## Solution sketches to the homework exercises

#### Homework 7

## Question 2

The security defintion is pretty much the same as in the fixed length case: The adversary is granted access to an authentication oracle, this time for arbitrary length messages. She is then asked to produce a forgery, i.e. a message-tag-pair that has not been previously output by the oracle. Note that the message length of the forgery is allowed to be different from any of the messages sent to the oracle.

The attack works as follows. First, the adversary sends any message  $m = m_1 || m_2 || ... || m_l$  to the oracle, with  $|m_1| = n$ . This results in a tag t. Now the adversary outputs (m||m',t), with |m'| = l,  $m'_1 = m_1 \oplus t$ , and  $m'_i = m_i$  for all other i = 2, ..., l. This attack works because  $m_1 = m_1 \oplus t_0 = t \oplus m'_1$ .

## Homework 8

### Question 2

Well if you have a collision, i.e. strings x and x' such that H'(x) = H'(x'), than you have a collision for H. A correct solution for this problem should be a formal reduction i.e. it starts by assuming you have an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  that finds a collision for H' and then describing what the adversary  $\mathcal{A}'$  against H does that uses  $\mathcal{A}$  as a subroutine.

#### Question 3

The adversary can just query some message m to get a tag t, and then output  $(m', t \oplus H(m) \oplus H(m'))$ .

#### Question 4

Here we can use the result from Question 2 for the function f that, on input  $m = m_1 m_2 || m_3 ...$  with  $|m_i| = n$  outputs  $m_3$  (if the input is long enough, otherwise it outputs  $0^n$ ). That way a successful adversary is the following. Send m to the oracle to get a tag t and outputs (m', t') where m' and t' are obtained from m and t by flipping the first bit.

#### Question 6

The adversary can just flip the last bit of the challenge ciphertext and send it to the decryption oracle.

## Homework 10

#### Question 1

- a) This does not work, the adversary can just forward the question and the answer.
- b) This doesn't work, for the same reason as a)
- c) This works. The transcript is different for different choices of the randomness that is necessary for any key exchange protocol, so the transcripts of different runs are different with high probability. That way, the honest parties will detect whether they participated in the same run of the key exchange protocol.
- d) This is insecure. They will detect a man in the middle with high probability, in general, the hash will, however, leak some information about the key.
- e) This works. It has been, for example, employed by an earlyier version of Signal for detecting possible man-in-the-middle attacks in voice calls.

# Question 2

Encrypt the message with Gs public key, then encrypt the ciphertext along with instructions what to do with Fs public key and send it to F.