

An Algebraic Process for Visualization Design

Carlos Scheidegger, Gordon Kindlmann

VIS 2014

Test Suites for Visualization

- How do we know that a visualization is doing the right thing?
 - What is even the right thing?

Property-Based Testing

- Instead of testing one case, find a property that your code should obey, and generate test cases automatically

EVALUATION

Evaluation through User Studies

- Define tasks, run user study, measure variable, do stats
 - Very hard to do right, time-consuming, expensive
 - and even harder for conclusions to generalize
- Whole courses are taught entirely about this - we're not going to do that

“Evaluation through Imagination”



- Instead, we are going to use **thought experiments**:
 - **What if the input were different** - what would this change cause?
 - **What if the picture were different** - how could the input have been different?
- The answers tell us a lot about the visualization
 - Not as good as a good user study, but **practical**

- pick-up
- drop-off




- pick-up
- drop-off






We want a theory to
explain, critique and
suggest visualizations




Equation 1

$$\nu \circ r_2 \circ \alpha = \omega \circ \nu \circ r_1$$




Failure of The Invariance Principle






Success of The Invariance Principle






Failure of The Unambiguity Principle






Success of The Unambiguity Principle




Failure of The Correspondence Principle





Success of The Correspondence Principle







“colormapping, then opposing” **differs** from
“negating, then colormapping”





“colormapping, then opposing” is **equal** to
“negating, then colormapping”



(b) Diverging colormap

The algebraic process:

- 1) Pick spaces of interest
- 2) Pick transformations of interest
- 3) Study what happens on the other side

(We want a theory to **explain**, **critique** and **suggest** visualizations)

Interlude: Cleveland and McGill

Position: Good

Length: Good


Angle: Not so good

Saturation: Not so good


Case Study:

Employment rates across countries and genders



Original visualizations by
Jonathan Schwabish and
NYT's Catherine Rampell





How do we use this?





- α_1 : What if the rate was different for just one gender?
Either $x'_W = x_W + k$ and $x'_M = x_M$, or, $x'_M = x_M + k$ and $x'_W = x_W$.
- α_2 : What if the rates for men and women were switched?
 $x'_M = x_W$ and $x'_W = x_M$.
- α_3 : What if the gender gap in the rate was different?
 $x'_M = x_M + k$ and $x'_W = x_W - k$.
- α_4 : What if the overall rate was different (the same gender gap)?
 $x'_M = x_M + k$ and $x'_W = x_W + k$.







1. α_1 : What if the rate was different? Either $x'_W = x_W + k$ and $x'_M = x_M - k$.
2. α_2 : What if the rates for men were $x'_M = x_W$ and $x'_W = x_M$.
3. α_3 : What if the gender gap was different? $x'_M = x_M + k$ and $x'_W = x_W - k$.
4. α_4 : What if the overall rate was different? $x'_M = x_M + k$ and $x'_W = x_W - k$.



1. α_1 : What if the rate was different? Either $x'_W = x_W + k$ and $x'_M = x_M - k$.
2. α_2 : What if the rates for men were $x'_M = x_W$ and $x'_W = x_M$.
3. α_3 : What if the gender gap was different? $x'_M = x_M + k$ and $x'_W = x_W - k$.
4. α_4 : What if the overall rate was different? $x'_M = x_M + k$ and $x'_W = x_W - k$.



1. α_1 : What if the rate was different? Either $x'_W = x_W + k$ and $x'_M = x_M - k$.
2. α_2 : What if the rates for men and women were swapped? $x'_M = x_W$ and $x'_W = x_M$.
3. α_3 : What if the gender gap was swapped? $x'_M = x_M + k$ and $x'_W = x_W - k$.
4. α_4 : What if the overall rate was swapped? $x'_M = x_M + k$ and $x'_W = x_W - k$.

% of women in senior mgmt.



20

15

10

5

0



1. α_1 : What if the rate was different? Either $x'_W = x_W + k$ and $x'_M = x_M - k$.
2. α_2 : What if the rates for men were $x'_M = x_W$ and $x'_W = x_M$.
3. α_3 : What if the gender gap was different? $x'_M = x_M + k$ and $x'_W = x_W - k$.
4. α_4 : What if the overall rate was different? $x'_M = x_M + k$ and $x'_W = x_W - k$.

% of women in senior mgmt.

20

15

10

5



0

10

15

20

% of men in senior mgmt.



1. α_1 : What if the rate was different? Either $x'_W = x_W + k$ and $x'_M = x_M - k$.
2. α_2 : What if the rates for men were different? $x'_M = x_W$ and $x'_W = x_M$.
3. α_3 : What if the gender gap was different? $x'_M = x_M + k$ and $x'_W = x_W - k$.
4. α_4 : What if the overall rate was different? $x'_M = x_M + k$ and $x'_W = x_W - k$.

Summary

- To evaluate a visualization:
 - take one instance of the data being visualized, and **think about how the input could have been different**
 - **What this would do to the vis?** Is this a good channel? Is it separable?
 - Conversely, think of the good channels: position, length, luminance - **do changes of these attributes correspond to sensible changes in the data?**

(Extras)

