# **Current Findings**

### Model

#### **Pipeline Components:**

#### Data Preprocessing

- Bandpass filtering (20-450 Hz) to remove noise
- Notch filter at 60 Hz to eliminate power line interference
- Signal normalization and baseline correction

### Signal Segmentation

- · Sliding window approach with 250ms windows
- 50% overlap between consecutive windows
- Captures temporal patterns in muscle activity

#### Feature Extraction

- Time-domain features (mean, variance, RMS, zero crossings)
- Frequency-domain features (spectral analysis)
- Multi-channel feature extraction from all EMG sensors

#### Classification

- Support Vector Machine (SVM) with RBF kernel
- Trained to distinguish between 13 different gesture classes (0-12)
- 5-fold cross-validation for robust model evaluation

#### **Dataset:**

- NinaPro database (Subject 1, Activity 1, Exercise 1)
- Sampling rate: 2000 Hz
- Multiple channels capturing different muscle groups

## **Findings**

- Performance Metrics:
- Cross-Validation Results:
- Mean Accuracy: 81.4%
- Standard Deviation: ±3.6%
- Consistent performance across all 5 folds (ranging from 75% to 85%)
- Test Set Performance:
- Test Accuracy: 81.5%
- Shows good generalization (test accuracy matches CV accuracy)
- Model is not overfitting

### **Positives and Negatives**

#### 1. Strong Performance

- 81.5% accuracy is solid for 13-class EMG gesture recognition
- Matches published research benchmarks
- 2. No Overfitting
- Test accuracy (81.5%) matches cross-validation mean (81.4%)
- · Model generalizes well to unseen data
- 3. Consistent & Stable
- Low standard deviation (±3.6%) across CV folds
- Reliable performance across different data splits
- 4. Excellent Rest Detection
- Gesture 0 recognized with high accuracy (53/57 correct)
- Critical for practical applications system knows when user isn't gesturing

#### 1. Severe Class Imbalance

- Gesture 0: 53 test samples vs. most gestures: 1-2 samples only
- Results appear misleadingly good due to rest class dominance
- Cannot reliably evaluate individual gesture performance

#### 2. Limited Scope

- No evidence model works across different users
- Requires cross-subject validation for real-world deployment

#### 5. Incomplete Evaluation

- Per-gesture metrics unreliable with 1-2 samples
- Cannot determine which gestures need improvement
- Missing insights into failure patterns