Proofs

CS

April 22, 2022

1 ZFC and L

1.1 Formulation of ZFC

1.1.1 Language $\mathcal{L}^2_\in$

Signature

- countable infinity of first order variables x_i

- countable infinity of monadic second order variables X_i

- propositional connectives $\vee, \neg$

- variable binding quantifier $\exists$

- relation $=$

- relation $\in$

wffs

$$Xx | x \in y | x = y | X = Y$$
$$\varphi \wedge \psi | \neg \varphi | \forall x \varphi | \forall X \varphi$$

defs

- usual defs for connectives quantifiers

- symbol for $\emptyset$, $\{x : \psi\}$ notation

1.1.2 Axioms of ZFC

Standard second order logic with full comprehension and extensional second order identity. For set theoretic axioms:

Ext$_\forall$ $\forall x[x \in y \equiv x \in z] \supset y = z$

Fun$_\forall$ $x \neq \emptyset \supset \exists y[y \in x \wedge y \cap x = \emptyset]$

Pair $\exists z[z = \{x, y\}]$

Un $\exists y[y = \bigcup U(x)]$

Rep $Fun(F) \supset \forall x \exists y(y = F|x)$

1.2 Formulation of L

1.2.1 Language $\mathcal{L}_0$

Signature

- countable infinity of first order variables x_i

- countable infinity of monadic second order variables X_i

- propositional connectives $\vee, \neg$

- variable binding quantifier $\exists$

- relation $=$

- operator $\Diamond$

- relation $\in$

wffs

$$Xx|x \in y|x = y|X = Y$$

$$\varphi \wedge \psi|\neg\varphi|\forall x\varphi|\forall X\varphi|\Diamond\varphi$$

defs

- usual defs for connectives quantifiers and modals

- Ex is an abbreviation for $\exists y[y = x]$ or a more suitable alphabetic variant

- $Set(x, X)$ abbreviates $\forall y[Xy \equiv y \in x]$

- Previous abbreviations from set theory

1.2.2 Axioms of L

We assume all propositional tautologies, along with any standard axioms for positive free quantifier logic.

The modal logic is S4.2 with necessitation (and CBF). The rule of inference ... is also assumed.

As to the plural logic, we assume the following.

pExt $\forall X\forall Y[(\forall x[Xx \equiv Yx]) \supset X = Y]$

pR $\Diamond Xx \supset \Box Xx$

pBF $\forall X[\Diamond(\exists x[Xx \wedge x = y]) \supset \exists x[Xx \wedge x = y]]$

Finally, the set theoretic axioms.

Ext $\forall x \forall y[(\forall z[z \in x \equiv z \in y]) \supset x = y]$

Ele $\Box \forall x \exists X \Box \forall y[Xy \equiv y \in x]$

Fun $\forall x[x \neq \emptyset \supset \exists y[y \in x \wedge y \cap x = \emptyset]]$

Set $\Box \forall X \Diamond \exists y[Set(y, X)]$

Inf $\Diamond X \Box \forall y[Xy \equiv \mathbb{N}(y)]$

Pow $\Box \forall x \Diamond \exists X \Box \forall y[Xy \equiv y \subseteq x]$

Rep $Rep^{\Diamond}$

Min $\forall y[y \in x] \supset \Diamond(\forall y[y \in x] \wedge \Diamond(z \in x) \supset Ez)$

1.3 Key Result One

Theorem 1. *There is an interpretation* $\exists : \mathcal{L}_0 \to \mathcal{L}_\in$ *that preserves theoremhood from L to ZFC.*

Definition 1 ($\varphi_\exists$). *The translation* $\varphi \mapsto \varphi_\exists$ *is defined by the following clauses. In each case,* d *is the least variable not occuring in* φ.

- $x \in y_\exists := x \in y \wedge d = d$

- $Xx_\exists := Xx \wedge d = d$

- $(\neg \varphi)_\exists := \neg \varphi_\exists(d)$

- $(\varphi \vee \psi)_\exists := \varphi_\exists(d) \vee \psi_\exists(d)$

- $(\exists x \varphi)_\exists := \exists x \in d[\varphi_\exists(d)]$

- $(\exists X \varphi)_\exists := \exists X \subseteq d[\varphi_\exists(d)]$

- $(\Diamond \varphi)_\exists := \exists e[e \supseteq d \wedge Tran(e) \wedge \varphi_\exists(e)]$

In these, $\varphi_\exists(e)$ *represents the result of substituting* e *for* d *in* $\varphi_\exists$.
We then set $\exists(\varphi) := Tran(d) \supset \varphi_\exists$.

Proof. The propositional tautologies and modus ponens are obvious. For free universal instantiation, we must show (under the assumptin $Tran(d)$) that

$$\forall x \in d[\forall y \in d(\varphi(y))_\exists(d) \supset (\varphi(x))_\exists(d)]]$$

But this is immediate. (Note however that the unfree quantifier rule, which removes the initial quantifier, is not provable under this interpretation.)

