#### MODERN CRYPTOGRAPHY

Bachelor Computer Science, University of Amsterdam, 2018/19 TEACHER: Christian Schaffner, TA: Jan Czajkowski, Christian Majenz

# **Problem Set 6**

## Problem 1: El Gamal encryption

As in Example 11.17 in [KL], let  $\mathbb{G}$  be the subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_{167}^*$  generated by g=4. We have that the order  $q=|\mathbb{G}|=83$  is prime. Let the secret key be  $x=23\in\mathbb{Z}_{83}$  and so the public key is  $pk=\langle p,q,g,h\rangle=\langle p,q,g,g^x\rangle$ 

- (a) Compute the h component in the public key.
- (b) Compute the encryption of message  $m=19\in \mathbb{G}$  with randomness y=44.
- (c) Decrypt the ciphertext  $\langle c_1, c_2 \rangle = \langle 132, 44 \rangle$ .

#### **Problem 2: RSA**

- (a) **RSA encryption** As in Example 11.27 in [KL], say GenRSA outputs (N, e, d) = (1005973, 89, d). Note that  $1005973 = 997 \cdot 1009$ .
  - 1. Encrypt the message  $m=1234\in\mathbb{Z}^*_{1005973}$
  - 2. Compute the private key (N,d) corresponding to the public key  $(N,e)=(1005973,89). \label{eq:New}$
  - 3. Decrypt the ciphertext c = 530339.
- **(b)** Attacks on Plain RSA 1. For the RSA public key (N,e) = (10000799791,3), decrypt the ciphertext c=1000000. Can you do it without factoring N?
  - 2. Suppose we would like to use plain RSA as public-key encryption in a hybrid scheme together with AES-256 in CBC mode. We choose N to have roughly 2048 bits. Use the previous subexercise to argue the insecurity of this hybrid scheme.





Figure 1: Adi Shamir, Ron Rivest, and Len Adleman as MIT-students and in 2003

Image credit: http://www.ams.org/samplings/feature-column/
fcarc-internet, http://www.usc.edu/dept/molecular-science/
RSA-2003.htm

#### **Problem 3: CCA security of multiple encryptions**

Claim 11.7 in [KL] states that if  $\Pi=(\mathsf{Gen},\mathsf{Enc},\mathsf{Dec})$  is a CPA-secure public-key encryption scheme for fixed-length messages, then the new encryption scheme  $\Pi'=(\mathsf{Gen},\mathsf{Enc}',\mathsf{Dec}')$  with  $\mathsf{Enc}'_{pk}(m_1\|m_2\|...\|m_\ell)=\mathsf{Enc}_{pk}(m_1)\|\mathsf{Enc}_{pk}(m_2)\|...\|\mathsf{Enc}_{pk}(m_\ell)$  is CPA secure for arbitrary-length messages.

Show that Claim 11.7 does not hold in the setting of CCA-security: Exhibit a concrete attack on a scheme  $\Pi'=(\mathsf{Gen},\mathsf{Enc}',\mathsf{Dec}')$  constructed from a fixed-length CCA secure encryption scheme  $\Pi=(\mathsf{Gen},\mathsf{Enc},\mathsf{Dec})$  by defining

$$\mathsf{Enc}'_{nk}(m_1 \| m_2 \| ... \| m_\ell) = \mathsf{Enc}_{pk}(m_1) \| \mathsf{Enc}_{pk}(m_2) \| ... \| \mathsf{Enc}_{pk}(m_\ell)$$

#### **Problem 4: RSA Signatures**

- (a) **Plain RSA Signatures** Say the public key is  $\langle N, e \rangle = \langle 91, 11 \rangle$ .
  - 1. Verify that (43, 36) is a valid message-signature pair.
  - 2. Compute  $\phi(N)$ .
  - 3. Calculate the private key d.
  - 4. Sign the message m=28.
- **(b) Insecurity of plain RSA Signatures** In Section 12.4.1 we showed an attack on the plain RSA signature scheme in which an attacker forges a signature on an arbitrary message using two signing queries. Show how an attacker can forge a signature on an arbitrary message using a *single* signing query.

