रास्ति ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}^{9c} K_7 E$ ; तत्रा  $K_{82}^{9c}$  11a ज्ञज त॰ ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} E$ ; ज्ञजसत॰  $K_7$  11c कर्म ना॰ ] em.; कर्म न  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_7$ , कर्मणा E 11d किलीः ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_7^{9c}$ ; किलि  $K_7^{9c} E$  12a च न त्रेता ]  $C_{02} K_{82} K_7 E$ ; च न त्रेता  $C_{94}$ , च त्रेता न  $C_{45}$  12b कृतं चा॰ ]  $C_{02} K_{82}$  हृत्वश्चा॰  $C_{94} C_{45} K_7 E$  12c मन्वन्तरं न तत्रास्ति ]  $C_{94} C_{45} K_{82} E$ ; मन्वन्तत्रास्ति  $C_{02}$ , मन्वन्तरं नत्रास्ति  $K_7$  12d कल्पश्चैव ]  $C^\Sigma K_7 E$ ; कल्पं चैव  $K_{82}$  13a आहूत॰ ]  $C^\Sigma K_8 K_7$ ; आभूत॰ E 13b ब्रह्मरात्रिदिनं ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_7$ ; ब्रह्मरात्रिदिवस् E 13c जन्ममरणं तत्र ]  $C_{02} K_{82} E$ ; जन्मरणं तत्र  $C_{94} C_{45}$ , जन्ममरणन्त्रत  $K_7$  13d आपदं ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_7$ ; अपदं E 14a चाशापाश॰ ]  $C_{45} K_7^{9c}$ ; च सायाश॰  $C_{94} C_{02} K_{82} K_7^{e}$  E • बद्धो ]  $C_{94} C_{45} K_{82} K_7$ ; बद्धो  $C_{02}$ , ॰ वृद्धो E 14b ॰ मोहं ]  $C_{45} C_{02} K_{82} K_7 E$ ; लेमोहो  $C_{94}$  14c देवा ]  $C_{94} C_{02} K_{82} K_7 E$ ; देवो  $C_{45}$  15b मध्यवं ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_7$ ; गन्धवं E 16a जपो ]  $C_{45} C_{02} K_{82} K_7 E$ ; जयो  $C_{94}$  • नाहिकस्त॰ ]  $C_{94} C_{02} K_{82} K_7 E$ ; नाहिक त॰  $C_{45}$  16d न तिर्यन्नरं E 19a ॰ गुणोत्सेधे ] con;; ॰ गुणोच्छेधा  $C_{94} C_{02} K_{82} K_7$ , व्युणोच्छेधा  $C_{94} C_{02} K_{82} K_7$ , व्युणोच्छेधा  $C_{94} C_{45} K_{82} K_7$ , शुणोच्छेधा  $C_{94} C_{95} K_{95} E$  19b विस्तारश्च ]  $K_7$ ; विस्तारं च  $C^\Sigma K_{82} E$  • ॰ विधः ]  $K_7$ ; लेखा  $C^\Sigma K_{82} E$  19c अनेकाकार॰ ]  $C_{45} C_{02} K_{82} K_7 E$ ; अनेकार॰  $C_{94}$  20a अन्ये ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_7$ ; बहु॰ E 20c षण्डाश्च ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_7$ ; घण्डाश्च E 20d ॰ २हाणि ]  $C_{02}$ ; ॰ २हानि  $C_{94} C_{45} K_{82} K_7$ , ॰ सहानि  $C_{94} C_{45} K_{82} K_7$ , • सहानि

स्वादुमूलफलाः स्कन्धलताविटपपादपाः । कामरूपाश्च ते सर्वे कामदाः कामभाषिणः ॥२:२१॥ तत्र विप्र प्रजाः सर्वे अनन्तगुणसागराः । तुल्यरूपबलाः सर्वे सूर्यायुतसमप्रभाः ॥२:२२॥ परार्धद्वयविस्तारं परार्धद्वयमायतम् । परार्धद्वयविक्षेपा योजनानां द्विजोत्तम ॥२:२३॥ ऐश्वर्यत्वं न संख्यास्ति बलशक्तिश्च भो द्विज । अधोर्ध्वो न च संख्यास्ति न तिर्यञ्चैति कश्चन ॥२:२४॥ शिवाण्डस्य च विस्तारमायामं च न वेद्म्यहम् । भोगमक्षय तत्रैव जन्ममृत्युर्न विद्यते ॥२:२५॥ शिवाण्डमध्यमाश्रित्य गोक्षीरसदृशप्रभाः । परार्धपरकोटीनामीशानानां स्मृतालयः ॥२:२६॥ बालसूर्यप्रभाः सर्वे ज्ञेयास्तत्पुरुषालये । परार्धपरकोटीनां पूर्वस्यां दिशमाश्रिताः ॥२:२७॥ भिन्नाञ्जनप्रभाः सर्वे दक्षिणां दिशमाश्रिताः । परार्धपरकोटीनामघोरालयमाश्रिताः ॥२:२८॥ कुन्देन्दुहिमशैलाभाः पश्चिमां दिशमाश्रिताः । परार्धपरकोटीनां सद्यमिष्टालयः स्मृतः ॥२:२९॥ कुङ्कुमोदकसंकाशा उत्तरां दिशमाश्रिताः । परार्धपरकोतीनां वामदेवालयः स्मृतः ॥२:३०॥ ईशानस्य कलाः पञ्च वक्त्रस्यापि चतुष्कलाः । अघोरस्य कला अष्टौ वामदेवास्त्रयोदश ॥२:३१॥

4

After कामरू°,  $C_{02}$  has two folios missing (ff. 271–272) and resumes only at 3.30b

4

21a स्वावु॰ ]  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{82}K_7E$ ; स्वाधु॰  $C_{94}$  • ॰मूल॰ ]  $C^\Sigma K_7E$ ; ॰मूला  $K_{82}$  • ॰फलाः ] conj.; ॰फला  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7E$  21b स्कन्थ॰ ] conj.; स्कन्द॰  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7E$  22c ॰ बालाः ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_7$ ; ॰वराः E 23c ॰द्धय॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}^PK_{10}K_7E$ ; ॰द्द॰  $K_{82}^{ac}$  • विक्षेपा ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; विज्ञेया E 23d ॰तम ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{10}K_7E$ ; ॰तमः  $K_{82}$  24b बलशक्तिश्च भी द्विज्ञ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}^PK_{10}K_7$ ; omitted in  $K_{82}^{ac}$ , तव शक्तिश्च भी द्विज्ञ E 24c अधोध्वीं न य संख्यास्ति ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}^PK_{10}K_7E$ ; omitted in  $K_{82}^{ac}$  25c भोगमक्षय त॰ ] em.; भोगमक्षयस्त॰  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7$  (unmetr.), भोगमयास्तु त॰ E 25d ॰गूत्युनी ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}E_7$ ; ॰गूत्यु न  $K_{10}$  26b प्रभाः ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; म्मूतालय  $C_{45}$ , स्मृतालयं  $C_{82}$ , स्मृतालयं  $C_{45}$ , स

सद्यश्वाष्ट्रौ कला ज्ञेयाः संसारार्णवतारकाः । अष्टत्रिंशत्कला ह्येताः कीर्तिता द्विजसत्तम ॥२:३२॥ संख्या वर्णा दिशश्चैव एकैकस्य पृथक्पृथक् । पर्वोक्तेन विधानेन बोधव्यास्तत्त्वचिन्तकैः ॥२:३३॥ शिवाण्डगमनाकृष्ट्या शिवयोगं सदाभ्यसेत् । शिवयोगं विना विप्र तत्र गन्तुं न शक्यते ॥२:३४॥ अश्वमेधादियज्ञानां कोट्यायुतशतानि च । कृच्छादितप सर्वाणि कृत्वा कल्पशतानि च । तत्र गन्तुं न शक्येत देवैरपि तपोधन ॥२:३५॥ गङ्गादिसर्वतीर्थेषु स्नात्वा तप्त्वा च वै पुनः । तत्र गन्तुं न शक्येत ऋषिभिर्वा महात्मभिः ॥२:३६॥ सप्तद्वीपसमुद्राणि रत्नपूर्णानि भो द्विज । दत्त्वा वा वेदविदुषे श्रद्धाभक्तिसमन्वितः । तत्र गन्तुं न शक्येत विना ध्यानेन निश्चयः ॥२:३७॥ स्वदेहान्मांसमुद्धृत्य दत्त्वार्थिभ्यश्च निश्चयात् । स्वदारपुत्रसर्वस्वं शिरो ऽर्थिभ्यश्च यो ददेत् । न तत्र गन्तुं शक्येत अन्यैर्वापि सुद्ष्करैः ॥२:३८॥ यज्ञतीर्थतपोदानवेदाध्ययनपारगः । ब्रह्माण्डान्तस्य भोगांस्तु भुङ्क्ते कालवशानुगः ॥२:३९॥ कालेन समप्रेष्येण धर्मो याति परिक्षयम । अलातचक्रवत्सर्वं कालो याति परिभ्रमन् । त्रैकाल्यकलनात्कालस्तेन कालः प्रकीर्तितः ॥२:४०॥

37ab Cf. ŚDhU 2.104: त्रिः प्रदत्वा महीं पूर्णां...

4

32a जेया: ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; जेया E 32b संसारा॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}^{PC}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ E; संसा॰  $C_{45}^{oc}$  32c ॰ तिंशात्क॰ ] corr.; ॰ तिंशाक॰  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ E • ह्रोता: ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; जेया: E 32d ॰ सत्तम ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{7}$ ; ॰ सत्तमः  $K_{10}E$  33a संख्या वर्णा ]  $C_{45}K_{7}$ ; संख्या वर्णा  $C_{94}K_{10}$ , संख्या वर्णा  $K_{82}$ , संध्या वर्णा E 33b एकैकस्य ]  $C_{94}K_{10}K_{7}$ E; ऐकैकस्य  $C_{45}K_{82}$  33d बोधव्यास्त॰ ] em.; बोधव्या त॰  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$  34a ॰ कृष्ट्या ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}$   $K_{7}$  34b योगं सदाभ्यसेत् ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; योग समभ्यसेत्  $K_{10}$  34c ॰ योगं ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; शक्येत  $C_{45}$ , शक्येत  $C_{45}$ , शक्येत  $C_{45}$   $C_{45}$ 

॥ इति वृषसारसंग्रहे शिवाण्डसंख्या नामाध्यायो द्वितीयः॥

**.** 

**Colophon**: नामाध्यायो द्वितीयः ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{7}$ ; नामाध्याय द्वितीयः  $K_{10}$ , नाम द्वितीयो ऽध्यायः E

# [ तृतीयो ऽध्यायः ]

[धर्मप्रवचनम् ]

विगतराग उवाच । किमर्थं धर्ममित्याहः कतिमूर्तिश्च कीर्त्यते । कतिपादवृषो ज्ञेयो गतिस्तस्य कति स्मृताः ॥३:१॥ कौतूहलं ममोत्पन्नं संशयं छिन्धि तत्त्वतः । कस्य पुत्रो मुनिश्रेष्ठ प्रजास्तस्य कति स्मृताः ॥३:२॥ अनर्थयज्ञ उवाच । धृतिरित्येष धातुर्वे पर्यायः परिकीर्तितः । आधारणान्महत्त्वाच्च धर्म इत्यभिधीयते ॥३:३॥ श्रुतिस्मृतिद्वयोर्मृर्तिश्चतुष्पादवृषः स्थितः । चतराश्रम यो धर्मेः कीर्तितानि मनीषिभिः ॥३:४॥ गतिश्च पञ्च विज्ञेयाः शृणु धर्मस्य भो द्विज । देवमानुषतिर्यं च नरकस्थावरादयः ॥३:५॥ ब्रह्मणों हृदयं भित्त्वा जातो धर्मः सनातनः । तस्य पत्नी महाभागा त्रयोदश सुमध्यमाः ॥३:६॥ दक्षकन्या विशालाक्षी श्रद्धाद्याः सुमनोहराः । तस्य पुत्राश्च पौत्राश्च अनेकाश्च बभूव ह ।

41.

Testimonia for this chapter:  $P_{57}$  exp. 215r–215v (breaks off after 3.14d and resumes at 4.8a),  $C_{94}$  ff. 197r–198v,  $C_{45}$  ff. 204v–206r,  $C_{02}$  ff. 273r–273v (broke off at 2.21 and resumes at 3.30b),  $K_{82}$  ff. 4v–6r,  $K_{10}$  exp. 42, 47 (upper), 48 (lower),  $K_{7}$  ff. 213r–214v, E pp. 588–591;  $C^{\Sigma}=C_{94}+C_{45}+C_{02}$  5ab  $C_{45}$  reads here गितिश्च पौत्राश्च अनेकाश्च बभूव ह, skipping to 3.7cd, omitting 3.5–7ab.

3cd cf. LinP 1.10.12cd-13ab: धारणार्थे महान्ह्येष धर्मशब्दः प्रकीर्तितः ॥ अधारणे ऽमहत्त्वे च अधर्म इति चोच्यते । 3 cf. BrahmāṇḍaP 1.32.29: धारणार्थो धृतिश्चैव धातुः शब्दे प्रकीर्तितः । अधारणामहत्त्वे च अधर्म इति चोच्यते ॥; cf. VāyuP 1.59.28: धारणा धृतिरित्यर्थाद्धातोर्धर्मः प्रकीर्तितः । अधारणे ऽमहत्त्वे च अधर्म इति चोच्यते ॥;  $\approx MatsP$  145.27: धर्मेति धारणे धातुर्महत्वे चैव उच्यते । आधारणे महत्त्वे वा धर्मः स तु निरुच्यते । 6ab cf. DevīP 4.59cd: ब्रह्मणो हृदयाज्जातः पुत्रो धर्म इति स्मृतः  $\bullet$  cf. also MBh 1.60.40ab: ब्रह्मणो हृदयं भित्त्वा निःसृतो भगवान्भृगुः

4

1a आहु: ]  $P_{57}C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; आहु E 1d स्मृता: ]  $P_{57}C_{94}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; स्मृता  $C_{45}$ , स्मृतः E 2a कौतूहलं ]  $P_{57}C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; कौतुहल E • ममोत्पन्नं ]  $P_{57}C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}E$ ; समोत्पन्नं  $K_{7}$  2b संशयं ]  $P_{57}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; सशयं  $C_{94}$  3c आधारणान्म॰ ]  $P_{57}C_{94}K_{10}$ ; आधारणात्प॰  $C_{45}$ , आधारणात्म॰  $K_{82}K_{7}$ , आधारेण म॰ E 3d इत्यिभधीयते ]  $C_{94}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; इत्यिभधीयते  $C_{45}K_{10}$  4ab ॰ स्मृतिद्वयोमूर्तिश्च॰ ]  $C_{94}$ , ॰ स्मृतिद्वयो मूर्तिश्च॰  $C_{45}K_{10}$  4c चतुरा॰ ]  $C_{57}C_{45}K_{10}$  7c स्मृतिद्वयो मूर्तिश्च E 4b ॰ वृषः ]  $C_{57}C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}E$ ; ॰ वृष  $C_{45}E_{10}E_{$ 

एष धर्मनिसर्गो ऽयं किं भूयः श्रोतुमिच्छसि ॥३:७॥ विगतराग उवाच । धर्मपत्नी विशेषेण पुत्रस्ताभ्यः पृथक्पृथक् । श्रोतुमिच्छामि तत्त्वेन कथयस्व तपोधन ॥३:८॥ अनर्थयज्ञ उवाच । श्रद्धा लक्ष्मीर्धतिस्तुष्टिः पुष्टिर्मेधा क्रिया लज्जा । बुद्धिः शान्तिर्वपुः कीर्तिः सिद्धिः प्रसूतिसम्भवाः ॥३:९॥ श्रद्धा कामः सुतो जातो दर्पो लक्ष्मीसुतः स्मृतः । धृत्यास्तु नियमः पुत्रः संतोषस्तुष्टिजः स्मृतः ॥३:१०॥ पुष्ट्या लाभः सुतो जातो मेधापुत्रः श्रुतस्तथा । क्रियायास्त्वभवत्पुत्रो दण्डः समय एव च ॥३:११॥ लज्जाया विनयः पुत्रो बुद्ध्या बोधःसुतः स्मृतः । लज्जायाः सुधियः पुत्र अप्रमादश्च तावुभौ ॥३:१२॥ क्षेमः शान्तिसुतो विन्द्याद्व्यवसायो वपोः सुतः । यशः कीर्तिस्तो ज्ञेयः सुखं सिद्धेर्व्यजायत । स्वायम्भुवे ऽन्तरे त्वासन्कीर्तिता धर्मसूनवः ॥३:१३॥ विगतराग उवाच । मूर्तिद्वयं कथं धर्मं कथयस्व तपोधन ।

10 For 3.10-13, see a rather similar passage e.g. in KūrmP  $1.8.20~\mathrm{ff.}$ : श्रद्धाया आत्मजः कामो दर्पो लक्ष्मीसुतः स्मृतः । धृत्यास्तु नियमः पुत्रस्तुष्ट्याः संतोष उच्यते ॥ पुष्ट्या लाभः सुतश्चापि मेधापुत्रः श्रुतस्तथा । क्रियायाश्चाभवत्पुत्रो दण्डः समय एव च ॥ बुद्ध्या बोधः सुतस्तद्भद्रप्रमादो व्यजायत । लज्जाया विनयः पुत्रो वपुषो व्यवसायकः ॥ क्षेमः शान्तिसुतश्चापि सुखं सिद्धिरजायत । यशः कीर्तिसुतस्तद्भिदित्येते धर्मसूनवः ॥ कामस्य हर्षः पुत्रो ऽभूद्देवानन्दो व्यजायत । इत्येष वै सुखोदर्कः सर्गो धर्मस्य कीर्तितः ॥  $11cd \approx \mathrm{Lin}P1.70.295$ abः क्रियायामभवत्पुत्रो दण्डः समय एव च;  $\approx \mathrm{K\"{u}rm}P1.8.22$ cdः क्रियायाश्चाभवत्पुत्रो दण्डः समय एव च; cf. LinP1,5.37ः धर्मस्य वै क्रियायां तु दण्डः समय एव च

4

8 विगतराग उवाच ]  $C_{45}K_{82}^{pc}K_7E$ ; विगतराग उ  $P_{57}C_{94}K_{10}$ , omitted in  $K_{82}^{ac}$  8b ताभ्यः ] em.; तेभ्यः  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$  9a लक्ष्मीधृंतिस्तुष्टिः ]  $C_{94}$ ; लक्ष्मीधृंतिस्तुष्ट्  $C_{45}$ , लक्ष्मी धृंतिस्तुष्ट्टिः  $K_{82}^{ac}$ , लक्ष्मीधृंतिस्तुष्टिः  $K_{82}^{ac}$ , त्रां  $K_{82}^{ac}$ ,

कौतूहलमतीवं मे कर्तय ज्ञानसंशयम् ॥३:१४॥ अनर्थयज्ञ उवाच । श्रुतिस्मृतिद्वयोर्मूर्तिर्धर्मस्य परिकीर्तिता । दाराग्निहोत्रसम्बन्धमिज्या श्रौतस्य लक्षणम् । स्मार्तो वर्णाश्रमाचारो यमैश्च नियमैर्युतः ॥३:१५॥

#### [यमनियमभेदः]

यमश्च नियमश्चैव द्वयोर्भेदमतः शृणु । अहिंसा सत्यमस्तेयमानृशंस्यं दमो घृणा । धन्याप्रमादो माधुर्यमार्जवं च यमा दश ॥३:१६॥ एकैकस्य पुनः पञ्चभेदमाहुर्मनीषिणः । अहिंसादि प्रवक्ष्यामि शृणुष्वावहितो द्विज ॥३:१७॥

#### [यमेष्वहिंसा (१)]

## [ पश्चिवधा हिंसा ]

त्रासनं ताडनं बन्धो मारणं वृत्तिनाशनम् । हिंसां पञ्चविधामाहुर्मुनयस्तत्त्वदर्शिनः ॥३:१८॥ काष्ठलोष्टकशाद्यैस्तु ताडयन्तीह निर्दयाः । तत्प्रहारविभिन्नाङ्गो मृतवध्यमवाप्नुयात् ॥३:१९॥

4

14c In P<sub>57</sub>, folio 215v ends with कौतूहलमती and the next available folio side (217r) starts with त्यिमष्टगति: प्रोक्तं in 4.8a. Thus one folio (f. 216), containing 3.14d-4.7, is missing.

15cd cf. Manu 3.171ab: दाराग्निहोत्रसंयोगं कुरुते यो ऽग्रजे स्थिते; and also MatsP 142.41: दाराग्निहोत्रसम्बन्धमृग्यजुःसामसंहिताः । इत्यादिबहुलं श्रौतं धर्मं सप्तर्षयो ऽब्रुवन् ॥  $15c-f \approx MBh$  Indeces 1.36.10: दानाग्निहोत्रसम्बन्धमृग्यजुःसामसंहिताः । श्रौतस्यैतद्धि लक्षणम् । स्मार्तो वर्णाश्रमाचारो यमैश्च नियमैर्युतः ॥  $\approx MatsP 145.30cd-31a$ b: दाराग्निहोत्रसम्बन्धिमज्या श्रौतस्य लक्षणम् । स्मार्तो वर्णाश्रमाचारो यमैश्च नियमैर्युतः ॥  $\approx BrahmāṇḍaP 1.32.33cd-34a$ b: दाराग्निहोत्रसम्बन्धाद् द्विधा श्रौतस्य लक्षणम् । स्मार्तो वर्णाश्रमाचारेर्यमैः स नियमैः स्मृतः ॥  $16cd \approx MBh \ 12.8.17a$ b: अहिंसा सत्यवचनमानृशंस्यं दमो घृणा  $16 \approx VDhU \ 3.233.203$ : आनृशंस्यं क्षमा सत्यमिहंसा च दमः स्पृहा । ध्यानं प्रसादो माधुर्यं चार्जवं च यमा दश ॥

4

14c कौतूहल॰ ]  $C_{94}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; कोतूहल॰  $C_{45}$  • ॰तीवं मे ]  $C_{94}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; ॰तीव मे  $C_{45}$  14d कर्तय ] em.; कीर्तय  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$  • ॰ ॰ संशयम् ]  $C_{94}K_{82}K_7E$ ; ॰ संशयः  $C_{45}K_{10}$  15a श्रुति॰ ]  $C_{94}K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; श्रुतिः  $C_{45}E$  15ab ॰ द्र्योमूर्ति ध॰ ]  $C_{94}$ ; ॰द्रयो मूर्ति ध॰  $C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}$ , ॰द्रयो  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}$ , ॰द्रयो  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}$ , शतस्य  $C_{94}C_{45}C_{45}C_{82}K_{10}$ , शतस्य  $C_{94}C_{45}C_{45}C_{82}C_{10}$ , शतस्य  $C_{94}C_{45}C_{45$ 

बद्ध्वा पादौ भुजोरश्च शिरोरुक्कण्ठपाशिताः । अनाहता म्रियन्त्येवं वधो बन्धनजः स्मृतः ॥३:२०॥ शत्रुचौरभयैघोरैः सिंहव्याघ्रगजोरगैः । त्रासनाद्वधमाप्नोति अन्यैवापि सुदुःसहैः ॥३:२१॥ यस्य यस्य हरेद्वित्तं तस्य तस्य वधः स्मृतः । वृत्तिजीवाभिभूतानां तद्वारा निहतः स्मृतः ॥३:२२॥ विषवह्विशरशस्त्रैर्मायायोगबलेन वा । हिंसकान्याहु विप्रेन्द्र मुनयस्तत्त्वदर्शिनः ॥३:२३॥

#### [ अहिंसाप्रशंसा ]

अहिंसा परमं धर्मं यस्त्यजेत्स दुरात्मवान् । क्लेशायासविनिर्मुक्तं सर्वधर्मफलप्रदम् ॥३:२४॥ नातः परतरो मूर्खो नातः परतरं तमः । नातः परतरं दुःखं नातः परतरं ठयशः ॥३:२५॥ नातः परतरं पापं नातः परतरं विषम् । नातः परतराविद्या नातः परं तपोधन ॥३:२६॥ यो हिनस्ति न भूतानि उद्भिज्जादि चतुर्विधम् । स भवेत्पुरुषः श्रेष्ठः सर्वभूतदयान्वितः ॥३:२७॥ सर्वभूतदयां नित्यं यः करोति स पण्डितः । स यज्वा स तपस्वी च स दाता स दृढव्रतः ॥३:२८॥ अहिंसा परमं तीर्थमहिंसा परमं तपः । अहिंसा परमं दानमहिंसा परमं सुखम् ॥३:२९॥

4

**29d**  $C_{02}$  resumes here in exp. 189, f. 273r (sic!) with रमं सुखम

29 This and the following verses are similar to MBh 13.117.37–38

4

20a भुजोरश्च ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{10}K_7$ ; भुजौरश्च  $K_{82}E$  20b शिरोरुक्कण्ठ  $\circ$  ] em.; शिरोरुक्ण्ठ  $\circ$   $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ , शिरोरु कण्ठ  $\circ$  E 20c अनाहता ग्रियन्थ्ये ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_7E$ ; अनाहत ग्रियंत्येष  $K_{10}$  20d वधो बन्धनज्ञः स्मृतः ] conj.; ॰नजाः स्मृताः  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}$ , ॰नजाः स्मृताः  $K_7$ , ॰नज स्मृतः E 21a ॰चौरभयैघाँरैः ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_7E$ ; ॰चोरभयै घाँरै  $K_{10}$  21d अन्यैवांपि ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}E$ ; अन्ये चापि  $K_7$  22a हरेिह्र  $\circ$  ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_7E$ ; हरे वि  $\circ$   $K_{10}$  22b वधः ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}E$ ,  $\circ$  वध E 22a ॰भिभूतानां ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_7E$ ; ॰विभूतानां  $K_{10}$  22b तद्द्वारा नि  $\circ$  ] conj.; तद्वारान्नि  $\circ$   $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}E$ , तद्द्वारान्नि  $\circ$  E 23ab ॰शस्त्रैमांया  $\circ$  ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}$ ; ॰शस्त्रै मा  $\circ$   $K_7$ , ॰शस्त्रैममंया  $\circ$  E 23c हिंसकान्याहु वि  $\circ$  ]  $C_{45}K_{10}K_7$ ; हिंसकान्याहु वि  $\circ$  ]  $C_{45}K_{10}K_7$ ; हिंसकान्याहु वि  $\circ$  ]  $C_{45}K_{10}E$ , एरमो धर्म  $K_{10}$  25b ॰तरं ]  $C_{94}C_{15}^{\circ}K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; ॰तर्  $C_{45}^{\circ}E$  26d परं तपोधन ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; पर तपोद्यमाः E 27a यो हिनस्ति F ]  $C_{94}C_{45}F_{82}F_7$ ; यो F हिनस्ति F 27b उद्धिजादि ] em.; उद्धिजादि  $C_{94}C_{45}E_{82}E_{10}E_7$ , पुरुष E 28a ॰दयां नित्यं ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}E_{10}E_7$ ; एरष E 28a ॰दयां नित्यं ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}E_{10}E_7$ ; एरष E 28a ॰दयां नित्यं ]  $C_{94}K_{82}E_7$ ; ॰दया नित्यं  $C_{45}K_{10}E_7$ ; एरपरती  $C_{45}E_7$  28c यज्ञा ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}E_7$ , यज्ञ  $C_{49}E_7$ , यज्ञ  $C_{45}E_7$ ,  $C_{45}E$ 

अहिंसा परमं ज्ञानमिहंसा परमा क्रिया ॥३:३०॥ अहिंसा परमं शौचमिहंसा परमो दमः । अहिंसा परमो लाभः अहिंसा परमं यशः ॥३:३१॥ अहिंसा परमो धर्मः अहिंसा परमा गतिः । अहिंसा परमं ब्रह्म अहिंसा परमः शिवः ॥३:३२॥

[ मांसाहारः ]

मांसाशनान्निवर्तेत मनसापि न काङ्क्षयेत् । स महत्फलमाप्नोति यस्तु मांसं विवर्जयेत् ॥३:३३॥ स्वमांसं परमांसेन यो वर्धियतुमिच्छति । अनभ्यर्च्य पितृन्देवान्न ततो ऽन्यो ऽस्ति पापकृत् ॥३:३४॥ मधुपर्के च यज्ञे च पितृदैवतकर्मणि । अत्रैव पशवो हिंस्या नान्यत्र मनुरब्रवीत् ॥३:३५॥ क्रीत्वा स्वयं वाप्युत्पाद्य परोपहृतमेव वा । देवान्पितृंश्चार्चयित्वा खादन्मांसं न दोषभाक् ॥३:३६॥ वेदयज्ञतपस्तीर्थदानशीलक्रियाव्रतैः । मांसाहारनिवृत्तानां षोडशांशं न पूर्यते ॥३:३७॥ मृगाः पर्णतृणाहारादजमेषगवादिभिः । सुखिनो बलवन्तश्च विचरन्ति महीतले ॥३:३८॥ वानराः फलमाहारा राक्षसा रुधिरप्रियाः ।

4

After pādas cd, E inserts this: अहिंसा परमा कीर्ति अहिंसा परमो दम:, which is not to be found in  $C^\Sigma K_{s_2} K_{10} K_7$ 

 $34ab = MBh\ 13.116.14ab\ and\ 13.116.34ab \approx UUMS\ 2.48cd:$  स्वमांसं परमांसेन यो देहे वृद्धिमिच्छिति  $34\approx Manu\ 5.52$   $35\approx Manu\ 5.41:$  मधुपर्के च यज्ञे च पितृदैवतकर्मणि । अत्रैव पशवो हिंस्या नान्यत्रेत्यब्रवीन्मनुः ॥  $36= Manu\ 5.32$  (in Olivelle's critical edition; other editions read परोपकृत $\circ$ )

4

30c परमं ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; परमो E 30d परमा ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7E$ ; परमां  $K_{10}$  31ab (अहिंसा... दमः) ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; omitted in E 31c लाभः ]  $K_7$ ; लाभ  $K_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}E$ , लाभो  $K_{92}$  31d परमं ]  $K_7$ ; लाभ  $K_8$ 2 32a धर्मः ]  $K_8$ 2 $K_7$ ; धर्म  $K_{94}C_{45}E$ , धर्मो  $K_{92}E$ ,  $K_{10}E$ ,  $K_{10}E$  32b अहिंसा परमा गितः ]  $K_8$ 3 $K_7$ ;  $K_{10}E$  32b अहिंसा परमा गितः  $K_8$ 3 32b अहिंसा परमा गितः  $K_{10}E$  32c अहिंसा परमं ब्रह्म ]  $K_{10}E$  33c मांसाशनान्नि॰  $K_{10}E$  33c मांसाशनानि॰  $K_{10}E$  33d मांसाशनानि॰  $K_{10}E$  34d मांसे ]  $K_8$ 3 34d मांसे ]  $K_8$ 3 34c पितृन् ]  $K_8$ 4 $K_8$ 5 $K_8$ 5; मान्साशन  $K_8$ 6 34c पितृन् ]  $K_8$ 6 $K_8$ 6 $K_8$ 7;  $K_8$ 7;  $K_8$ 8 $K_8$ 7;  $K_8$ 8 $K_8$ 8 $K_8$ 9;  $K_8$ 9;

निहता राक्ष्साः सर्वे वानरैः फलभोजिभिः ॥३:३९॥ तस्मान्मांसं न हीहेत बलकामेन भो द्विज । बलेन च गुणाकर्षात्परतो भयभीरुणा ॥३:४०॥ अहिंसकसमो नास्ति दानयज्ञसमीहया । इह लोके यशः कीर्तिः परत्र च परा गतिः ॥३:४१॥ त्रैलोक्यं मणिरत्नपूर्णमखिलं दत्त्वोत्तमे ब्राह्मणे कोटीयज्ञसहस्रपद्ममयुतं दत्त्वा महीं दक्षिणाम् । तीर्थानां च सहस्रकोटिनियुतं स्नात्वा सकृन्मानवः एतत्पुण्यफलमहिंसकजनः प्राप्नोति निःसंशयः ॥३:४२॥

॥ इति वृषसारसंग्रहे अहिंसाप्रशंसा नामाध्यायस्तृतीयः॥

4

39d ॰ भोजिभिः ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; ॰ भोगिभिः E 40a मांसं ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}E$ ; मासं  $K_7$  40b हीहेत ]  $C^\Sigma K_7 E$ ; हीयेत  $K_{82}K_{10}$  40c गुणाकर्षा॰ ] conj. Törzsök; गुणाकाशा॰  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ , गुणा कुर्या॰ E 41b ॰ यज्ञसमीहया ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}$ ; ॰ धर्मसमीहया  $C_{02}$ , ॰ यज्ञसमीहयाः  $K_7$ , ॰ धर्मसमीहय E 41c यशः ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7 E$ ; यशं  $C_{02}$  41d परा गितः ]  $C_{02}K_{82}K_7$ ;  $C_{03}K_7 E$ ; ग्रेशं  $C_{04}$ , पराङ्गतिम्  $C_{45}K_{10}$ , परां गितः E 42a त्रैलोक्यं ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7 E$ ; त्रैलोक्य  $C_{10}$  अखिलं दत्त्वोत्तमे ब्राह्मणे ]  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{10}K_7 E$ ; अरिखलं  $C_{10}K_7 E$ ;  $C_{10}K_7$ 

# [ चतुर्थो ऽध्यायः ]

[यमेषु सत्यम् (२)]

4.

Testimonia for this chapter:  $C_{94}$  ff. 198v–201v,  $C_{45}$  ff. 206r–208v,  $C_{02}$  ff. 273v–277r,  $K_{82}$  ff. 6r–9r,  $K_{10}$  exp. 48 (lower) – 50 (upper),  $K_7$  ff. 214v–217r, E pp. 591–597;  $C^{\Sigma} = C_{94} + C_{45} + C_{02}$ 

1a ≈ MBh 12.288.45d: सद्भावः सत्यमुच्यते • cf. also BrahmāṇḍaP 3.3.86ab: असद्भावो ऽनृतं ज्ञेयं सद्भावः सत्यमुच्यते 1cd cf. ŚDhŚ 11.105: स्वानुभूतं स्वदृष्टं च यः पृष्टार्थं न गूहित । यथाभूतार्थंकथनमित्येतत्सत्यलक्षणम् ॥ 2 cf. ŚDhŚ 11.82: आक्रुष्टस्ताडितो वापि यो नाक्रोशेन्न ताडयेत् । वागाद्यिवकृतः स्वस्थं क्षान्तिरेषा सुनिर्मला ॥ 5 ≈ MBh 1.77.16: न नर्मयुक्तं वचनं हिनस्ति न स्त्रीषु राजन्न विवाहकाले । प्राणात्यये सर्वधनापहारे पञ्चानृतान्याहुरपातकानि ॥; MBh 12.159.28: न नर्मयुक्तं वचनं हिनस्ति न स्त्रीषु राजन्न विवाहकाले । न गुर्वर्थे नात्मनो जीवितार्थे पञ्चानृतान्याहुरपातकानि ॥; MatsP 31.16: न नर्मयुक्तं वचनं हिनस्ति न स्त्रीषु राजन्न विवाहकाले । Abhidharmakośabhāṣya 24114–24117 (introduced by मोहजो मृषावादो यथाह): न नर्मयुक्तमनृतं हि नास्ति न स्त्रीषु राजन्न विवाहकाले । प्राणात्यये सर्वधनापहारे पञ्चानृतान्याहुरपातकानि ॥ etc.

