

Quantum Cryptography

June 2017

University of Amsterdam

Master of Logic

Evaluation Sheets for Final Presentations

Quantum Cryptography, MoL June 2017, University of Amsterdam

Evaluation Sheet for Final Presentations

Speakers: Jeroen

Topic: An Adaptive Attack on Wiesner's Quantum Money

	Comments
Timing (25 minutes)	
competence in the subject, good answers to the questions?	
is it a difficult topic?	

presentation skills (use of English language, contact with audience, clarity of speech, speed, body language)	
is it a well-practiced talk?	
confidence, enthusiasm of the speaker, is it entertaining?	

quality of slides (readability, not too crowded, appropriate amount etc.)	
quality of handout (is it of "added value"?)	
is the difficulty level appropriate for the audience? do I understand everything?	
has the main message been conveyed to the audience?	

is the talk well-structured?	
are the presented aspects of the topic well selected?	
are connections made with material from the rest of the course?	
are there enough illustrative examples?	

General comments:

Quantum Cryptography, MoL June 2017, University of Amsterdam

Evaluation Sheet for Final Presentations

Speakers: Line

Topic: Implausible Consequences of Superstrong Nonlocality

	Comments
Timing (25 minutes)	
competence in the subject, good answers to the questions?	
is it a difficult topic?	

presentation skills (use of English language, contact with audience, clarity of speech, speed, body language)	
is it a well-practiced talk?	
confidence, enthusiasm of the speaker, is it entertaining?	

quality of slides (readability, not too crowded, appropriate amount etc.)	
quality of handout (is it of “added value”?)	
is the difficulty level appropriate for the audience? do I understand everything?	
has the main message been conveyed to the audience?	

is the talk well-structured?	
are the presented aspects of the topic well selected?	
are connections made with material from the rest of the course?	
are there enough illustrative examples?	

General comments:

Quantum Cryptography, MoL June 2017, University of Amsterdam

Evaluation Sheet for Final Presentations

Speakers: David

Topic: Secure Assisted Quantum Computation

	Comments
Timing (25 minutes)	
competence in the subject, good answers to the questions?	
is it a difficult topic?	

presentation skills (use of English language, contact with audience, clarity of speech, speed, body language)	
is it a well-practiced talk?	
confidence, enthusiasm of the speaker, is it entertaining?	

quality of slides (readability, not too crowded, appropriate amount etc.)	
quality of handout (is it of “added value”?)	
is the difficulty level appropriate for the audience? do I understand everything?	
has the main message been conveyed to the audience?	

is the talk well-structured?	
are the presented aspects of the topic well selected?	
are connections made with material from the rest of the course?	
are there enough illustrative examples?	

General comments:

Quantum Cryptography, MoL June 2017, University of Amsterdam

Evaluation Sheet for Final Presentations

Speakers: Jelle

Topic: Revocable Quantum Timed-Release Encryption

	Comments
Timing (25 minutes)	
competence in the subject, good answers to the questions?	
is it a difficult topic?	

presentation skills (use of English language, contact with audience, clarity of speech, speed, body language)	
is it a well-practiced talk?	
confidence, enthusiasm of the speaker, is it entertaining?	

quality of slides (readability, not too crowded, appropriate amount etc.)	
quality of handout (is it of “added value”?)	
is the difficulty level appropriate for the audience? do I understand everything?	
has the main message been conveyed to the audience?	

is the talk well-structured?	
are the presented aspects of the topic well selected?	
are connections made with material from the rest of the course?	
are there enough illustrative examples?	

General comments:

Quantum Cryptography, MoL June 2017, University of Amsterdam

Evaluation Sheet for Final Presentations

Speakers: Raja

Topic: The Garden-Hose Model

	Comments
Timing (25 minutes)	
competence in the subject, good answers to the questions?	
is it a difficult topic?	

presentation skills (use of English language, contact with audience, clarity of speech, speed, body language)	
is it a well-practiced talk?	
confidence, enthusiasm of the speaker, is it entertaining?	

quality of slides (readability, not too crowded, appropriate amount etc.)	
quality of handout (is it of “added value”?)	
is the difficulty level appropriate for the audience? do I understand everything?	
has the main message been conveyed to the audience?	

is the talk well-structured?	
are the presented aspects of the topic well selected?	
are connections made with material from the rest of the course?	
are there enough illustrative examples?	

General comments: