On the Consistency Strength of Axioms for Height and Width Potentialism

Chris Scambler All Souls College University of Oxford

November 22, 2021

1 Introduction

In CITE, I presented an axiom system for height and width potentialism combined. Roughly speaking the axiom system is motivated by the idea of an iterative set construction process in which two 'acts' are possible at each stage – firstly, that of collecting some things into a set, and secondly, that of enumerating some things in terms of the natural numbers (or, equivalently, forcing). I proved the system consistent on the assumption a Mahlo cardinal existed, and showed that the system interpreted ZFC under an 'inner' modal translation, and that second order arithmetic was interpretable under a more general modal translation. This article improves on the consistency result given there. I will show that in fact the theory is equi-consistent with second order arithmetic extended with the Π_1^1 perfect set property, and hence also with ZFC. I will also explore the question of bi-interpretability.

2 The Axiom System

2.1 Language

The language \mathcal{L}_{\diamond} is multi-modal and in fact contains three modal operators, \Diamond_{\uparrow} , \Diamond_{\leftarrow} , and \Diamond . $\Diamond_{\uparrow}\varphi$ should be read as: 'by repeated acts of collection, φ can be made true'; that is, by repeatedly taking some things and producing the set with them as its elements, φ can be made true. (We allow any number of repetations, from 0 into the transfinite.) \Diamond_{\leftarrow} can be interpreted in one of two ways: either as 'by repeated acts of enumeration, φ can be made true', where here by enumeration we mean the act of correlating some given things with the natural numbers; or alternatively 'enumeration' may be replaced by 'forcing'. The results are equivalent in the sense demonstrated in CITE. Finally, \Diamond is the 'most general' modality, and represents possibility by arbitrary iterations of either domain expansion technique.

 \mathcal{L}_{\diamond} is also a monadic second order language, with standard, singular 'objectual' variables x and second order 'plural' variables X; these latter range over things taken many at a time (e.g. the members of an orchestra) rather than individuals (e.g. the conductor). Finally, we will of course use the membership symbol \in and identity relation =. Atomic formulas are of the form x=y, X=Y, $x\in y$, and Xx. Compound formulas are formed from these in the usual way.

2.2 Logic

The logical (non-set-theoretic) axioms can reasonably naturally be separated into those concerning the first-order part of the language, those that concern the modals, those that govern the second order variables, and those that concern identity.

For the first order part, we take the axioms to be any standard system of first order quantification logic, with universal instantiation weakened to its 'free' version, that is, with the universal instantiation axiom written in the form:

$$\forall x [\forall y [\varphi y] \to \varphi x] \tag{1}$$

With regards the modal logic, we assume S4.2 for each modal operator, which (given any standard axiom system) will imply the converse Barcan formula, which we also assume. We also take necessitation to be part of the system; finally, in accordance with the idea that \Diamond is the most general modal at issue, we take each of the 'weakening' principles

$$\Diamond_{\uparrow}\varphi \to \Diamond\varphi \tag{2}$$

$$\Diamond_{\leftarrow}\varphi \to \Diamond\varphi \tag{3}$$

as further axioms. In each case the relevant \square is defined in the usual way.

As to the second order logic, we assume full comprehension in closed form, so all expressions of the form

$$\Box \forall \vec{z} \Box \forall \vec{Z} \exists X \forall x [Xx \leftrightarrow \Phi(x, z, Z)]$$
 (4)

are axioms. Here of course X may not appear free in Φ , but we assume all variables other than x that are free in Φ occur in the lists \vec{z}, \vec{Z} .