

Practice problem set 4

You do not have to hand in these exercises, they are for practicing only.

Problem 1: A pretty good measurement

You are given one of three states $\rho_0 = |0\rangle\langle 0|$, $\rho_1 = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{I}$, and $\rho_2 = |1\rangle\langle 1|$, each with equal probability.

- (a) What is the probability of correctly identifying which state you were given (1, 2 or 3) if you use the pretty-good-measurement?
- (b) Can you find a measurement that will give you a better success probability?

Problem 2: Negligible functions

A function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called negligible if for any $c \in \mathbb{N}_+$, there exists an integer n_c such that for all $n > n_c$ we have

$$|f(n)| < \frac{1}{n^c}.$$

- (a) Show that $f(n) = 2^{-(\log(n))^2}$ is negligible.
- (b) Show that if $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ are negligible, then so is $h(n) = f(n) + g(n)$.
- (c) Similarly, show that if $f(n)$ is negligible, and $g(n) \in O(n^d)$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$, then so is $h(n) = f(n) \cdot g(n)$. Can you see why negligible functions are useful to bound the success probability of an adversary?

Problem 3: 2-universality

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_y : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m\}$ be a 2-universal family of hash functions. For some $m' < m$, define $\mathcal{F}' = \{f'_y : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{m'}\}$ by $f'_y(x) = f_y(x)_{|m'}$, that is, the first m' bits of $f_y(x)$. Show that \mathcal{F}' is also 2-universal.

Problem 4: A weak seeded extractor

For any $y \in \{0, 1\}^n$, define $f_y : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ by $f_y(x) = x \oplus y$. Here, \oplus represents the bitwise parity (e.g., $11 \oplus 01 = 10$).

- (a) Show that the family $\mathcal{F} = \{f_y\}$ is 1-universal.
- (b) How could you use \mathcal{F} to build a $(k, 0)$ -weak seeded randomness extractor $\text{Ext} : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ for any k . Is this extractor useful?
- (c) Alice and Bob are impressed by the parameter $\epsilon = 0$ in the previous exercise. They decide that if \mathcal{F} can be used for a $(k, 0)$ -weak seeded randomness extractor, then certainly it can reasonably be used as a **strong** seeded randomness extractor as well. They define $\text{Ext}(x, y) = f_y(x)$. Do you think this is a good idea? How does Eve's guessing probability change after extraction?

Problem 5: Deterministic extractors on bit-fixing sources

In the lectures, you learned that no deterministic function can serve as an extractor for *all* random sources of a given length. This doesn't rule out the possibility that a deterministic extractor can work on some restricted class of sources. Consider Alice holding an n -bit source X that fixes $t < n$ bits. These t bits represent the bits that Eve learns about X , and that are therefore not usable by Alice anymore for her cryptographic tasks.

- (a) If Alice knows which t positions are fixed by X , how much randomness can she extract from X ?
- (b) Now suppose Alice does not know which bits are compromised (but she does know t). She decides to extract randomness from X by taking the XOR of all of her bits, producing just one output bit. For which values of t is this secure?
- (c) Alice now wants to extract more than one bit of randomness from X (still without knowing which positions are fixed). Her idea is to take subsets of the bits of X , and to treat each subset as its own bit-fixing source. What is the largest number of independent subsources she can take (in terms of n and t), such that it is possible to securely extract a bit of randomness from each subsourse?