4

सत्यं सागरमव्यक्तं सत्यमक्षयभोगदम । सत्यं पोतः परत्रार्थं सत्यं पन्थान विस्तरम ॥४:७॥ सत्यमिष्टगतिः प्रोक्तं सत्यं यज्ञमनुत्तमम् । सत्यं तीर्थं परं तीर्थं सत्यं दानमनन्तकम् ॥४:८॥ सत्यं शीलं तपो ज्ञानं सत्यं शौचं दमः शमः । सत्यं सोपानमूर्ध्वस्य सत्यं कीर्तिर्यशः स्खम् ॥४:९॥ अश्वमेधसहस्रं च सत्यं च तुलया धृतम । अश्वमेधसहस्राद्धि सत्यमेव विशिष्यते ॥४:१०॥ सत्येन तपते सूर्यः सत्येन पृथिवी स्थिता । सत्येन वायवो वान्ति सत्ये तोयं च शीतलम ॥४:११॥ तिष्ठन्ति सागराः सत्ये समयेन प्रियव्रतः । सत्ये तिष्ठति गोविन्दो बलिबन्धनकारणात ॥४:१२॥ अग्निर्दहति सत्येन सत्येन शशिना चरः । सत्येन विन्ध्यास्तिष्ठन्ति वर्धमानो न वर्धते ॥४:१३॥ लोकालोकः स्थितः सत्ये मेरुः सत्ये प्रतिष्ठितः । वेदास्तिष्ठन्ति सत्येषु धर्मः सत्ये प्रतिष्ठति ॥४:१४॥ सत्यं गौः क्षरते क्षीरं सत्यं क्षीरे घृतं स्थितम् ।

 $9\mathbf{c} \approx \mathrm{VarP}\ 193.36\mathrm{cd}$ : सत्यं स्वर्गस्य सोपानं पारावारस्य नौरिव  $\mathbf{10} = \mathrm{MBh}\ 1.69.22 = \mathrm{MBh}\ \mathrm{Indeces}$   $13.20.330 = \mathrm{MarkP}\ 8.42 = \mathrm{VDhU}\ 3.265.7 \approx \mathrm{MBh}\ 12.156.26$  (pāda d reads सत्यमेवातिरिच्यते)  $\approx \mathrm{VDh}\ 55.6$  (pāda d reads सत्यमेवादिशिष्यते) • cf. ŚDhŚ 11.107: अश्वमेधायुतं पूर्णं सत्यञ्च तुलितं पुरा । अश्वमेधायुतात्सत्यमिधकं बहुभिर्गुणैः ॥  $\mathbf{11} \approx \mathrm{VarP}\ 193.37$ : सूर्यस्तपित सत्येन वातः सत्येन वाति । अग्निर्दहित सत्येन सत्येन पृथिवी स्थिता ॥  $\approx \mathrm{VDhU}\ 3.265.4\mathrm{cd}$ – $5\mathrm{ab}$ : सत्येन वायुरभ्येति सत्येनाभासते रिवः ॥ सत्येन चाग्निर्दहित स्वर्गं सत्येन गच्छिते ।  $\mathbf{13c} \approx \mathrm{VarP}\ 193.37\mathrm{cd}$ : अग्निर्दहित सत्येन सत्येन पृथिवी स्थिता

**.** 

7a सत्यं ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; सत्य  $C_{02}$  7b सत्यमक्षयभोगदम् ]  $C_{94}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; सत्यंमक्षयभोगदम्  $C_{45}C_{02}$ , सत्यमक्षयते नरं E 7c पोतः ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; पोत  $K_{82}$ , प्रोक्तः E 7d पन्थान विस्तरम् ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; यज्ज्ञानविस्तरम् E 8a °ष्टगितः ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; ° $\ell$ श[गितिः  $K_{10}$  8c तीर्थं ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}$ ; तीर्थं  $K_{10}K_{7}$ , तीर्थात् E 9a सत्यं ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; सत्य  $C_{45}$  9b शमः ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; शमम्  $K_{10}$  9c सत्यं ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}E$ ; संत्यं  $C_{45}$ , सत्य  $K_{7}$  9d सुखम् ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; सुखः E 10a °सहस्यं च ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; °सहस्यस्य  $C_{02}$  10b तुलया ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; तुल्यया  $C_{02}$  10c °सहस्यां हे ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; शम्हस्य हे  $C_{02}$  10d एव ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; एवं  $C_{02}E$  11ab सूर्यः सत्येन पृथिवी स्थिता ]  $K_{82}K_{7}$ ; सूर्यः सत्येन पृथि स्थिताः  $C_{94}$ , सूर्यः सत्येन पृथिवी स्थिता  $C_{45}$ , सूर्य सत्येन  $C_{45}$   $C_{4$ 

सत्ये जीवः स्थितो देहे सत्यं जीवः सनातनः ॥४:१५॥ सत्यमेकेन सम्प्राप्तो धर्मसाधननिश्चयः । रामराघववीर्येण सत्यमेकं सुरक्षितम् ॥४:१६॥ एवं सत्यविधानस्य कीर्तितं तव सुव्रत । सर्वलोकहितार्थाय किमन्यच्छ्रोतुमिच्छसि ॥४:१७॥

#### [यमेष्वस्तेयम् (३)]

विगतराग उवाच ।
न हि तृप्तिं विजानामि श्रुत्वा धर्मं तवाप्यहम् ।
उपरिष्ठादतो भूयः कथयस्व तपोधन ॥४:१८॥
अनर्थयज्ञ उवाच ।
स्तेयं शृण्वथ विप्रेन्द्र पञ्चधा परिकीर्तितम् ।
अदत्तादानमादौ तु उत्कोचं च ततः परम् ।
प्रस्थव्याजस्तुलाव्याजः प्रसह्यस्तेय पञ्चमम् ॥४:१९॥
धृष्टदुष्टप्रभावेन परद्रव्यापकर्षणम् ।
वार्यमाणापि दुर्बुद्धिरदत्तादानमुच्यते ॥४:२०॥
उत्कोचं शृणु विप्रेन्द्र धर्मसंकरकारकम् ।
मूल्यं कार्यविनाशार्थमुत्कोचः परिगृह्यते ।
तेन चासौ विजानीयाद्द्रव्यलोभबलात्कृतम् ॥४:२१॥
प्रस्थव्याज-उपायेन कुटुम्बं त्रातुमिच्छति ।
तं च स्तेनं विजानीयात्परद्रव्यापहारकम् ॥४:२२॥
तुलाव्याज-उपायेन परस्वार्थं हरेद्यदि ।

4

**15c** सत्ये जीवः ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}$ ; सत्ये जीव  $K_7$ , सत्यं जीव E **15d** जीवः ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; जीव  $C_{02}$  16a सत्यमेकेन ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; सत्येमेकेन  $K_{10}$ , सत्यमेकैन  $C_{45}$  16b धर्म॰ ] E; धर्मः  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$  • ॰िमश्चयः ]  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; ॰िनश्चः  $C_{94}$  16d सत्यमेकं ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; सत्येमेकं  $K_{10} \bullet$  सुरक्षितम् ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; सुरक्षितः  $K_{82}$ , सुरिक्षितम्  $C_{45}$  17a एवं सत्य॰ ]  $C_{45}$ ; एतत्सत्य॰  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$  **17b** सुव्रत ]  $C_{94}K_{82}K_{7}$ ; सुव्रते  $C_{45}K_{10}$ , सुव्रत $C_{02}$ , सुव्रतं E**18a** तृप्तिं ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; तृप्ति  $C_{02}$  • विजानामि ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; विनामि  $K_{10}$  **18b** श्रुत्वा धर्मं तवाप्यहम् ]  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; श्रु धर्मन्तवाप्यहम्  $C_{94}$ , धर्मं श्रुत्वा तथाप्यहम् E18d ∘धन ]  $C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}E$ ; ॰धून  $C_{94}$ , ॰धन:  $C_{45}K_7$  **19b** ॰कीर्तितम् ]  $C_{94}\check{C}_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; ॰कीर्तिताम्  $C_{45}$ **19d** उत्कोचं च ततः  $]C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; त्कोच ततः  $C_{45}$ , उत्कोचं चानृतः E **19c** तुलाव्याजः  $]C_{45}K_7E$ ; तुलाव्याज  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}$  **19d** °सह्य॰ ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; °सह्ये  $K_{10}$  • °स्तेय ]  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}E$ ; °स्तेन  $C_{94}K_{7}$  • पञ्चमम् ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; पञ्चमः  $C_{02}E$  **20a** धृष्टदुष्ट॰ ]  $C_{94}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; धृष्टदुम्न॰  $C_{45}$ , धृतदुष्ट॰  $C_{02}$ , दृष्तदुष्ट॰  $K_{10}$  **20b** ॰ कर्षणम् ]  $C^\Sigma K_{10} K_7 E$ ; ॰ कर्षण  $K_{82}$  **20b** वार्यमाणािप ] em.; वार्यमाणाे ऽपि  $C_{94} C_{02} K_{82} K_{10} K_7 E$ , वार्यमानाे वि॰  $C_{45}$  **21a** उत्कोचं ]  $C_{45} C_{02} K_{82} K_{10} K_7 E$ ; उत्कोच  $C_{94}$  • विप्रेन्द्र ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_7 E$ ; विद्रेन्द्र  $K_{10}$  21b ॰ संकर॰ ]  $C_{02} K_{82}$ ; ॰शङ्कर॰  $C_{94} C_{45} K_{10}$ , ॰सकर॰  $K_7$ , ॰संहार॰ E • ॰कारकम् ]  $C^\Sigma K_{10} K_7 E$ ; ॰कारकः  $K_{82}$  21c मूल्यं ] conj.; मूल  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_{10} K_7 E$ • ॰विनाशार्थ॰ ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{s_2}^{pc}K_{10}K_7E$ ; ॰विनार्थ॰  $K_{s_2}^{ac}$  21d ॰त्कोचः ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{s_2}K_7$ ; ॰त्कोचं  $K_{10}$ , ॰त्कोच E 21ef विजानीयाद्द $^{\circ}$ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ E; विजानीया द्र $^{\circ}$   $C_{02}$  22c तं च स्तेनं ]  $C_{94}$ ; तञ्च स्तेन  $C_{45}$ , तं च स्तेयं  $K_{82}$ , तञ्च तेय  $K_{10}$ , सो ऽपि तेन  $C_{02}E$ , तञ्च तेन  $K_7$  22f d ॰हारकम् ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}^{pc}K_7E$ ; ॰हारकः  $C_{02}$ , ॰हारका  $K_{82}^{ac}$ ॰हारकाः  $K_{10}$  23a परस्वार्थं ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_7$ ; परस्वार्थं  $C_{45}K_{10}$ , परस्यार्थं  $E \bullet$  हरेद्यदि ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; हरेद्यति  $C_{45}$ 

चौरलक्षणकाश्चान्ये कूटकापटिका नराः ॥४:२३॥ दुर्बलार्जवबालेषु च्छन्मना वा बलेन वा । अपहृत्य धनं मूढः स चौरश्चोर उच्यते ॥४:२४॥ नास्ति स्तेयसमं पापं नास्त्यधर्मश्च तत्समः । नास्ति स्तेनसमाकीर्तिर्नास्ति स्तेनसमा ऽनयः ॥४:२५॥ नास्ति स्तेनसमाकीर्तिर्नास्ति स्तेनसमः खलः । नास्ति स्तेनसम अज्ञो नास्ति स्तेनसमो ऽलसः ॥४:२६॥ नास्ति स्तेनसमो द्वेष्यो नास्ति स्तेनसमो ऽप्रियः । नास्ति स्तेयसमं दुःखं नास्ति स्तेयसमो ऽप्रथः ॥४:२७॥ प्रच्छन्नो ह्वियते ऽर्थमन्यपुरुषः प्रत्यक्षमन्यो हरेत् निक्षेपाद्धनहारिणो ऽन्यमधमो व्याजेन चान्यो हरेत् । अन्ये लेख्यविकल्पनाहृतधना † अन्यो हृताद्वै हृता † अन्यः क्रीतधनो ऽपरो धयहृत एते जघन्याः स्मृताः ॥४:२८॥ स्तेनतुल्य न मूढमस्ति पुरुषो धर्मार्थहीनो ऽधमः यावज्जीवति शङ्कया नरपतेः संत्रस्यमानो रटन् ।

4

**29** The lower folio side in exposure 49 in  $K_{10}$  is rather blurred and seems to be partly erased, therefore all the readings in this MS for verses 4.29–46 are rather uncertain, even if not indicated explicitly.

#### 23cd cf. UMS 8.3cd: कूटकापटिकाश्चैव सत्यार्जवविवर्जिताः

4

 ${f 23d}$  कूटकापटिका ]  ${f K}_{10}$ ;  ${f \wr g}$ /टका यटिका  ${f C}_{94}$ , कूटकायटिका  ${f C}_{45}{f C}_{02}{f K}_{82}^{ac}{f K}_7$ , कूटकार्यटिका  ${f K}_{82}^{pc}{f E}$  24a  ${f \circ}$ जीव ${f \circ}$  ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}^{}K_7^{}E;$  ॰जव॰  $K_{10}$  **24b** छदाना ] E; छन्मना  $C^\Sigma K_{82}^{}K_{10},$  छत्माना  $K_7$  **24cd** मूढः स ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_7 E$ ; मूढास्स  $K_{10}$  **24d** चौरश्चोर ]  $K_7$ ; चोरश्चोर  $C_{94} C_{02} K_{10} E$ , चौर चौर  $C_{45}$ , चौरश्चोर  $K_{82}$  **25ab** (नास्ति... तत्समः) ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_{10} K_7$ ; omitted in E **25a** स्तेय॰ ]  $K_{82} K_7$ ; तेन  $C_{94}$ , स्तेन॰  $C_{45} C_{02} K_{10}$ , omitted in E **25b** ॰समः ]  $C_{94} C_{45} K_{82} K_{10} K_7$ ; लमां  $C_{02}$ , omitted in E **25c** स्तेन॰  $C_{45} C_{02} K_{10} K_7$ ; omitted in E **25c** स्तेन॰  $C_{94} C_{45} K_{82} K_{10}$ ; लसमं  $C_{02}$ , omitted in E **25c** स्तेन॰  $C_{94} C_{45} K_{82} K_{10}$ ; लसमं  $C_{94} C_{45} K_{82} K_{10}$ ; लसमं  $C_{10} C_{10} E$   $C_{10} E$  Cस्तेय॰  $K_{82}K_7$  **26a** स्तेय॰ ]  $K_{82}K_7E$ ; स्तेन॰  $C^\Sigma K_{10}$  • ॰समा ]  $C_{02}K_{10}$ ; ॰समो  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_7E$ **26b** स्तेन॰ ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{10}$ ; स्तेय॰  $K_{82}K_{7}$ , तेन E **26c** स्तेन॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; स्तेय॰  $C_{02}K_{82}E$  • ॰सम ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}E$  (unmetr.); ॰सम  $K_{10}$  • अज्ञो ]  $C_{45}$ ; अज्ञ×  $C_{94}$ , अज्ञ  $C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ , अज्ञः E26d स्तेन॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{10}$ ; स्तेय॰  $C_{02}K_{82}K_{7}$ , तेन E 27a स्तेन॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{10}$ ; स्तेय॰  $C_{02}K_{82}K_{7}$ , तेन E 27b स्तेन॰ ]  $K_{10}$ ; स्तेय॰  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_7 E$  27c स्तेय॰ ]  $C_{02}$ ; स्तेन॰  $C_{94} C_{45} K_{82} K_{10}$ , स्तेन्य॰  $K_7$ , तेन E ~~ **27d** स्तेय $^{\circ}$  ]  $C_{02}K_7$ ; स्तेन $^{\circ}$   $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}$ , तेन E ~~ **28a** प्रच्छन्नो ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ E; प्रस्थन्नो  $C_{45}$  • ऽर्थमन्यपुरुषः ]  $C_{45}K_7$ ; च वित्तमथवा  $K_{82}^{pc}E$ , वित्तम्  $C_{94}K_{82}^{ac}K_{10}$ , चित्तं  $C_{02}$  • प्रत्यक्षमन्यो ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; प्रत्यक्षमनो  $C_{45}$ , प्रत्यक्ष्ममन्ये E **28b** निक्षेपाद्धन॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}$ ; निक्षेपा धन॰  $C_{02}K_{10}K_7$ , निक्षेपात्रय° E • °हारिणो ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_7E$ ; °हारिणयो  $C_{45}$ , °हारिण  $K_{10}$  • ऽन्यमधमो ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; उन्यमधनो  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; उन्यमधनो  $C_{02}$ , ऽन्यमिधने  $C_{02}K_{10}K_7E$ ; हरे  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; हरे  $C_{82}K_{10}K_7E$  •  $C_{82}K_7E$  •  $C_{82}K_7E$ लेख्य $^{\circ}$   $K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ , अन्योल्लेख्य E ullet ॰ धना अन्यो ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; ॰धन्यो  $C_{45}$  ullet हताद्वै ]  $C^\Sigma K_7 E$ ; हतद्वै  $K_{82}$ , हताद्वे  $K_{10}$  28d अन्यः क्रीतधनों ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_{10}$ ; अन्य क्रीतधनों  $K_7$ , अनाश्रीतधनं E ${
m C}^{\Sigma}{
m K_{82}}{
m K_{10}}{
m K_7};$  जघन्यः E **29a** स्तेनतुल्य ]  ${
m C_{94}}{
m C_{45}}{
m K_7}$  (unmetr.), स्तेयस्तुल्य  ${
m C_{02}}$ , स्तेयतुल्य  ${
m K_{82}}$ (unmetr.), तेन तुल्य  $K_{10}$  (unmetr.), स्तेनस्तुल्य E **29b** यावज्जीवति ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; यावत्तज्जीवति E ● ॰पते:  $]C_{45}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; ॰पति:  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}E$  ● संत्रस्यमानो रटन्  $]C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; संत्रास्यमानो शठः

प्राप्तःशासन तीव्रसह्यविषमं प्राप्नोति कर्मेरितः कालेन म्रियते स याति निरयमाक्रन्दमानो भृशम् ॥४:२९॥ नीत्वा दुर्गतिकोटिकल्प निरयात्तिर्यत्वमायान्ति ते तिर्यत्वे च तथैवमेकशतिकं प्रभ्रम्य वर्षार्बुदम् । मानुष्यं तदवाप्नुवन्ति विपुले दारिद्र्यरोगाकुलम् तस्माद्दुर्गतिहेतु कर्म सकलं त्यक्त्वा शिवं चाश्रयेत् ॥४:३०॥

#### [ यमेष्वानृशंस्यम् (४) ]

अष्टमूर्तिशिवद्वेष्टा पितुर्मातुश्च यो द्विषेत् । गवां वा अतिथेर्द्वेष्टा नृशंसाः पञ्च एव ते ॥४:३१॥ अष्टमूर्तिः शिवः साक्षात्पञ्चव्योमसमन्वितः । सूर्यः सोमश्च दीक्षश्च दूषकः स नृशंसकः ॥४:३२॥ पिताकाशसमो ज्ञेयो जन्मोत्पत्तिकरः पिता । पितृदैवत † मादिश्चमानृशंस तमन्वितः † ॥४:३३॥ पृथ्व्या गुरुतरी माता को न वन्देत मातरम् । यज्ञदानतपोवेदास्तेन सर्वं कृतं भवेत् ॥४:३४॥ गावः पवित्रं मङ्गल्यं देवतानां च देवताः । सर्वदेवमया गावस्तस्मादेव न हिंसयेत् ॥४:३५॥ जातमात्रस्य लोकस्य गावस्त्राता न संशयः ।

 $35a \approx Visnus 23.57c$ : गावः पवित्रमङ्गल्यं (गोषु लोकाः प्रतिष्ठिता) • cf. also MBh Indices 13.15.33: गावः पवित्रं परमं गोषु लोकाः प्रतिष्ठिताः and AgniP 291.1cd: गावः पवित्रा माङ्गल्या गोषु लोकाः प्रतिष्ठिताः 35c = VDhU 3.291.25c

4

**29c** प्राप्तः॰ ]  $C^\Sigma K_{10}K_7E$ ; प्राप्त॰  $K_{82}$  • ॰सह्म॰ ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7$ ; \_\_  $K_{10}$ , ॰सद्म॰ E • ॰विषमं ] em.; ॰विषमः  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7E$ , \_\_  $K_{10}$  • कमेरितः ]  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{82}K_7E$ ; कमेरितः  $C_{94}$ , \_\_  $C_{10}$  $\mathbf{K}_{10}$  **29d** निरयमाक्रन्दमानों ]  $\overline{C}^\Sigma \mathbf{K}_{82}$ ;  $\wr$ निर $\wr$ यमाक्रन्दमा $\wr$ नो $\wr$   $\mathbf{K}_{10}$ , निरयं स क्रन्दमानों  $\mathbf{K}_7$ , नियममाक्रन्द्रमानों 30a निरयात्तिर्यत्व $^\circ$  ]  $C_{45}
m K_{82}$ ; निरयान्तिर्यत्व $^\circ$   $C_{94}$ , निरया तिर्यत्व $^\circ$   $C_{02}$ , निरयात्तिर्यत्व $^\circ$   $m K_{10}$ , निरयान्तिर्यक्ष॰  $K_7$ , निरयान्तिर्यक्त्व॰ E **30b** तिर्यत्वे ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7$ ;  $\wr$ तिर्यत्वे  $\wr$   $K_{10}$ , तिर्यक्त्वं E • तथैवमेकशितकं ]  $C_{45}$ ; तथैकमेकशितकं  $C_{94}K_{82}K_7$ , तथैकमेकशितकं  $C_{92}$ ,  $\wr$ तथै $\wr$ कमेकशितकं E • ॰भ्रम्य॰ ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{7}E$ ; ॰भ्राम्य  $K_{82}$ , ॰  $\Box$ म्य  $K_{10}$  • वर्षार्बुदम् ]  $K_{7}^{pc}$ ; वर्षाम्बुदम्  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}^{ac}$ , वर्षाम्बुदः  $C_{02}E$  30c मानुष्यं ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; मानुष्यं  $C_{45}$  (unmetr.),  $\langle$ मानुष्य $\rangle$   $K_{10}$  (top of akṣaras lost) • विपुले ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}$ ; विपु $\langle m \rangle$   $K_{10}$  (top of akṣaras lost), विपुलं E • दारिद्र्यं $\circ$  ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}$ ; ×िर  $K_{10}$ , दारिध्र $^{\circ}$  E **30d** तस्माद्द $^{\circ}$   $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; तस्मा दु $^{\circ}$   $C_{02}$ ,  $^{\circ}$  रतस्मा दु $^{\circ}$   $^{\circ}$   $^{\circ}$ • चाश्रयेत् ]  $\mathbb{C}^\Sigma K_{10} K_7 E$ ; चाश्रत्  $\mathbb{K}_{82}$  **31a** °शिव॰ ]  $\mathbb{C}^\Sigma K_{82} K_{10} E$ ; °शिवं  $\mathbb{K}_7$  **31c** गवां वा ]  $\mathbb{C}_{94} \mathbb{C}_{02} \mathbb{K}_{82} \mathbb{K}_7 E$ ; अवाम्वा  $\mathbb{C}_{45}$ , ××/म्वा/  $\mathbb{K}_{10}$  • अतिथेर्द्धे॰ ]  $\mathbb{C}_{94} \mathbb{C}_{45} \mathbb{K}_{10} \mathbb{K}_7 E$ ; अतिथिद्धे॰  $\mathbb{C}_{02}$ , अतिथे द्वे॰  $\mathbb{K}_{82}$  **31d** नृशंसा: ]  $\mathbb{C}_{94} \mathbb{C}_{02} \mathbb{K}_{82} \mathbb{K}_{10}$ ; नृशंसा  $\mathbb{C}_{45} \mathbb{K}_7 E$  **32a** ॰पूर्तिः ]  $\mathbb{C}^\Sigma \mathbb{K}_{82} \mathbb{K}_{10} \mathbb{K}_7$ ; ॰पूर्ति॰  $E \quad \textbf{32b} \circ \overline{\text{Faan: }} \ ] \ C_{94} C_{45} K_{82} K_{7} E; \circ \overline{\text{Faan: }} \ C_{02} K_{10} \quad \textbf{32c} \ \text{ eqt: } \ ] \ C^{\Sigma} K_{82}; \wr \overline{\text{eqt}} \circ \wr K_{10} K_{7}, \ \overline{\text{eqt}} \circ \wr K_{10} K$  ${\rm E} \bullet {\rm dlg} \circ {\rm JC}^{\Sigma}{\rm K}_{82}{\rm K}_{7}; {\rm val} \times {\rm K}_{10}, {\rm dlg} \circ {\rm E} = {\rm 33b} \circ {\rm ax}: {\rm Uni} {\rm JC}_{94}{\rm C}_{45}{\rm K}_{82}{\rm K}_{7}{\rm E}; \circ {\rm ax}: {\rm Uni} {\rm C}_{94}{\rm C}_{45}{\rm K}_{82}{\rm K}_{7}{\rm E}; \circ {\rm ax}: {\rm Uni} {\rm C}_{94}{\rm C}_{45}{\rm K}_{82}{\rm K}_{7}{\rm E}; \circ {\rm cas}: {\rm Uni} {\rm C}_{94}{\rm C}_{45}{\rm K}_{82}{\rm K}_{7}{\rm E}; \circ {\rm cas}: {\rm Uni} {\rm C}_{94}{\rm C}_{45}{\rm K}_{82}{\rm K}_{7}{\rm E}; \circ {\rm cas}: {\rm Uni} {\rm C}_{94}{\rm C}_{45}{\rm K}_{82}{\rm K}_{7}{\rm E}; \circ {\rm cas}: {\rm Uni} {\rm C}_{94}{\rm C}_{45}{\rm K}_{82}{\rm K}_{7}{\rm E}; \circ {\rm cas}: {\rm Uni} {\rm C}_{94}{\rm C}_{45}{\rm K}_{82}{\rm K}_{7}{\rm E}; \circ {\rm cas}: {\rm Uni} {\rm C}_{94}{\rm C}_{45}{\rm K}_{82}{\rm K}_{7}{\rm E}; \circ {\rm cas}: {\rm Uni} {\rm C}_{94}{\rm C}_{45}{\rm K}_{82}{\rm K}_{7}{\rm E}; \circ {\rm cas}: {\rm Uni} {\rm C}_{94}{\rm C}_{45}{\rm K}_{82}{\rm K}_{7}{\rm E}; \circ {\rm cas}: {\rm Uni} {\rm C}_{94}{\rm C}_{45}{\rm K}_{82}{\rm K}_{7}{\rm E}; \circ {\rm cas}: {\rm Uni} {\rm C}_{94}{\rm C}_{45}{\rm K}_{82}{\rm K}_{7}{\rm E}; \circ {\rm cas}: {\rm Uni} {\rm C}_{94}{\rm C}_{45}{\rm K}_{82}{\rm K}_{7}{\rm E}; \circ {\rm cas}: {\rm Uni} {\rm C}_{94}{\rm C}_{45}{\rm K}_{82}{\rm K}_{7}{\rm E}; \circ {\rm cas}: {\rm Uni} {\rm C}_{94}{\rm C}_{82}{\rm K}_{82}{\rm K}_{7}{\rm E}; \circ {\rm cas}: {\rm Uni} {\rm C}_{94}{\rm C}_{82}{\rm K}_{82}{\rm K}_{7}{\rm E}; \circ {\rm cas}: {\rm Uni} {\rm C}_{82}{\rm C}_{82}{\rm K}_{82}{\rm K}_{82}{\rm K}_{7}{\rm E}; \circ {\rm cas}: {\rm Uni} {\rm C}_{82}{\rm C}_{82}{\rm K}_{82}{\rm K}_{82$  $K_{10}$ , ॰करिपताः  $C_{02}$  33c ॰दैवत॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; ॰देवत॰  $C_{45}$ , ×वत॰  $K_{10}$  33cd ॰िदश्चमानुशंस तमन्वितः  $\,]\,C_{94}C_{45},\,$  ॰दित्यमनृशंस तमन्वितः  $C_{02}K_{10},\,$  ॰दिश्च अनृशंस तमान्वितः  $K_{82},\,$  ॰दिश्चमनृशंस तमान्वितः  $K_7$ , ॰दित्यम्मानृशंस ततो ऽन्वितः E **34a** पृथ्या ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_7$ ;  $\wr$ पृथ्या $\wr$   $C_{02}K_{82}$ , पृथ्वी  $K_{10}$ , पृथ्यां E ${f 34b}$  वन्देत ]  ${f C_{94}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E}$ ; वन्देन वन्देत  ${f C_{45}}$ , वन्दोत  ${f C_{02}}$   ${f 34d}$  सर्वं ] em.; सर्व  ${f C}^\Sigma {f K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E}$ **35a** पवित्रं ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; २पवित्र२  $K_{10}$  • मङ्गल्यं ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}$ ; २मङ्गल्यं२  $K_{10}$ , माङ्गल्यं  $C_{02}K_{7}E$ • देवताः ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{7}$ ; दैवताः  $K_{82}$ ,  $\wr$ देवताः  $\wr K_{10}$ , देवता E 35d  $\circ$  स्मादेव ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ;  $\circ$  स्मादुव  ${
m C_{45}}$ , ॰स्माद्गावं  ${
m E}$  36a जातमात्रस्य लोकस्य ]  ${
m C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{7}E}$ ; जातमात्र ${
m k}$ स्य लोकस्य ${
m K_{10}}$ , सतसातस्य  $\mathsf{C}^{ac}_{45}$ , सतसातस्य नोकस्य  $\mathsf{C}^{pc}_{_{45}}$ 

घृतं क्षीरं दिध मूत्रं शकृत्कर्षणमेव च ॥४:३६॥ पञ्चामृतं पञ्चपवित्रपृतं ये पञ्चगव्यं पुरुषाः पिबन्ति । ते वाजिमेधस्य फलं लभन्ति तदक्षयं स्वर्गमवाप्नुवन्ति ॥४:३७॥ गोभिर्न तुल्यं धनमस्ति किंचिद दुह्यन्ति वाह्यन्ति बहिश्चरन्ति । तृणानि भुक्त्वा अमृतं स्रवन्ति विप्रेषु दत्ताः कुलमुद्धरन्ति ॥४:३८॥ गवाह्निकं यश्च करोति नित्यं शुश्रूषणं यः कुरुते गवां त् । अशेषयज्ञतपदानपुण्यं लभत्यसौ तामनृशंसकर्ता ॥४:३९॥ अतिथिं यो ऽनगच्छेत अतिथिं यो ऽनमन्यते । अतिथिं यो ऽनुपूज्येत अतिथिं यः प्रशंसते ॥४:४०॥ अतिथिं यो न पीड्येत अतिथिं यो न दुष्यति । अतिथिप्रियकर्ता यः अतिथेः परिचारकः । अतिथेः कृतसंतोषस्तस्य पुण्यमनन्तकम् ॥४:४१॥ आसनेनार्घपात्रेण पादशौचजलेन च । अन्नवस्त्रप्रदानैर्वा सर्वं वापि निवेदयेत ॥४:४२॥

36 cf. ŚDhU 12.92ff 38a = ŚDhU 12.102d, 103d, 104d; cf. MBh 13.51.26cd: गोभिस्तुल्यं न पश्यामि धनं किंचिदिहाच्युत 38 cf. ŚDhU 12.92: तृणानि खादन्ति वसन्त्यरण्ये पिबन्ति तोयान्यपरिग्रहाणि । दुह्यन्ति बाह्यन्ति पुनन्ति पापं गवां रसैर्जीवति जीवलोकः ॥

4

36d शकृत्क॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_7E$ ; शकृत्क॰  $C_{10}$ , क्षत्क॰  $C_{45}$  37a ॰ पवित्रपूतम् ]  $C_{02}K_{82}E$ ; ॰ पवित्रपूतन  $C_{94}$  (unmetr.), ॰ पवित्रं  $C_{45}$  (unmetr.), ॰ पवित्रपूत  $C_{10}$ , ॰ पवित्रपूतनं  $C_{7}$  (unmetr.) 37b ॰ गव्यं ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_7E$ ; ॰ गव्या  $C_{02}$ ,  $C_{10}$  २० पवित्रपूतं  $C_{10}$ , ॰ पवित्रपूतं  $C_{10}$ ,  $C_{10}$  37c लभन्ते ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; भवन्ति  $C_{02}$  37c लभन्ते ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; भवन्ति  $C_{02}$  37d स्वर्गः ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; स्वर्नि  $C_{02}$  37d स्वर्गः ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; स्वर्नि  $C_{02}$  37d स्वर्गः ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; स्वर्नि  $C_{02}$  38d दत्ताः ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; गवित्रिक्तं ]  $C_{15}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; गवित्रिक्तं ]  $C_{15}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; गवित्रिक्तं  $C_{15}C_{15}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; गवित्रिक्तं  $C_{15}C_{15}K_{15}K_{15}E$  37d  $C_{15}C_{15}K_{15}E$  37d  $C_{15}C_{15}K_{15}E$  37d  $C_{15}C_{15}K_{15}E$  37d  $C_{15}C_{15}K_{15}E$  37d  $C_{15}C_{15}K_{15}E$  37d  $C_{15}C_{15}E$  37d  $C_{15}E$  37d

पुत्रदारात्मना वापि यो ऽतिथिमनुपूजयेत् । श्रद्धया चाविकल्पेन अक्लीबमानसेन च ॥४:४३॥ न पृच्छेद्गोत्रचरणं स्वाध्यायं देशजन्मनी । चिन्तयेन्मनसा भक्त्या धर्मः स्वयमिहागतः ॥४:४४॥ अश्वमेधसहस्राणि राजसूयशतानि च । पुण्डरीकसहस्रं च सर्वतीर्थतपःफलम् ॥४:४५॥ अतिथिर्यस्य तुष्येत नृशंसमतमृत्सृजेत् । स तस्य सकलं पुण्यं प्राप्नुयान्नात्र संशयः ॥४:४६॥ † न गतिमतिथिज्ञस्य † गतिमाप्नोति कर्हिचित् । तस्मादितिथिमायान्तमिभगच्छेत्कृताञ्जिलः ॥४:४७॥ सक्तुप्रस्थेन चैकेन यज्ञ आसीन्महाद्भुतः । अतिथिप्राप्तदानेन स्वशरीरं दिवं गतम् ॥४:४८॥ नकुलेन पुराधीतं विस्तरेण द्विजोत्तम । विदितं च त्वया पूर्वं प्रस्थवार्त्तां च कीर्तिता ॥४:४९॥

#### [यमेषु दमः (५)]

दम एव मनुष्याणां धर्मसारसमुच्चयः । दमो धर्मो दमः स्वर्गो दमः कीर्तिर्दमः सुखम् ॥४:५०॥ दमो यज्ञो दमस्तीर्थं दमः पुण्यं दमस्तपः । दमहीनमधर्मश्च दमः कामकुलप्रदः ॥४:५१॥ निर्दमः करि मीनश्च पतङ्गभ्रमरमृगाः ।

 $44ab = UUMS\ 10.7ab = UMS\ 6.11ab \approx MBh\ 13.62.18ab$ : न पृच्छेद्गोत्रचरणं स्वाध्यायं देशमेव वा 44cd cf. 12.37cd: द्विजरूपधरो धर्मः स्वयम् एव इहागतः 47cd = VāyuP 2.17.8 = BrahmāṇḍaP 2.15.8;  $\approx$ ŚDhU 4.44ab: तस्मादितिथिमायान्तमनुगच्छेत्कृताञ्जिलः 50b cf. e.g. MBh Indeces 14.4.2477: श्रोतुम् इच्छामि कार्त्स्न्येन धर्मसारसमुच्चयम्

4

त्विग्जिह्ना च तथा घ्राणा चक्षुः श्रवणमिन्द्रियाः ॥४:५२॥ दुर्जयेन्द्रियमेकैकं सर्वे प्राणहराः स्मृताः । दमं यो जयते ऽसम्यग्निर्दमो निधनं व्रजेत् ॥४:५३॥ मृगे श्रोत्रवशान्मृत्युः पतङ्गाश्चक्षुषोर्मृताः । घ्राणया भ्रमरो नष्टो नष्टो मीनश्च जिह्नया ॥४:५४॥ स्पर्शेन च करी नष्टो बन्धनावासदु:सहः । किं पुनः पञ्चभुक्तानां मृत्युस्तेभ्यः किमद्भृतम् ॥४:५५॥ पुरूरवो ऽतिलोभेन अतिकामेन दण्डकः । सागराश्चातिदर्पेण अतिमानेन रावणः ॥४:५६॥ अतिक्रोधेन सौदास अतिपानेन यादवाः । अतितृष्णाच्च मान्धाता नहुषो द्विजवज्ञया ॥४:५७॥ अतिदानाद्बलिर्नष्ट अतिशौर्येण अर्जुनः । अतिद्यूतान्नलो राजा नृगो गोहरणेन तु ॥४:५८॥ दमेन हीनः पुरुषो द्विजेन्द्र स्वर्गं च मोक्षं च सुखं च नास्ति । विज्ञानधर्मकुलकीर्तिनाश भवन्ति विप्र दमया विहीनाः ॥४:५९॥ [यमेषु घृणा (६)]

4

**54** cf. BuddhCar 11.35: गौतैर्ह्रियन्ते हि मृगा वधाय रूपार्थमग्नौ शलभाः पतन्ति । मत्स्यो गिरत्यायसमामिषार्थी तस्मादनर्थं विषयाः फलन्ति ॥ **56d** cf. *Mahāsubhāṣitasaṃgraha* 563cd: विनष्टो रावणो लौल्यादित सर्वत्र वर्जयेत् **58a** cf. *Mahāsubhāṣitasaṃgraha* 563ab: अतिदानाद्वलिर्बद्धो नष्टो मानात्सुयोधनः

4

**52c** घ्राणा ]  $C_{94}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; घ्राणं  $C_{45}$ , घ्राण  $C_{02}$  **52d** ॰न्द्रियाः ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; ॰न्द्रियः E53b सर्वे ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; सर्व॰  $C_{45}$  • ॰हराः ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; ॰हरा E 53d व्रजेत् ]  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; ब्रजे $\_C_{94}$  **54a** मृगे  $]C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7$ ; मृगो  $K_{10}E$  • श्रोत्र॰  $]C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}E$ ; शोत्र॰  $C_{02}$ , श्रोत॰  $K_7$  • ॰वशा॰  $]C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; ॰वचशा॰  $C_{45}$  **54b** पतङ्गाश्च॰  $]C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; पतङ्गा च॰ E ● ॰षोर्मृताः ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}E$ ; ॰सो मृताः  $C_{02}$ , ॰षो मृताः  $K_7$  54c घ्राणया ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; घ्रातया  $C_{45}$  54cd नष्टो नष्टो ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; नष्टो  $C_{45}$  55b  $^{\circ}$ सदुःसहः ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_7E$ ; ॰सदु:सह  $C_{45}$ , ॰सुदुस्सहः  $K_{10}$  55c पुनः ]  $C_{94}^{pc}C_{45}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; पुन  $C_{94}^{ac}$  55d तेभ्यः ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; तेथ्य E **56a** पुरुखो ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; पुरोखे  $C_{02}$ , पुरुखा॰ E • तिलोभेन अतिकामेन ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; तिकामेन अतिलोभेन E **56b** दण्डकः ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; पुण्डकः E **56a** सागरा $\circ$  ] em.; सगर $^{\circ}$   $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ , सागर $^{\circ}$   $C_{02}$  57 $\mathbf{b}$  अतिपानेन ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; अतिपापेन E**57c** अतितृष्णाच्च मान्धाता ] conj.; अतितृष्णा च मान्दातो  $C_{94}$ , अतितृष्णा च मान्धातो  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{82}K_{7}$ , अतितृष्णा च मन्धातो  $K_{10}$ , अतितृष्णा च मानाच्च च E 57 $\mathbf{d}$  नहुषो ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7E$ ; नघुषो  $K_{10}$  58 $\mathbf{a}$  ॰र्नष्ट ]  $C_{94}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; ॰र्नष्टो  $C_{45}$ , नष्टो  $C_{02}$  **58c** अतिद्यूतान्नलो ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; अतिद्यूतान्नरो  $C_{45}K_{82}$ , अतिख्यातान्नलो E **58d** नृगो गो $\circ$  ] E; नृगङ्गो $\circ$   $C_{94}C_{02}K_{10}K_{7}$ , नृगं गो $\circ$   $C_{45}K_{82}$ पुरुषो द्विजेन्द्र ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}$ ; हीन पुरुषो द्विजेन्द्र  $K_{10}$ , हीनं पुरुषं द्विजेन्द्रः E 59c ॰नाश ]  $C_{45}$ ; ॰नाशो  $\check{\mathrm{E}}$ ° नाम  $\mathrm{C}_{94}\mathrm{C}_{02}\mathrm{K}_{82}$ , °नश्च  $\mathrm{K}_{10}$ , °नागा  $\mathrm{K}_7$  **59d** विप्र ]  $\mathrm{C}^\Sigma\mathrm{K}_{82}^{ac}\mathrm{K}_{10}\mathrm{E}$ ; विप्रा  $\mathrm{K}_{82}^{pc}\mathrm{K}_7$  • दमया ]  $C_{94}C_{45}^{\it pc}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; दया  $C_{45}^{\it ac}$ 

निर्घुणो न परत्रास्ति निर्घुणो न इहास्ति वै । निर्घृणे न च धर्मो ऽस्ति निर्घृणे न तपो ऽस्ति वै ॥४:६०॥ परस्त्रीषु परार्थेषु परजीवापकर्षणे । परनिन्दापरान्नेषु घृणां पञ्चसु कारयेत् ॥४:६१॥ परस्त्री शृण् विप्रेन्द्र घृणीकार्या सदा बुधैः । राज्ञी विप्री परिव्राजा स्वयोनिपरयोनिषु ॥४:६२॥ परार्थे शृणु भूयो ऽन्य अन्यायार्थमुपार्जनम् । आढप्रस्थतुलाव्याजैः परार्थं यो ऽपकर्षति ॥४:६३॥ जीवापकर्षणे विप्र घृणीकुर्वीत पण्डितः । वनजावनजा जीवा विलगाश्चरणाचराः ॥४:६४॥ परनिन्दा च का विप्र शृण् वक्ष्ये समासतः । देवानां ब्राह्मणानां च गुरुमातातिथिद्विषः ॥४:६५॥ परान्नेषु घृणा कार्या अभोज्येषु च भोजनम् । सूतके मृतके शौण्डे वर्णभ्रष्टकुले नटे ॥४:६६॥ एते पञ्चघृणासु सक्तपुरुषाः स्वर्गार्थमोक्षार्थिनः लोके ऽनिन्दनमाप्नुवन्ति सततं कीर्तिर्यशोऽलंकृतम् । प्रज्ञाबोधश्रुतिं स्मृतिं च लभते मानं च नित्यं लभेत् दाक्षिण्यं स भवेत्स आयुष परं प्राप्नोति निःसंशयः ॥४:६७॥

[ यमेषु पश्चविधो धन्यः (७) ]

चतुर्मीनश्चतुःशत्रुश्चतुरायतनं तथा ।

63b cf. BhG 16.12: आशापाशशरौर्बद्धाः कामक्रोधपरायणाः । ईहन्ते कामभोगार्थमन्यायेनार्थसंचयान् ॥ 65cd These two pādas are illegible in  $K_{10}$  66 This verse is mostly illegible in  $K_{10}$ 

4

**60a** निर्घृणो ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{10}$ ; निघृणो  $C_{02}K_7$ , निघृण  $K_{82}^{ac}$ , निर्घृ $\langle V \rangle$  निघृणे  $K_{82}^{pc}$ , निघृंणे  $K_{82}^{pc}$ 60b निर्घुणो 1  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}^{ac}K_{10}$ ; निघृणो  $C_{02}K_{7}$ , निघृणे  $K_{82}^{pc}E$  **60c** निघृणे ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{10}E$ ; निघृणे  $C_{02}K_{82}K_{7}$  $m{60d}$  निर्घूणे ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}E$ ; निघृणे  $C_{02}K_7$   $m{61b}$  ॰ जीवापकर्षणे ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; ॰ जीवापर्कणे  $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Got } & \text{Higher } & \text{Got } & \text{Higher } & \text{Got } & \text{Higher } & \text{Hi$  $f{63b}$  अन्याया॰ ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_7 E$ ; अन्यया॰  $K_{10}$  ● ॰र्जनम् ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_7 E$ ; ॰र्ज्जवम्  $K_{10}$  63 ${f c}$  ॰तुला॰ ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; ॰तुल॰  $K_{10}$  63d ॰थं ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}E$ ; ॰थं  $C_{02}$ , २॰थं२  $K_{10}$ , ॰थं  $K_{7}$  64a विप्र ]  $C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; वि $\chi C_{94}$ , विप्रे  $C_{02}$  64b घृणी $\circ$  ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; घृणां E 64c वनजावनजा ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}E$ ; वनजाव $\times$ जा  $C_{45}^{ac}$ , वनजा व $\wr$ नि $\wr$ जा  $C_{45}^{pc}$ , वनज विनजा  $K_7$  **64d** विलगाश्चरणाचरा: ] corr.; विलगाचरणाचरा:  $C_{94}C_{45}K_7$ , विलगोचरगोचर:  $C_{02}E$ , विलगोचरगोचरा:  $K_{82}$ ,  $\wr$ विलगाचर $\wr$ णाचरा:  $K_{10}$ 65b वक्ष्ये ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; वक्ष्या E 66b अभोज्येषु ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; अभोज्ये  $C_{45}$  66c शौण्डे ]  $K_{82}$ ; सौण्ड्ये  $C_{94}C_{02}K_7$ , शोण्ड्ये  $C_{45}$ ,  $\wr$ सौण्डे  $\wr K_{10}$ , सौण्डो E **67a** ॰ पुरुषाः  $]K_7$ ; ॰ पुरुषः  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}E$ • ॰ र्थिनः ]  $K_7^{pc}$ ; ॰ र्थिना  $C^\Sigma K_{82} K_{10} E$ , ॰ र्थिना  $K_7^{ac}$  67b ऽनिन्दनमाप्नुवन्ति ]  $C_{94} C_{45} K_{82} K_{10} K_7$ ; ऽनिन्दनवाप्नुवन्ति  $C_{02}$ , नन्दनवायुवान्ति E 67 $\mathbf{c}$  ॰ श्रुतिं ]  $K_7$ ; ॰ श्रुति॰  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}E$  • नित्यं ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_7E$ ; नित्य  $C_{45}$  67d स आयुष ] em.; समायुष  $C^{\Sigma}K_7$ , समायुष:  $K_{82}$  (unmetr.), रसमायुषर  $K_{10}$ , स मानुष  $E \bullet$ निःसंशयः ]  $C^\Sigma K_{10}K_7^E$ ; निसंशयः  $K_{82}$  **68a** चतुर्मीन $^\circ$  ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_7^E$ ; चतुर्मीण $^\circ$   $C_{02}$ ,  $^\circ$  चतुर्मीन $^\circ$  $^\circ$  $K_{10}$  68ab ॰तुःशत्रुश्च॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; ॰तुशत्रु च॰  $C_{02}$ , ॰तुःशत्रु च॰ E 68b ॰तुरायतनं ]  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ;  $\circ$ रुरायतनं  $C_{94}$ ,  $\wr$ चतुरायतनम $\wr K_{10}$ 

चतुर्ध्यानं चतुष्पादं पञ्चधन्यविधोच्यते ॥४:६८॥ चतुर्मीनस्य वक्ष्यामि शृणुष्वावहितो भव । पारुष्यपिशुनामिथ्यासम्भिन्नानि च वर्जयेत् ॥४:६९॥ कामः क्रोधश्च लोभश्च मोहश्चैव चतुर्विधः । चतुःशत्रुर्निहन्तव्यः सो ऽरिहा वीतकल्मषः ॥४:७०॥ चतुरायतनं विप्र कथयिष्यामि तच्छुण् । करुणा मुदितोपेक्षा मैत्री चायतनं स्मृतम् ॥४:७१॥ चतुर्ध्यानाधुना वक्ष्ये संसारार्णवतारणम् । आत्मविद्याभवः सूक्ष्मं ध्यानमुक्तं चतुर्विधम् ॥४:७२॥ आत्मतत्त्वः स्मृतो धर्मो विद्या पञ्चस् पञ्चधा । षट्त्रिंशाक्षरमित्याहुः सूक्ष्मतत्त्वमलक्षणम् ॥४:७३॥ चतुष्पादः स्मृतो धर्मश्चतुराश्रममाश्रितः । गृहस्थो ब्रह्मचारी च वानप्रस्थो ऽथ भैक्ष्कः ॥४:७४॥ धन्यास्ते यैरिदं वेत्ति निखिलेन द्विजोत्तम । पावनं सर्वपापानां पुण्यानां च प्रवर्धनम् ॥४:७५॥ आयुः कीर्तिर्यशः सौख्यं धन्यादेव प्रवर्धते । शान्तिः पुष्टिः स्मृतिर्मेधा जायते धन्यमानवे ॥४:७६॥

[यमेष्वप्रमादः (८)]

प्रमादस्थान पञ्चैव कीर्तयिष्यामि तच्छृणु । ब्रह्महत्या सुरापानं स्तेयो गुर्वङ्गनागमम् ।

**69cd** cf. Divyāvadāna 186.21: आर्य, िकमेभि: कर्म कृतम्येनैवंविधानि दुःखानि प्रत्यनुभवन्तीति? स कथयित । एते प्राणातिपातिका अदत्तादायिका: काममिथ्याचारिका मृषावादिका: पेशुनिका: पारुषिका: संभिन्नप्रलापिका अभिध्यालवो व्यापन्नचित्ता मिथ्यादृष्टिका: ।  $74cd = MBh\ 12.234.13ab \approx MBh\ 14.4513ab$  etc.  $77c-f\approx Mahābhārata\ Indeces\ 12.30: ब्रह्महत्यां सुरापानं स्तेयं गुर्वङ्गनागमम् । महान्ति पातकान्याहुः संयोगं चैव तैः सह ॥ <math>\approx Manu\ 11.55$  (in Olivelle's edition): ब्रह्महत्या सुरापानं स्तेयं गुर्वङ्गनागमः । महान्ति पातकान्याहुः संयोगं चैव तैः सह ॥ See also Yājńavalkyasmṛti 3.227: ब्रह्महा मद्यपः स्तेनस्तथैव गुरुतल्पगः । एते महापातिकनो यश्च तैः सह संवसेत ॥

4

68c ॰पादं ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{7}E$ ; ॰पादः  $K_{82}$ , ××  $K_{10}$  68d पञ्चधन्य ॰ ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; धन्यपञ्च ॰ E 69a ॰मौनस्य ]  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; ॰पोनस्य  $C_{45}$  69c पारुष्य ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; पारुष्यं  $K_{82}$  • ॰पिशुना ॰ ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; ॰पिण्डाना ॰ E 70c चतुःशत्रुर्नि ॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}E$ ; चतुशत्रु नि ॰  $C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$  70d सो ऽिंहा ]  $C_{94}C_{62}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; सोिरहा  $C_{45}$ , सर्वथा E 71c मुदितो ॰ ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; मुदितो ॰ E 71d चायतनं ]  $C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; चायतन  $C_{94}$ , चायति २  $C_{45}$  72c ॰ भवः ]  $C_{45}C_{02}^{\nu}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; भव  $C_{94}C_{02}^{\alpha \nu}$ , ॰भवं E 72cd सूक्षां ध्या ॰ ]  $C_{94}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; सूक्षमारिन्या ॰  $C_{45}$ , न्मुक्तश्चतुर्विध  $C_{45}$ , ॰मुक्तश्चतुर्विध  $C_{45}$ , ०मुक्तश्चतुर्विध  $C_{45}$ , ०मुक्तश्चतुर्विध  $C_{45}$ , ०मुक्तश्चतुर्व  $C_{45}$ , ०मुक्तश्चतुर्व  $C_{45}$ , ०मुक्तश्चतुर्व  $C_{45}$ , ०मु

महापातकिमित्याहुस्तत्संयोगी च पञ्चमः ॥४:७७॥ अनृतं च समुत्कषे राजगामी च पैशुनः । गुरोश्चालीकिनिर्बद्धः समानि ब्रह्महत्यया ॥४:७८॥ ब्रह्मो ऋग्वेदिनन्दा च कूटसाक्षी सुद्धद्धः । गिर्हितानाद्ययोजिधः सुरापानसमानि षट् ॥४:७९॥ रेतोत्सेकः स्वयोन्यासु कुमारीष्वन्त्यजासु च । सख्युः पुत्रस्य च स्त्रीषु गुरुतल्पसमः स्मृतः ॥४:८०॥ निक्षेपस्यापहरणं नराश्वरजतस्य च । भूमिवज्रमणीनां च रुक्मस्तेयसमः स्मृतः ॥४:८१॥ चत्वार एते सम्भूय यत्पापं कुरुते नरः । महापातकपञ्चैतन् तेन सर्वं प्रकाशितम् । पञ्चप्रमादमेतानि वर्जनी्यं द्विजोत्तम ॥४:८२॥

[ यमेषु माधुर्यम् (९) ]

कायवाङ्मनमाधुर्यं चक्षुर्बुद्धिश्च पञ्चमः । सौम्यदृष्टिप्रदानं च क्रूरबुद्धिं च वर्जयेत् ॥४:८३॥ प्रसन्नमनसा ध्यायेत्प्रियवाक्यमुदीरयेत् । यथाशक्तिप्रदानं च स्वाश्रमाभ्यागतो गुरुः ॥४:८४॥ इन्धनोदकदानं च जातवेदमथापि वा ।

 $78 \approx \text{MBh} 5.40.3$ : अनृतं च समुत्कर्षे राजगामि च पैशुनम् । गुरोश्चालीकनिर्बन्धः समानि ब्रह्महत्यया ॥ = Manu  $11.56 \approx \text{Viṣnusmṛti } 37.1-4 \approx \text{Agnipurāṇa } 168.25$   $79 \approx \text{Manu } 11.57$ : ब्रह्मोज्झता वेदिनन्दा कौटसाक्ष्यं सुहृद्वधः । गिर्हतानाद्ययोर्जिग्धः सुरापानसमानि षट् ॥ See also Yājñavalkyasmṛti 3.228: गुरूणामध्यधिक्षेपो वेदिनन्दा सुहृद्वधः । ब्रह्महत्यासमं ज्ञेयमधीतस्य च नाशनम् ॥  $80 \approx \text{Manu } 11.59$ : रेतःसेकः स्वयोनीषु कुमारीष्वन्त्यजासु च । सख्युः पुत्रस्य च स्त्रीषु गुरुतल्पसमं विदुः ॥ 81 = Manu 11.58

4

**78a** समुत्कर्षे ] em.; समुत्कर्षं  $C_{94}K_{82}$ , समुत्कर्षं  $C_{02}K_{10}K_7$ E, समुत्कर्षं  $C_{45}$  **78b** राज $^\circ$  ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; राज्ञी॰ E 78c ॰ निर्बद्धः ]  $C_{94}^{}C_{45}^{}K_{7}^{}$ ; निबद्धस्  $C_{94}^{}C_{02}^{}K_{82}^{}K_{10}^{}$ , निर्वद्धस् E 78d ब्रह्महत्यया ]  $C_{45}^{}C_{02}^{}K_{82}^{}K_{10}^{}K_{7}^{}E$ ; ब्र×× या  $C_{94}^{}$  79a ब्रह्मो ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}^{}K_{10}^{}K_{7}^{}$ ; ब्रह्म E 79b सुदृद्धधः ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}^{}K_{10}^{}K_{7}^{}$ ; सकृद्ध्यः E 79 $\mathbf{c}$  ॰ नाद्ययोर्जिग्धः ] em.; ॰ नञ्च यो जिष्धस्  $C_{94}$ , ॰ नञ्च यो जिष्धं  $C_{45}$ , ॰ नञ्च योद्भिग्नः  $C_{02}$ , ॰न्नं च यो जिष्धः  $K_{82}$ , ॰न्नं च यो जिष्धः  $K_{10}$ , ॰न्नञ्च यो जवे  $K_7$ , ॰न्नश्च यो विप्रः E  $oldsymbol{80a}$  स्वयोन्यासु  $oldsymbol{J}$  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; सुतोन्यासु  $C_{45}$  **80c** सख्यु: ] em.; सख्य  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}E$ ,  $\times \times K_{10}$ , स $\times W_{10}$  $C_{94}C_{02}K_{82}K_7E$ ;  $\langle$  नराश्वरजतस्य $\rangle$   $K_{10}$ , नराणां स्वजनस्य  $C_{45}$  **81d** रुक्मस्तेय $\circ$  ] em.;  $\langle$ रूग्य $\rangle$ ×य $\circ$  $C_{94}$ , रुग्मस्तेय॰  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{82}K_7$ , ××××  $K_{10}$ , हृतस्तेय॰ E • ॰समः ]  $C_{94}C_{45}^{\it pc}C_{02}K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; सः  $C_{45}^{ac}$ , ॰सम E **82a** एते ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}$ ; १एते।  $K_{10}$ , एव E • सम्भूय ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{7}E$ ; संभूयो  $C_{02}$ ,  $\wr$ संभूयो $\wr$   $K_{10}$  82c ॰पञ्चैतन् ]  $C^\Sigma E$ ; ॰पञ्चैतम्  $K_{10}$ , ॰पञ्चेतन्  $K_7$ , ॰पञ्चैते  $K_{82}$  82e ॰मादम् ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}$ ; ॰माद E **82f** वर्जनीय ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; वर्जनीयो  $C_{02}$  **83ab** मनमाधुर्यं च॰ ] em.; ॰मनसा धूर्यश्च॰  $\mathrm{C}_{94}\mathrm{C}_{02}\mathrm{K}_{82}\mathrm{K}_7$ , ॰मन $\mathrm{PM}$ ।धूर्यश्च॰  $\mathrm{C}_{45}$ , ॰मनimesधूर्यimes०  $\mathrm{K}_{10}$ , ॰मनसा भूयश्च॰  $\mathrm{E}$ 83b ॰क्षुर्बुद्धि॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{7}E$ ; ॰क्षु बुद्धि॰  $C_{02}K_{82}$ , ×××  $K_{10}$  83c ॰दानं च ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{7}$ ; ××  $K_{10}$ , ॰दॉनश्च E **83d** ॰बुद्धिं च ]  $C_{94}K_{82}K_7$ ; बुद्धिश्च  $C_{45}$ , ॰दृष्टिं च  $C_{02}E$ , ×××  $K_{10}$  **84a** प्रसन्न॰ ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}E$ ; (प्रसन्न()  $K_{10}$ , प्रसंन  $K_7$  **84c** यथा॰ ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; यस्य E • ॰दान ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; ॰दातश् E **84d** स्वाश्रमा॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_{7}E$ ; स्वासमा॰  $C_{02}$  • ॰गतो ]  $C^{\Sigma}K_{82}K_{10}E$ ; ॰सतो  $K_{7}$ **85b** इन्धनो॰ ] C<sup>∑</sup>K<sub>82</sub>K<sub>10</sub>E; इत्वनो॰ K<sub>7</sub> ● जात॰ ] C<sub>94</sub>C<sub>02</sub>K<sub>82</sub>K<sub>10</sub>K<sub>7</sub>E; जा॰ C<sub>45</sub>

सुलभानि न दत्तानि इन्धनाग्न्युदकानि च । क्षुते जीवेति वा नोक्तं तस्य किं परतः फलम् ॥४:८५॥

[यमेष्वार्जवम् (१०)]

पञ्चार्जवाः प्रशंसन्ति मुनयस्तत्त्वदर्शिनः । कर्मवृत्त्याभिवृद्धिं च पारतोषिकमेव च । स्त्रीधनोत्कोचिवत्तं च आर्जवो नाभिनन्दित ॥४:८६॥ आर्जवो न वृथा यज्ञ आर्जवो न वृथा तपः । आर्जवो न वृथा दानमार्जवो न वृथाग्नयः ॥४:८७॥ आर्जवस्येन्द्रियग्रामः सुप्रसन्नो ऽपि तिष्ठति । आर्जवस्य सदा देवाः काये तस्य चरन्ति ते ॥४:८८॥ इति यमप्रविभागः कीर्तितो ऽयं द्विजेन्द्र इह परत सुखार्थं कारयेत्तं मनुष्यः । दुरितमलपहारी शङ्करस्याज्ञयास्ते भवति पृथिविभर्ता ह्येकछत्रप्रवर्ता ॥४:८९॥

॥ इति वृषसारसंग्रहे यमविभागो नामाध्यायश्चतुर्थः॥

4

85c सुलभानि न ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; सुरभानि च E 85d ॰दकानि ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7E$ ;  $\circ$ {त/कानि  $K_{10}$  85e क्षुते ] conj.; क्षुतं  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ , शतं E 86a पञ्चार्जवः ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_7$ ; पञ्चार्जवः  $C_{02}$ ,  $\times \times \times \times \times K_{10}$ , पञ्चार्जवः E 9 प्रशंसन्ति ]  $C^\Sigma K_7$ ; प्रशसन्ति  $K_{82}E$ ,  $\langle$  प्रससन्ति  $K_{10}$  86c कर्म॰ ]  $C_{45}C_{02}K_{82}K_7E$ ;  $\times$ मंं॰  $C_{94}$ ,  $\langle$  कम्मारं।॰  $K_{10}$  • ॰वृत्त्याभिवृद्धिं च ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7$ ; ॰वृत्तिभिवृद्धिञ्च  $K_{10}$ , ॰ वृत्त्याभिवृद्धिश्च E 86e स्त्रीधनोत्कोच॰ ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; स्त्रीधनङ्गो च E • ॰वित्तं च ]  $C^\Sigma K_{82}K_7E$ ; ॰वित्तिञ्च  $K_{10}$  86f आर्जवो ना॰ ]  $C_{94}C_{45}K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; आर्जवञ्च  $C_{02}$ , आर्जवेना॰ E 87ab आर्जवो न वृथा यस्त्र आर्जवो न वृथा तपः ]  $C^\Sigma K_{10}K_7$ ; omitted in  $K_{82}^{ac}$ , आर्जवो न वृथा यस्त्र आर्जवो न वृथा तपः E 87cd (आर्जवो... वृथाग्नयः) ]  $E^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; omitted in E 88ab (आर्जव॰ ... तिष्ठति) ]  $E^\Sigma K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ; omitted in E 88a ॰ ग्रामः ]  $E^* K_{10}K_7$ ; отині  $E^$ 

# An Annotated Translation

# [ prathamo 'dhyāyaḥ ] [Chapter One]

[stutiḥ — Invocation]

anādimadhyāntam anantapāraṃ susūkṣmam avyaktajagatsusāram | harīndrabrahmādibhir āsamagraṃ

praṇamya vakṣye vṛṣasārasaṃgraham || 1:1 ||

Having bowed to the One who has no beginning, no middle part and no end, whose boundaries are limitless, who is very subtle and who is the unmanifest and fine essence of the world, to the One who is wholly complete with Hari, Indra, Brahmā and the other [gods], I shall recite [the work called] 'A Compendium on the Essence of the Bull [of Dharma]'.

1.1 Pāda a is reminiscent of, among other famous passages, Bhagavadgītā 11.19: anādimad-byāntam anantavīryam anantabāhuṃ śaśisūryanetram | paśyāmi tvāṃ dīptahutāśavaktraṃ svatejasā viśvam idaṃ tapantam ||

See also Bhagavadgītā 10.20cd: aham ādiś ca madhyam ca bhūtānām anta eva ca ||

A faint reference to the Bhagavadgītā seems proper at the beginning of a work that claims to deliver a teaching based on, but also to surpass, the Mahābhārata (see following verses of the VSS). Compare also e.g. Kūrmapurāṇa 1.11.237: rūpam tavāśeṣakalāvihīnam agocaram nirmalam ekarūpam | anādimadhyāntam anantam ādyam namāmi satyam tamasaḥ parastāt || To say that a god has no beginning and no end in a temporal or spacial sense is natural (anādi\*...\*antam), but to have no 'middle part' ('madhya\*) in these senses is slightly less so. Thus the rather commonly occuring phrase anādimadhyāntam is probably a fixed expression usually referring to a deity that is endless, eternal and immaterial. As to which deity or what form of a deity this stanza refers to, it may be Śiva, his name missing in pāda c, but the phrasing of the verse is vague enough to keep the question somewhat open: the impersonal Brahman might be another option, even more so if we look at verses 1.9–10, whose topic is brahmavidyā.

In pāda b jagat-susāram is most probably not to be interpreted as jagatsu sāram ('the essence in the worlds'). Another way to translate avyaktajagatsusāram would be: 'who is the fine essence of the unmanifest world.'

Strictly speaking, *pāda* c is unmetrical, but it is better to simply acknowledge here the phenomenon of 'muta cum liquida', namely that syllables followed by consonant clusters such as *ra*, *bra*, *hra*, *śra*, *śya*, *śva*, *sva*, *dva* can be treated as short (*laghu*). (See Introduction CHECK) Thus *harīndrabrahmā*° can be treated as a regular beginning of an *upajāti* (. - . - -), the syllable *bra* not turning the previous syllable long (*guru*).

The reading āsamagraṃ in pāda c is suspect, although the initial ā-might convey some sort of completeness, meaning 'all round' (see e.g. Kale 1992, 226). The fact that we could percieve the ending of pādas a and b (pāraṃ-sāram), as well as pādas c and d, as (in the latter case, oddly) rhyming pairs (graṃ-graham) suggests that accepting the reading āsamagram could be the right decision (as suggested by Alessandro Battistini). I translate this verse accordingly. M gives an exciting, albeit unmetrical, alternative (yat samagraṃ), but this seems more like a guess to me than the correct reading. For some time I was considering emending āsamagraṃ. The most tempting of all the possible options (arcyam/arhyam/arghyam/īdyam/ādhyam agraṃ, āsamastaṃ) seemed to be āptam agraṃ, meaning 'appointed/received/respected [by Hari, Indra, Brahmā etc.] as the foremost one'. The fact that the akṣaras āsam and āptam look similar in most of the scripts used in our manuscripts could support this conjecture. āptam could also possibly refer to the text itself, although then the syntax becomes slightly confusing: 'I shall recite the Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha that was

[janamejayavaiśampāyanasaṃvādaḥ — The dialogue of Janamejaya and Vaiśampāyana]

śatasāhasrikaṃ granthaṃ sahasrādhyāyam uttamam |

parva cāsya śataṃ pūrṇaṃ śrutvā bhāratasaṃhitām || 1:2 ||

Having listened to the *Bhāratasaṃhitā* [i.e. the *Mahābhārata*], the supreme book of a hundred thousand [verses] and a thousand chapters (*adhyāya*), with all its hundred sections (*parvan*),

atṛptaḥ puna papraccha vaiśampāyanam eva hi | janamejaya yat pūrvaṃ tac chṛṇu tvam atandritaḥ || 1:3 ||

Janamejaya remained unsatisfied. Listen unweariedly to what he asked Vaiśampāyana in the past.

```
janamejaya uvāca |
bhagavan sarvadharmajña sarvaśāstraviśārada |
asti dharmam param guhyaṃ saṃsārārṇavatāraṇam || 1:4 ||
```

Janamejaya spoke: O venerable sir, O knower of the entire Dharma, O you who are well-versed in all the sciences (sāstra)! There is a supreme and secret Dharma

first received by Hari...' etc. Another candidate was āḍhyam agram: 'Having bowed to [Him] who contains/is rich with Hari, Indra, Brahmā etc.' I have not emended the text because it is difficult to know if there is any need for change and if there is, which reading to chose. There was no consensus when this verse was discussed in our extended Śivadharma reading group.

Pāda d seems hypermetrical, but it can be interpreted as a *vaṃśastha* line, a change from *triṣṭubh* to *jagatī* (as suggested by Dominic Goodall).

1.2 The dialogue of Janamejaya and Vaiśampāyana makes up the outermost layer of the VSS (except for the introductory stanzas 1.1–3; see Introduction CHECK), mostly containing general dharmaśāstric material. That the MBh should contain a hundred thousand verses is hinted at e.g. in line 19 of the Khoh Charter 2 of Śarvanātha, year 214 (Siddham IN00088: uktañ ca mahābhārate śatasāhasryam (understand °ryām) saṃhitāyām... The hundred parvans of the Mahābhārata are listed in MBh 1.2.33–70.

1.3 My emendation from the unmetrical *punah* to the unusual, or rather, Middle Indic (Edgerton 1953, vol. 2, p. 347), *puna* is based on the assumption that in the original the metre must have overridden morphology, similarily to what may have happened in 8.44d (Mālinī metre): *na bhavati punajanma kalpakoṭyāyute 'pi*, and in 12.151c (Sragdharā metre): *garbhāvāsaṃ na ca tvan na ca punamaraṇaṃ kleśam āyāsapūrṇam*.

For an unsatisfaction or dissatisfaction (atṛpti) with previous teachings in a somewhat similar manner to what Janamejaya experiences here, see e.g. Niśvāsa mūla 1.9: vedāntaṃ viditaṃ deva sāṃkhyaṃ vai pañcaviṃśakam | na ca tṛptiṃ gamiṣyāmo hy ṛte śaivād anugrahāt ||; and the Śivadharmaśāstra:. CHECK. Vaiśampāyana, a Rṣi, disciple of Vyāsa, great-grandson to Arjuna, recited the Mahābhārata at the snake sacrifice of Janamejaya. This setting is an echo of the starting point of the Mahābhārata, see MBh 1.1.8ff. In fact the next few verses in the VSS make it clear that the VSS picks up where the Mahābhārata left off: Janamejaya has heard the whole Mahābhārata from Vaiśampāyana, but he is eager to hear more. Note how we are forced to emend pāda c to contain a stem form proper noun (janamejaya) to maintain the metre, and note how the manuscripts struggle with this pāda. Stem form nouns, prātipadikas, abound in the VSS: see Introduction p. CHECK.

[that causes] liberation from the ocean of mundane existence (samsāra).

dvaipāyanamukhodgīrṇaṃ dharmaṃ vā yad dvijottama | kathayasva hi me tṛptiṃ kuru yatnāt tapodhana || 1:5 ||

Teach me the Dharma that emerged from [Vyāsa] Dvaipāyana's mouth, O best of Brahmins. Help me find satisfaction at all cost, O great ascetic!

vaiśampāyana uvāca | śṛṇu rājann avahito dharmākhyānam anuttamam | vyāsānugrahasamprāptaṃ guhyadharmaṃ śṛṇotu me || 1:6 ||

Vaiśampāyana spoke: Listen with great attention, O king, to this unsurpassed narration of Dharma. Hear the secret Dharma that I received by Vyāsa's favour.

anarthayajñakartāraṃ tapovrataparāyaṇam | śīlaśaucasamācāraṃ sarvabhūtadayāparam || 1:7 || jijñāsanārthaṃ praśnaikaṃ viṣṇunā prabhaviṣṇunā | dvijarūpadharo bhūtvā papraccha vinayānvitaḥ || 1:8 ||

Viṣṇu, the great Lord, assuming the form of a twice-born [Brahmin], wanted to test [Anarthayajña, the ascetic yogin] who performed nonmaterial sacrifices (anarthayajña), focused on his austerities and observances, whose conduct was virtuous and pure, and who was intent on compassion towards all living beings; therefore he [Viṣṇu] humbly asked him a question.

[ brahmavidyā — The knowledge of Brahman ]

[vigatarāga uvāca |]

brahmavidyā kathaṃ jñeyā rūpavarṇavivarjitā |

svaravyañjananirmuktam akṣaram kimu tatparam || 1:9 ||

[Vigatarāga spoke:] How is the knowledge of the Brahman to be understood if it is devoid of form and colour? The syllable that is devoid of vowels and consonants: is there anything higher than that?

- 1.4 Note dharma as a neuter noun in pāda c and in the next verse.
- 1.5 The majority of the MSS consulted include a  $v\bar{a}$  in  $p\bar{a}da$  b, and although  $C_{45}$ 's reading seems a bit smoother, that manuscript rarely gives superior readings. Therefore I have chosen  $dharmam\ v\bar{a}\ yad$ , in which  $v\bar{a}$  functions probably in a weak sense. That the secret Dharma Janamejaya is seeking is the one taught by Vyāsa Dvaipāyana, and thus no real options are involved here, becomes clear in 1.6cd. The reading of M in  $p\bar{a}da$  b  $(dharmav\bar{a}kyam)$  is tempting but could be a later correction. In general, M's readings here are unique but probably secondary:  $hi\ me\ trptim$  in  $p\bar{a}da$  c seems more attractive than M's  $pras\bar{a}dena$  because it echoes atrptah in 1.3a
- 1.7 Note the odd syntax here: viṣṇunā... dvijarūpadharo bhūtvā papraccha. The agent of the active verb is in the instrumental case (anacoluthic structure). On Anarthayajña, the interlocutor of VSS 1.9–10.2 and 19.1–21.22, and an important figure discussed in 22.3ff, as well as a concept ('nonmaterial sacrifice'), see Kiss 2022 and Introduction CHECK.
- 1.9 The translation of this verse, and the reconstruction and interpretation of  $p\bar{a}da$  d, which is echoed in 1.10d, is slightly tentative. I doubt if kimu could have the standard (Vedic) meaning 'how much more/less' here. Rather u is probably just an expletive. In general it seems that this verse

anarthayajña uvāca | anuccāryam asandigdham avicchinnam anākulam | nirmalam sarvagaṃ sūkṣmam akṣaraṃ kimu tatparam || 1:10 ||

Anarthayajña replied: That syllable is not to be pronounced, is unquestionable, non-dividable, consistent, spotless, all-pervading and subtle: what could be higher than that?

