QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY
Master of Logic, University of Amsterdam, 2018
TEACHERS: Jan Czajkowski, Yfke Dulek, and Christian Schaffner

Homework problem set 2

Please hand in your solutions to these exercises in digital form (typed, or scanned from a neatly hand-written version) through Canvas no later than **Friday June 23, 20:00h**.

Problem 1: Injective functions are collapsing

Show that an injective function is collapsing, i.e. give a proof of Lemma 2 of our recent paper. You can ignore the oracles $\mathcal{O}$ in the statement of Lemma 2 and in Definition 1.

Problem 2: A weak seeded extractor

For any $y \in \{0,1\}^n$, define $f_y : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$ by $f_y(x) = x \oplus y$. Here, $\oplus$ represents the bitwise parity (e.g., $11 \oplus 01 = 10$).

(a) Show that the family $\mathscr{F} = \{f_y\}$ is 1-universal.

(b) Show that the family $\mathscr{F} = \{f_y\}$ is not 2-universal.

(c) How could you use $\mathscr{F}$ to build a $(k,0)$-weak seeded randomness extractor $\mathrm{Ext} : \{0,1\}^n \times \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$, for any $0 \leq k \leq n$? Is this extractor useful?

(d) Alice and Bob have a k-source X for some $k < n$. They are impressed by the parameters in the previous subexercise, and decide to use $\mathscr{F}$ to build a strong seeded randomness extractor as well. They know they should not expect to securely extract more than k bits of key, so they define $\mathrm{Ext}(x,y) := (x_1 \oplus y_1, ..., x_k \oplus y_k)$, that is, the first k bits of $f_y(x)$. (From the exercise session, they know how to show that this set of functions is still 1-universal). Do you think this is a good idea? Give a lower bound to Eve's probability of guessing the key.

Problem 3: Min-Entropy Chain rule for cq-states

Let $\rho_{XE} = \sum_x P_X(x)|x\rangle\langle x| \otimes \rho_E^x$ be a cq-state. Prove the following chain rule:

$$\mathrm{H}_{\min}(X|E) \geq \mathrm{H}_{\min}(X) - \log|E|\,.$$

Hint: Use the fact that $0 \leq \rho_E^x \leq \mathbb{1}$.

Problem 4: Min-entropy from the matching outcomes bound

Alice and Bob can extract at most $H_{\min}(X|E)$ bits of randomness to create their key, X is the outcome of Alice's measurement on her qubit. Now we want to connect the conditional min-entropy to the probability that the matching-outcomes test succeeds. We assume that the adversary Eve prepares n identical and uncorrelated copies of the tripartite state $|\psi_{ABE}\rangle$ and sends the qubits A to Alice and B to Bob. Recall that if Alice measures her qubit in the standard basis, and the resulting post-measurement state on her qubit and Eve's system E is a classical-quantum (cq) state

$$\rho_{XE} = \frac{1}{2}|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes \rho_E^{Z,0} + \frac{1}{2}|1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes \rho_E^{Z,1}, \tag{1}$$

then the optimal guessing probability $P_{\text{guess}}(X|E)$ such that

$$H_{\min}(X|E) = -\log P_{\text{guess}}(X|E) \tag{2}$$

is given by the Helström measurement, for which

$$P_{\text{guess}}(X|E) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\|\rho_E^{Z,0} - \rho_E^{Z,1}\|_1. \tag{3}$$

The same reasoning holds for any other choice of Alice's basis, notably the Hadamard basis $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$. In the BB'84 protocol Alice chooses with probability $1/2$ one of the two bases in which to measure her qubit. If we denote by $P_{\text{guess}}(X|E,\Theta=0)$ and $P_{\text{guess}}(X|E,\Theta=1)$ the optimal guessing probabilities for Alice measuring in the standard ($\Theta=0$) and Hadamard ($\Theta=1$) bases respectively, the desired lower bound is given by

$$H_{\min}(X|E) = -\log\left[\frac{1}{2}P_{\text{guess}}(X|E,\Theta=0) + \frac{1}{2}P_{\text{guess}}(X|E,\Theta=1)\right]. \tag{4}$$

(a) **Problem 1** Suppose Alice and Bob share a pure Bell pair $|\Phi^+\rangle$, uncorrelated with Eve's system: $\rho_{ABE} = |\Phi^+\rangle\langle\Phi^+|_{AB} \otimes \rho_E$. What is $H_{\min}(X|E)$?