**Hint:** Use the no-query and the two-query attacks.

### Problem 5: One-time-secure signature scheme?

A signature scheme is one-time-secure if no PPT adversary making a *single* query can output a valid forgery.

Let f be a one-way permutation (it is hard to calculate the inverse of f). Consider the following signature scheme for messages in the set  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ :

- To generate keys, choose uniform  $x \in \{0,1\}^n$  and set  $y := f^{(n)}(x)$  (where  $f^{(i)}(.)$  refers to *i*-fold iteration of f, and  $f^{(0)}(x) = x$ ). The public key is y and the private key is x.
- To sign message  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ , output  $f^{(n-i)}(x)$ .
- To verify signature  $\sigma$  on message i with respect to public key y, check whether  $y = f^{(i)}(\sigma)$ .
- (a) Show that the verification procedure will output 1 for every legal message-signature pair.
- (b) Show that the above is not a one-time-secure signature scheme. Given a signature on a message i, for what messages j can an adversary output a forgery?

(c) Prove that no PPT adversary given a signature on i can output a forgery on any message j > i except with negligible probability.

#### Problem 6: El-Gamal variant

Consider the following public-key encryption scheme. The public key is (G,q,g,h) and the private key is x, generated exactly as in the El-Gamal encryption scheme. In order to encrypt a bit b, the sender does the following:

- 1. If b = 0 then choose independent random  $y, z \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ , compute  $c_1 = g^y$  and  $c_2 = g^z$ , and set the ciphertext equal to  $(c_1, c_2)$ .
- 2. If b=1 then choose a random  $y \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$  and compute  $c_1=g^y$  and  $c_2=h^y$ . The ciphertext is  $(c_1,c_2)$ .

Show that it is possible to decrypt efficiently given knowledge of x. Prove that this encryption scheme is CPA-secure if the decisional Diffie-Hellman problem is hard relative to  $\mathcal{G}$ .

### **★** Problem 7: Perfectly secure public-key encryption?

Assume a public-key encryption scheme for single-bit messages with no decryption error. Show that, given pk and a ciphertext c computed via  $c = \mathsf{Enc}_{pk}(m)$ , it is possible for an unbounded adversary to determine m with probability 1.

### ★ Problem 8: Another one-time secure signature scheme

Let f be a permutation and  $f^{(i)}(x)$  the i-fold iteration of f, and  $f^{(0)}(x) := x$ . Let us consider the following signature scheme  $\Pi = (\mathsf{Gen}, \mathsf{Sign}, \mathsf{Vrfy})$  for messages  $m \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$  with p = p(n) polynomial in n.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{Gen}(1^n) & : & \mathsf{Choose} \ \mathsf{sk}_1, \mathsf{sk}_2 \in_R \{0,1\}^n, \ \mathsf{pk}_1 := f^p(\mathsf{sk}_1) \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathsf{pk}_2 := f^p(\mathsf{sk}_2). \\ & \mathsf{Set} \ \mathsf{sk} := (\mathsf{sk}_1, \mathsf{sk}_2) \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathsf{pk} := (\mathsf{pk}_1, \mathsf{pk}_2). \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{Sign}_{\mathsf{sk}}(m) & : & \mathsf{Compute} \ \sigma_1 := f^{(p-m)}(\mathsf{sk}_1) \ \mathsf{and} \ \sigma_2 := f^{(m-1)}(\mathsf{sk}_2). \\ & \mathsf{Return} \ \sigma := (\sigma_1, \sigma_2). \end{array}$ 

 $\mathsf{Vrfy}_{\mathsf{pk}}(m,\sigma) \quad : \quad \mathsf{If} \ \mathsf{pk}_1 = f^{(m)}(\sigma_1) \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathsf{pk}_2 = f^{(p-m+1)}(\sigma_2) \ \mathsf{return} \ 1, \, \mathsf{else} \ \mathsf{return} \ 0.$ 

- (a) Show that  $\Pi$  is correct.
- **(b)** Prove that  $\Pi$  is a one-time-secure signature scheme, if f is a one-way permutation.

## **★** Problem 9: Hash-based signatures

Read Section 12.6 in [KL] to learn about hash-based signatures, one of the prime candidates for a signature scheme which remains secure against quantum attackers.