[ kālapāśaḥ — The noose of death and time ]

vigatarāga uvāca | dehī dehe kṣayaṃ yāte bhūjalāgniśivādibhiḥ | yamadūtaiḥ kathaṃ nīto nirālambo nirañjanaḥ || 1:11 ||

Vigatarāga spoke: When the body disintegrates in the ground, in water, in fire or [is torn apart] by jackals and other [animals], how is the supportless and spotless soul led [to the netherworld] by Yama's messengers?

kālapāśaiḥ kathaṃ baddho nirdehaś ca kathaṃ vrajet | svargaṃ vā sa kathaṃ yāti nirdeho bahudharmakṛt | etan me saṃśayaṃ brūhi jñātum icchāmi tattvataḥ || 1:12 ||

How is it bound by the nooses of death/time? And if it is bodiless, how can it move? And how does the [soul of a] virtuous [person] (*bahudharmakṛt*) reach heaven if it has no body? This is my doubt. Teach me. I want to know the truth.

anarthayajña uvāca | atisaṃśayakaṣṭaṃ te pṛṣṭo 'haṃ dvijasattama | durvijñeyaṃ manuṣyais tu devadānavapannagaiḥ || 1:13 ||

Anarthayajña spoke: You are asking me about an extremely doubtful and problematic matter, O truest of the twice-born. [This is something that] is difficult to understand by humans, and [even] by gods (*deva*), demons (*dānava*) and serpents (*pannaga*).

karmahetuḥ śarīrasya utpattir nidhanaṃ ca yat | sukṛtaṃ duṣkṛtaṃ caiva pāśadvayam udāhṛtam || 1:14 ||

references the syllable om.

1.11 The word 'śivā' in pāda b is slightly suspect, and could be the result of metathesis, from 'viṣā' ('by poison'). Nevertheless, jackals seems appropriate in this context, for they are commonly associated with human corpses, death and the cremation ground (see e.g. Ohnuma 2019). Furthermore,  $p\bar{a}da$  b lists phenomena that cause the body to disintegrate, and not causes of death; thus the reading śiva is probably correct.

1.12 The word  $k\bar{a}la$  has, as usual, a double meaning here:  $k\bar{a}lap\bar{a}sa$  is both Yama's noose, and also the limitations and bondage caused by time, as becomes clear at the discussion on the different time units in verses 1.18–31.

1.13 Note *te* used for *tvayā* in *pāda* a. Alternatively, taking *te* as genitive, the line could be translatied as: 'I am being asked about a great problem of yours that originates in doubts...'

The cause of both the birth and death of the body is karma. Good and bad deeds are called the two nooses.

tenaiva saha saṃyāti narakaṃ svargam eva vā | sukhaduḥkhaṃ śarīreṇa bhoktavyaṃ karmasambhavam || 1:15 ||

[The soul] goes to hell or heaven accordingly. Happiness and suffering, both arising from karma, are to be experienced by the body.

hetunānena viprendra dehah sambhavate nṛṇām | yam kālapāśam ity āhuḥ śṛṇu vakṣyāmi suvrata || 1:16 ||

It is for this reason, O great Brahmin, that the human body is born. Now learn about that which they call the noose of time, I shall teach you, O you of great observances.

na tvayā viditaṃ kiñcij jijñāsyasi kathaṃ dvija | kālapāśaṃ ca viprendra sakalaṃ vettum arhasi || 1:17 ||

[If] you don't know anything, how could you start your investigation, O twiceborn? O great Brahmin, you should know the noose of time in its entirety.

kalākalitakālaṃ ca kālatattvakalāṃ śṛṇu |

truțidvayam nimeșas tu nimeșadvigunā kalā || 1:18 ||

Learn about time  $(k\bar{a}la)$  which is divided into digits  $(kal\bar{a})$ , [i.e. about] the division[s]  $(kal\bar{a})$  of the entity [called] time  $(k\bar{a}latattva)$ . Two atomic units of time (truti) is one twinkling (nimeṣa). One digit  $(kal\bar{a}, cca. 1.6 second)$  is twice a twinkling.

kalādviguņitā kāṣṭhā kāṣṭhā vai triṃśatiḥ kalā | triṃśatkalā muhūrtaś ca mānuṣena dvijottama || 1:19 ||

Two digits (*kalā*) form one bit (*kāṣṭhā*, 3.2 seconds). Thirty bits (*kāṣṭhā*) is one digit (*kalā*?, 1.6 minutes). Thirty digits (*kalā*) make up one section (*muhūrta*, 48 minutes) in human terms, O great Brahmin.

muhūrtatriṃśakenaiva ahorātraṃ vidur budhāḥ | ahorātraṃ punas triṃśan māsam āhur manīṣiṇaḥ || 1:20 ||

1.14 The MSS give *karmahetu* in *pāda* a overwhelmingly, which could work as a neuter *bahu-vrīhi* compound picking up both *utpattir* and *nidhanam* but *karmahetuḥ* is grammatically more correct, picking up the feminine *utpatti*. I suspect that there may have been a confusion, scribes taking *karmahetuśarīrasya* as one single compound; but this would make it difficult to interpret the verse.

1.17 The variant jijñāsyasi seems to be the lectio difficilior as opposed to vijñāsyasi, but the latter could also work fine here. Note how M (agreeing with E) gives a reading (vaktum arhasi) that is clearly out of context. This confirms that while M comes up with interesting readings, they are mostly to be ignored.

1.18 1.18d and 1.19a are problematic in the light of 1.19b, which redefines *kalā* in harmony with the traditional interpretaion, see e.g. *Arthaśāstra* 2.20.33: *trimśatkāṣṭhāḥ kalāḥ*. On divisions of time, see also, e.g., *Manu* 1.64ff. I have calculated 1.6 second for one *kalā* backwards, starting from one day (see 1.20ab).

Thirty sections (*muhūrta*) are known to the wise as night and day [i.e. a full day]. Thirty days and nights are taught by the wise to be one month.

samā dvādaśa māsāś ca kālatattvavido janāḥ |
śataṃ varṣasahasrāṇi trīṇi mānuṣasaṃkhyayā || 1:21 ||

One year is twelve months [according to] people who know the entity of time. The time span of three hundred

ṣaṣṭiṃ caiva sahasrāṇi kālaḥ kaliyugaḥ smṛtaḥ | dviguṇaḥ kalisaṃkhyāto dvāparo yuga saṃjñitaḥ || 1:22 ||

and sixty thousand years by human terms is said to be the Kali age (yuga). The Dvāpara age is known to be twice as long as the Kali age.

tretā tu triguṇā jñeyā catuḥ kṛtayugaḥ smṛtaḥ | eṣā caturyugā saṃkhyā kṛtvā vai hy ekasaptatiḥ || 1:23 ||

The Tretā age is thrice [as long], the Kṛta age four [times as long as the Kali age]. This is the figure related to the four ages (yuga). Taking it seventy-one [times],

manvantarasya caikasya jñānam uktaṃ samāsataḥ | kalpo manvantarāṇāṃ tu caturdaśa tu saṃkhyayā || 1:24 ||

the knowledge about one time-span of a Manu (*manvantara*) has been taught briefly. One aeon (*kalpa*) is fourteen *manvantaras* in total.

daśa kalpasahasrāṇi brahmāhaḥ parikalpitam | rātrir etāvatī proktā munibhis tattvadarśibhiḥ || 1:25 ||

Brahmā's day (*brahmāhar*) is made up of ten thousand Kalpas. [Brahmā's] night is of the same [duration] according to the wise who know the truth.

rātryāgame pralīyante jagat sarvaṃ carācaram | ahāgame tathaiveha utpadyante carācaram || 1:26 ||

When [Brahmā's] night falls, the whole moving and unmoving universe dissolves. And when [his] daylight comes, the moving and unmoving [universe] is born.

parārdhaparakalpāni atītāni dvijottama

- 1.21 Note how a verb (e.g. iti vadanti, iti prāhur) is missing in the first half-verse.
- 1.22 Note the stem form noun yuga metri causa, and also M's unique but confused readings.
- 1.23 The 'figure' mentioned in this verse is the sum of the duration of the four *yugas*, which makes up one *mahāyuga*: Kaliyuga = 360,000 years, Dvāparayuga = 720,000 years, Tretāyuga = 1,080,000 years, Dvāparayuga = 1,440,000 years; altogether 3,600,000 years. 72 *mahāyugas* make up a *manvantara* (= 259,200,000 years). One *kalpa* is 14 *manvantara* (= 3,628,800,000 years). Ten thousand *kalpas* are one day of Brahmā, and his night is of the same length, which makes one full day of Brahmā 72,576,000,000,000 years. See next verses.
  - 1.24 See 21.34ff.
  - 1.25 M has a separator sign (|o|) at the end of pāda b, as if a section ended here.
- 1.26 The plural form *pralīyante* in *pāda* a is metri causa for *pralīyate*, perhaps also influencing *utpadyante* (for *utpadyate*) in *pāda* d, which in turn is used here to avoid an iambic pattern (- - - -).

anāgataṃ tathaivāhur bhṛgurādimaharṣayaḥ || 1:27 ||

One *para* times *parārdha* [number of, i.e. two hundred quadrillion times a hundred quadrillion] *kalpas* have passed [so far], O great Brahmin. Bhṛgu and the other sages say that the future is the same [time span].

yathārkagrahatārendu bhramato dṛśyate tv iha |

kālacakram bhramatvaiva viśramam na ca vidmahe || 1:28 ||

Just as the sun, the planets, the stars and the moon are percieved in this world as wandering around, the wheel of time  $(k\bar{a}lacakra)$  keeps spinning and we never experience its halting.

kālaḥ srjati bhūtāni kālaḥ saṃharate punaḥ |

kālasya vasagāh sarve na kālavasakrt kvacit || 1:29 ||

Time creates living beings and time destroys them again. Everything is under the control of time. There is nothing that can bring time under control.

caturdaśaparārdhāni devarājā dvijottama |

kālena samatītāni kālo hi duratikramaḥ || 1:30 ||

Fourteen *parārdha* [fourteen hundred quadrillion] god kings, O Brahmin, have passed by over time, for time is difficult to overcome.

eṣa kālo mahāyogī brahmā viṣṇuḥ paraḥ śivaḥ | anādinidhano dhātā sa mahātmā namaskuru || 1:31 ||

Time is [manifest] as a great yogin, as Brahmā, Viṣṇu and supreme Śiva, is beginningless and endless, is the creator, the great soul. Pay homage [to Time].

[ parārdhādi — The parārdha etc.: numbers ]

vigatarāga uvāca |

śrutam vai kālacakram tu mukhapadmavinihsṛtam |

parārdham ca param caiva śrotum vaḥ pratidīpitam || 1:32 ||

Vigatarāga spoke: I have just heard [the term] 'wheel of time' (*kālacakra*) uttered from [your] lotus mouth, as well as *parārdha* and *para*. You have made these things appear as exciting, as things to hear.

- 1.27 On the definition of the numbers *para* and *parārdha*, see verses 1.32–36. Note the peculiar compound *bhṛgu-r-ādi-maharṣayaḥ*.
- 1.28 bhramato (gen.) in pāda b seems to stand for the neuter participle bhramat. Alternatively, bhramato might mean 'erroneously' (brama-tas, abl.), but this makes the verse difficult to interpret.
- 1.30 Note that *samatītāni* (neuter) most probably picks up *devarājāḥ* (masculine) in this verse, or rather *devarājā* stands for *devarājānāṃ* and *samatītāni* picks up *°parārdhāni*. It is not clear to me what *devarājā* ('god king') means exactly (Indra?).
- 1.32 The reading of all manuscripts consulted, *vinisṛtam*, may be considered metrical if we interpret it, loosely, as *vinisṛitam*. *Pāda* d is suspect and my translation is tentative. M's reading in *pāda* d (*srotum nah pratidīyatām*) might make sense ('give it back/repeat it for us again'), but it sounds forced, as if the scribe tried to come up with a reading that he understood better than *srotum vaḥ pratidīpitam*, which is in fact not easy to interpret. One would expect a phrase meaning 'please

anarthayajña uvāca | ekaṃ daśaṃ śataṃ caiva sahasram ayutaṃ tathā | prayutaṃ niyutaṃ koṭim arbudaṃ vṛndam eva ca || 1:33 ||

Anarthayajña spoke: One, ten, a hundred, a thousand, and ten thousand (*ayuta*), a hundred thousand (*prayuta*), a million (*niyuta*), ten million (*koṭi*), a hundred million (*arbuda*), and one billion (*vṛnda*, 10<sup>9</sup>),

kharvaṃ caiva nikharvaṃ ca śaṅkuḥ padmaṃ tathaiva ca | samudro madhyam antaṃ ca parārdhaṃ ca paraṃ tathā || 1:34 ||

ten billion (kharva), a hundred billion (nikharva), one trillion (sanku,  $10^{12}$ ), and ten trillion (padma), a hundred trillion (samudra), one quadrillion (mad-hya,  $10^{15}$ ), ten quadrillion ([an]anta), a hundred quadrillion (parardha), and two hundred quadrillion (para).

sarve daśagunā jñeyāh parārdhaṃ yāvad eva hi | parārdhadviguṇenaiva parasaṃkhyā vidhīyate || 1:35 ||

Each should be known as powers of ten up to *parārdha*. The number corresponding to *para* is double that of *parārdha*.

parāt parataram nāsti iti me niścitā matiḥ | purāṇavedapaṭḥitā mayākhyātā dvijottama || 1:36 ||

There is no higher number than *para*. This is my firm conviction, which is based on my readings of the Purāṇas and the Vedas and [which I have now] taught [to you], O great Brahmin.

[ brahmāṇḍam — Brahmā's Egg ]

vigatarāga uvāca |

brahmāṇḍaṃ kati vijñeyaṃ pramāṇaṃ prāpitaṃ kvacit | kati cāṅguli-m-ūrdhveṣu sūryas tapati vai mahīm || 1:37 ||

Vigatarāga spoke: How many eggs of Brahmā are there? And are its measurements available anywhere? From how many finger's breadths high does the sun heat the earth?

tell me about these.'

1.33 See a similar teaching of numbers in BrahmāṇḍaP 3.2.91ff.

1.34 For *anta* meaning *ananta*, see 1.58cd–59ab. M's reading in *pāda* d may be a result of an eyeskip to 1.35c.

1.36 Note that E, after omitting three lines, inserts this: vṛndañ caiva mahāvṛnda dviparā-nantam eva ca.

1.37 The use of the singular next to numerals is one of the hallmarks of the VSS (see p. CHECK). As an introduction to this phenomenon, pāda a has brahmāṇḍaṃ in the singular where we would expect a plural form. The word prāpitaṃ is a conjecture for cāpitaṃ, which I find unintelligible. Another possibility could be jñāpitaṃ. My emendation of cānguli-mūrdheṣu to cānguli-mūrdhveṣu (with a hiatus filler) is based on ūrdhvatas in 1.61d, which is part of the reply to the question posed in this line. In turn, anguli here triggered an conjecture in 1.61c.

anarthayajña uvāca |

brahmāṇḍānāṃ prasaṃkhyātuṃ mayā śakyaṃ kathaṃ dvija |

devās te 'pi na jānanti mānuṣāṇāṃ ca kā kathā || 1:38 ||

Anarthayajña spoke: How could I enumerate [all] the eggs of Brahmā, O twiceborn? Even the gods don't know [all the details], not to mention humans.

paryāyeṇa tu vakṣyāmi yathāśakyaṃ dvijottama |

brahmaṇā yat purākhyāto mātariśvā yathā tathā || 1:39 ||

I shall teach [you], as far as I can, in due order and truthfully, that, O great Brahmin, which Mātariśvan was taught by Brahmā in the past.

śivāṇḍābhyantareṇaiva sarveṣām iva bhūbhṛtām |

daśa nāma diśāstānām brahmānde kīrtitam śrnu || 1:40 ||

Ten names of all the [cosmic] rulers of each of the eight directions in Brahmā's Egg, [which is] inside Śiva's Egg, are being taught now, listen.

[ bhūbhṛtāṃ nāmāni — The names of the cosmic rulers ] [ pūrvataḥ — East ]

sahāsahaḥ sahaḥ sahyo visahaḥ saṃhato 'sabhā | prasaho 'prasahaḥ sānuḥ pūrvato daśa nāyakāḥ || 1:41 ||

- [1] Sahā, [2] Asaha, [3] Saha, [4] Sahya, [5] Visaha, [6] Saṃhata, [7] Asabhā,
- [8] Prasaha, [9] Aprasaha, [10] Sānu: [these are] the ten Leaders in the East.

[āgneye — South-East]

prabhāso bhāsano bhānuḥ pradyoto dyutimo dyutiḥ | dīptatejāś ca tejāś ca tejā tejavaho daśa | āgneye tv etad ākhyātam yāmye śṛṇv atha bho dvija || 1:42 ||

- 1.38 One would expect *brahmāṇḍāni* in *pāda* a instead of *brahmāṇḍānām*, but we should probably understand *brahmāṇḍānām viśeṣān prasaṃkhyātuṃ...* The structure noun in genitive + verb meaning 'telling' occurs also in CHECK.
- 1.39 The claim that Brahmā taught Mātariśvan is confirmed in 1.64cd, and also, e.g., in *Brahmāndapurāṇa* 3.4.58cd (see the apparatus).
- $1.40\,\mathrm{My}$  conjecture in  $p\bar{a}da$  b  $(bh\bar{u}bhrt\bar{a}m)$  is based on the fact that the readings transmitted in the MSS seem unintelligible and, more importantly, that these names are said to belong to  $n\bar{a}yakas$  in the subsequent verses, a possible synonym of  $bh\bar{u}bhrt$  ('a king'), and also that it is a minute intervention. In  $p\bar{a}da$  c, understand  $dis\bar{a}st\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$  as  $dis\bar{a}m$  as  $dis\bar{a}m$  or  $digastak\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ , and note that one of the hallmarks of the language of the VSS is the use of the singular in the proximity of numbers, where a plural would be expected  $(dasa\ n\bar{a}ma)$ .
- 1.41 Note that many of the names here and in the following verses are, in the absence of any parallel passage, rather insecure. In order to avoid the repetition of the name Saha, I take the first name here as feminine; Asabhā seems also to be a feminine ruler's name. Later on there seem to come more feminine names (Tejā, Yamunā, Naganā, etc.), therefore it may be correct to interpret some of the names as names of queens. What is clear here is that the list evokes the name Sahasrākṣa, one of the appellations of Indra, the guadrian of the eastern direction.

[1] Prabhāsa, [2] Bhāsana, [3] Bhānu, [4] Pradyota, [5] Dyutima, [6] Dyuti, [7] Dīptatejas, [8] Tejas, [9] Tejā, [10] Tejavaho: [these are] the ten [rulers] in the direction of Agni [SE]. Now listen to [the names for] the Yama's region, O twice-born.

[ *yāmye* — South ]

yamo 'tha yamunā yāmah saṃyamo yamuno 'yamah | saṃyano yamanoyāno yaniyugmā yanoyanah || 1:43 ||

[1] Yama, [2] Yamunā, [3] Yāma, [4] Saṃyama, [5] Yamuna, [6] Ayama, [7] Saṃyana, [8] Yamanoyāna, [9] Yaniyugmā, [10] Yanoyana.

[ nairṛte — South-West ]

nagajo naganā nando nagaro naga nandanaḥ | nagarbho gahano guhyo gūḍhajo daśa tatparaḥ || 1:44 ||

[1] Nagaja, [2] Naganā, [3] Nanda, [4] Nagara, [5] Naga, [6] Nandana, [7] Nagarbha, [8] Gahana, [9] Guhyo, [10] Gūḍhaja: [these are] the ten associated with [the South-West].

[vāruņe — West]

vāruņena pravakṣyāmi śṛṇu vipra nibodha me | babhraḥ setur bhavodbhadraḥ prabhavodbhavabhājanaḥ | bharaṇo bhuvano bhartā daśaite varuṇālayāḥ || 1:45 ||

I shall teach you the [names] in Varuṇa's region [in the west]. Listen, O Brahmin, learn from me. [1] Babhra, [2] Setu, [3] Bhava, [4] Udbhadra, [5] Prabhava, [6] Udbhava, [7] Bhājana, [8] Bharaṇa, [9] Bhuvana, and [10] Bhartṛ: these ten dwell in Varuṇa's region [in the west].

[ vāyavye — North-West ]

nṛgarbho 'suragarbhaś ca devagarbho mahīdharaḥ | vṛṣabho vṛṣagarbhaś ca vṛṣāṅko vṛṣabhadhvajaḥ || 1:46 ||

- [1] Nṛgarbha, [2] Asuragarbha, [3] Devagarbha, [4] Mahīdhara, [5] Vṛṣabha,
  - 1.42 Here, in the region of Agni, the names evidently evoke the image of flames.
- 1.43 I have choosen the variant samyano in  $p\bar{a}da$  c only to avoid the repetition of the name samyama, and the variant yanoyanab in  $p\bar{a}da$  d because I suspect that most of the names here should begin with ya. All the name forms in this verse are to be taken as tentative. The only guiding light is the presence of ya, reinforcing a connection with Yama.
- 1.44 naga in pāda b is a stem form noun metri causa tatparaḥ in pāda d might be another example of a singular form next to a number (see 1.40c above). Note that the reconstruction of these names are tentative. What is clear here is that the initials should be na and ga, probably suggesting a connection with nirṛti, narakas and nāgas.
- 1.45 Varuṇa upholds the sky and the earth. This could be the reason why these names include *bharaṇa* and *bhartṛ*.

[6] Vṛṣagarbha, [7] Vṛṣāṅka, [8] Vṛṣabhadhvaja,

jñātavyaś ca tathā samyag vṛṣajo vṛṣanandanaḥ | nāyakā daśa vāyavye kīrtitā ye mayā dvija || 1:47 ||

and [9] Vṛṣaja and [10] Vṛṣanandana: these are to be known properly as the ten leaders in Vāyu's region [in the north-west], as I taught them, O twice-born.

[uttare — North]

sulabhah sumanah saumyah suprajah sutanuh śivah | satah satya layah śambhur daśa nāyakam uttare || 1:48 ||

- [1] Sulabha, [2] Sumana, [3] Saumya, [4] Supraja, [5] Sutanu, [6] Śiva, [7] Sata,
- [8] Satya, [9] Laya, [10] Śambhu: [these are] the ten leaders in the north.

[ *īśāne* — North-East ]

indu bindu bhuvo vajra varado vara varṣaṇaḥ | ilano valino brahmā daśeśāneṣu nāyakāḥ || 1:49 ||

[1] Indu, [2] Bindu, [3] Bhuva, [4] Vajra, [5] Varada, [6] Vara, [7] Varṣaṇa, [8] Ilana, [9] Valina, [10] Brahmā: [these are] the ten rulers in the Īśāna direction [i.e. in the north-east].

[ madhyame — Center ]

aparo vimalo moho nirmalo mana mohanaḥ | akṣayaś cāvyayo viṣṇur varado madhyame daśa || 1:50 ||

- [1] Apara, [2] Vimala, [3] Moha, [4] Nirmala, [5] Mana, [6] Mohana, [7] Akṣaya,
- [8] Avyaya, [9] Viṣṇu, [10] Varada: [these are] the ten [leaders] in the centre.
- 1.46 The connection between *vṛṣa* and the north-west or Vāyu is not evident to me. CHECKIn a tantric context, a western position is more standard for *vṛṣa*, see e.g. Goodall, Rout, Sathyanarayanan *et al* 2005, 40.
  - 1.47 Note how M deviates here again in a significant way.
- 1.48 I prefer the form *sumanaḥ* to the more standard *sumanāḥ* ( $K_7$ ) in  $p\bar{a}da$  a, because it suits the slightly irregluar language of the VSS (see pp. CHECK), and because the solitary reading of  $K_7$  may well only be an attempt to standardise. It is also not inconceivable that *sumanaḥ* stands compounded with *saumyaḥ*. Note how *daśa nāyakam* could again be an example for the use of the singular next to a number in  $p\bar{a}da\ d$ . It seems that here the northern region is associated with Śiva, rather than the north-east, the  $\bar{i}\dot{s}\bar{a}na$  direction, which is occupied by Brahmā: see next verse. In a tantric context, Brahmā is sometimes associated with the north-east, see, e.g., Goodall, Rout, Sathyanarayanan *et al* 2005, 39.CHECKI have left *satya* in stem form.
- 1.49 I consider *indu*, *bindu* and *vajra* stem form nouns. The north-east seems to be occupied by Brahmā, and by rulers whose names should somehow evoke Brahmā's name.
- 1.50 Note that the last three lists above have been associated with Siva, Brahmā and Viṣṇu, respectively, and here, in a layer of the text that can be labelled Vaṣṇava (see pp. CHECK), it is Viṣṇu that seems to occupy a central position. *mana mohanaḥ* in *pāda* b may sound like one single name, but we are forced to separate these two words (*mana* being in stem form metri causa) to arrive at a list of ten names.

[ parivārāḥ — Subordinates ]

sarveṣāṃ daśa-m-īśānāṃ parivāraśataṃ śatam

satānām pṛthag ekaikam sahasraiḥ parivāritam || 1:51 || Each of the ten rulers has a retinue of a hundred subordinates. Each one of

[these] hundred is surrounded by a thousand subordinates.

sahasreṣu ca ekaikam ayutaiḥ parivāritam |

ayutam prayutair vṛndaiḥ prayutam niyutair vṛtam || 1:52 || Each one of the thousand is surrounded by ten thousand [subordinates], the ten thousand is surrounded by a multitude of a hundred thousand, the hundred thousand by a million,

ekaikasya parīvāro niyutaḥ pṛthag eva ca | koṭibhir daśakoṭyena ekaikaḥ parivāritaḥ || 1:53 ||

[that is] each one has a retinue of a million [subordinates] (*niyuta*). [Then those] are surrounded by ten million (*koṭi*) [subordinates], [they in turn] by a hundred million (*daśakoṭi*).

daśakotisu ekaikam vṛndavṛndabhṛtair vṛtam | vṛndavargeṣu ekaikaṃ kharvabhiḥ parivāritam || 1:54||

Each one of the hundred million is surrounded by a billion (*vṛnda*) subordinates (*bhṛta*). Each one in these groupsof a billion (*vṛnda*) is surrounded by ten billion (*kharva*) [subordinates].

kharvavargesu ekaikam daśakharvaganair vṛtam | daśakharvesu ekaikam śaṅkubhiḥ parivāritam || 1:55 ||

Each in these gourps of ten billion (*kharva*) is surrounded by a hundred billion (*daśakharva*). Each of those hundred billion (*daśakharva*) is surrounded by a trillion (*śańku*) [deities].

śańkubhih pṛthag ekaikaṃ padmena parivāritam | padmavargeṣu ekaikaṃ samudraih parivāritam || 1:56 ||

Each of those one trillion (śańku) is surrounded by ten trillion (padma). Each

- 1.51 I take daśa-m-īśānāṃ as a disjointed CHECK compound (daśeśānāṃ). It is conceivable that each of the above ninety rulers has ten subordinates, therefore each group of ten rulers has a hundred subordinates altogether, but the original idea may have been that each one of the above ninety rulers has a hundred subordinates. Alternatively, this verse may only refer to the central group of ten rulers mentioned in 1.50, and each one of them has a hundred subordinates.
- 1.52 We are forced to follow E's reading in  $p\bar{a}da$  c in order to make sense of this passage. My correction in  $p\bar{a}da$  d is motivated by the same. Note that vrnda is not a number in this line. Elsewhere in this chapter vrnda is the word that signifies 'a billion'.
- 1.53 It seems that *pādas* ab repeat what has been stated in 1.52cd. *kotyena* stands for *kotyā* (thematisation). Note how the scribe of M gets confused at 1.53c due to an eye-skip and fully regains control only at 1.55b.

of those ten trillion (padma) is surrounded by a hundred trillion (samudra).

samudreṣu tathaikaikaṃ madhyasaṃkhyais tu tair vṛtam | madhyasaṃkhyeṣu ekaikam anantaiḥ parivāritam || 1:57 ||

And each of those hundred trillion (*samudra*) is surrounded by those whose number is one quadrillion (*madhya*). Each of those quadrillion (*madhya*) is surrounded by ten quadrillion (*ananta*).

ananteṣu ca ekaikaṃ parārdhaparivāritam | parārdheṣu ca ekaikaṃ pareṇa parivāritam | eṣa vai kathito vipra śakyaṃ sāṃkhyam udīritam || 1:58 ||

Each of those ten quadrillion (ananta) is surrounded by a hundred quadrillion (parārdha). Each of those hundred quadrillion (parārdha) is surrounded by two hundred quadrillion (para). This is how it is taught, O Brahmin. The enumeration [of the rulers of the Brahmāṇḍa] has been taught as much as it is possible.

# [pramāṇam — Measurements]

pramāṇaṃ śṛṇu me vipra saṃkṣepād bruvato mama | candrodaye pūrṇamāsyāṃ vapur aṇḍasya tādṛśam || 1:59 ||

Listen to me and learn about the measurements [of the universe], O Brahmin, I shall teach [you] in a concise manner. The body of the Egg is like that of [the moon] at moonrise on the day of the full moon.

koṭikoṭisahasraṃ tu yojanānāṃ samantataḥ | aṇḍānāṃ ca parīmāṇaṃ brahmaṇā parikīrtitam || 1:60 ||

The whole circumference of the Eggs has been declared by Brahmā to be ten million (*koṭi*) times a thousand times ten million *yojanas*.

saptakoṭisahasrāṇi saptakoṭiśatāṇi ca | viṃśakoṭiṣv aṅgulīṣu ūrdhvatas tapate raviḥ || 1:61 ||

The Sun shines from the height of seven thousand seven hundred and twenty *koți* finger's breath.

pramāṇaṃ nāma saṃkhyā ca kīrtitāni samāsataḥ | brahmāṇḍaṃ cāprameyāṇāṃ lakṣaṇaṃ parikīrtitam || 1:62 ||

The numbers pertaining to the measurements have been taught in brief. The characteristics of the unmeasurable Brahmāṇḍa[s] have been taught.

1.56 Note that śańkubhiḥ stands for śańkūṣu (instrumental for locative).

1.61 This verse is the reply to the question in 1.37cd, which contains the word *anguli*: this hints at the possibility that the unintelligible *gulmeṣu* transmitted in most of the witnesses might be corrupted from *aṅguiṣu*; hence my conjecture, resulting in a *ra-vipulā*.

1.62 Note the mixture of different grammatical genders and numbers in this verse. Understand *pramāneṣu saṃkhyāḥ kīrtitāḥ samāsataḥ* and *brahmāṇḍānām aprameyānāṃ...* 

### The redactors (of the Purāṇas) ]

purāṇāśīsahasrāṇi śatāni dvijasattama | brahmaṇā kathitaṃ pūrṇaṃ mātariśvā yathātatham || 1:63 ||

O truest of the twice-born, the Purāṇa[s of] 8,000,000 [verses] were taught by [1] Brahmā to [2] Mātariśvan [= Vāyu] in their entirety, in their true form.

vāyunā pāda saṃkṣipya prāptaṃ cośanasaṃ purā | tenāpi pāda saṃkṣipya prāptavāṃś ca bṛhaspatiḥ || 1:64 ||

1.63 Pāda a should probably be analysed and interpreted as purāṇam (purāṇānām aśītisahas-rāṇi śatāni ślokāni) brahmaṇā kathitam. Alternatively, pāda a may have originally read purāṇāni sahasrāṇi, and then the inital number of verses transmitted by Brahmā is a hundred thousand. That the number refers to the number of ślokas transmitted, and not, e.g., the number of lines, is confirmed in 1.66d: vimśatślokasahasrikam.

On the idea that initially there was only one Purāṇa, see, e.g., Rocher 1986, 41ff.

In pāda d, either understand mātariśvā (nom.) as mātariśvānam (acc.) or emend kathitam to kathitah in the sense 'Mātariśvan was taught,' echoing 1.39cd: brahmaṇā yat purākhyāto mātariśvā yathā tathā.

Compare this list to a list of twenty-eight <code>vedavyāsas</code>, from Brahmā to Vyāsa Dvaipāyana, in ViṣnuP 3.3.10–19, taught by Parāśara, the twenty-sixth <code>vyāsa</code> of this list and our text (in the numbering that I add here I follow the translation in Dutt 1896, 178–179): <code>vedavyāsā vyatītā ye aṣṭāviṃśati sattama | caturdhā yaiḥ krto vedo dvāpareṣu punaḥ punaḥ || dvāpare prathame vyastāḥ svayam vedāḥ [1] svayambhuvā | dvitīye dvāpare caiva vedavyāsaḥ [2] prajāpati || tṛtīye [3] cośanā vyāsaś caturthe ca [4] bṛhaspatiḥ | [5] savitā pañcame vyāsaḥ [6] mṛtyuḥ ṣaṣṭhe smṛtaḥ prabhuḥ || saptame ca [7] tathaivendro [8] vasiṣṭhaś cāṣṭame smṛtaḥ | [9] sārasvataś ca navame [10] tridhāmā daśame smṛtaḥ || ekādaśe tu [11] trivṛṣā [12] bhāradvājas tataḥ param | trayodaśe [13] cāntarikṣo [14] varnī cāpi caturdaśe || [15] trayyārunaḥ pañcadaśe ṣoḍaśe tu [16] dhanamjayaḥ | [17] kratumjayaḥ saptadaśe [18] ṛṇajyo 'ṣṭādaśe smṛtaḥ || tato vyāso [19] bharadvājo bharadvājāt tu [20] gautamaḥ | gautamād uttamo vyāso [21] haryātmā yo 'bhidhīyate || atha haryātmano [22] venaḥ smṛto vājaśravās tu yaḥ | somaḥ śuṣmāyaṇas tasmāt [23] tṛṇabindur iti smṛtaḥ || [24] ṛkṣo 'bhūd bhārgavas tasmād vālmīkir yo 'bhidhīyate | tasmād asmatpitā [25] śaktir vyāsas tasmād [26] aham mune || [27] jātukarṇo 'bhavan mattaḥ kṛṣṇadvaipāyanas [28] tataḥ | aṣṭaviṃśatir ity ete vedavyāsāḥ purātanāḥ ||</code>

Another relevant passage is Brahmāṇḍapurāṇa 3.4.58cd-67 (≈ Vāyupurāṇa 2.41.58-67). Note how Tṛṇabindu is, perhaps by mistake, different from Somaśuṣma/Śuṣmāyaṇa here, but, more importantly, note Amitabuddhi of VSS 1.76 appear at the end of this list: [1] brahmā dadau śāstram idaṃ purāṇaṃ [2] mātariśvane || tasmāc [3] cośanasā prāptaṃ tasmāc cāpi [4] brhaspatiḥ| bṛhaspatis tu provāca [5] savitre tadanantaram || savitā [6] mṛtyave prāha mṛtyuś [7] cendrāya vai punaḥ | indraś cāpi [8] vasiṣṭāya so 'pi [9] sārasvatāya ca || sārasvatas [10] tridhāmne 'tha tridhāmā ca [11] śaradvate | śaradvāṃs tu [12] triviṣtāya so [13] 'ntarikṣāya dattavān || [14] carṣiṇe cāntarikṣo vai so 'pi [15] trayyāruṇāya ca | trayyāruṇād [16] dhanañjayaḥ sa vai prādāt [17] kṛtañjaye || kṛtañjayāt [18] tṛṇañjayo [19] bharadvājāya so 'py atha | [20] gautamāya bharadvājaḥ so 'pi [21] niryyantare punaḥ || niryyantaras tu provāca tathā [22] vājaśravāya vai | sa dadau [23] somaśuṣmāya sa cādāt [24] tṛṇabindave || tṛṇabindus tu [25] dakṣāya dakṣaḥ provāca [26] śaktaye | śakteh [27] parāśaraś cāpi garbhasthaḥ śrutavān idam || parāśarāj [28] jātukarṇyas tasmād [29] dvaipāyanaḥ prabhuḥ | dvaipāyanāt punaś cāpi [30] mayā prāptaṃ dvijottama || mayā caitat punaḥ proktaṃ [31] putrāyāmitabuddhaye | ity eva vākyaṃ brahmādiguruṇāṃ samudāhṛtam ||

The list of vedavyāsas in Lingapurāṇa 1.7.15–18 includes these twenty-five names: Kratu, Satya, Bhārgava, Angiras, Savitṛ, Mṛtyu, Śatakratu, Vasiṣṭha, Sārasvata, Tridhāman, Trivṛta, Śatatejas, Tarakṣu, Āruṇi, Kṛtaṃjaya, Rṭaṃjayo, Bharadvāja, Gautama, Vācaśravas, Tṛṇabindu, Rūkṣa, Śakti, Jātūkarṇya, Kṛṣṇa Dvaipāyano.