(b) **Problem 2** Now consider the general case, where $|\psi_{ABE}\rangle$ is an arbitrary state prepared by Eve. Let p be the probability that this state succeeds in the matching outcomes test, when Alice and Bob both measure in the same basis Θ chosen at random. Give coefficients a, b, c such that

$$p = a\langle\psi_{ABE}|X_A \otimes X_B \otimes \mathbb{1}_E|\psi_{ABE}\rangle + b\langle\psi_{ABE}|Z_A \otimes Z_B \otimes \mathbb{1}_E|\psi_{ABE}\rangle + c, \tag{5}$$

where X,Z are the Pauli observables $X = |+\rangle\langle+| - |-\rangle\langle-|$ and $Z = |0\rangle\langle0| - |1\rangle\langle1|$.

(c) **Problem 3** Let p_X (resp. p_Z) be the probability that the state $|\psi_{ABE}\rangle$ passes the matching outcomes test in the Hadamard (resp. computational) basis, so that $p = \frac{1}{2}(p_X + p_Z)$.

By expanding the qubit A in the computational basis, the state $|\psi_{ABE}\rangle$ can be expressed as $|\psi_{ABE}\rangle = |0\rangle_A \otimes |u_0)_{BE} + |1\rangle_A \otimes |u_1)_{BE}$ ($|u)_{BE}$ signifies a not normalized vector in $\mathcal{H}_{BE}$), with $\||u_0)_{BE}\|^2 + \||u_1)_{BE}\|^2 = 1$. Give coefficients a',b' such that

$$\langle\psi_{ABE}|X_A \otimes X_B \otimes \mathbb{1}_E|\psi_{ABE}\rangle = a'\,\mathfrak{Re}((u_0|X_B \otimes \mathbb{1}_E|u_1)) + b'. \tag{6}$$

(d) **Problem 4** Suppose Alice measures her qubit in the computational basis: the post-measurement state on A and E (tracing out B) can be written as $\rho^Z_{AE} = |0\rangle\langle0|_A \otimes \sigma_E^{Z,0} + |1\rangle\langle1|_A \otimes \sigma_E^{Z,1}$. Similarly, if Alice measures in the Hadamard basis we may write the post-measurement state as $\rho^X_{AE} = |+\rangle\langle+|_A \otimes \sigma_E^{X,+} + |-\rangle\langle-|_A \otimes \sigma_E^{X,-}$.

Use the previous two questions to determine coefficients α ,β such that

$$2p - 1 \leq \alpha F(\sigma_E^{X,+}, \sigma_E^{X,-}) + \beta F(\sigma_E^{Z,0}, \sigma_E^{Z,1}). \tag{7}$$

where F denotes the fidelity.

[Hint: observe that $|u_0\rangle_{BE}$ and $|u_1\rangle_{BE}$ considered in the previous question are purifications of $\sigma_E^{Z,0}$ and $\sigma_E^{Z,1}$ respectively, and use Uhlmann's theorem]

(e) **Problem 5** Recall the inequality $D(\rho, \sigma) \leq \sqrt{1 - F(\rho, \sigma)^2}$. Using also the definition of $H_{\min}(X|E)$ (Equations (**??**), (**??**)), show that the best lower bound on $H_{\min}(X|E)$, as a function of p, that you can get is

$$1 - \log\left(1 + \sqrt{p(1-p) + \frac{3}{4}}\right). \tag{8}$$