As to the laws of $S4.2$ modal logic, it is completely clear that S4 will hold in light of the reflexivity and transitivity of $\subseteq$. For .2, suppose $(\lozenge\square\varphi)_\exists$. Then there is a transitive extension e_0 of d such that every extension f of e_0 has $\varphi_\exists(f)$. Suppose given transitive extension e of d. Then $f_1 := e \cup e_0$ is a transitive extension of e_0 that (therefore) has $\varphi_\exists(f_1)$. Hence $(\square\lozenge\varphi)_\exists$.[1] For necessitation, we must show that if $Tran(d) \supset \varphi_\exists(d)$ is a theorem, then so is $Tran(d) \supset \forall e[e \supseteq d \wedge Tran(e) \supset \varphi_\exists(e)]$, which is obviously correct.

All the plural axioms are straightforward, although it is worth remarking that the interpretations become unprovable (in fact demonstrably false) if the initial second order quantifiers are removed.

On to the set-theoretic axioms. The case of extensionality reduces to the claim that extensionality holds in transitive sets. Ele and Fun are equally straightforward. For set: suppose given a transitive extension e of d and a subset X of e. Since every set is an element of a transitive set (ZFC) we can extend e to a transitive set that contains that subset as an element, and the result follows. Inf just comes down to the fact that there is a transitive set that contains the natural numbers. Similarly for pow: given any set there is a transtive set that contains all its subsets. Each instance of $\exists(Rep^\lozenge)$ just is an instance of replacement. □

1.4 Key Result Two

Theorem 2. *There is an interpretation $\lozenge : \mathcal{L}_\in \to \mathcal{L}_0$ that preserves theoremhood from ZFC to L on first order formulas.*

Proof. This is just the translation $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^\lozenge$. That the result holds is a theorem of Linnebo. □

1.5 Key Result Three

Theorem 3. *These translations yield a definitional equivalence between the first order fragments of ZFC and L in the following sense: for all φ without second order variables, we have*

1. $L \vdash Univ(d) \supset (\varphi_\exists)^\lozenge \equiv \varphi$

2. $ZFC \vdash Tran(d) \supset (\varphi^\lozenge)_\exists \equiv \varphi.$

Here, $Univ(d)$ abbreviates $\forall x[x \in d] \wedge \lozenge(y \in d) \supset Ey$ for a suitable choice of (free) y.

Proof. In each case we proceed by induction on the complexity of φ.

For 1., the base cases are all immediate, as are the propositional connectives. For the quantifier, we need

$$L \vdash Univ(d) \supset \lozenge \exists x \in d(\varphi_\exists(d)^\lozenge(x)) \equiv \exists x \varphi$$

---

[1] In fact (by transitivity) we have the stronger $\lozenge\square\varphi \supset \square\lozenge\square\varphi$.)

So suppose $Univ(d)$. Going right to left, our induction hypothesis yields $\exists x(\varphi_\exists(d)^\Diamond(x))$, and then $Univ(d)$ implies $\Diamond \exists x \in d(\varphi_\exists(d)^\Diamond(x))$ as required.

For the converse, suppose $\Diamond Ex \wedge x \in d \wedge \varphi_\exists(d)^\Diamond(x)$. Then by $Univ(d)$ we get Ex. We also have $\Diamond\varphi_\exists(d)^\Diamond(x)$. But by a result of Linnebo this implies $\varphi_\exists(d)^\Diamond(x)$. By the IH we have $\varphi(x)$ and the result follows.

Finally, for $\Diamond\varphi$, we must show that:

$$L \vdash Univ(d) \supset \Diamond\exists e[e \supseteq d \wedge Tran(e) \wedge (\varphi_\exists(e))^\Diamond] \equiv \Diamond\varphi$$

Suppose $Univ(d)$. Going left to right, suppose

$$\Diamond(Ee \wedge e \supseteq d \wedge Tran(e) \wedge (\varphi_\exists(e))^\Diamond).$$

By rigidity, $e \supseteq d \wedge Tran(e)$. So by Min $\Diamond Univ(e)$. Since also $\Diamond(\varphi_\exists(e))^\Diamond$ and the latter is rigid, we may infer $\Diamond Univ(e) \wedge (\varphi_\exists(e))^\Diamond$. By our induction hypothesis, $\Box(Univ(e) \supset (\varphi_\exists(e))^\Diamond \equiv \varphi)$. Hence $\Diamond\varphi$. Going right to left, Suppose $\Diamond\varphi$. By comp, $\Diamond\varphi \wedge EX \wedge \forall y[Xy \equiv y = y]$. By HP, $\Diamond(\varphi \wedge \Diamond(Ee \wedge Set(e, X)))$. By rigidity, $\Diamond(\varphi \wedge Set(e, X))$.

I claim $\Diamond(\varphi \wedge Univ(e))$. It is obvious that $\Diamond(\varphi \wedge \forall y[y \in e])$. And $z \in e$ implies Xe which implies $\Diamond(\varphi \wedge Univ(e) \wedge Ee)$. Hence $\Diamond(\varphi \wedge Univ(e))$. By the IH, $\Diamond(\varphi \wedge ((\varphi(e)_\exists)^\Diamond \equiv \varphi))$. Hence $\Diamond(\varphi(e)_\exists)^\Diamond$. And moreover it is not hard to argue $Tran(e)$. Hence $\Diamond(\exists e[e \supseteq d \wedge Tran(e) \wedge (\varphi(e)_\exists)^\Diamond])$, as required.

$\square$