Vāyu abridged the verses and then gave [the Purāṇas] to [3] Uśanas. He [Uśanas]

```
also abridged the verses, and [4] Brhaspati received them.
bṛhaspatis tu provāca sūryam trimsatsahasrikam
pañcavimśatsahasrāṇi mṛtyum prāha divākaraḥ || 1:65 ||
   Bṛhaspati taught 30,000 [verses] to [5] Sūrya [the Sun]. Divākara [= the Sun]
  taught 25,000 [verses] to [6] Mṛtyu [Death].
ekavimśatsahasrāni mṛtyunendrāya kīrtitam
indreṇāha vasiṣṭhāya viṃśatślokasahasrikam || 1:66 ||
  Mṛtyu taught 21,000 [verses] to [7] Indra. Indra taught 20,000 verses to [8]
  Vasistha.
aṣṭādaśasahasrāṇi tena sārasvatāya tu |
sārasvatas tridhāmāya sahasradaśa sapta ca || 1:67 ||
   And he[, Vasiṣṭha taught] 18,000 [verses] to [9] Sārasvata. Sārasvata [taught]
   17,000 [verses] to [10] Tridhāma[n].
sodaśānām sahasrāni bharadvājāya vai tataḥ
daśa pańcasahasrāṇi trivṛṣāya abhāṣata || 1:68 ||
   [He taught] 16,000 verses to [11] Bharadvāja. [Bharadvāja] taught 15,000 verses
  to [12] Trivṛṣa.
caturdaśasahasrāni antarīksāya vai tatah
trayyāruņim sahasrāni trayodasa abhāṣata || 1:69 ||
   [Trivṛṣa] then [taught] 14,000 verses to [13] Antarīkṣa. [Antarīkṣa] taught 13,000
   [verses] to [14] Trayyāruņi.
trayyāruņis tu viprendro dhanamjayam abhāsata |
dvādaśāni sahasrāni samksipya punar abravīt || 1:70 ||
  Trayyāruṇi, the great Brahmin, having abridged them again, taught 12,000 [verses]
   to [15] Dhanaṃjaya.
kṛtamjayāya samprāpto dhanamjayamahāmuniḥ |
kṛtaṃjayād dvijaśreṣṭha ṛṇaṃjayamahātmane || 1:71 ||
  Dhanamjaya, the great sage, handed [them] over to [16] Krtamjaya. [That re-
  cension was transmitted] from Kṛtamjaya, O best of the twice-born, to [17]
  noble Ŗņamjaya.
rņanjayāt punah prāpto gautamāya maharsiņe
gautamāc ca bharadvājas tasmād dharyātmanāya tu || 1:72 ||
```

<sup>1.64</sup> Note the stem form noun *pāda* twice in this verse and the slightly odd grammatical structure (*purāṇaṃ*) *prāptam uśanasam* ('the Purāṇa reached Uśanas'), as opposed to the solution in *pāda* d (*prāptavān*).

<sup>1.71</sup> Note the odd structure in pādas ab: dhanamjayaḥ kṛtamjayāya samprāptaḥ, for a more standard dhanamjayena (purāṇam) samprāpitaṃ kṛtamjayam ('the Purāṇa was transmitted to Kṛtaṃjaya').

Then from ṛṇaṃjaya it was given to [18] Gautama, the great sage, from Gautama to [19] Bharadvāja, from him to [20] Haryātman.

rājaśravās tataḥ prāptaḥ somaśuṣmāya vai tataḥ | somaśuṣmāt tataḥ prāptas tṛṇabindus tu bho dvija || 1:73 ||

Then [21] Rājaśravas received it, then [22] Somaśuṣma. Then from Somaśuṣma [23] Tṛṇabindu received it, O twice-born.

tṛṇabindus tu vṛkṣāya vṛkṣaḥ śaktim abhāṣata | śaktiḥ parāśaram prāha jatukarṇāya vai tataḥ || 1:74 ||

Tṛṇabindu taught it to [24] Vṛkṣa, Vṛkṣa to [25] Śakti [the father of Parāśara]. Śakti taught it to [26] Parāśara, then [Parāśara] to [27] Jatukarṇa.

dvaipāyanaṃ tu provāca jatukarṇo maharṣiṇam | romaharṣāya samprāpto dvaipāyanamahāmuniḥ || 1:75 ||

Jatukarṇa taught it to [28] [Vyāsa] Dvaipāyana, the great sage. Dvaipāyana, the great sage, gave it to [29] Romaharṣa.

romaharṣṇṇa provāca putrāyāmitabuddhaye | daśa dve ca sahasrāṇi purāṇaṃ samprakāśitam | mānusānām hitārthāya kim bhūyah śrotum icchasi || 1:76 ||

Romaharṣa taught the Purāṇa[s] of 12,000 [verses], now fully revealed, to his son, [30] Amitabuddhi, for the benefit of humankind. What else do you wish to know?

1.72 The structure of *pādas* ab is as odd as that of 1.71ab. What was intended is probably *ṛṇañjayena prāpitaṃ gautamāya*. My emendation in *pāda* d of *haryadvatāya* to *haryātmanāya* (for a standard *haryātmane*) is based on the list of *vedavyāsas* in ViṣṇuP 3.3.16–17 (see note to 1.63 above).

1.73 The syntax is again slightly odd here. The indention may have been *prāpitaṃ rājaśavasā somaśuṣmāya... tatas tṛṇabindunā prāptam*.

1.74 In other list of *vedavyāsas*, Tṛṇabindu hands the Purāṇas down to Ḥkṣa, Rūkṣa or Dakṣa (see note to 1.63 above). *vṛkṣa* in *pāda* a is probably a corrupted form. The name Jatukarṇa may be a corrupted form of Jātū- or Jātukarṇa.

1.75 The syntax of pādas cd echoes that of 1.73ab above.

1.76 Romaharșa is usually considered to be the same person as Sūta, disciple of Vyāsa Dvaipāyana.

In Brahmāṇḍapurāṇa 3.4.67ab (mayā caitat punah proktaṃ putrāyāmitabuddhaye, see note to 1.63 above) Amitabuddhi is clearly the name (or epithet) of Romaharṣa's son. This suggests that the form romaharṣāya in pāda a is a mistake for romaharṣaś ca, or similar. MS M is either transmitting an otherwise syntactically problematic reading (romaharṣeṇa) that is more original than that of most other witnesses or M's scribe is trying to correct the text. Supposing the former, in this case I accepted M's reading.

Manuscripts  $C_{02}$  and M place the *iti* of the colophon at the end of the last *śloka*, before the *daṇḍas*, thus: *icchasīti* ||O|| ( $C_{02}$ ) and *icchasī iti* ||O|| (M). Note also that M gives the number of *ślokas* in this chapter, 77, which is almost exactly the number of verses this critical edition has produced. The scribe of M struggled with eyeskips in this chapter, therefore it seems unlikely that he himself counted the number of verses he had copied and arrived at this very figure. Rather, he copied the number from his exemplar.

## Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha

|| iti vṛṣasārasamgrahe brahmānḍasamkhyā nāmādhyāyaḥ prathamaḥ || Here ends the first chapter in the Vṛṣasārasamgraha called the Description of the Brahmāṇḍa[s].

## [ dvitīyo 'dhyāyaḥ ] [ Chapter Two ]

vigatarāga uvāca |

śrutam mayā janāgreņa brahmāṇḍasya tu nirṇayam | pramāṇam varṇarūpam ca saṃkhyā tasya samāsataḥ || 2:1 ||

Vigatarāga spoke: I have heard the description of the Brahmāṇḍa from [you,] the best of men, its extent, colour, form and the numbers associated with it, in a concise manner.

śivāṇḍeti tvayā prokto brahmāṇḍālayakīrtitaḥ |

kīdṛśaṃ lakṣaṇaṃ jñeyaṃ pramāṇaṃ tasya vā kati || 2:2 ||

You mentioned a Śivāṇḍa as taught to be the receptacle of the Brahmāṇḍa.

What are its characteristics and how much is its extent?

kasya vā layanam jñeyam pramāṇam vātra vāsinaḥ | kā vā tatra prajā jñeyā ko vā tatra prajāpatiḥ || 2:3 ||

Whose dwelling place is it? And [what] is the scale of the one[s] who dwell there? What kind of people live there? And who is the ruler (*prajāpati*) there?

[ śivāṇḍasaṃkhyā — Summary of the Śivāṇḍa ]

anarthayajña uvāca |

śivāṇḍalakṣaṇaṃ vipra na tvaṃ praṣṭum ihārhasi |

daivatair api kā śaktir jñātuṃ draṣṭuṃ ca tattvataḥ || 2:4 ||

Anarthayajña spoke: Please don't ask me about the characteristics of the Śivāṇḍa, O Brahmin. How could even the gods have the power to really know and see [the Śivāṇḍa]?

agamyagamanaṃ guhyaṃ guhyād api samuddhṛtam | na prabhur netaras tatra na daṇḍyo na ca daṇḍakaḥ || 2:5 ||

The path leading to it is not to be trodden, it is more secret than any secret, and it is lofty. There is no master or servant [lit. the opposite] there, nobody to be punished and no punisher.

- 2.1 It is unlikely that <code>janāgreṇa</code> picks up <code>mayā</code> ('by me, the best of men'), instead, I supposed that this instrumental stands for the ablative or should be understood as 'through the best of man.'
  - 2.2 The location where Śivāṇḍa was mentioned is verse 1.40ab above.
- $2.3~v\bar{a}~layanam$  in  $p\bar{a}da$  a may stand for  $v\bar{a}$ - $\bar{a}layanam$ , in the sense of  $v\bar{a}$ - $\bar{a}layam$ . The questions in this verse are most probably answered in verses 2.26-33, and if my interpretation is correct there,  $pram\bar{a}nam$   $v\bar{a}tra~v\bar{a}sinam$  (understand  $v\bar{a}sin\bar{a}m$ ) and  $p\bar{a}da$  c should refer to the number of inhabitants in the five regions of  $\bar{1}s\bar{a}na$ , Tatpuruṣa etc., who are refered to here in  $p\bar{a}das$  a and possibly d.
- 2.5 My emendation to samuddhṛtam in pāda b is not fully satisfactory, but the readings transmitted in the witnesses are problematic. M, a MS not collated for this chapter, gives a confusing reading: sa\murdhni\dam. I doubt if E's samṛddhidam ('yielding success') is the correct reading.

```
na satyo nānṛtas tatra suśīlo no duḥśīlavān |
nānṛjur na ca dambhitvaṃ na tṛṣṇā na ca īṛṣyatā || 2:6 ||
There are no truthful or untruthful people there, no moral or immoral people, no crooked people, no hypocrisy, no thirst or envy.

na krodho na ca lobho 'sti na māno 'sti na sūyakaḥ |
```

na krodho na ca lobho 'sti na mano 'sti na suyakaḥ | īrṣyā dveṣo na tatrāsti na śaṭho na ca matsaraḥ || 2:7 ||

There is no anger or desire there, no arrogance or discontent ([a]sūyaka), no envy or hatred, no cheaters and no jealousy.

```
na vyādhir na jarā tatra na śoko 'sti na viklavaḥ | nādhamaḥ puruṣas tatra nottamo na ca madhyamaḥ || 2:8 ||
```

There is no disease, no aging, no grief and no agitation there, there are no inferior or superior people and there is nobody in-between.

```
notkṛṣṭo mānavas tasmin striyaś caiva śivālaye |
na nindā na praśaṃsāsti matsarī piśuno na ca || 2:9 ||
```

There are no privileged men or women there in Śiva's abode, no reproach or praise, no selfish or treacherous people.

```
garvadarpaṃ na tatrāsti krūramāyādikaṃ tathā |
yācamāno na tatrāsti dātā caiva na vidyate || 2:10 ||
```

There is no pride or arrogance there, no cruelty or trickery and so on. There are no beggars and no donors there.

```
anarthī vraja tatrasthaḥ kalpavṛkṣasamāśritaḥ | na karma nāpriyas tatra na kaliḥ kalaho na ca || 2:11 ||
```

Go without material desires (*anarthin*), being there you'll be resting under a wishing tree. There is no karma there and no enemy. No Kali age is there and there is no fighting.

```
dvāparo na ca na tretā kṛtaṃ cāpi na vidyate |
manvantaraṃ na tatrāsti kalpaś caiva na vidyate || 2:12 ||
```

There is no Dvāpara age or Tretā or Kṛta. There are no *manvantaras* there and no *kalpas*.

āhūtasamplavam nāsti brahmarātridinam tathā |

Perhaps samudāhṛtam ('declared, talked about as') was meant. It is not inconceivable that  $C_{02}$ 's (and M's) agamyagahanam ('it is inaccessible because of its depth') is original and it is to be contrasted with samuddhṛtam ('lofty'). One also wonders if guhād could be the right reading, and in what sense, in pāda b.

- 2.6 Strictly speaking *duḥśīlavān* in *pāda* b is unmetrical; understand or pronounce *duśīlavān*. *īrṣyatā* (for *īrṣyā*, see 2.7a) is a form rarely attested.
  - 2.7 na sūyakaḥ in pāda b stands for na asūyaka metri causa.
- 2.11 Note the term *anartī* in *pāda* a: it might have something to do with non-material sacrifice (*anarthayajña*), the topic of chapter 11. *vraja* in *pāda* a is suspect.
  - 2.12 On manvantaras and kalpas, see 1.23-24 above.

na janmamaraṇaṃ tatra āpadaṃ nāpnuyāt kvacit || 2:13 ||

No universal floods of destruction come, and there are no days and nights of Brahmā. There is no birth and death there and one never encounters catastrophes.

na cāśāpāśabaddho 'sti rāgamohaṃ na vidyate | na devā nāsurās tatra na yakṣoragarākṣasāḥ || 2:14 ||

Nobody is tied to the noose of hope and there is no passion or delusion. There are no gods and demons there and no Yakṣas, Serpents and Rākṣasas.

na bhūtā na piśācāś ca gandharvā ṛṣayas tathā | tārāgrahaṃ na tatrāsti nāgakiṃnaragāruḍam || 2:15 ||

There are no Ghosts nor Piśācas, no Gandharvas and no Ḥṣis. There are no planets there, no Nāgas, Kiṃnaras or Garuḍa-like creatures.

na japo nāhnikas tatra nāgnihotrī na yajñakṛt |

na vratam na tapaś caiva na tiryannarakam tathā || 2:16 ||

There are no recitations or daily rituals there, nobody performs the Agnihotra and there are no sacrificers. There are no religious observances and no austerities and no 'animal hell'.

tasyeśānasya devasya aiśvaryaguṇavistaram | api varṣaśatenāpi śakyam vaktuṃ na kenacit || 2:17 ||

Nobody would be able to tell the extent of the qualities of the god Īśāna's powers, not even in a hundred years.

harecchāprabhavāḥ sarve paryāyeṇa bravīmi te | devamānuṣavarjyāni vṛkṣagulmalatādayaḥ || 2:18 ||

All are born by Hara's wish. I shall teach [them to] you one by one, excluding gods and people, starting with the trees, the bushes and creepers.

- 2.13 āhūtasamplava for the more widely attested form ābhūtasamplava occurs, e.g., in some MSS transmitting ŚDhŚ 10.77 and 81 (see Bisschop, Kafle, & Kiss forthcoming).
- 2.16 The phrase of tiryannaraka appears in MBh 3.181.18ab: aśubhaiḥ karmabhiḥ pāpās tiryannarakagāminaḥ. Here Ganguli 1883–1896 translates tiryan separately as 'in a crooked way,' but I suspect that in the VSS tiryannaraka has more to do with tiraggati, being reduced to animal existence, being reborn as an animal or entering a hell in animal form. Cf. MBh 13.134.057 (CHECK): nṛṣu janma labhante ye karmaṇā madhyamāḥ smṛtāḥ | tiryannarakagantāro hy adhamās te narādhamāḥ ||, and Umāmaheśvarasamvāda 6.1: avamanyanti ye viprān sarvaloke namaskṛtān | narakam yānti te sarve tiryagyonim vrajanti ca || I suspect that nātirya° in the witnesses is only a scribal mistake for na tirya°.
- 2.17 My translation of aiśvaryaguṇa° is tentative. It could be taken as a dvandva compound (e.g. 'supremacy and qualities'). The expression sarva° or aṣṭaiśvaryaguṇopeta occurs frequently, e.g. in SivP 7.2.8.28ab and SkandaP 55.30cd, and ŚDhU 2.6, 79, 125, 127, with aiśvarya most probably refering to the eight siddhis aṇiman, laghiman etc. De Simini (2016a, 386), e.g., translates sarvaiśvaryaguṇopetaḥ in ŚDhU 2.127 as 'endowed with all the qualities of lordship.'
- 2.18 Note the gender confusion in this verse, and the way I take  $p\bar{a}da$  a as a separate statement to aviod a further confusion of case.

```
parārdhadvigunotsedho vistāras ca tathāvidhah |
anekākārapuspāṇi phalāni ca manoharam || 2:19 ||
   The height [of the Śivāṇḍa] is two parārdhas, and [its] width is the same.
   There are lovely flowers of different forms [there] and also lovely fruits.
anye kancanavrksani manivrksany athapare
pravālamaņiṣaṇḍāś ca padmarāgaruhāṇi ca || 2:20 ||
  There are also golden trees and also gem trees, coral gem thickets and ruby
  plants.
svādumūlaphalāḥ skandhalatāviṭapapādapāḥ |
kāmarūpāś ca te sarve kāmadāh kāmabhāsinah || 2:21 ||
  There are tasty roots and fruits and trees with creepers on their twigs. All are
  shape-shifters and they fulfill man's desires and they whisper seductively.
tatra vipra prajāḥ sarve anantaguṇasāgarāḥ |
tulyarūpabalāh sarve sūryāyutasamaprabhāh || 2:22 ||
   There [in the Śivāṇḍa], O Brahmin, all the subjects are the oceans of endless
  virtues. They are all equally beautiful and strong, and they shine like millions
  of suns.
parārdhadvayavistāram parārdhadvayam āyatam |
parārdhadvayaviksepā yojanānām dvijottama || 2:23 ||
   [The Sivanda] is two parardha long and two parardha wide, and two
  parārdha yojanas is its extension, O great Brahmin.
aiśvaryatvam na samkhyāsti balaśaktiś ca bho dvija |
adhordhvo na ca saṃkhyāsti na tiryañ caiti kaścana || 2:24 ||
```

[Īśāna's] powers cannot be expressed by numbers, neither can [His] powerfulness, O twice-born. [In fact, the extension in the Śivāṇḍa]

downwards and upwards cannot be expressed by numbers, neiter can its horizontal extension.

sivāṇḍasya ca vistāram āyāmaṃ ca na vedmy aham |
bhogam akṣaya tatraiva janmamṛtyur na vidyate || 2:25 ||
[In reality,] I do not know the length and width of the Śivāṇḍa. Enjoyment is

- 2.19 I understand *pāda* a as *parārdhadviguṇa utsedho*, i.e. as an example of double *sandhi*. On the other hand, "*sedho* is only my conjecture, and may refer to something else than the Śivāṇḍa. Note the number confusion in *pāda* d, and also that two *parārdhas* is one *para*, the highest possible number according to verses 1.35–36 above. The number may refer to any unit of length, but 2.23 below suggests that it is *yojanas*.
  - 2.20 Note that both *anye* and *apare* here pick up neuter nouns (gender confusion).
- 2.21 My conjectures in  $p\bar{a}das$  ab result in a compoud split at the caesura, which may have been the reason why the line got corrupted.
  - 2.23 I understand pādas cd, tentatively, as vikṣepaṃ parārdhadvayaṃ yojanānāṃ
  - 2.24 This line is a reply to 2.17b.

undecaying there, and there is no birth or death there.

śivāṇḍamadhyam āśritya gokṣīrasadṛśaprabhāḥ | parārdhaparakoṭīnām īśānānāṃ smṛṭālayaḥ || 2:26 ||

In the centre of the Śivāṇḍa, [creatures] shine like cow's milk. [It is] said to be the region (*ālaya*) of the one and a half *para* crore Īśānas.

bālasūryaprabhāḥ sarve jñeyās tatpuruṣālaye | parārdhaparakoṭīnāṃ pūrvasyāṃ diśam āśritāḥ || 2:27 ||

They are all like the rising sun in the region of Tatpuruṣa. They are one and a half *para* crore [in number], living in the east.

bhinnāñjanaprabhāḥ sarve dakṣiṇāṃ diśam āśritāḥ | parārdhaparakoṭīnām aghorālayam āśritāḥ || 2:28 ||

Everybody is like collyrium in the southern direction, in the region of Aghora, one and a half *para* crore [in number].

kundenduhimaśailābhāḥ paścimām diśam āśritāḥ | parārdhaparakoṭīnām sadya-m-iṣṭālayaḥ smṛtaḥ || 2:29 ||

In the western direction, they are like jasmine, the moon, like snowy rocks. Sadyojāta's lovely region is [home] to one and a half *para* crore [people].

kunkumodakasaṃkāśā uttarāṃ diśam āśritāḥ | parārdhaparakotīnāṃ vāmadevālayaḥ smṛtaḥ || 2:30 ||

In the northern direction, they are like saffron in water. Vāmadeva's region is [home] to one and a half *para* crore [people].

īśānasya kalāḥ pañca vaktrasyāpi catuṣ kalāḥ | aghorasya kalā aṣṭau vāmadevās trayodaśa || 2:31 ||

2.25 *Pāda* c is transmitted in an unmetrical form and with a gender problem in the witnesses (*bhogam akṣayas*), hence my emendation using a stem form noun, a phenomenon frequently seen in this text. But note that *bhoga* is normally masculine; there might be a hiatus-filler in-between: *bhoga-m-akṣaya*).

2.26 Note the stem form *smṛta* in *pāda* d (cf. 2.29d). I understand *īśānānāṃ* as *aiśānānāṃ*. Īśāna is traditionally the upward-looking face of Śiva, his region is positioned in the centre here. Note that the somewhat cryptic third *pādas* here and in the coming verses may or may not refer to the number of people living in the given region. They may tell us about the extent of the given region, although the numbers are much higher than what one would expect after verse 2.23.

2.27 The genitive of  $par\bar{a}rdhaparakot\bar{\imath}n\bar{a}m$  is baffling here and in the coming verses, but I suspect that again the expression gives the number of subjects living in the given region.  $p\bar{u}rvasy\bar{a}m$  is meant to mean  $p\bar{u}rv\bar{a}m$  (cf.  $daksin\bar{a}m$ ,  $pascim\bar{a}m$ , and  $uttar\bar{a}m$  in the next verses); note how  $K_{10}$  tries to save the construction by reading disi.

This verse conforms to the traditional view that Śiva's Tatpuruṣa-face is looking to the east.

- 2.28 Note the Aisa form disim in  $C_{45}$ , and that Aghora is indeed usually south-facing.
- 2.29 Note the Aiśa form diśim in  $K_7$  in  $p\bar{a}da$  b. In  $p\bar{a}da$  d, we may presuppose the presence of a sandhi-bridge: sadya-m-istalayah. Sadyojāta is traditionally associated with the western direction.
- 2.30 Note the Aiśa form diśim in  $C_{94}$  in  $p\bar{a}da$  b. Vāmadeva is traditionally associated with the western direction.

Īśāna has five parts ( $kal\bar{a}$ ), [his Tatpuruṣa] face has four. Aghora has eight, and there are thirteen Vāmadeva[ $-kal\bar{a}$ ]s.

```
sadyaś cāṣṭau kalā jñeyāḥ saṃsārārṇavatārakāḥ |
aṣṭatriṃśat kalā hy etāḥ kīrtitā dvijasattama || 2:32 ||
```

Sadyojāta has eight parts. These parts, altogether thirty-eight, which liberate us from the ocean of existence, have been taught, O truest Brahmin.

```
saṃkhyā varṇā diśaś caiva ekaikasya pṛthak pṛthak |
pūrvoktena vidhānena bodhavyās tattvacintakaiḥ || 2:33 ||
```

Those who explore the truth should know the numbers, the colours and directions associated with each one [of Śiva's faces] in the way taught above.

```
śivāṇḍagamanākṛṣṭyā śivayogaṃ sadābhyaset |
śivayogaṃ vinā vipra tatra gantuṃ na śakyate || 2:34 ||
```

If one has the intention to go to the Śivāṇḍa, one should practise Śiva-yoga regularly. Without Śiva-yoga, O Brahmin, it is impossible to go there.

```
aśvamedhādiyajñānāṃ kotyāyutaśatāni ca |
kṛcchrāditapa sarvāṇi kṛtvā kalpaśatāni ca |
tatra gantum na śakyeta devair api tapodhana || 2:35 ||
```

[Even] by [performing] millions of sacrifices such as the Aśvamedha, or by performing all the difficult austerities for a hundred *kalpas*, it is impossible to get there even for the gods, O great ascetic.

```
gangādisarvatīrtheṣu snātvā taptvā ca vai punaḥ | tatra gantuṃ na śakyeta ṛṣibhir vā mahātmabhiḥ || 2:36 ||
```

By [merely] bathing and performing austerities at all the sacred places such as the Gangā, even the honorable Ṣṣis will not be able to get there.

```
saptadvīpasamudrāṇi ratnapūrṇāṇi bho dvija | dattvā vā vedaviduṣe śraddhābhaktisamanvitaḥ | tatra gantuṃ na śakyeta vinā dhyānena niścayaḥ || 2:37 ||
```

Or [even] by donating the oceans of the seven islands with all their gems to a Veda expert, O Brahmin, with faith and devotion, one will not be able to go there without meditation. [This is a] certainty.

```
svadehān māṃsam uddhṛtya dattvārthibhyaś ca niścayāt | svadāraputrasarvasvaṃ śiro 'rthibhyaś ca yo dadet | na tatra gantuṃ śakyeta anyair vāpi suduṣkaraiḥ || 2:38 ||
```

- 2.31 Note how *vaktrasya* should refer to Śiva's Tatpuruṣa-face, given that the text lists Śiva's five faces: Īśāna, Tatpuruṣa, Aghora, Vāmadeva, Sadyojāta.
  - 2.32 Note sadyaś in pāda a for sadyasaś or sadyojātasya.
  - 2.34 ākṛṣṭyā in pāda a might be corrupt.
- 2.35 Understand kṛcchrāditapa sarvāṇi as kṛcchrāditapāṃsi sarvāṇi. It can be considered an instance of the use of a stem form noun.

#### Translation of chapter 1

He who destroys his own body and gives it without hesitation to those who are in need of it, or he who gives away his wife, his son and his possessions or his own head to those in need, or he who [performs] other difficult deeds, will not be able to go there [by merely doing these].

yajñatīrthatapodānavedādhyayanapāragaḥ | brahmāṇḍāntasya bhogāṃs tu bhuṅkte kālavaśānugaḥ || 2:39 ||

He who has completed the sacrifices, the pilgrimages, the austerities, the donations, the study of the Vedas, will experience those enjoyments that the Brahmāṇḍa offers, still being subject to time and death.

kālena samapreṣyeṇa dharmo yāti parikṣayam | alātacakravat sarvaṃ kālo yāti paribhraman | traikālyakalanāt kālas tena kālaḥ prakīrtitaḥ || 2:40 ||

Dharma decays tossed forward by time. Time flies moving everything round and round like a circle of burning coal. Time is called  $k\bar{a}la$  because of the waves (kalana) of the three divisions of time [past, present, future].

|| iti vṛṣasārasaṃgrahe śivāṇḍasaṃkhyā nāmādhyāyo dvitīyaḥ || Here ends the second chapter in the Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha called the Description of the Śivāṇḍa.

2.40 Notice the muta cum liquida licence in pāda a: samapre° renders as short-short-long. I take samapreṣyena as if it read sampreṣito, picking up dharmo; otherwise it is difficult to make sense of it. As Kenji Takahashi pointed out to me, Fitzgerald 2012 is a good starting point to understand the implication of alātacakra, 'a single, rapidly twirled torch creat[ing] the illusion of an apparently real, continuous circle' (ibid., p. 777). The function of sarvaṃ in pāda a becomes clear only if we understand paribhraman in a causative sense (for paribhramayan). One cannot help noticing that this verse would be in a more fitting context after verse 1.31, at the end of a section on kāla. On the other hand, it leads us to the next topic, Dharma, smoothly.

## [tṛtīyo 'dhyāyaḥ] [Chapter Three]

[ dharmapravacanam — An Exposition of Dharma ]

vigatarāga uvāca |

kimartham dharmam ity āhuḥ katimūrtiś ca kīrtyate |

katipādavṛṣo jñeyo gatis tasya kati smṛtāḥ || 3:1 ||

Vigatarāga spoke: Why do they call it Dharma? And how many embodiments (*mūrti*) is he known to have? He is known as a bull: how many legs does it/he have? How many are his paths?

kautūhalam mamotpannam samsayam chindhi tattvatah | kasya putro munisrestha prajās tasya kati smṛtāḥ || 3:2 ||

I have become curious [about these questions]. Put an end to my doubts for good. Whose son is [Dharma], O best of sages? How many children does he have?

anarthayajña uvāca | dhṛtir ity eṣa dhātur vai paryāyaḥ parikīrtitaḥ | ādhāraṇān mahattvāc ca dharma ity abhidhīyate || 3:3 ||

Anarthayajña spoke: Well, dhrti ('firmness') is [of the same] verbal root [as dharma], and is said to be [its] synonym. It is called dharma because it supports  $(\bar{a}DH\bar{A}Rana)$  and because it is great (MAhattva).

3.1 For the correct interpretation of  $p\bar{a}da$  a, namely to decide whether these questions focus on the bull of Dharma or Dharma itself/himself, see the end of the previous chapter, where dharma was mentioned (2.40b), and to which the present verse is a reaction; see also MBh 12.110.10–11:  $prabh\bar{a}v\bar{a}rth\bar{a}ya$   $bh\bar{u}t\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$  dharmapravacanam krtam  $|yatsy\bar{a}d$   $ahims\bar{a}samyuktam$  sa dharma iti niscayah ||  $dh\bar{a}ran\bar{a}d$  dharma ity  $\bar{a}hur$  dharmena  $vidhrt\bar{a}h$   $praj\bar{a}h$   $|yatsy\bar{a}d$   $dh\bar{a}ranasamyuktam$  sa dharma iti niscayah || Note the similarities of MBh this passage with this chapter: the phrase dharma ity  $\bar{a}hur$ , the fact that the present chapter from verse 18 on is actually a chapter on  $ahims\bar{a}$ , and that the etimological explanation involves the word  $[\bar{a}]dh\bar{a}rana$  in both cases. These lead me to think that in  $p\bar{a}das$  ab of this verse in the VSS, it is Dharma that is the focus of the inquiry and not the bull.

Understand *pāda* d as *gatayas tasya kati smṛtāḥ*. I have accepted *smṛtāḥ* because this plural signals that *gatis* is meant to be plural, similarly to what happens in 3.6cd (*tasya patnī... mahāb-hāgāḥ*). The use of the singular in a context of numbers and quantities is one of the hallmarks of the language of the VSS, see p. CHECK.

On Dharma as a bull, see Introduction, pp. CHECK.

3.3 For similar Purāṇic passages on the etimology of *dharma*, see the apparatus to this verse. The insertion in my translation '[of the same]' solves the problem of a noun (*dhṛti*) seemingly being considered a verbal root (*dhātu*) here. I owe thanks to Judit Törzsök for this interpretation. For similar passages with nominal stems appearently being treated as *dhātus*, see e.g. VāyuP 3.17cd: *bhāvya ity eṣa dhātur vai bhāvye kāle vibhāvyate*; VāyuP 3.19cd (= BrahmāṇḍaP 1.38.21ab): *nātha ity eṣa dhātur vai dhātujñaiḥ pālane smṛtaḥ*; LiṅP 2.9.19: *bhaja ity eṣa dhātur vai sevāyāṃ parikīr-titah* 

śrutismṛtidvayor mūrtiś catuṣpādavṛṣaḥ sthitaḥ | caturāśrama yo dharmaḥ kīrtitāni manīṣibhiḥ || 3:4 || The four-legged Bull is the embodiment of both Śruti and Smṛti. It is Dharma, as made up of the four āśramas.

gatiś ca pañca vijñeyāḥ śṛṇu dharmasya bho dvija | devamānuṣatiryaṃ ca narakasthāvarādayaḥ || 3:5 ||

And the paths of Dharma are five. Listen, O Brahmin: [existence as] gods, men, animals, [existence in] hell and [as] immovable things [such as plants and rocks] etc.

brahmaṇo hṛdayaṃ bhittvā jāto dharmaḥ sanātanaḥ | tasya patnī mahābhāgā trayodaśa sumadhyamāḥ || 3:6 ||

Eternal Dharma was born after splitting Brahmā's heart. He has beautiful wives, thirteen in number, with nice waists.

dakṣakanyā viśālākṣī śraddhādyāḥ sumanoharāḥ | tasya putrāś ca pautrāś ca anekāś ca babhūva ha | eṣa dharmanisargo 'yaṃ kiṃ bhūyaḥ śrotum icchasi || 3:7 ||

They are Dakṣa's daughters, [called] Śraddhā and so on. They have huge eyes and they are beautiful. Numerous sons and grandsons were born to him. This is the emergence of Dharma. What more do you wish to hear?

3.4 A similar image of the legs of the Bull of Dharma being the four (and not three, at least according to Olivelle 1993, 55 and Ganguli 1883–1896, Śāntiparvan CCLXX) āśramas is hinted at MBh 12.262.19–21: dharmam ekam catuṣpādam āśritās te nararṣabhāḥ | taṃ santo vidhivat prāpya gacchanti paramāṃ gatim || gṛhebhya eva niṣkramya vanam anye samāśritāḥ | gṛham evābhisaṃśritya tato 'nye brahmacāriṇaḥ || dharmam etaṃ catuṣpādam āśramaṃ brāhmaṇā viduḥ | ānantyaṃ brahmaṇaḥ sthānaṃ brāhmaṇā nāma niścayaḥ ||. On the more frequently quoted interpretation of the four legs, see Olivelle 1993, 235, a translation of Manu 1.81–82: 'Dharma and truth possess all four feet and are whole during the Kṛta yuga, and people did not obtain anything unrighteously (adharmeṇa). By obtaining, however, dharma has lost one foot during each of the other yugas and righteousness (dharma) likewise has diminished by one quarter due to theft, falsehood, and deceit. (MDh 1.81–82).' Understand pādas c and d as catvāri āśramāṇi kīrtitāni dharmo manīṣibhiḥ or yo dharmaḥ kīrtitaś caturāśramāṇi manīṣibhiḥ or yo dharmaś caturāśramaḥ kīrtito manīṣibhiḥ. Judit Törzsök suggested that caturāśrama and dharmaḥ may be interpreted as a compound here.

- 3.5 Note the use of the singular next to numbers in *pāda* a, as in 3.1d, and that *vijňeyāh* is an emendation from *vijňeyāh* following the logic of 3.1d. *tirya* seems to be an acceptable nominal stem in this text for *tiryañc*. See, e.g., 4.6a: *devamānuṣatiryeṣu*. °ādayaḥ in pāda d seems superfluous.
- 3.6 Note the use of the singular in *pādas* cd. I have left *sumadhyamāḥ* as the manuscripts transmit it: it signals the presence of the plural. And consider correcting *mahābhāgā* to *mahābhāgās*. In sum, understand *tasya patnyo mahābhāgās trayodaśa sumadhyamāḥ*.
- 3.7 śraddhāḍhyāḥ in pāda b is an attractive lectio difficilior ('they were rich in faith/devotion'), but I have finally decided to accept the easier and better-attested śraddhādyā[h]. Again, I have chosen/applied the plural forms °ādyāḥ and sumanoharāḥ in pāda b to hint at the fact that the presence of the plural is to be preferred here; thus only viśālākṣī is problematic. As patnī in the previous verse, it should be treated as a plural. Note the use of the singular for the plural also in pādas cd, especially babbūva ha for babbūvuh (babbūva ha perhaps being a phonetic and metrically

```
vigatarāga uvāca |
dharmapatnī visesena putras tābhyaḥ pṛthak pṛthak |
śrotum icchāmi tattvena kathayasva tapodhana || 3:8 ||
   Vigatarāga spoke: I would like to hear about Dharma's wives truly and about
  each one of the sons born to them. Teach me, O great ascetic.
anarthayajña uvāca |
śraddhā lakṣmīr dhṛtis tuṣṭiḥ puṣṭir medhā kriyā lajjā |
buddhih śāntir vapuh kīrtih siddhih prasūtisambhavāh | 3:9 ||
   Anarthayajña spoke: [Dharma's wives are] [1] Śraddhā ('Faith'), [2] Lakṣmī
   ('Prosperity'), [3] Dhṛti ('Resolution'), [4] Tuṣṭi ('Satisfaction'), [5] Puṣṭi
   ('Growth'), [6] Medhā ('Wisdom'), [7] Kriyā ('Labour'), [8] Lajjā
   ('Modesty'), [9] Buddhi ('Intelligence'), [10] Śānti ('Tranquillity'), [11]
   Vapus ('Beauty'), [12] Kīrti ('Fame'), [13] Siddhi ('Success'), [all] born to
  Prasūti [Daksa's wife].
śraddhā kāmah suto jāto darpo lakṣmīsutaḥ smṛtaḥ |
dhrtyās tu niyamah putrah samtosas tustijah smrtah | 3:10 ||
  Śraddhā's son is Kāma ('Desire'). Darpa ('Pride') is said to be Lakṣmī's son.
  Dhṛti's son is Niyama ('Rule'). Saṃtoṣa ('Satisfaction') is Tuṣṭi's son.
pustyā lābhaḥ suto jāto medhāputraḥ śrutas tathā |
kriyāyās tv abhavat putro daṇḍaḥ samaya eva ca || 3:11 ||
```

'adjusted' equivalent, so to say, of babhūvuh).

3.8 I have emended *tebhyaḥ* to the correct feminine form *tābhyaḥ* because I suspect that it is only the result of some early confusion brought about by *putras*, although *tebhyaḥ* might be original. Note again the use of the singular (nominative) for the plural (accusative) in *pādas* ab. Alternatively, emend *dharmapatnī* to *dharmapatnīr* (plural accusative) and *putras* to *putrān* to make them work with *śrotum icchāmi*.

3.9 Note how *lajjā* in *pāda* b makes the line unumetrical.

For Dharma's thirteen wives and their sons, see, e.g., LinP 1.5.34–37 (note the similarity between the first line and VSS 3.6cd–7ab above): dharmasya patnyaḥ śraddhādyāḥ kīrtitā vai trayodaśa | tāsu dharmaprajām vakṣye yathākramam anuttamam || kāmo darpo 'tha niyamaḥ saṃtoṣo lobha eva ca | śrutas tu daṇḍaḥ samayo bodhaś caiva mahādyutiḥ || apramādaś ca vinayo vyavasāyo dvijottamāḥ | kṣemaṃ sukhaṃ yaśaś caiva dharmaputrāś ca tāsu vai || dharmasya vai kriyāyāṃ tu daṇḍaḥ samaya eva ca | apramādas tathā bodho buddher dharmasya tau sutau ||.

prasūtisambhavāḥ in pāda d is a rather bold conjecture that can be supported by two facts: firstly, the readings of the manuscripts are difficult to make sense of and thus are probably corrupt; secondly, a corruption from the name Prasūti, traditionally the name of Dakṣa's wife, to ābhūti is relatively easily to explain,  $s\bar{u}$  and  $bh\bar{u}$  being close enough in some scripts (e.g. in  $C_{94}$ ) to cause confusion. Another option would be to accept Ābhūti as the name of Dakṣa's wife. For Prasūti being Dakṣa's wife in other sources, see, e.g., LinP 1.5.20–21 (but also note the presence of the name Sambhūti): prasūtiḥ suṣuve dakṣāc caturviṃśatikanyakāḥ | śraddhām lakṣmīm dhṛtiṃ puṣṭiṃ tuṣṭiṃ medhāṃ kriyāṃ tathā || buddhi lajjāṃ vapuḥ śāntiṃ siddhiṃ kīrtiṃ mahātapāḥ | khyātiṃ sántiś ca saṃbhūtiṃ smṛṭiṃ prītiṃ kṣamāṃ tathā ||.

3.10 Understand śraddhā as a stem form noun for śraddhāyāh (gen./abl., cf. 3.11a). Alternatively, take śraddhā and suto as elements of a split compound, and understand śraddhāsuto jātaḥ kāmah.

To Puṣṭi was born a son [called] Lābha ('Profit'). Medhā's son is Śruta ('Sacred Knowledge'). Kriyā's sons are Daṇḍa ('Punishment') and Samaya ('Law').

lajjāyā vinayaḥ putro buddhyā bodhaḥ sutaḥ smṛtaḥ |
lajjāyāḥ sudhiyaḥ putra apramādaś ca tāv ubhau || 3:12 ||
Lajjā's son is Vinaya ('Discipline'), Buddhi's son is Bodha ('Intelligence').
Lajjā has two [more] sons: Sudhiya[/Sudhī] ('Wise') and Apramāda ('Cautiousness').

kṣemaḥ śāntisuto vindyād vyavasāyo vapoḥ sutaḥ | yaśaḥ kīrtisuto jñeyaḥ sukhaṃ siddher vyajāyata | svāyambhuve 'ntare tv āsan kīrtitā dharmasūnavaḥ || 3:13 ||

Kṣema ('Peace') is to be known as Śānti's son, Vyavasāya ('Resolution') is Vapus' son. Yaśas ('Fame') is Kīrti's son, Sukha ('Joy') was born to Siddhi. [This is how] the sons of Dharma in the [manvantara] era of Svāyambhuva [Manu] were known.

vigatarāga uvāca |

mūrtidvayam katham dharmam kathayasva tapodhana | kautūhalam atīvam me kartaya jñānasamśayam || 3:14 ||

Vigatarāga spoke: How come Dharma has two embodiments? Tell me, O great ascetic. I am extremely intrigued. Cut my doubts concerning [this] knowledge.

anarthayajña uvāca |

- 3.11 I have emended abhayaḥ to abhavat in pāda c, following the relevant line in the KūrmP cited above (kriyāyāś cābhavat putro daṇḍaḥ samaya eva ca) and also LinP 1.5.37 quoted in the apparatus to this verse, allotting only two sons to Kriyā. Thus I don't think that Kriyā is supposed to have a son called Abhaya ('Freedom from danger'; BhāgP 4.1.50ab claims that Dayā had a son called Abhaya: śraddhāsūta śubhaṃ maitrī prasādam abhayaṃ dayā). Nevertheless, in a number of sources Kriyā actually has three sons, see, e.g., ViṣṇuP 1.7.26ab, where they are named as Daṇḍa, Naya and Vinaya: medhā śrutam kriyā daṇḍaṃ nayaṃ vinayam eva ca. Perhaps read kriyāyās tu nayaḥ putro in pāda c? Compare VāyuP 1.10.34cd (kriyāyās tu nayaḥ prokto daṇḍaḥ samaya eva ca) with BrahmāṇḍaP 1.9.60ab (kriyāyās tanayau proktau damaś ca śama eva ca).
- 3.12 In a very similar passages in KūrmP 1.8.20 ff., Apramāda is Buddhi's son and Lajjā has only one son, Vinaya. In the above verse (VSS 3.12), *sudhiyah* (for *sudhīḥ*) may only be qualifying *apramāda*, thus Lajjā may have two sons: Vinaya and the wise Apramāda. Alternatively, *pādas* cd might be a extra line inserted accidentally.
- 3.13 Note that sukhaṃ in pāda d is probably meant to be masculine (sukhaḥ), but e.g. in the KūrmP passage quoted above it is also neuter. For the emendation in pāda e, see MatsP 9.2cd: yāmā nāma purā devā āsan svāyambhuvāntare, and BhāgP 6.4.1: devāsuranṛṇāṃ sargo nāgānāṃ mṛgapakṣiṇām | sāmāsikas tvayā prokto yas tu svāyambhuve 'ntare ||.
- 3.14 Note *dharma* as a neuter noun and the form *atīvaṃ* for *atīva* metri causa. My emendation from *kīrtaya* ('declare') to *kartaya* ('cut') was influenced by the combination of *chindhi* and *saṃśaya*, often with *kautūhala*, elsewhere in the VSS: 3.2ab: *kautūhalaṃ mamotpannaṃ saṃśayaṃ chindhi tattvataḥ*; 10.10cd: *kautūhalaṃ mahaj jātaṃ chindhi saṃśayakārakaṃ*; 15.2ab: *etat kautūhalaṃ chindhi saṃśayaṃ parameśvara*. The reading *kīrtaya* may have been the result of the influence of *kīrtitā* in 3.13b above (De Simini's observation).

śrutismṛtidvayor mūrtir dharmasya parikīrtitā | dārāgnihotrasambandham ijyā śrautasya lakṣaṇam | smārto varṇāśramācāro yamaiś ca niyamair yutaḥ || 3:15 ||

Anarthayajña spoke: Dharma's embodiment is said to consist of Śruti and Smṛti. The characteristics of the Śrauta [tradition] are an association with a wife [i.e. marriage] and with the fire ritual, and sacrifice. The Smārta [tradition] [focuses on] the conduct (ācāra) of the classes (varṇa) and life-stages (āśrama) which is connected to rules and regulations (yama-niyama).

[ yamaniyamabhedaḥ — Yama and Niyama rules ]

yamaś ca niyamaś caiva dvayor bhedam ataḥ śṛṇu | ahiṃsā satyam asteyam ānṛśaṃsyam damo ghṛṇā | dhanyāpramādo mādhuryam ārjavaṃ ca yamā daśa || 3:16 ||

Now hear the classification of both the *yama* and *niyama* rules. Non-violence, truthfulness, not stealing, absence of hostility, self-restraint, taboos, virtue, carefulness, charm, honesty: these are the ten *yamas*.

ekaikasya punah pañcabhedam āhur manīṣiṇaḥ | ahiṃsādi pravakṣyāmi śṛṇuṣvāvahito dvija || 3:17 ||

The wise say that there are five subclasses to each. I shall teach you about non-violence and the other [yama-rules]. Listen carefully, O twice-born.

[ yameṣv ahiṃṣā (1) — The first yama-rule: Non-violence ]

3.15 The reading  ${}^{\alpha}$ dvay $\bar{\imath}$  in  $K_7$  in  $p\bar{a}da$  a is attractive, but as Judit Törzsök has pointed out to me, it is more likely that the slightly less convincing but widespread variant  ${}^{\alpha}$ dvayor is original.

As for Dharma being based on *śruti* and *smṛti*, see, e.g. Manu 2.10: *śrutis tu vedo vijñeyo dharmaśāstram tu vai smṛtiḥ* | *te sarvārtheṣv amīmāṃsye tābhyāṃ dharmo hi nirbabhau* ||. In Olivelle's translation (Olivelle 2005, 94): "Scripture" should be recognized as "Veda," and "tradition" as "Law Treatise." These two should never be called into question in any matter, for it is from them that the Law shines forth.'

There may be a hiatus filler in pādas cd: "sambandha-m-ijyā for "sambandha ijyā.

To state that the Smārta tradition is connected to *yamas* and *niyamas* and the *āśramas* and then to discuss these at length (principally in chapters 3–8 and 11) can be seen as a clear self-identification with the Smārta tradition.

3.16 Pāda a should be understood as yamaniyamayoś caiva, but the author of this line may have tried to avoid the metrical fault of having two short syllables in the second and third positions. Note that this is the beginning of a long section in our text that describes the yama-niyama rules, reaching up to the end of chapter eight. The title given in the colophon of the next chapter, chapter four, namely yamavibhāga, would fit this locus better than the beginning of that chapter, which commences with a discussion on the second of the yamas, satya. Note how all witnesses read mād-būrya in pāda e instead of mādhurya. The former may have been acceptable originally in this text. Pāda e is a ma-vipulā.

3.17 In *pāda* a, *paāca* and *bheda* may be typeset as two separate words since the use of the singular after numbers is one of the hallmarks of the text (see CHECK).

[ pañcavidhā hiṃsā — Five types of violence ]

trāsanam tāḍanam bandho māraṇam vṛttināśanam

hiṃsāṃ pañcavidhām āhur munayas tattvadarśinaḥ || 3:18 ||

Frightening and beating [other people], tying [someone] up, killing and the destruction of [other people's] livelihood: violence is said by the wise who see the truth to be of [these] five types.

kāṣṭhaloṣṭakaśādyais tu tāḍayantīha nirdayāḥ | tatprahāravibhinnāṅgo mṛtavadhyam avāpnuyāt || 3:19 ||

Cruel people beat [other people] with sticks, clods of earth [understand: they stone them], with whips and other [objects] in the everyday world. Their bodies broken by the same blows, they receive the capital punishment.

baddhvā pādau bhujoraś ca śirorukkanthapāśitāḥ | anāhatā mriyanty evam vadho bandhanajaḥ smṛtaḥ || 3:20 ||

[Others,] tie up [people] at their feet and their arms and chests. [These,] hung by their hair and neck, die in this way without being wounded. This is the capital punishment for tying up [other people].

śatrucaurabhayair ghoraiḥ siṃhavyāghragajoragaiḥ | trāsanād vadham āpnoti anyair vāpi suduḥsahaiḥ || 3:21 ||

He who frightens [other people] with the terrible danger of enemies and thieves, with lions, tigers, elephants or snakes, or by other horrors, will be executed.

yasya yasya hared vittaṃ tasya tasya vadhaḥ smṛtaḥ | vṛttijīvābhibhūtānāṃ taddvārā nihataḥ smṛtaḥ || 3:22 ||

He who robs somebody's money is to be punished by the same person. He is [to be] struck down by those whose livelihood got damaged by him.

viṣavahniśaraśastrair māyāyogabalena vā | hiṃsakāny āhu viprendra munayas tattvadarśinaḥ || 3:23 ||

[Those who kill other people] with poison, fire, arrows, swords, or by the

3.19 Note the use of the singular in *pādas* cd referring back to the agents of the previous sentence. Most probably, "*vadhyam* is to be understand as "*vadham* and the form *vadhyam* serves only to avoid two *laghu* syllables in *pāda* d.

3.20 Understand bhujoras ca in pāda a as bhuje, urasi ca, in this case with an instance of double sandhi, and in stem form: bhuje urasi ca  $\rightarrow$  bhuja urasi ca  $\rightarrow$  bhujorasi ca  $\rightarrow$  bhujoras ca. Alternatively, understand it as a compound (bhujorasi). In pāda b, my emendation is only one of the possible interpretations. We might accept siroru° as consisting of sira +  $\bar{u}$ ru ('head and thigh'), or emend it to sirorab° for sira + urab ('head and chest'). Also note my conjecture in  $p\bar{a}$ da d, without which this  $p\bar{a}$ da is difficult to interpret.

3.22 Understand *vadhaḥ* in *pāda* b as *vadhyaḥ* metri causa. My translation of the second line of this verse reflects a conjecture (*taddvārā*) understood as connected to both *pāda* c and *nihataḥ* in *pāda* d.

force of magic or yoga are called murderers by the sages who see the truth, O great Brahmin.

[ ahiṃsāpraśaṃsā — Praise of non-violence ]

ahiṃsā paramaṃ dharmaṃ yas tyajet sa durātmavān | kleśāyāsavinirmuktaṃ sarvadharmaphalapradam || 3:24 ||

Non-violence is the highest Dharma. He who abandons it is a wicked person. It is free of pain and trouble, it yields the fruits of all [other] Dharmic teachings [in itself].

nātaḥ parataro mūrkho nātaḥ parataraṃ tamaḥ | nātaḥ parataraṃ duḥkhaṃ nātaḥ parataro 'yaśaḥ || 3:25 ||

There isn't a bigger fool than he [who abandons it]. There is no bigger mental darkness [than the abandonment of non-violence]. There is no greater suffering or greater infamy.

nātaḥ parataraṃ pāpaṃ nātaḥ parataraṃ viṣam | nātaḥ paratarāvidyā nātaḥ param tapodhana || 3:26 ||

There is no greater sin or a more effective poison. There is no greater ignorance, there is nothing worse, O great ascetic.

yo hinasti na bhūtāni udbhijjādi caturvidham | sa bhavet puruṣaḥ śreṣṭhaḥ sarvabhūtadayānvitaḥ || 3:27 ||

He who does not harm the four types of living beings beginning with plants is the best person, having compassion for all creatures.

sarvabhūtadayāṃ nityaṃ yaḥ karoti sa paṇḍitaḥ | sa yajvā sa tapasvī ca sa dātā sa dṛḍhavrataḥ || 3:28 ||

He who always has compassion for all creatures is the [true] Pandit. He is the [true] sacrificer, the [true] ascetic, he is the donor, the one with a firm vow.

ahiṃsā paramaṃ tīrtham ahiṃsā paramaṃ tapaḥ | ahiṃsā paramaṃ dānam ahiṃsā paramaṃ sukham || 3:29 ||

- 3.23 Pāda a is unmetrical. Note how elliptical this verse is and that *hiṃsakāni* is neuter although it refers to people, perhaps implying *bhūtāni*. Alternatively, take y in *hiṃsakāny* as a rather unusual sandhi-bridge (*hiṃsakān-y-āhu*), or simply delete this y. Note also that *āhu* stands for *āhur* metri causa.
- 3.24 Note dharma as a neuter noun in  $p\bar{a}da$  a and that  ${}^{\circ}vinirmuktam$  and  ${}^{\circ}pradam$  are neuter accordingly.
- 3.25 Note that *parataro* is masculine in *pāda* d, picking up a neuter *'yaśaḥ*. This phenomenon is probably the result of *'yaśaḥ* resembling a masculine noun ending in *-aḥ* and also of the metrical problem with a grammatically correct *nātaḥ parataram ayaśaḥ*.
- 3.26 Pāda d (nātah param tapodhana) is slightly suspect. The vocative tapodhana usually refers to Anarthayajña in these passages, and not to Vigatarāga, as here. The text may have read nātah paratamo 'dhanaḥ ('There is no bigger loss of wealth') or possibly something starting with nātah param tapo ... ('There is no greater... of austerity').

Non-violence is the supreme pilgrimage place. Non-violence is the highest austerity. Non-violence is the highest donation. Non-violence is the highest joy.

ahiṃsā paramo yajñaḥ ahiṃsā paramaṃ vratam | ahiṃsā paramaṃ jñānam ahiṃsā paramā kriyā || 3:30 ||

Non-violence is the supreme sacrifice. Non-violence is the supreme religious observance. Non-violence is supreme knowledge. Non-violence is the supreme ritual.

ahiṃsā paramaṃ śaucam ahiṃsā paramo damaḥ | ahiṃsā paramo lābhaḥ ahiṃsā paramaṃ yaśaḥ || 3:31 ||

Non-violence is the highest purity. Non-violence is the highest self-restraint. Non-violence is the highest profit. Non-violence is the greatest fame.

ahiṃsā paramo dharmaḥ ahiṃsā paramā gatiḥ | ahiṃsā paramaṃ brahma ahiṃsā paramaḥ śivaḥ || 3:32 ||

Non-violence is the supreme Dharma. Non-violence is the supreme path. Non-violence is the supreme Brahman. Non-violence is supreme Śiva.

[ māṃsāhāraḥ — On meet-consumption ]

māṃsāśanān nivarteta manasāpi na kāṅkṣayet | sa mahat phalam āpnoti yas tu māṃsaṃ vivarjayet || 3:33 ||

One should refrain from meat-consumption. One should not even desire it mentally. He who abandons meat will receive a great reward.

svamāṃsaṃ paramāṃsena yo vardhayitum icchati | anabhyarcya pitṛn devān na tato 'nyo 'sti pāpakṛt || 3:34 ||

He who wishes to nourish his own flesh with the flesh of other [beings], outside of worshipping the ancestors and the gods, is the biggest sinner of all.

madhuparke ca yajñe ca pitṛdaivatakarmaṇi | atraiva paśavo hiṃsyā nānyatra manur abravīt || 3:35 ||

During the *madhuparka* offering and during a sacrifice, during rituals for the ancestors and the gods: only in these cases are animals to be slaughtered and not in any other case. [This is what] Manu taught.

krītvā svayaṃ vāpy utpādya paropahṛtam eva vā | devān pitṛṃś cārcayitvā khādan māṃsaṃ na doṣabhāk || 3:36 ||

Should he buy it or procure it himself or should it be offered by others, if he eats meat, he will not sin if he first worships the gods and the ancestors.

vedayajñatapastīrthadānaśīlakriyāvrataiḥ | māṃsāhāranivṛttānāṃ ṣoḍaśāṃśaṃ na pūryate || 3:37 ||

3.34 See UUMS chapter two for a similar section on meat-consumption.

[People who know] the Vedas and [perform] sacrifices and austerities and [visit] sacred places, donate, [are of] good conduct, [perform] rituals and [keep] religious vows [but eat meat] will not [be able to] enjoy even a tiny portion of [such rewards that] [those] people [receive] who have given up meat.

```
mṛgāḥ parṇatṛṇāhārād ajameṣagavādibhiḥ | sukhino balavantaś ca vicaranti mahītale || 3:38 ||
```

The deer and the goats, the sheep, the cows and other [animals] wander in the world happily and in great strength [just] from eating leaves and grass.

```
vānarāḥ phala-m-āhārā rākṣasā rudhirapriyāḥ |
nihatā rākṣasāḥ sarve vānaraiḥ phalabhojibhiḥ || 3:39 ||
```

Monkeys eat fruits, Rākṣasas prefer blood. The fruit-eating monkeys defeated all the Rākṣasas.

```
tasmān māṃsaṃ na hīheta balakāmena bho dvija | balena ca guṇākarṣāt parato bhayabhīruṇā || 3:40 ||
```

Therefore one should not crave meat in the hope of gaining strength, O Brahmin, in order to be able to draw a bow with force, or out of fear of the danger coming from the enemy.

```
ahiṃsakasamo nāsti dānayajñasamīhayā |
iha loke yaśaḥ kīrtiḥ paratra ca parā gatiḥ || 3:41 ||
```

By wishing to make donations and perform sacrifices no one will become comparable to someone who refrains from violence. [He will have] fame and glory in this world and the supreme path in the other.

```
trailokyaṃ maṇiratnapūrṇam akhilaṃ dattvottame brāhmaṇe
koṭīyajñasahasrapadmam ayutaṃ dattvā mahīṃ dakṣiṇām |
tīrthānāṃ ca sahasrakoṭiniyutaṃ snātvā sakṛn mānavaḥ
etatpuṇyaphalam ahiṃsakajanaḥ prāpnoti niḥsaṃśayaḥ || 3:42 ||
A person who refrains from violence will gain, no doubt about it, the [same]
```

Note the variant °dharma ° in both  $C_{02}$  and E in  $p\bar{a}da$  b.

<sup>3.37</sup> See a similarly phrased comparison in Manu 2.86: ye pākayajñās catvāro vidhiyajňasamanvitāh | sarve te japayajňasya kalām nārhanti ṣoḍasīm ||.

<sup>3.39</sup> Understand *phalam āhārā* as *phalāhārā* (-m- is a sandhi-bridge). This verse clearly refers to the story of the  $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$ .

<sup>3.40</sup> guṇākāśāt in pāda c is difficult to interpret and guṇākarṣāt is a conjecture by Judit Törzsök which fits the context well, although the polysemy of guṇa may allow for other solutions. Verses 3.40–42 may be echoing BrahmāṇḍaP 216.64–66: māṃsān miṣṭataraṃ nāsti bhakṣyabho-jyādikeṣu ca | tasmān māṃsaṃ na bhuñṣīta nāsti miṣṭaiḥ sukhodayaḥ || gosahasraṃ tu yo dadyād yas tu māṃsaṃ na bhakṣayet | samāv etau purā prāha brahmā vedavidāṃ varaḥ || sarvatīrtheṣu yat puṇyaṃ sarvayajñeṣu yat phalam | amāṃsabhakṣaṇe viprās tac ca tac ca ca tatsamam ||.

<sup>3.41</sup> Pādas ab are reminescent of ŚDhŚ 11.92: ahimsaikā paro dharmaḥ śaktānāṃ parikīrtitam | aśaktānām ayaṃ dharmo dānayajñādipūrvakaḥ ||. On this verse see also Bisschop, Kafle, & Lubin 2021, 15–16.

#### Translation of chapter 1

meritorious rewards that others would get by donating the three worlds filled with jewels and gems in their entirety to an excellent Brahmin, by [performing] a thousand [times] ten trillion (padma) [times] ten thousand (ayuta) koṭīyajña sacrifices, by donating the earth [to a priest] as sacrificial fee, and by bathing [at] a thousand times ten million times a million (niyuta) sacred places at once.

|| iti vṛṣasārasaṃgrahe ahiṃsāpraśaṃsā nāmādhyāyas tṛtīyaḥ || Here ends the third chapter in the *Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha* called the Praise of Non-violence.

 $3.42\,\mathrm{Metre}$ :  $5\bar{a}rd\bar{u}lavikr\bar{\iota}dita$ . On padma meaning 'ten trillion', and on other words for numbers, see 1.32-35.

kotīyajña in pāda d may refer to a special kind of sacrifice, mostly known as koṭihoma in the Purāṇas and in inscriptions (see, e.g., Fleming 2010, and 2013) It probably involves a hundred fire-pits and a hundred times one thousand Brahmins (hence the name 'the ten-million sacrifice'). See, e.g., BhavP uttaraparvan 4.142.54–58: śatānano daśamukho dvimukhaikamukhas tathā | caturvidho mahārāja koṭihomo vidhīyate || kāryasya gurutām jñātvā naiva kuryād aparvaṇi | yathā saṃkṣcpataḥ kāryaḥ koṭihomas tathā śṛṇu || kṛtvā kuṇḍaśataṃ divyam yathoktam hastasaṃmitam | ekaikasmiṃs tataḥ kuṇḍe śataṃ viprān niyojayet || sadyaḥ pakṣe tu viprāṇām sahasraṃ parikīrtitam | ekasthānapraṇīte ʾgṇau sarvataḥ paribhāvite || homaṃ kuryur dvijāḥ sarve kuṇḍe kuṇḍe yathoditam | yathā kuṇḍabahutve ʾpi rājasūye mahākratau ||

Note that the second syllable of *phalam* in  $p\bar{a}da$  d is treated as long: this happens often at word-boundaries in this text; and note how  $K_7$  aims to restore the metre by inserting tv after its *phalam*.

## [ caturtho 'dhyāyaḥ ] [ Chapter Four ]

[ yameṣu satyam (2) — The second yama-rule: Truthfulness ]

anarthayajña uvāca |

sadbhāvaḥ satyam ity āhur dṛṣṭapratyayam eva vā |

yathābhūtārthakathanam tat satyakathanam smṛtam  $\parallel 4:1 \parallel$ 

Anarthayajña spoke: The state of being real (sad-bhāva) is called truth (sat-ya). Alternatively, it is also a certainty (pratyaya) that originates in perception (dṛṣṭa). Relating things in a way that corresponds to reality is called 'speaking the truth.'

ākrośatādanādīni yah saheta suduḥsaham |

kṣamate yo jitātmā tu sa ca satyam udāhṛtam || 4:2 ||

He who endures severe abuse and beating etc. but keeps quiet, his self being conquered, is said to be [an example of] truth[fulness].

vadhārtham udyataḥ śastraṃ yadi pṛccheta karhicit |

na tatra satyam vaktavyam anṛtam satyam ucyate || 4:3 ||

If one is being interrogated at any time with a sword lifted to strike him down, in this case the truth is not to be spoken, and a lie is can be called truth.

vadhārhaḥ puruṣaḥ kaścid vrajet pathi bhayāturaḥ |

prcchato 'pi na vaktavyam satyam tad vāpi ucyate || 4:4 ||

A person who is walking on the road and is afraid of being killed CHECKshould not reply [to people who are potentially dangerous] even if they ask him. This is also called truth[fulness].

na narmayuktam anṛtam hinasti

na strīșu rājan na vivāhakāle |

prāṇātyaye sarvadhanāpahāre

pañcānṛtaṃ satyam udāharanti || 4:5 ||

A lie does not hurt when it is connected with joking, with women, O king, at the time of marriage, at the departure from life and when one's entire wealth is about to be taken away. They call these five kinds of lies truths.

- 4.1 Although the rather similar line in the ŚDhŚ (11.105cd: yathābhūtārthakathanam ity etat satyalakṣaṇam) makes it tempting to emend satyakathanam to satyalakṣaṇam in pāda d, I rather take this verse to introduce two views on truth: one philosophical, and one ordinary that relates to the moral question of truthfulness.
- 4.2 suduḥsaham (singular) in pāda b picks up °ādīni (plural) in pāda a. The -m in satyam may be a sandhi-bridge and the phrase may refer to a masculine subject thus: sa ca satya-m-udāhṛtaḥ.
  - 4.3 Understand udyatal (nom.) in an active sense ('holding/lifting').
- 4.5 This *upajāti* verse appears in countless sources, beginning with the MBh (see the apparatus). All versions contain a vocative addressing a king, which is out of context in the VSS, the

devamānuṣatiryeṣu satyaṃ dharmaḥ paro yataḥ |
satyaṃ śreṣṭḥaṃ variṣṭhaṃ ca satyaṃ dharmaḥ sanātanaḥ || 4:6 ||
Since truth is the supreme Dharma in [the world of] gods, humans and animals, truth is the best, the most preferable. Truth is the eternal Dharma.

satyam sāgaram avyaktaṃ satyam akṣayabhogadam | satyam potaḥ paratrārthaṃ satyaṃ panthāna vistaram || 4:7 ||

Truth is an unmanifest ocean. Truth yields imperishable pleasures. Truth is the ship that carries you to the other world. Truth is the wide path.

satyam iṣṭagatiḥ proktaṃ satyaṃ yajñam anuttamam | satyaṃ tīrthaṃ paraṃ tīrthaṃ satyaṃ dānam anantakam || 4:8 ||

Truth is said to be the desired path. Truth is the supreme sacrifice. Truth is a pilgrimage place, a supreme pilgrimage place. Truth is an endless donation.

satyaṃ śīlaṃ tapo jñānaṃ satyaṃ śaucaṃ damaḥ śamaḥ | satyaṃ sopānam ūrdhvasya satyaṃ kīrtir yaśaḥ sukham || 4:9 ||

Truth is morality, austerity, knowledge. Truth is purity, self-control and tranquillity. Truth is the ladder upwards. Truth is fame and glory and happiness.

aśvamedhasahasraṃ ca satyaṃ ca tulayā dhṛtam | aśvamedhasahasrād dhi satyam eva viśiṣyate || 4:10 ||

[When] a thousand Aśvamedha sacrifices and truth are measured on a pair of scales, truth indeed surpasses a thousand Aśvamedha sacrifices.

satyena tapate sūryaḥ satyena pṛthivī sthitā | satyena vāyavo vānti satye toyaṃ ca śītalam || 4:11 ||

The Sun shines because of truth. The Earth stays in place by truth. The winds blow because of truth. Water is cooling through truth.

tiṣṭhanti sāgarāḥ satye samayena priyavrataḥ | satye tiṣṭhati govindo balibandhanakāraṇāt || 4:12 ||

The oceans exist by the truthful encounter with Priyavrata. Govinda abides in truth because He [as Vāmana] stopped [Mahā] Bali [in spite of the fact that

addressee being Vigatarāga, i.e. Viṣṇu diguised as a Brahmin. The redactors did not notice or did not care about this small inconsistency. Note the metrical licence that allows the last syllable of "yuktam" to count as long. The same reading with anṛtaṃ can be found in the apparatus in the MBh critical edition.

4.7 Pāda d is slightly problematic because it is difficult to ascertain if some of the MSS actually read panthāna or pasthāna (or yasthāna). I suspect that panthāna is a stem form noun formed (metri causa) to stand for an irregular nominative of pathin.

4.8 The repetition of *tīrtham* in *pāda* c is sightly suspect. Cf., e.g., MatsP 22.79ab: *satyam tīrtham dayā tīrtham tīrtham indriyanigrahah*.

4.9 Looking at the similar line in the VarP (193.36cd, see the apparatus), one wonders if the slightly odd  $\bar{u}rdhvasya$  in  $p\bar{a}da$  c is not a corrupt form of svargasya.

4.11 Here and several times below, satye is probably to be taken as standing for satyena.

this was achieved by a trick].

```
agnir dahati satyena satyena śaśinā caraḥ |
satyena vindhyās tiṣṭhanti vardhamāno na vardhate || 4:13 ||
```

Fire burns with truth. The Moon rises by truth. It is because of truth that the Vindhya mountain stands in place and that although is was growing it is not growing [anymore].

lokālokaḥ sthitaḥ satye meruḥ satye pratiṣṭhitaḥ | vedās tiṣṭhanti satyeṣu dharmaḥ satye pratiṣṭhati || 4:14 ||

4.12 *Pāda* b, *samayena priyavrataḥ*, probably stand for *samayena priyavratasya* although it is unclear to me what exactly *samaya* refers to here.

For the story of Priyavrata, Manu's son, in which he wanted to turn nights into days by circling aroung Mount Meru in a chariot, and by this produced the seven oceans, see, e.g., BhāgP 5.1.30–31: yāvad avabhāsayati suragirim anuparikrāman bhagavān ādityo vasudhātalam ardhenaiva pratapaty ardhenāvacchādayati, tadā hi [priyavratah] bhagavadupāsanopacitātipuruṣaprabhāvas tad anabhinandan samajavena rathena jyotirmayena rajanīm api dinam kariṣyāmīti saptakṛtvas taraṇim anuparyakrāmad dvitīya iva pataṅgah | ye vā u ha tadrathacaraṇanemikṛtaparikhātās te sapta sindhava āsan yata eva kṛtāḥ sapta bhuvo dvīpāḥ |.

Pādas cd: for a somewhat similar reference to the story of Mahābali, see, e.g., VarP 65.66: evam purā cakradhareṇa viṣṇunā baddho balir vāmanarūpadhāriṇā | śakrapriyārthaṃ surakāryasiddhaye hitāya viprarṣabhagodvijānām ||

4.13 Since śaśi (instead of śaśin) is a possible stem in this text, śaśir ācaraḥ could be acceptable here in  $p\bar{a}da$  b (see  $K_{82}K_{10}K_7$ ), perhaps standing for śaśinaś caraṇam or śaśiś carati. My conjecture (śaśinā caraḥ) could stand for śaśinā/śaśinaś cāraḥ metri causa. Other possibilities, suggested by colleagues, include śaśibhāskaraḥ, śaśigocaraḥ and śiśirāmbhasaḥ.

