Data Visualization Principles: Interaction, Filtering, Aggregation

CSC444

What if there's too much data?

- Sometimes you can't present all the data in a single plot

- **Interaction**: let the user drive what aspect of the data is being displayed

- **Filtering**: Selectively hide some of the data points

- **Aggregation**: Show visual representations of subsets of the data

Focus+Context

When showing a limited view, try to hint at what is not being shown.

Demos: NYT Interactive charts

http://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2014/06/05/upshot/how-the-recession-reshaped-the-economy-in-255-charts.html?abt=0002&abg=0

http://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2014/09/19/nyregion/stop-and-frisk-map.html

http://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2014/upshot/buy-rent-calculator.html?abt=0002&abg=0

INTERACTION

Fundamental idea

- Interpret the state of elements in the UI as a **clause** in a **query**. As UI changes, update data


Willett et al., TVCG 2007 (*)

Panning

https://www.google.com/finance?q=INDEXFTSE

Zooming

https://www.google.com/finance?q=INDEXFTSE

Focus+Context for Pan & Zoom

"Geometric" Zooming

vs.

"Semantic" Zooming

http://bl.ocks.org/mbostock/3680957

Smooth Zoom transitions (research highlight)

- What's the "best" way to go from one zoomed view to another?

- Differential equations to the rescue!

van Wijk and Nuij, Infovis 2003
http://bl.ocks.org/mbostock/3828981

Research Highlight: smooth zoom transitions

Figure 1: World space and image space

van Wijk and Nuij, Infovis 2003
http://bl.ocks.org/mbostock/3828981

Research Highlight: smooth zoom transitions

Shortest paths in zoom space!

3.3 Metric

We aim for a path that is smooth and efficient. Both require that we are able to measure the effect of changing **c** and w, as perceived by the viewer. Following and generalizing the approach of Igarashi and Hinckley [2000], we use the velocity of the moving image as a basis for measurements, i.e., we aim at a metric for the perceived average optic flow in the image window. To this end, we first consider the

$$\ldots$$

(e.g. $E_u = \partial E / \partial u$). For our metric $E = \rho^2/w^2$ and $G = 1/\rho^2 w^2$, substitution gives

$$\ddot{u} - 2\dot{u}\dot{w}/w = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$\ddot{w} + \rho^4 \dot{u}^2/w - \dot{w}^2/w = 0. \tag{8}$$

van Wijk and Nuij, Infovis 2003
http://bl.ocks.org/mbostock/3828981

FILTERING

Fundamental idea

- Choose a rule, hide elements that don't match that rule

- the more complex the rule, the better you will be able to find patterns in the data. **More focus**

- the more complex the rule, the less transparent it is, so user doesn't know what the filtering is doing. **Less context**

- Case in point: **do not hide outliers!**

- **Fancy outlier detection considered harmful**

Brushing, linked views

- **Filtering** + **Interaction**

- Show more than one view of the same data

- Users drag "brushes": regions of each view, which are interpreted directly as queries

- No additional UI!

http://bl.ocks.org/mbostock/4063663

AGGREGATION

Fundamental idea

- If there's too much data, replace individual data points with representation of **subsets**

71,818 of 231,083 flights selected.

Data Cubes: aggregate by collapsing attributes


(a) The lattice of data cubes

(b) Projecting a three dimensional data cube

Multiscale Visualization using Data Cubes, Stolte et al., Infovis 2002

Data Cubes: aggregate by collapsing attributes

Multiscale Visualization using Data Cubes,
Stolte et al., Infovis 2002

Data Cubes: aggregate by collapsing attributes

- recent: data cubes specifically designed for vis:

  - Bostock et al.'s Crossfilter (http://square.github.io/crossfilter/)

  - Liu et al.'s Immens (http://vis.stanford.edu/papers/immens)

  - Lins et al.'s Nanocubes (http://nanocubes.net/)

- **Filtering + Aggregation + Interaction**

Scented widgets (Willett et al., 2007)

- If UI is necessary, summarize data on UI overlay

- **Filtering + Aggregation + Interaction**

Research Questions

- "Torture your data enough, and it'll tell you anything", Ronald Coase

  - (http://tylervigen.com/)

- Statistics has tools to mitigate this problem

- Interaction is much less well-studied!

Shneiderman's "Visual information seeking mantra"

**Overview first,
zoom and filter,
then details-on-demand**

Demos

http://www.nytimes.com/interactive/dining/new-york-health-department-restaurant-ratings-map.html

http://square.github.io/crossfilter/

http://cscheid.net/static/mlb-hall-of-fame-voting/

Overview first:

Before all else, show a "high-level" view, possibly through appropriate aggregation

Zoom and Filter:

Use interaction to create user-specified views

Details on Demand:

Individual points or attributes should be available, but only as requested