Pādas cd refer to the story of Agastya and the Vindhya mountain: Vindhya became jealous of the Sun's revolving around Mount Meru and when the Sun refused him the same favour, he decided to grow higher and obstruct the Sun's movement. As a solution to this situation, Agastya asked Vidhya to bend down to make it easier for him to reach the south and to remain thus until he retured. Vindhya agreed to do what Agastya asked him but Agastya never returned. See MBh 3.102.1-14 (see in the word samaya in verse 13 and compare it to VSS 4.12b): yudhisthira uvāca | kimarthaṃ sahasā vindhyaḥ pravṛddhaḥ krodhamūrchitaḥ | etad icchāmy ahaṃ śrotuṃ vistareṇa mahāmune || lomaśa uvāca | adrirājaṃ mahāśailaṃ meruṃ kanakaparvatam | udayāstamaye bhānuḥ pradakṣiṇam avartata || taṃ tu dṛṣṭvā tathā vindhyaḥ śailaḥ sūryam athābravīt | yathā hi merur bhavatā nityaśaḥ parigamyate || pradakṣiṇaṃ ca kriyate mām evaṃ kuru bhāskara evam uktas tatah sūryah śailendram pratyabhāṣata || nāham ātmecchayā śaila karomy enam pradakṣiṇam | eṣa mārgaḥ pradiṣṭo me yenedaṃ nirmitaṃ jagat || evam uktas tataḥ krodhāt pravṛddhah sahasācalah | sūryācandramasor mārgam roddhum icchan paramtapa || tato devāh sahitāh sarva eva; sendrāḥ samāgamya mahādrirājam | nivārayām āsur upāyatas tam; na ca sma tesām vacanam cakāra || athābhijagmur munim āśramastham; tapasvinam dharmabhṛtām variṣtham | agastyam atyadbhutavīryadīptaṃ; taṃ cārtham ūcuḥ sahitāḥ surās te || devā ūcuḥ | sūryācandramasor mārgam nakṣatrāṇām gatim tathā | śailarājo vṛṇoty eṣa vindhyaḥ krodhavaśānugaḥ || taṃ nivārayitum śakto nānyaḥ kaś cid dvijottama | ṛte tvāṃ hi mahābhāga tasmād enaṃ nivāraya || lomaśa uvāca | tac chrutvā vacanam vipraḥ surāṇāṃ śailam abhyagāt | so 'bhigamyābravīd vindhyaṃ sadāraḥ samupasthitaḥ || mārgam icchāmy aham dattam bhavatā parvatottama | dakṣiṇām abhigantāsmi diśaṃ kāryeṇa kena cit || yāvadāgamanaṃ mahyaṃ tāvat tvaṃ pratipālaya | nivṛtte mayi sailendra tato vardhasva kāmataḥ || evaṃ sa samayaṃ kṛtvā vindhyenāmitrakarsana | adyāpi dakṣiṇād deśād vāruṇir na nivartate || etat te sarvam ākhyātaṃ yathā vindhyo na vardhate | agastyasya prabhāvena yan mām tvam paripṛcchasi ||.

The [mythical] Lokāloka mountains are located in truth. Mount Meru stands by truth. The Vedas abide in truth. Dharma is rooted in truth.

satyam gauḥ kṣarate kṣīram satyam kṣīre ghṛtam sthitam | satye jīvaḥ sthito dehe satyam jīvaḥ sanātanaḥ || 4:15 ||

The milk a cow yields is truth. Ghee in milk is present as truth. The soul dwells in the body in truth. The eternal soul is truth.

satyam ekena samprāpto dharmasādhananiścayaḥ | rāmarāghavavīryeṇa satyam ekam surakṣitam || 4:16 ||

If truth is obtained by somebody (*ekena*), he/she will be one for whom Dharma is surely accomplished. By the heroism of Rāma Rāghava, the only truth was well-guarded.

evaṃ satyavidhānasya kīrtitaṃ tava suvrata | sarvalokahitārthāya kim anyac chrotum icchasi || 4:17 ||

Thus have [I] taught the rules of truth to you, O virtuous one, to favour the whole world. What else do you wish to hear?

[ yameṣv asteyam (3) — The third yama-rule: Refraining from stealing ]

vigatarāga uvāca |

na hi tṛptim vijānāmi śrutvā dharmam tavāpy aham | upariṣṭād ato bhūyaḥ kathayasva tapodhana || 4:18 ||

Vigatarāga spoke: I can't have enough of learning about [this teaching of] your[s on] Dharma. Teach me further than this, O great ascetic.

anarthayajña uvāca |

steyaṃ śṛṇv atha viprendra pañcadhā parikīrtitam |
adattādānam ādau tu utkocaṃ ca tataḥ param |
prasthamājas tulāmājah prasahvastena pañcamam || (4.19)

prasthavyājas tulāvyājah prasahyasteya pañcamam ||  $4{:}19\,{||}$ 

Anarthayajña spoke: Now listen to [my teaching about] stealing, O great Brahmin, which is taught to be of five kinds. Firstly, [listen to] theft, then bribery, cheating with weights, cheating with scales, and the fifth kind, robbery.

dhṛṣṭaduṣṭaprabhāvena paradravyāpakarṣaṇam | vāryamāṇāpi durbuddhir adattādānam ucyate || 4:20 ||

When somebody's wealth is taken away by an impudent and wicked person is called theft. It is a foolish thought even if suppressed.

- 4.15 satye in pāda c may stand for satyam: 'The soul dwells in the body as truth.'
- 4.16 Or: 'If truth alone (ekena) is obtained, Dharma is surely accomplished.'
- 4.18 It is not inconceivable that *tava* is meant to carry the sense of the ablative, as Kenji Takahashi has suggested to me: 'I can't have enough of learning about Dharma from you.'
  - 4.19 'Theft' (adattādāna): literally 'taking what has not been given.'
  - 4.20 My impression is that prabhāva in pāda a stands for bhāva, duṣṭabhāva ('vicious') be-

```
utkocaṃ śṛṇu viprendra dharmasaṃkarakārakam |
mūlyaṃ kāryavināśārtham utkocaḥ parigṛhyate |
tena cāsau vijānīyād dravyalobhabalāt kṛtam || 4:21 ||
```

O great Brahmin, listen to bribery, which defiles Dharma. A sum of money taken in order to exempt somebody from a duty is a bribe. Therefore this [also] should be considered as such [i.e. as stealing because] it is committed out of greed for material goods.

```
prasthavyāja-upāyena kuṭumbaṃ trātum icchati |
taṃ ca stenaṃ vijānīyāt paradravyāpahārakam || 4:22 ||
```

[Even if] somebody wants to protect a family by the method of cheating with weights, that person should be considered a thief, because he takes away other people's wealth.

```
tulāvyāja-upāyena parasvārthaṃ hared yadi |
cauralakṣaṇakāś cānye kūṭakāpaṭikā narāḥ || 4:23 ||
```

[The case is similar] if somebody takes away somebody else's belongings by the method of cheating with scales. Other people, deceitful swindlers  $(k\bar{u}ta-k\bar{a}patika)$  share the characteristics of thieves.

```
durbalārjavabāleṣu cchadmanā vā balena vā |
apahṛṭya dhanam mūḍhaḥ sa cauraś cora ucyate || 4:24 ||
```

If someone, by deceit or by force, snatches away the wealth of weak and honest people and simpletons, that morally corrupt usurper is [simply] a thief.

```
nāsti steyasamam pāpam nāsty adharmas ca tatsamah |
nāsti stenasamākīrtir nāsti stenasamo 'nayah || 4:25 ||
```

There is no sin equal to stealing. There is no crime (*adharma*) equal to it. There is no ill-fame comparable to that of being a thief. There is no bad-conduct comparable to being a thief.

```
nāsti steyasamāvidyā nāsti stenasamaḥ khalaḥ |
nāsti stenasama ajño nāsti stenasamo 'lasaḥ || 4:26 ||
```

There is no greater ignorance than stealing. There are no bigger rouges than

ing a common expression. The implications of  $v\bar{a}ryam\bar{a}n\bar{o}$  in  $p\bar{a}da$  c are unclear to me, hence my emendation to  $v\bar{a}ryam\bar{a}n\bar{a}$ . My translation is thus tentative and still not satisfactory.

<sup>4.21</sup> Note that mūlyam in pāda c is a conjecture for mūla. It is partly based on a relevant passage in the Mitākṣara (ad Yājñavalkyasmṛti 2.176cd): paṇyasya krītadravyasya yan mūlyam dattam, bbṛtir vetanam kṛtakarmaṇe dattam... utkocena kāryapratibandhanirāsārtham adhikṛtebbyo dattam... Note asau in pāda e as an accusative form (for amum or adaḥ). It is not unlikely that tena is a corruption from stena, and the pāda may have originally read stenam taṃ ca vijānīyād ('he should be known as a thief'), or similar (cf. 4.22c below). M (f. 7r) reads tena steya vijānīyād here

<sup>4.23</sup> A line may have dropped out after *pāda* b, perhaps because a line similar to 4.22cd caused an eyeskip. Alternatively, this line may simply be elliptical.

<sup>4.24</sup> It is possible that *pāda* d read differently, e.g. sa coraś cora ucyate, meaning 'that thief is [rightly] called a thief'.

thieves. There is nobody as ignorant as a thief. There is not a lazy person who is comparable to a thief.

nāsti stenasamo dveṣyo nāsti stenasamo 'priyaḥ | nāsti steyasamam duḥkham nāsti steyasamo 'yaśaḥ || 4:27 ||

There is nobody as detestable as a thief. There is nobody disliked as much as a thief. There is no greater suffering than stealing. There is no greater disgrace than theft.

pracchanno hriyate 'rtham anyapuruṣaḥ pratyakṣam anyo haret nikṣepād dhanahārino 'nya-m-adhamo vyājena cānyo haret | anye lekhyavikalpanāhṛtadhanā †anyo hṛtād vai hṛt↠anyaḥ krītadhano 'paro dhayahṛta ete jaghanyāḥ smṛtāḥ || 4:28 || Some [thieves] take away [other people's] wealth in disguise, some in daylight. Other wicked people take money from deposits, and some

Some [thieves] take away [other people's] wealth in disguise, some in broad daylight. Other wicked people take money from deposits, and some people steal through fraud. Some gather wealth by forging documents, others steal from stolen money[?]. Some people's wealth is from purchased [children?] (*krīta*). Others take away others' inheritance[?]. These are considered the vilest.

stenatulya na mūḍham asti puruṣo dharmārthahīno 'dhamaḥ yāvaj jīvati śaṅkayā narapateḥ saṃtrasyamāno raṭan |
prāptaḥśāsana tīvrasahyaviṣamaṃ prāpnoti karmeritaḥ kālena mriyate sa yāti nirayam ākrandamāno bhṛśam || 4:29 ||
There isn't a bigger idiots than a thief, who is a wicked person without Dharma and Artha. As long as he lives, he trembles in fear of the king, wailing. Having received his punishment, he gets into severe and [in]tolerable difficulties, propelled by [his] karma. When his time comes, he dies and goes to hell, weeping vehemently.

4.26 Note the peculiar sandhi in *pāda* c (*'sama ajño*), which still leaves the *pāda* unmetrical. 4.27 Note how *stena* and *steya* are used interchangeably (or chaotically) in the above passages in the MSS to denote both 'thief' and 'theft/stealing'. The scribe of K<sub>7</sub> ends up writing *stenya* in 4.27e.

4.28 Metre śārdūlavikrīdita. It appears that hriyate in pāda a is to be taken as an active verb (harate). Note also how  $C_{45}$  and  $K_7$  read the same here against the other witnesses. Take "hariņo in pāda b as singular and m in 'nya-m-adhamo as a sandhi-bridge. Alternatively, read as plural: "hariņo 'nya adhamo... The second half of pāda c is difficult to reconstruct. The translation of pāda d is mostly guesswork. Tentatively, I take krīta as krītaka ('a purchased son', see Manu 9.174). dhayahṛta makes little sense to me. Florinda De Simini suggested that dhaya might stand for daya, which in turn may stand for dāya ('inheritance') metri causa. Lacking any better solution, I supplied these in my translation, marked with question marks. Note also the metrical licence that the last syllable of dhayahṛta counts as long.

4.29 For some time I was wondering if one should accept E's reading *stenastulya na mūdham asti* as a metri causa version of *stenatulyo na mūdho 'sti*; see a similar case of a nominative ending inside of compound in *pāda* c below. One major concern remained: the accepted reading would be of an edition that rarely emerges as the sole transmitter of the best reading. Another possible

nītvā durgatikoṭikalpa nirayāt tiryatvam āyānti te

tiryatve ca tathaivam ekaśatikam prabhramya varṣārbudam | mānuṣyam tad avāpnuvanti vipule dāridryarogākulam

tasmād durgatihetu karma sakalaṃ tyaktvā śivaṃ cāśrayet || 4:30 || Having spent ten million aeons of suffering, they emerge from hell to the state of animal existence. Thus, they roam about in animal existence for a hundred and one times ten million years. Then they reach the status of human existence on earth which is full of poverty and disease. Then abandoning all one's karmas, the causes of suffering, one seeks refuge in Śiva.

[ yameṣv ānṛśaṃsyam (4) — The fourth yama-rule: Absence of hostility ]

aṣṭamūrtiśivadveṣṭā pitur mātuś ca yo dviṣet |

gavāṃ vā atither dveṣṭā nṛśaṃsāḥ pañca eva te || 4:31 ||

The one who is hostile towards the eight-formed Śiva, he who hurts his mother or father, he who is hostile towards cows or guests: these are the five types of cruel people.

aṣṭamūrtiḥ śivaḥ sākṣāt pañcavyomasamanvitaḥ | sūryaḥ somaś ca dīkṣaś ca dūṣakaḥ sa nṛśaṃsakaḥ || 4:32 ||

Śiva in his manifest form (sākṣāt) is of eight forms, with the five elements (vyoman), the Sun, the Moon, and the sacrificer. [He who] disgraces [any of these] is a hostile person.

solution could be to emend to *stenaṃtulya*..., meaning 'There is no bigger foolishness than theft', but then the second part of *pāda* a is difficult to connect. In the end, I decided to to go for the most widely attested reading (*stenatulya*), which is unmetrical.

Understand *prāptahśāsana tīvrasahyaviṣamaṃ* in *pāda* c as *prāptaśāsanas tīvram asahyaṃ* ca viṣamaṃ prāpnoti. Alternatively, understand tīvrasahya° as duḥsahya° (suggested by Törzsök).

The actual reading of  $C_{94}$ ,  $pr\bar{a}ptas'$  (lost in the process of normalization and standing in contrast with that of all other MSS that read  $pr\bar{a}ptah$ ) may suggest a doubling of the s' of  $s\bar{a}sana$  metricausa (suggestion by Törzsök). More likely is that a licence of having a nominative ending inside of a compound is applied here, as may have been the case above in  $p\bar{a}da$  a (also remarked by Törzsök).

4.30 Note the stem form "kalpa for "kalpam metri causa. In pāda c, tathaivam, or tathaikam, and ekaśatikam are suspect. I understand vipule as vipulāyām, vipulā appearing in Amarakośa 2.1.7 as a synonym of dhātrī, 'earth' because it is difficult to interpret it otherwise. This is still problematic because both human and animal existence takes place on earth, thus, if tiryatva (i.e. tiryaktva) indeed means 'animal existence,' there is no contrast between pādas b and c as regards location. As for tiryaktva, see, e.g., Manu 12.40: devatvam sāttvikā yānti manusyatvam ca rājasāh | tiryaktvam tāmasā nityam ity eṣā trividhā gatiḥ || It is not unlikely that the original form of dāridryarogākulam was dāridryarogākule, picking up vipule. Note the switch from plural to singular in pāda d.

4.31 Note *pitur* and *mātur* used as accusative forms in *pāda* b, or alternatively understand: 'who are hateful towards their fathers and mothers'.

4.32 Törzsök has suggested emending sa nṛṣʿaṃṣakaḥ in pāda d to tannṛṃśakaḥ. I don't think that it is inevitably necessary. I think that pādas a-c form a list that is meant to be in the genitive, understanding ... ity eteṣāṃ dūṣakaḥ sa nṛṣʿaṃṣakaḥ or similar. This is clumsy but in a way that is more than possible within the style of this text.

I have not been able find any attestation of vyoman meaning the five elements. Perhaps it is

```
pitākāśasamo jñeyo janmotpattikarah pitā |
pitṛdaivata†m ādiś cam ānṛśaṃsa tamanvitaḥ† || 4:33 ||
```

The father is to be considered similar to the [element] sky, he is the cause of one's birth. One should not be hostile to the forefathers, gods...[?].

```
pṛthvyā gurutarī mātā ko na vandeta mātaram |
yajñadānatapovedās tena sarvaṃ kṛtaṃ bhavet || 4:34 ||
```

The mother is more venerable than the earth. Who would not praise a mother? By that [praise], sacrifices, donations, austerities and [the study of] the Vedas, all will be completed.

```
gāvaḥ pavitraṃ maṅgalyaṃ devatānāṃ ca devatāḥ |
sarvadevamayā gāvas tasmād eva na hiṃsayet || 4:35 ||
```

Cows are an auspicious blessing, they are the gods of the gods. Cows contain in themselves all the gods. That is exactly why one should not hurt them.

```
jātamātrasya lokasya gāvas trātā na saṃśayaḥ |
ghṛtaṃ kṣīraṃ dadhi mūtraṃ śakṛtkarṣaṇam eva ca || 4:36 ||
```

Cows are the protectors of the world as if the world were their new-born [calf], there is no doubt about it. Collecting [the five products of the cow, the pañcagavya,] ghee, milk, curd, and [the cow's] urine and dung [is auspicious].

```
pañcāmṛtam pañcapavitrapūtaṃ
ye pañcagavyaṃ puruṣāḥ pibanti |
te vājimedhasya phalaṃ labhanti
tad akṣayaṃ svargam avāpnuvanti || 4:37 ||
```

People who drink the five products of the cow, the five nectars, purified by the

meant to mean vyomādi ('the atmosphere/sky and the other four elements').

For Śiva of eight forms, see, e.g., Śakuntalā 1.1: yā sṛṣṭiḥ sraṣṭur ādyā [1] vahati vidhihutam yā havir [2] yā ca hotrī [3] ye dve kālam vidhattaḥ [4,5] śruti-viṣaya-guṇā yā [6] sthitā vyāpya viśvam | yām āhuḥ sarva-bīja-prakṛtir [7] iti yayā prāṇinaḥ prāṇavantaḥ [8] pratyakṣābhiḥ prapannas tanubhir avatu vas tābhir aṣṭābhir īśaḥ ||. The eight mūrtis, or rather, tanus, here are: [1] jala [2] agni [3] yajamāna [4,5] sūrya + candra [6] ākāśa [7] bhūmi [8] vāyu.

For a similar interpretation of aṣṭamūrti, see, e.g., Īsānaśivagurudevapaddhati 2.29.34 (mantrapāda; note yajamāna for our dīkṣa): kṣmā-vahni-yajamānārka-jala-vāyv-indu-puṣkaraiḥ | aṣṭābhir mūrtibhih sambhor dvitīyāvaraṇaṃ smṛtam || (For puṣkara as 'sky, atmosphere', see, e.g., Amarakośa 1.2.167: dyodivau dve striyām abhraṃ vyoma puṣkaram ambaram.)

A closely related Aṣṭamūrti-hymn appears in Niśvāsa mukha 1.30–41 (I owe thanks to Nirajan Kafle for drawing my attention to this); see Kafle 2019, 62, 63, 116, 119. Kafle notes that this hymn is closely parallel to some passages in the Prayogamañjarī (1.19–26), the Tantrasamuccaya (1.16–23), and the Īśānaśivagurudevapaddhati (kriyāpāda 26.56–63). See also TAK I s.v. aṣṭamūrti.

4.33 It is difficult to restore *pādas* cd, although the general meaning of this line is predictable. Some questions remain. Is *āditya* a good reading or is *mātṛ* hidden in *daivata-mādiśca*? Is *ānṛśaṃsa* right or was it *nṛśaṃsa* that was meant by the author of this line? Does *tamanvitaḥ* (or *tamānvitaḥ*) has anything to do with *tamas* ('darkness')?

4.36 The use of karsaṇa in pāda d, most probably in the sense of 'collecting,' is slightly odd.

five Pavitras, will obtain the fruits of a horse sacrifice, and then reach the undecaying heavens.

gobhir na tulyaṃ dhanam asti kiṃcid duhyanti vāhyanti bahiś caranti | tṛṇāni bhuktvā amṛtaṃ sravanti vipreṣu dattāḥ kulam uddharanti || 4:38 ||

There is no wealth comparable to [having] a cow. They yield milk, they draw [a plough etc.], they roam under the sky. Feeding on grass, they issue nectar. When given to Brahmins, they deliver the family [from saṃsāra or the suffering experienced in hell].

gavāhnikaṃ yaś ca karoti nityaṃ śuśrūṣaṇaṃ yaḥ kurute gavāṃ tu | aśeṣayajñatapadānapuṇyaṃ labhaty asau tām anṛśaṃsakartā || 4:39 ||

He who never fails to serve the cow daily [e.g. with a handful of grass], he who tends to the cows' service, he who is kind to her [i.e. to the cow], will obtain the merits of all sacrifices, austerities and donation.

atithiṃ yo 'nugaccheta atithiṃ yo 'numanyate | atithiṃ yo 'nupūjyeta atithiṃ yaḥ praśaṃsate || 4:40 ||

One who looks after a guest, one who respects a guest, one who worships a guest, one who praises a guest,

atithim yo na pīdyeta atithim yo na duṣyati | atithipriyakartā yaḥ atitheḥ paricārakaḥ | atitheḥ kṛtasaṃtoṣas tasya puṇyam anantakam || 4:41 ||

one who does not harm a guest, one who does not commit a fault towards a guest, one who keeps the guest happy, one who attends to the needs of a guest, one who makes a guest satisfied: his merits are endless.

āsanenārghapātreņa pādaśaucajalena ca |

- 4.37 The five Pavitras are most probably the five *brahmamantras*, see, e.g., TAK III s.v. *pavitra* 1.
- 4.38 Note that *duhyanti* and *vāhyanti* are supposed to be understood as passive, as in the similar verse in ŚDhU 12.92 (see apparatus).
- 4.39 Strictly speaking,  $p\bar{a}da$  c is unmetrical. The second syllable of tapa counts as long (see Intro CHECK). Although the accusative with " $kart\bar{a}$  in  $p\bar{a}da$  d is still not optimal, my emendation of tam to  $t\bar{a}m$  at least restores the metre and improves upon the meaning of the sentece. Alternatively, as suggested by Törzsök, tam could be understood as tad, picking up punyam in  $p\bar{a}da$  c, but in this way any reference to cows here is only implied.
- 4.40 Note the peculiar active verb forms *anugaccheta* and *anupūjyeta*. On this formation, see a remark about *Niśvāsa mūla* 2.8 in Goodall, Sanderson, & Isaacson 2015, 247: 'We have assumed that *pūjyeta* is intended to mean *pūjayet* and is perhaps a contraction of *pūjayeta*.'
  - 4.41 On the form *pīdyeta*, see previous note.

annavastrapradānair vā sarvam vāpi nivedayet || 4:42 ||

He should offer [the guest] a seat, a vessel with water-offering, and water for washing his feet, or gifts of food and clothes, or all [of these].

putradārātmanā vāpi yo 'tithim anupūjayet | śraddhayā cāvikalpena aklībamānasena ca || 4:43 ||

He who worships the guest by [offering him] his own son, wife or himself with willingness, without hesitation, and with a brave heart,

na prcched gotracaraṇaṃ svādhyāyaṃ deśajanmanī |

cintayen manasā bhaktyā dharmaḥ svayam ihāgataḥ || 4:44 ||

and does not ask [the guests about their] lineage, Vedic affiliation (*caraṇa*), studies, country or birth, and imagines mentally, with devotion, that it is Dharma himself who has arrived,

aśvamedhasahasrāṇi rājasūyaśatāni ca |

puṇḍarīkasahasraṃ ca sarvatīrthatapaḥphalam || 4:45 ||

[will obtain all the fruits of] thousands of Aśvamedha sacrifices and hundreds of Rājasūya sacrifices, a thousand Puṇḍarīka sacrifices and the fruit of [visiting] all the pilgrimage places and [performing] all the austerities;

atithir yasya tusyeta nṛśaṃsamatam utsṛjet |

sa tasya sakalam punyam prāpnuyān nātra saṃśayaḥ || 4:46 ||

he whose guest is satisfied [and] he who can abandon the sentiment of cruelty, will obtain all the merits of the above, there is no doubt about it.

†na gatim atithijñasya† gatim āpnoti karhicit | tasmād atithim āyāntam abhigacchet kṛtāñjaliḥ || 4:47 ||

... will ever reach the path. Therefore one should go up to the arriving guest with respectfully joined palms.

- 4.42 My conjecture in *pāda* a (*°pātreṇa* for *°pādyena*) was inspired by the fact that *pāda* b seems to awkwardly repeat what *°pādyena* in *pāda* a signifies. Other possibilities could include taking into account bathing (*snāna*) or an unguent (*abhyanga*).
- 4.43 For the requirement that one could part with his wife or son, or his own life, for the benefit of someone else, see VSS 2.38 and the narrative in VSS chapter 12 which tells about a Brahmin giving away his own wife to a guest; these influenced my decision to emend "ātmano to "ātmanā in pāda a. Note that in fact VSS 4.44cd below echoes verse 37cd in the above mentioned chapter 12: dvijarūpadharo dharmaḥ svayam eva ihāgataḥ.
- 4.46 The demonstrative pronoun tasya in pāda c may refer to the guest: 'he will obtain all his [i.e. the guest's] merits,' hinting at some sort of karmic exchange. Nevertheless, I think rather that tasya points to the merits one can obtain by the rituals listed in the previous verse. This is suggested by passages such as the following: MBh Supp. 13.14.379 ff.: ahany ahani yo dadyāt kapilām dvādašīh samāḥi | māsi māsi ca satreṇa yo yajeta sadā naraḥ || gavāṃ śatasahasram ca yo dadyāj jyeṣṭhapuṣkare | na taddharmaphalam tulyam atithir yasya tuṣyati || BrahmaVP 3.44–46: atithiḥ pūjito yena pūjitāḥ sarvadevatāḥ | atithir yasya saṃtuṣṭas tasya tuṣṭo hariḥ svayam || snānena sarvatīrtheṣu sarvadānena yat phalam | sarvavratopavāsena sarvayajūeṣu dīkṣayā || sarvais tapobhir vividhair nityair naimittikādibhiḥ | tad evātithisevāyāḥ kalāṃ nārhanti ṣoḍaśīm ||.
  - 4.47 Something has gone wrong with pādas ab and I am unable to reconstruct the meaning.

saktuprasthena caikena yajña āsīn mahādbhutaḥ | atithiprāptadānena svaśarīraṃ divaṃ gatam || 4:48 ||

By one *prastha* [a small unit of weight] of coarsely ground grains given to a guest, an extremely wonderful sacrifice was performed [so to say], and his body [i.e. the protagonist in his mortal form] reached heaven.

nakulena purādhītaṃ vistareṇa dvijottama | viditaṃ ca tvayā pūrvaṃ prasthavārttā ca kīrtitā || 4:49 ||

The mongoose related [this story in the *Mahābhārata*] in the past in detail, O great Brahmin, and you known it already. The story of the *prastha* is well-known.

[ yameṣu damaḥ (5) — The fifth yama-rule: Self-restraint ]

dama eva manusyāṇāṃ dharmasārasamuccayaḥ | damo dharmo damaḥ svargo damaḥ kīrtir damaḥ sukham || 4:50 ||

Self-restraint is in itself the collected essence of Dharma for humans. Self-restraint is Dharma, self-restraint is heaven, self-restraint is fame, self-restraint is happiness.

damo yajño damas tīrthaṃ damah puṇyaṃ damas tapah | damahīna-m-adharmas ca damah kāmakulapradah || 4:51 ||

Self-restraint is sacrifice, self-restraint is a pilgrimage-place, self-restraint is merit, self-restraint is religious austerity. If one has no self-restraint, one is a sinner (*adharma*), [while] self-restraint yields a multitude of desired objects.

nirdamaḥ kari mīnaś ca pataṅgabhramaramṛgāḥ | tvag jihvā ca tathā ghrāṇā cakṣuḥ śravaṇam indriyāḥ || 4:52 || The elephant, the fish, the moth, the bee and the deer are without

The line may have begun with something like *nāgatātithyavajňa*° ('he who despise a guest that has arrived will not...').

4.48 This verse is a reference to the story related by a mongoose in MBh 14.92-93: A Brahmin who practises the vow of gleaning ( $u\bar{n}cha$ ) and his family receive a guest. They feed the guest with the last morsels of the little food they have. In the end, the guest reveals that he is in fact Dharma (14.93.80cd) and as a reward the family departs to heaven. The noble act of the poor Brahmin and his family is depicted as yielding greater rewards than Yudhisthira's grandiose horse-sacrifice. (See some remarks on this story in Takahashi 2021.)

We would be forced to accept the reading of E in pāda d (saśarīro) if the expression were in the masculine (divam gataḥ). This would make sense and it would also echo expressions occuring, e.g., in the MBh: 3.164.33cd: paśya punyakṛtām lokān saśarīro divam vraja; 14.5.10cd: samjīvya kālam iṣṭam ca saśarīro divam gataḥ. It is tempting to emend accordingly, but instead I have retained svaśarīram divam gatam, and I interpret it in a general way.

4.51 I suspect that the final m in  $dhamah\bar{\imath}nam$  in  $p\bar{\imath}da$  c is a hiatus filler:  $dhamah\bar{\imath}na-m$ -adharmas ca.  $k\bar{\imath}am$ -akulapradah in  $p\bar{\imath}ada$  d is suspect, and my translation is unsatisfactory. This compound could be interpreted as 'fullfilling desires and giving a family' or it may have originally read  $sarvak\bar{\imath}am$ -apradah ('fullfilling all desires') or sam-kulak $\bar{\imath}am$ -apradah ('fullfilling the desires of the family'). sam-bh 4.28b reads  $sarvak\bar{\imath}am$ -asukhapradam, which opens up further possibilities.

self-restraint. The senses are the skin, the tongue, the nose, the eye and the ear.

durjayendriyam ekaikam sarve prāṇaharāḥ smṛtāḥ | damam yo jayate 'samyag nirdamo nidhanam vrajet || 4:53 ||

Each of these sense faculties are hard to conquer and all are known to be fatal [if unconquered]. If one masters self-restraint in a less than proper way, one remains unrestrained and will die .

mṛge śrotravaśān mṛtyuḥ pataṅgāś cakṣuṣor mṛtāḥ | ghrāṇayā bhramaro naṣṭo naṣṭo mīnaś ca jihvayā || 4:54 ||

In the case of the deer, death comes about because of hearing [when, e.g., hunters use buck grunts]. Moths die because of their eyes [as they are attracted to the light of a lamp]. Bees perish because of their smelling [as they are attracted to smells], fish because of their tongues [when fishermen feed them].

sparśena ca karī naṣṭo bandhanāvāsaduḥsahaḥ |

kiṃ punaḥ pañcabhuktānāṃ mṛtyus tebhyaḥ kim adbhutam || 4:55 ||

The elephant perishes because of touch, not being able to tolerate being in fetters. How much more true it is for those who enjoy all five [senses]! Why should death come as a surprise for them?

purūravo 'tilobhena atikāmena daṇḍakaḥ | sāgarāś cātidarpeṇa atimānena rāvaṇaḥ || 4:56 ||

Purūravas [perished] by excessive greed, Daṇḍaka by excessive desire, Sagara's sons by excessive pride, Rāvaṇa by excessive haughtiness,

- 4.52 Note kari for  $kar\bar{\imath}$  metri causa, and the end of  $p\bar{a}da$  b ( ${}^{\circ}mrg\bar{a}h$ ), which should be treated metrically as if it read  ${}^{\circ}mrig\bar{a}h$ .
- 4.53 The only way to make sense of *pādas* cd is to supply and *avagraha* before *samyag*. Otherwise some text may have dropped out here.
  - 4.54 My comments in square brackets in the translation are tentative.
- 4.55 Mātangalīlā 11.1 may shed some light on elephants dying in captivity: vānyas tatra sukhoṣitā vidhivasād grāmāvatīrnā gajā baddhās tīkṣṇakaṭūgravāgbhir atisugbhīmohabandhādibhih | udvignās ca manahsarīrajanitair duḥkhair atīvākṣamāḥ prāṇān dhārayitum ciram naravasam prāptāḥ svayūthād atha ||. In Edgerton's translation (1931, 92): 'Forest elephants who dwell there happily and by the power of fate have been brought to town in bonds, afflicted by harsh, bitter, cruel words, by excessive grief, fear, bewilderment, bondage, etc., and by sufferings of mind and body, are quite unable for long to sustain life, when from their own herds they have come into the control of men.'
- 4.56 We may treat *purūravo* in *pāda* a as a stem form noun or thematised stem, or imagine that the original reading was *purūravā*° with double sandhi: *purūravās att*°  $\rightarrow$  *purūravā att*°  $\rightarrow$  *purūravātt*°.

Pāda a may refer to the following passage in the MBh (1.70.16–18, 20ab):

purūravās tato vidvān ilāyām samapadyata | sā vai tasyābhavan mātā pitā ceti hi naḥ śrutam || trayodaśa samudrasya dvīpān aśnan purūravāh | amānuṣair vṛtaḥ sattvair mānuṣaḥ san mahāyaśāḥ || vipraiḥ sa vigraham cakre vīryonmattaḥ purūravāḥ | jahāra ca sa viprāṇām ratnāny utkrośatām api || [...] tato maharṣibhiḥ kruddhaiḥ śaptaḥ sadyo vyanaśyata | ("The wise Purūravas was born to Īlā. We heard that Īlā was both his mother and his father. The great Purūravas ruled

```
atikrodhena saudāsa atipānena yādavāḥ |
atitṛṣṇāc ca māndhātā nahuṣo dvijavajñayā || 4:57 ||
Saudāsa by excessive anger, the Yādavas by excessive drinking, Māndhātṛ by
```

atidānād balir naṣṭa atiśauryeṇa arjunah | atidyūtān nalo rājā nrgo goharaṇena tu | 4:58 ||

excessive desire, Nahusa by contempt for Brahmins,

[Mahā]bali perished by excessive donations, Arjuna by excessive heroism, King Nala by excessive gambling, Nṛga by taking a cow.

over thirteen islands of the ocean and, though human, he was always surrounded by superhuman beings. Intoxicated with his power, Purūravas quarrelled with some Brahmins and robbed them of their wealth even though they were protesting. [...] Therefore, cursed by the great Rṣis, he perished.") See also BuddhCar 11.15 (Aiḍa = Purūravas): aiḍaś ca rājā tridivam vigāhya nītvāpi devīm vaśam urvašīm tām | lobhād rṣibhyaḥ kanakam jihīrṣur jagāma nāśaṃ viṣayeṣv atṛptaḥ ||

For Daṇḍa(ka)'s story, see *Rāmāyaṇa 7.*71.31 ff.: Daṇḍa meets Arajā, a beautiful girl, in a forest and rapes her. As a consequence, her father, Śukra/Bhārgava, destroyes Daṇḍa's kingdom, which thus becomes the desolate Daṇḍaka-forest.

For two versions of the destruction of Sagara's sons, who were chasing the sacrificial horse of their father's Aśvamedha sacrifice, and by doing so disturbed Kapila's meditation, and who in turn burnt them to ashes, see MBh 3.105.9 ff. and BrahmāṇḍaP 2.52–53.

As for Rāvaṇa's haughtiness, especially the fact that he chose to be invincible by all creatures except humans, and its consequences, one should recall the story of the  $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yaṇa$  and Rāvaṇa's destruction brought about by Rāma therein.

4.57 Saudāsa, also known as Kalmāṣapāda, hit Śakti, Vasiṣṭha's son, with a whip because the latter did not give way to him, and as a consequence Śakti cursed Saudāsa: Saudāsa had to roam the world as a Rākṣasa for twelve years. See MBh 1.166.1 ff.

As for the end of the Yādavas, see the short Mausalaparvan of the MBh (canto 16): cursed by the sages Viśvāmitra, Kaṇva and Nārada, and seeing menacing omens, the Yādavas take to drinking in Prabhāsa and destroy each other. The form  $m\bar{a}ndh\bar{a}to$  in  $C_{45}$  stands for  $m\bar{a}ndh\bar{a}t\bar{a}$  (nominative of  $m\bar{a}ndh\bar{a}t\bar{r}$ ). I have corrected it in spite of the fact that the authors' knowledge about his story may come from Divyāv 17, where it sometimes appears to be an a-stem noun ( $m\bar{a}nd\bar{a}ta$ ).  $dvijavaj\bar{n}ay\bar{a}$  in  $p\bar{a}da$  d stands for  $dvij\bar{a}vaj\bar{n}ay\bar{a}$  metri causa.

Māndhātṛ was born from his father's body who, being excessively thirsty once, had drank some decoction prepared for ritual purposes and as a result become pregnant with him. Nevertheless, BuddhCar 11.13 suggests that Māndhātṛ himself was still unsatisfied with wordly objects even after he had obtained half of Indra's throne: devena vṛṣṭe 'pi hiranyavarṣe dvīpān samagrāmś caturo 'pi jitvā| śakrasya cārdhāsanam apy avāpya māndhātur āsīd viṣayeṣv atṛptiḥ||. In fact, as Monika Zin points out (Zin 2021, 149), Māndhātṛ/Māndhāta's rise and fall is a very popular theme in the 'Narrative Art of the Amaravati School': 'Statistics show that in the Amaravati School the most frequently represented narrative is the story of King Māndhātar, which appears 47 times.'

Nahuṣa was elevated to the position of Indra for a period of time and he also wanted to take Śacī, Indra's wife. Indra instructed Śacī to tell Nahuṣa to harness some Ḥsis to a vehicle and use this vehicle to take Śacī. Agastya, one of the Ḥṣis, was insulted even further by Nahuṣa, therefore he cursed Nahuṣa, who then fell from the vehicle. See MBh 12.329.35 ff. and a verse in the BuddhCar (11.14) that follows the one about Māndhātṛ: bhuktvāpi rājyam divi devatānām śatakratau vṛtrabhayāt pranaṣṭe darpān maharṣīn api vāhayitvā kāmeṣv atṛpto nahuṣaḥ papāta||.

4.58 Pāda a is most probably a reference to Mahābali's promises made to Vāmana that caused his own fall. The ultimate cause of Arjuna' death while the Pāṇḍavas were on the way to the underworld was summarised by Yudhiṣṭhira thus (MBh 17.2.21ab): ekāhnā nirdaheyaṃ vai śatrūn ity arjuno 'bravīt | na ca tat kṛtavān eṣa śūramānī tato 'patat ||. ('Arjuna claimed that he could destroy

damena hīnaḥ puruṣo dvijendra

svargaṃ ca mokṣaṃ ca sukhaṃ ca nāsti | vijñānadharmakulakīrtināśa

bhavanti vipra damayā vihīnāḥ || 4:59 ||

[For] a person who is without self-restraint, O great Brahmin, there is no heaven, liberation or happiness. O Brahmin, people without Self-restraint are the destruction of knowledge, Dharma, family and fame.

[ yameṣu ghṛṇā (6) — The sixth yama-rule: Taboos ]

nirghṛṇo na paratrāsti nirghṛṇo na ihāsti vai | nirghṛṇe na ca dharmo 'sti nirghṛṇe na tapo 'sti vai || 4:60 ||

A person without taboos does not exists either in this or the other world. In a person without taboos there is no Dharma or religious austerity.

parastrīṣu parārtheṣu parajīvāpakarṣaṇe | paranindāparānneṣu ghṛṇāṃ pañcasu kārayet || 4:61 ||

These five should be treated as taboo: women who are not depending on oneself, others' wealth, taking away others' lives, hurting others and [consuming] others' food.

parastrī śṛṇu viprendra ghṛṇīkāryā sadā budhaiḥ | rājñī viprī parivrājā svayoniparayoniṣu || 4:62 ||

Listen, O great Brahmin, the wise should always treat women who are not dependent on oneself as taboo, [be she] a queen, a Brahmin's wife, a wandering religious mendicant, a relative or of another caste.

parārthe śṛṇu bhūyo 'nya anyāyārtha-m-upārjanam | ādhaprasthatulāvyājaih parārtham yo 'pakarṣati || 4:63 ||

Listen further to something else, with regards to others' wealth. [It may include] gaining wealth through unlawful means, when somebody takes away

the enemy in one single day. He failed to do so. He was a boaster, that is why he fell.')

King Nala was an expert in the game of dice but once he lost his kingdom to Puskara. See, e.g., MBh 3.56.1 ff.

As for Nṛga, see MBh 14.93.74: gopradānasahasrāṇi dvijebhyo 'dān nṛgo nṛpaḥ | ekāṃ dattvā sa pārakyāṃ narakaṃ samavāptavān ||. ("King Nṛga had made gifts of thousands of cows for the twice-born. By giving away one single cow that belonged to someone else, he fell into hell.")

4.59 Note how flexible the gender of most nouns is in  $p\bar{a}da$  b: svarga, moksa and dama are usually masculine in standard Sanskrit. The majority of the witnesses suggest that  $p\bar{a}da$  c ends in a stem form noun ( ${}^{\circ}n\bar{a}\dot{s}a$ ). This  $p\bar{a}da$  is unmetrical, or rather it applies the licence of a word-final short syllable being counted as potentially long ( ${}^{\circ}dharMA$  ${}^{\circ}$ ). Note how  $vipr\bar{a}$  in  $p\bar{a}da$  d is probably an attempt in some MSS to restore the metre. This  $p\bar{a}da$  is also unmetrical, or rather the licence of a word-final short syllable being counted as potentially long is again applied (viPRA).

4.60 The implications of *pādas* ab are not crystal clear to me. Perhaps: such a person has no right for existence in society and has no place in heaven.

4.62 The translation of *parayoni* in *pāda* d is tentative.

other people's wealth by cheating with weights of one *āḍha[ka]* or a *prastha* and with scales.

```
jīvāpakarṣaṇe vipra ghṛṇīkurvīta paṇḍitaḥ |
vanajāvanajā jīvā vilagāś caraṇācarāḥ || 4:64 ||
```

O Brahmin, the wise should regard the taking away [of others'] lives as taboo. Wild and domesticated animals, serpents, [in general,] plants and animals [are examples of life forms not to destroy].

```
paranindā ca kā vipra śrņu vakṣye samāsataḥ | devānāṃ brāhmaṇānāṃ ca gurumātātithidviṣaḥ || 4:65 ||
```

And what is the hurting of others? Listen, O Brahmin, I'll tell you briefly. He who is hostile to the gods, Brahmins, gurus, mothers and guests [hurts others].

```
parānneṣu ghṛṇā kāryā abhojyeṣu ca bhojanam |
sūtake mṛtake śauṇḍe varṇabhraṣṭakule naṭe || 4:66 ||
```

As regards other people's food, eating together with people whose food is not to be accepted (*abhojyeṣu*) is taboo, [e.g.] after birth or death [in the family], in case there are vendors of alcohol, in the case of a family having lost their caste, and in the case of a Naṭa [dancer caste?].

ete pañcaghṛṇāsu saktapuruṣāḥ svargārthamokṣārthinaḥ loke 'nindanam āpnuvanti satataṃ kīrtir yaśo'laṃkṛtam | prajñābodhaśrutiṃ smṛtiṃ ca labhate mānaṃ ca nityaṃ labhet dākṣiṇyaṃ sa bhavet sa āyuṣa paraṃ prāpnoti niḥsaṃśayaḥ || 4:67 || Those people who cling to [the prohibition of] the five kinds of taboo [and

4.63 Although 'nya in pāda a could be interpreted several ways (e.g. anye for anyasmin, or taken to be the first element of a compound: anya-anyāyārtha-), I think that bhūyo 'nyat is a fixed expression meaning 'something/anything more.' See, e.g., BhG 7.2cd: yaj jñātvā neha bhūyo 'nyaj jñātavyam avašiṣyate.

4.64 In pāda d, I take caraṇācarāḥ as standing for carācarāḥ (cara-acarāḥ) metri causa. Alternatively, one may understand it as caraṇacarāḥ (metri causa), meaning 'those who move on their feet,' perhaps as opposed to snakes (bilaga or bilaṃga). Neither solution is fully satisfactory. Note that this pāda also involves a small correction.

4.65 Note mātā as a stem form in pāda d.

4.66 One should probably understand śaunde in pāda c as śaundike (alternatively, it may be corrupted from ṣaṇḍhe); see both in Vāsiṣṭhadharmaśāstra 14.1–3: athāto bhojyābhojyam ca varṇayiṣyāmaḥ| cikitsaka-mṛgayu-puṃścalī-ḍanḍika-stenābhiśastar-ṣaṇḍha-patitānām annam abhojyam| kadarya-dīkṣita-baddhātura-somavikrayi-takṣa-rajaka-śauṇḍika-sūcaka-vārdhuṣika-carmāvakṛntānām|| etc. In Olivelle's translation (DhSūtras 1999: 285): "Next we will describe food that is fit and food that is unfit to be eaten [...] The following are unfit to be eaten: food given by a physician, a hunter, a harlot, a law enforcement agent, a thief, a heinous sinner [...] a eunuch, or an outcaste; as also that given by a miser, a man consecrated for a sacrifice, a prisoner, a sick person, a man who sells Soma, a carpenter, a washerman, a liquor dealer, a spy, an usurer, a leather worker..." In support of reading ṣaṇḍhe, see Manu 3.239: cāṇḍālaś ca varāhaś ca kukkuṭaḥ śvā tathaiva ca| rajasvalā ca ṣaṇḍhaś ca nekṣerann aśnato dvijān||

thus] seek heaven, wealth and liberation, will reach eternal faultlessness in this world, embellished with fame and glory. [A person like that] will obtain wisdom, intelligence, [knowledge of] the Śruti and Smṛti traditions, and honour forever. He will be kindness itself[?] and he will obtain an extra long life, no doubt.

[ yameṣu pañcavidho dhanyaḥ (7) — The seventh yama-rule: The five methods of virtue? ]

caturmaunaś catuḥśatruś caturāyatanaṃ tathā | caturdhyānaṃ catuṣpādaṃ pañcadhanyavidhocyate || 4:68 ||

The four cases of observing silence, [victory over] the four enemies, the four sanctuaries/planes, the four meditations, and the four legged [Dharma] are called the five ways of being virtuous[?].

caturmaunasya vakṣyāmi śṛṇuṣvāvahito bhava | pāruṣyapiśunāmithyāsambhinnāni ca varjayet || 4:69 ||

I shall tell you about the four cases of observing silence. Listen, be attentive. One should avoid [1] violent [words], [2] slanderous [words], [3] lies, and [4] idle [talk].

kāmaḥ krodhaś ca lobhaś ca mohaś caiva caturvidhaḥ | catuḥśatrur nihantavyaḥ so 'rihā vītakalmaṣaḥ || 4:70 ||

The fourfold enemy, desire, anger, greed and delusion, is to be destroyed. He who destroys [these] enemies will become sinless.

caturāyatanam vipra kathayiṣyāmi tac chṛṇu | karuṇā muditopekṣā maitrī cāyatanaṃ smṛtam || 4:71 ||

I shall teach you the four sanctuaries/planes. Listen, O Brahmin. Compassion, sympathy in joy, indifference, and benevolence are the four sanctuaries/planes.

caturdhyānādhunā vakṣye saṃsārārṇavatāraṇam |

4.67 Understand kīrtir yaśo° as kīrtiyaśo° ('r' being an intrusive consonant here metri causa). Understand āyuṣa as āyuṣaṃ (metri causa).

4.69 Is sambhinna a Buddhist term? See also Dharmaputrikā 1.31.

4.70 Possible direct sources for the idea that kāma is an enemy to be defeated include Buddhacarita 11.17: cīrāmbarā mūlaphalāmbubhakṣā jaṭā vahanto 'pi bhujaṃgadīrghāḥ| yair nānyakāryā munayo 'pi bhagnāḥ kaḥ kāmasaṃjñān mṛgayeta śatrūn|| and Bhagavadītā 3.43: evam buddheḥ paraṃ buddhvā saṃstabhyātmānam ātmanā| jahi śatruṃ mahābāho kāmarūpaṃ durāsadam||

4.71 Is āyatana just a synonym of vihāra here or could this use of the term āyatana for the four Buddhist brahmavihāras have been influenced by the following passage in the Dharmasamuccaya (date?)? mokṣasyāyatanāni ṣaṭ| apramādas tathā śraddhā vīryārambhas tathā dhṛtiḥ| jñānābhyāsaḥ saṃtāśleṣo mokṣasyāyatanāni ṣaṭ| 1.3|| nava śāntisamprāptihetavaḥ| dānaṃ śīlaṃ damaḥ kṣāntir maitrī bhūteṣv ahiṃsatā| karuṇāmuditopekṣā śāntisamprāptihetavaḥ| 1.4||

ātmavidyābhavaḥ sūkṣmaṃ dhyānam uktaṃ caturvidham || 4:72 ||

I shall now teach you the four meditations, which will liberate you from mundane existence (*saṃsāra*). Meditation is taught to be fourfold: of the Self, *vidyā*, *bhava* [= Śiva] and the subtle one.

ātmatattvah smrto dharmo vidyā pañcasu pañcadhā |

şattrimśākṣaram ityāhuḥ sūkṣmatattvam alakṣaṇam || 4:73 ||

The *tattva* of the Self is the *ātman*. *Vidyā* in the five in a fivefold way[??].

They call the thirty-sixth the imperishable one, [and] the subtle *tattva* has no attributes.

catuṣpādaḥ smṛto dharmaś caturāśramam āśritaḥ |

gṛhastho brahmacārī ca vānaprastho 'tha bhaikṣukaḥ | | 4:74 | |

Dharma is said to be four-legged [as] it rests on the four *āśramas*, [those of] the householder, the chaste one, the forest-dweller and the mendicant.

dhanyās te yair idam vetti nikhilena dvijottama |

pāvanam sarvapāpānām puņyānām ca pravardhanam || 4:75 ||

Virtuous are those who know these thoroughly, O great Brahmin. [They will experience] the purification of all sins and the growth of merits.

āyuḥ kīrtir yaśaḥ saukhyaṃ dhanyād eva pravardhate | śāntih pustih smrtir medhā jāyate dhanyamānave || 4:76 ||

One's life-span, fame and glory and happiness grow only through virtue (*dhanya*). [In] a virtuous person piece, prosperity, memory/tradition? and intelligence will arise.

[ yameṣv apramādaḥ (8) — The eighth yama-rule: Lack of Negligence ]

pramādasthāna pañcaiva kīrtayiṣyāmi tac chṛṇu | brahmahatyā surāpānaṃ steyo gurvaṅganāgamam | mahāpātakam ity āhus tatsaṃyogī ca pañcamaḥ || 4:77 ||

There are five areas of negligence. I shall teach them to you, listen. Murdering a Brahmin, drinking alcohol, stealing, having sex with the guru's wife: they call these Grievous Sins. The fifth is when one is connected with them [i.e. with these sins or with people involved in these sinful acts].

anṛtaṃ ca samutkarṣe rājagāmī ca paiśunaḥ |

<sup>4.72</sup> Note the stem form dhyāna in °dhyānādhunā (for °dhyānam adhunā) in pāda a. For contrast, see VSS 6.8: dhyānam pañcavidham caiva kīrtitam hariṇā purā| sūryaḥ somo 'gni sphaṭikaḥ sūkṣmam tattvam ca pañcamam|

<sup>4.73</sup> If pāda c is indeed a reference to a 36-tattva philosophical system, it is in striking contrast with the 25-tattva system described in VSS chapter 20.

<sup>4.75</sup> Note the plural instrumental (yair) with a singular active verb (vetti).

<sup>4.77</sup> Note the stem form noun in pāda a ( $^{\circ}$ sthāna) metri causa, and also that this stem form noun may function as a singular noun next to a number (pañca), a frequently seen phenomenon in this text. Note how  $p\bar{a}da$  f deviates from Manu.

guroś cālīkanirbaddhaḥ samāni brahmahatyayā || 4:78 ||

A lie concerning one's superiority, a slander that reaches the king's ear, and false accusations against an elder are equal to killing a Brahmin.

brahmo ṛgvedanindā ca kūṭasākṣī suhṛdvadhaḥ | garhitānādyayor jagdhiḥ surāpānasamāni ṣaṭ || 4:79 ||

Defaming a Brahmin or the Rgveda, being a false witness, murdering a friend, eating unfit or forbidden food are six [deeds that are] equal to drinking alcohol.

retotsekah svayonyāsu kumārīsv antyajāsu ca |

sakhyuh putrasya ca strīsu gurutalpasamah smṛtah | 4:80 ||

Sexual intercourse with a female relative, with an unmarried girl, with women of the lowest castes, with the wife of a friend or of one's own son are said to be equal to violating the guru's bed.

nikṣepasyāpaharaṇaṃ narāśvarajatasya ca |

bhūmivajramanīnām ca rukmasteyasamah smṛtaḥ || 4:81 ||

Stealing/taking away deposits, people, horses, silver, land, diamonds, or gems are said to be equal to stealing gold.

catvāra ete sambhūya yat pāpaṃ kurute naraḥ | mahāpātakapañcaitan tena sarvaṃ prakāśitam | pañcapramādam etāni varjanīyaṃ dvijottama || 4:82 ||

If a man takes parts in these four [i.e. *brahmahatyā*, *surāpāna*, *stena*, *gurvaṅganāgama*], that is the fifth Grievous Sin. By this all [of them] have been explained. These five kinds of negligence are to be avoided, O great Brahmin.

[ yameşu mādhuryam (9) — The ninth yama-rule: Charm ]

kāyavānmanamādhuryam cakṣur buddhiś ca pañcamaḥ | saumyadṛṣṭipradānam ca krūrabuddhim ca varjayet || 4:83 ||

[Charm has five types:] bodily, verbal and mental charm, [charm of] the eyes and [of one's] thoughts pañcamaḥ. Giving [others] a friendly glance [is commendable] and one should avoid cruel thoughts.

prasannamanasā dhyāyet priyavākyam udīrayet |

4.78 The translation of this verse is based on Olivelle's (Olivelle Crit Ed. p. 218). 4.82 Note syntax.

4.83 My emendation from *"manasā dhūryas'* to *"mana-mādhuryas'* is based on the fact that following the list of *yamas* in 3.16cd–17ab, we need some reference to *mādhurya* here and that it is easy to see how this corruption came about: *"mano-mādhurya"* would be unmetrical, thus the form *"mana-mādhurya; "mana-mā"* is easily corrupted to *"manasā"* (not to mention the fact that *manasā* comes up in the next verse); in addition we need five items in this line because of *pañcamaḥ*. As always, I correct *mādhūrya* to *mādhurya*, although it seems that the former is acceptable in this text. I did not correct *mādhūryas'* to *mādhuryam* because of the corresponding *pañcamaḥ*.

yathāśaktipradānam ca svāśramābhyāgato guruḥ | | 4:84 | |

One should meditate with a tranquil mind and should speak [to other people using] gentle words. [When] respectable people arrive at one's own hermitage, [one should] present them with as many gifts as one can,

indhanodakadānaṃ ca jātavedam athāpi vā | sulabhāni na dattāni indhanāgnyudakāni ca |

kṣute jīveti vā noktam tasya kim parataḥ phalam || 4:85 ||

with gifts of fire-wood, water and fire. [If] fire-wood, fire and water are easily available [but] are not given [as gift] or [if the phrase] 'Live [for a hundred years]!' is not uttered [by him] when [somebody else] sneezes, what reward could there be for him in the afterlife?

[ *yameṣv ārjavam* (10) — The tenth yama-rule: Sincerity ]

pañcārjavāḥ praśaṃsanti munayas tattvadarśinaḥ | karmavṛttyābhivṛddhiṃ ca pāratoṣikam eva ca | strīdhanotkocavittaṃ ca ārjavo nābhinandati || 4:86 ||

The sages who see the truth praise five types of sincerity. [Sincerity] in action, in livelihood, in prosperity, in gratifying others [and ...?]. A sincere person does not rejoice in women, wealth, bribery and property.

ārjavo na vṛthā yajña ārjavo na vṛthā tapaḥ | ārjavo na vṛthā dānam ārjavo na vṛthāgnayaḥ || 4:87 ||

Sincerity [means] no sacrifice [performed] idly. Sincerity [means] no austerity [performed] idly. Sincerity [means] no donation [given] idly. Sincerity [means] no fires [kindled] idly.

ārjavasyendriyagrāmaḥ suprasanno 'pi tiṣṭhati | ārjavasya sadā devāḥ kāye tasya caranti te || 4:88 ||

The sense faculties of a sincere person are firm even when he is delighted. The gods always live inside the body of a sincere person.

iti yamapravibhāgaḥ kīrtito 'yaṃ dvijendra

iha parata sukhārtham kārayet tam manuṣyaḥ |

duritamalapahārī śankarasyājñayāste

bhavati pṛthivibhartā hy ekachatrapravartā || 4:89 ||

Thus has been taught this section on the *yama*-rules, O great Brahmin. Humans should follow them to reach happiness here and in the other world. He'll live by Śaṅkara's command with his filth of sins destroyed. He'll become

4.85 Understand jātavedam in pāda b as jātavedasam or jātavedāh, or rather as belonging to the compound °dānam: jātavedodānam. For pāda e, see Mahāsubhāṣitasaṃgraha 2558: amṛtāy-atām iti vadet pīte bhukte kṣute ca śatam jīva ('When eating or drinking, one should say: "Let it turn into nectar!"; and after sneezing: "Live for a hundred years!".')

#### Translation of chapter 1

a ruler of the world [that he subjugates] under one royal umbrella.

|| iti vṛṣasārasaṃgrahe yamavibhāgo nāmādhyāyaś caturthaḥ || Here ends the fourth chapter in the Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha called the Section on the Yama-rules.

4.89 In pāda a "pra" does not make the previous syllable long: this is the phenomenon of 'muta cum liquida', one of the hallmarks of the *Vṛṣasārasamgraha*, that is, syllables such as *tra*, *pra*, *bra*, *dra* do not necessarily make the previous syllable long. In pāda b, *parata* most probably stands for *paratra* or *parataḥ* metri causa. We may correct it to *paratra* ('muta cum liquida'). "mala-pahārī in the MSS stands either for "malāpahārī or "malaprahārī metri causa. I could have choosen to emend it to "malaprahārī ('muta cum liquida' again), but I decided not to because apahārin, apahāra apahāraka are used in the text very frequently. See also 8.XX, which contains a very similar expression: sakalamalapahāre dharmapañcāśad etat.

## Appendices

passeges from part two

# Symbols, Abbreviations and Bibliography

```
Symbols
cf.
Abbreviations
CUDL = University of Cambridge Digital Library (https://cudl.lib.cam.ac.uk)
f.
ff.
MGMCP
MGMPP
MS(S) = manuscript(s)
Siddham = Siddham, the Asia Inscriptions Database: https://siddham.network
ŚDhŚ = Śivadharmaśāstra
ŚDhU = Śivadharmottara
VSS
... TO BE SUPPLIED
  - Balogh 2018? ON THE SAME TOPIC
```

#### Translation of chapter 1

 Ranjan Sen 2006. 'Vowel-weakening before muta cum liquidā sequences in Latin. A problem of syllabification?' In: Oxford University Working Papers in Linguistics, Philology & Phonetics 11: 143-61. Primary sources

Arthaśāstra: see Kangle 1969

Kūrmapurāņa: see Mukhopādhyāya 1890

Buddhacarita: see CHECK Brahmāṇḍapurāṇa: see CHECK

Bhagavadgītā: see Sukthankar & al. 1927–1966 CHECK

Manu: see Dave 1972

Mahābhārata: see Sukthankar & al. 1927–1966 Mahāsubhāṣitasaṃhraha: see Sternbach 1974–2007

Mātangalīlā: see Śāstri 1910

Viṣṇudharmottara:

Viṣṇudharma: see Grünendahl 1983 Viṣṇupurāṇa: see Pathak 1997–1999

OTHER PURANAS

### Refs!

- Bakker 2014: Bakker, Hans T. *The world of the Skandapurāṇa: Northern India in the sixth and seventh centuries*. Supplement to Groningen Oriental Studies. Leiden: Brill.
- Bhattacharya 1977: Bhattacharya, Gourishwar. 'Nandin and Vṛṣabha.' In: Wolfgang Voigt (ed.), XIX. Deutscher Orientalistentag vom 28. bis 4. Oktober 1975 in Freiburg im Breisgau, vol. 2 of Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Supplement, pp. 1545–1567. Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner.
- Bisschop 2018: Bisschop, Peter C. *Universal Śaivism: The appeasement of all gods and powers in the Śāntyadhyāya of the Śivadharmaśāstra*. No. 18 in Gonda Indological Studies. Leiden & Boston: Brill.
- Bisschop, Kafle, & Kiss forthcoming: Bisschop, Peter C., Kafle, Nirajan, & Kiss, Csaba. Śivadharmaśāstra 10. A Critical Edition.
- Bisschop, Kafle, & Lubin 2021: Bisschop, Peter C., Kafle, Nirajan, & Lubin, Timothy. A Śaiva Utopia. The Śivadharma's Revision of Brahmanical Varṇāśramadharma. Critical Edition, Translation & Study of the Śivāśramādhyāya of the Śivadharmaśāstra. No. I in Studies in the History of Śaivism. Napoli: Università degli Studi di Napoli L'Orientale, Dipartimento Asia, Africa e Mediterraneo.
- Dave 1972: Dave, Jayantakrishna Harikrishna (ed.). *Manu-Smṛti with Nine Commentaries by Medhātithi, Sarvajñānārāyaṇa, Kullūka, Rāghavānanda, Nandana, Rāmachandra, Maṇirāma, Govindarāja and Bhāruci.* No. 29, 33, 37–40 in Bharatiya Vidyā Series. Bombay: Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan.
- De Simini 2016a: De Simini, Florinda. *Of Gods and Books: Ritual and knowledge transmission in the manuscript cultures of premodern India*. No. 8 in Studies in Manuscript Cultures. Berlin: De Gruyter.
- De Simini 2016b: De Simini, Florinda. 'Śivadharma Manuscripts from Nepal and the Making of a Śaiva Corpus.' In: Michael Friedrich & Cosima Schwarke

- (eds.), One-Volume Libraries: Composite and Multiple-Text Manuscripts, no. 9 in Studies in Manuscript Cultures, pp. 233–286. De Gruyter.
- De Simini 2017: De Simini, Florinda. 'When Lachmann's method meets the Dharma of Śiva: Common Errors, Scribal Interventions, and the Transmission of the Śivadharma Corpus.' In: Vincenzo Vergiani, Camillo Formigatti, & Daniele Cuneo (eds.), *Indic Manuscript Cultures through the Ages. Material, textual and historical investigations*, no. 14 in Studies in Manuscript Cultures, pp. 505–547. Berlin: De Gruyter.
- Dutt 1896: Dutt, Manmatha Nath. Vishnu Purana. English Translation. A Prose English Translation of the Vishnupuranam (based on Professor H. H. Wilson's translation). Calcutta: H. C. Dass.
- Edgerton 1931: Edgerton, Franklin. The elephant-lore of the Hindus. The Elephant-sport of Nilakantha. Translated from the original Sanskrit with introduction, notes, and glossary. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Edgerton 1953: Edgerton, Franklin. *Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit grammar and dictionary*. William Dwight Whitney Linguistic Series. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Fitzgerald 2012: Fitzgerald, James L. 'Philosophy's Wheel of Fire and Its Epic Background.' In: François Voegeli, Vincent Eltschinger, Danielle Feller, Maria Piera Candotti, Bogdan Diaconescu, & Malhar Kulkarni (eds.), *Devadattīyam: Johannes Bronkhorst Felicitation Volume*. Bern, Berlin, Bruxelles, Frankfurt am Main, New York, Oxford, Wien: Peter Lang.
- Fleming 2010: Fleming, Benjamin J. 'New copperplate grant of Śrīcandra (no. 8) from Bangladesh.' *Bulletin of SOAS*, vol. 73(2):223–244.
- Fleming 2013: Fleming, Benjamin J. 'Making Land Sacred: Inscriptional Evidence for Buddhist Kings and Brahman Priests in Medieval Bengal.' *Numen* 60, vol. 60:559–585.
- Ganguli 1883–1896: Ganguli, Kisari Mohan. *The Mahabharata of Krishna-Dwaipayana Vyasa*. Calcutta: Bharata Press.
- Goodall, Rout, Sathyanarayanan et al 2005: Goodall, Dominic, Rout, Nibedita, Sathyanarayanan, Sarma, S.A.S., Ganesan, T, & Sambandhasivacarya, S. Pañcāvaraṇastava of Aghoraśiva: A Twelfth Century South Indian Prescription for the Visualisation of Sadāśiva and his Retinue. Pondicherry: IFP.
- Goodall, Sanderson, & Isaacson 2015: Goodall, Dominic, Sanderson, Alexis, & Isaacson, Harunaga (eds.). The Niśvāsatattvasaṃhitā. The Earliest Surviving Śaiva Tantra. Volume 1. A Critical Edition & Annotated Translation of

- the Mūlasūtra, Uttarasūtra & Nayasūtra. No. 128 (1) in Collection Indologie (Early Tantra Series). EFEO, Institut français de Pondichéry (IFP), Asien-Afrika-Institut, Universität Hamburg. Edited by Dominic Goodall in collaboration with Alexis Sanderson & Harunaga Isaacson, with contributions of Nirajan Kafle, Diwakar Acharya & others.
- Grünendahl 1983: Grünendahl, Reinhold. Viṣṇudharma. Viṣṇudharmāḥ. Precepts for the Worship of Viṣṇu. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz Verlag.
- Harimoto (forthcoming): Harimoto, Kengo. 'Notes on a manuscript of the Śivadharma corpus CHECK.'
- Kafle 2019: Kafle, Nirajan. 'The *kṛṣṇāṣṭamīvrata* in the *Śivadharmaśāstra*. a comparative edition and study.' *Indo-Iranian Journal*, vol. 62 (2019), Issue 4:340–383.
- Kale 1992: Kale, M. R. A Higher Sanskrit Grammar for the Use of School and College Students. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.
- Kangle 1969: Kangle, R.P. *The Kauţilīya Arthaśāstra, Part I: Sanskrit text with glossary*. Bombay: University of Bombay.
- Kiss 2021: Kiss, Csaba. ''...not satisfied with the Mahābhārata...' (śrutvā bhārata-saṃhitām atṛptaḥ): the function of the Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha in the Śivadharma corpus.' In: Florinda De Simini & Csaba Kiss (eds.), Śivadharmāmṛta. Essays on the Śivadharma and its Network, Studies on the History of Śaivism 2, pp. 183–202. Università di Napoli L'Orientale Dipartimento Asia, Africa e Mediterraneo, Napoli: UniorPress.
- McGann 1991: McGann, Jerome. *The Textual Condition*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Mirashi 1962: Mirashi, Vasudev Vishnu. 'The Gwalior Museum Stone Inscription of Patangasambhu.' *Journal of the Madhya Pradesh Itihasa Parishad*, vol. 64:3–13.
- Mukhopādhyāya 1890: Mukhopādhyāya, Nīlmaṇi. *The Kúrma Puráṇa. A System Of Hindu Mythology And Tradition*. Bibliotheca Indica. Calcutta: Asiatic Society of Bengal.
- Naraharinath 1998: Naraharinath, Yogin (ed.). Sivadharma Pasupatimatam Sivadharmamahāsāstram Pasupatināthadarsanam. Kathmandu.
- Ohnuma 2019: Ohnuma, Reiko. 'The heretical, heterodox howl: Jackals in pāli buddhist literature.' *Religions*, vol. 10(3).
- Olivelle 1993: Olivelle, Patrick. *The Āśrama System: The History and Hermeneutics of a Religious Institution*. OUP.

- Olivelle 2005: Olivelle, Patrick. *Manu's code of law: a critical edition and translation of the Mānava-Dharmaśāstra*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Pathak 1997–1999: Pathak, M. M (ed.). *The Critical Edition of the Viṣṇupurā-nam, critically edited by M. M. Pathak.* Vadodara: Oriental Institute.
- Rocher 1986: Rocher, Ludo. *The Purāṇas*. No. 2.3 in History of Indian Literature. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz.
- Sanderson 2009: Sanderson, Alexis. 'The Śaiva Age: The rise and dominance of Śaivism during the early medieval period.' In: Shingo Einoo (ed.), *Genesis and Development of Tantrism*, Institute of Oriental Culture Special Series 23, pp. 41–350. Tokyo: Institute of Oriental Culture, University of Tokyo.
- Sanderson 2014: Sanderson, Alexis. 'The Śaiva literature.' *Journal of Indological Studies*, vol. 24 & 25 (2012–2013):1–113.
- Sanderson 2015: Sanderson, Alexis. 'Tolerance, Exclusivity, Inclusivity, and Persecution in Indian Religion During the Early Mediaeval Period.' In: John Makinson (ed.), *Honoris Causa: Essays in Honour of Aveek Sarkar*, pp. 155–224. UK: Allen Lane.
- Shastri 1928: Shastri, Haraprasad. A Descriptive Catalogue of Sanskrit Manuscripts in the Government Collection Under the Care of the Asiatic Society of Bengal. Vol. 5: Purāṇa Manuscripts, vol. 5. Calcutta: The Asiatic Society of Bengal.
- Sternbach 1974–2007: Sternbach, Ludwik (ed.). *Mahāsubhāṣitasaṃgraha*. Hoshiarpur: Vishveshvaranand Vedic Research Institute.
- Sukthankar & al. 1927–1966: Sukthankar, Vishnu Sitaram & al. (eds.). The Mahābhārata: for the first time critically edited by V. S. Sukthankar, with the co-operation of ... other scholars. Poona: Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute.
- Takahashi 2021: Takahashi, Kenji. 'The dharma of gleaners in the Umāmaheśvarasaṃvāda. Studies on the Śivadharma and the Mahābhārata 2.' In: Florinda De Simini & Csaba Kiss (eds.), Śivadharmāmṛta. Essays on the Śivadharma and its Network, no. 2 in Studies on the History of Śaivism. Università di Napoli L'Orientale Dipartimento Asia, Africa e Mediterraneo, Napoli: UniorPress.
- Vajrācārya 1973: Vajrācārya, Dhanavajra. *Licchavikālakā abhilekha anuvāda, aitihāsika vyākhyāsahita*. No. 6 in Aitihāsika sāmagrī mālā. Kathmandu, Nepal: Nepāla ra Eśiyālī Adhyayana Saṃsthāna, Tribhuvana Viśvavidyālaya.

- Wujastyk 1985: Wujastyk, Dominik. A Handlist of the Sanskrit and Prakrit Manuscripts in the Library of the Wellcome Institute for the History of Medicine, vol. 1. London: The Wellcome Institute for the History of Medicine.
- Zin 2021: Zin, Monica. 'Māndhātar, the Universal Monarch, and the Meaning of Representations of the Cakravartin in the Amaravati School, and of the Kings on the Kanaganahalli Stūpa.' In: Peter Skilling & Justin McDaniel (eds.), Buddhist Narrative in Asia and Beyond: In Honour of HRH Princess Maha Chakri Sirindhorn. On Her Fifty-Fifth Birth Anniversary, vol. 1, pp. 149–166. Bankok: Institute of Thai Studies, Chulalongkon University.

Śāstri 1910: Śāstri, T. Gaṇapati (ed.). Mātangalīlā of Nīlakantha. Trivandrum.

## Index

<i>Abhidhānaratnamālā</i> , xii	<i>Śivapurāṇa</i> , xiv, 1021, 1026,
Arthaśāstra, 1041	1028, 1029
āśrama, xiii	Śivopaniṣad, 2, 3, 5–9
	Skandapurāņa, 1021
Bhagavadgītā, 1041	Sukavihṛdayanandinī, xxi
Bhāgavatapurāṇa, 1029	. ,
Bhaviṣyapurāṇa, 1035	Umāmaheśvarasaṃvāda, 2, 3,
Brahmāṇḍapurāṇa, 1009, 1010,	5–9
1015, 1018, 1026,	Uttarottaramahāsaṃvāda, 2, 3,
1029, 1035, 1041	5-9, 1034
bull, xi	
	Vāyupurāṇa, 1015, 1026, 1029
Dharmaputrikā, 2–9	Viṣṇupurāṇa, 1015, 1017, 1029, 1041
gaṇa, xi	<i>vṛṣa</i> , xii, xiii
Gautamadharmasūtra, 5	<i>vṛṣabha</i> , xiii
	 Vṛṣasārasaṃgraha, ix–xi, xiii, xiv,
<i>vṛṣa</i> , xiii	xvi, 1–10, 1002, 1003,
TT 10/1	1009, 1010, 1012,
Kūrmapurāṇa, 1041	1016, 1021, 1026,
Lingapurāṇa, 1016	1028, 1029
Lingaparana, 1016	Vṛttaratnākara, xxi
Mahābhārata, xi, xiii, xv, 1003,	r ittaratianara, aar
1021, 1026, 1027, 1041	
Manu, 1041	
Manusmṛti, xiii	
Matsyapurāṇa, xiii, 1029	
Wiaisyupuruṇa, XIII, 102)	
Nāmalingānuśāsana, xii	
Sanderson, Alexis, xiii	
Śivadharmasamgraha, 2, 3, 5-9	
Śivadharmaśāstra, 2, 3, 5–9,	
1003, 1021, 1035, 1039	
<i>Śivadharmottara</i> , 2, 3, 5–9,	
1021, 1039	
1021, 1037	

Todo	list	
Find a hard copy of McGann's Textual Condition.	ix	
Paper MSS? hidden	6	