

Project 1: Basic classification

WU1

By computing $\text{mean}((\text{datasets.TennisData.Y} > 0) == (h.\text{predictAll}(\text{datasets.TennisData.X}) > 0))$, you are actually computing $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} [y_k = f(\mathbf{x}_k)]$, which, by definition, is accuracy (1 - training error).

WU2

The training accuracy goes down after increasing number of examples. This is because there would be more ambiguity, meaning there would be examples with different labels with same feature values at non-leaf nodes where the DT makes a decision.

The testing error could go down even after you increase the number of examples. This is because the features that are considered important on training phase can vary as the number of examples changes. Let's say when there are 50 examples, a feature x_{a1} is considered more dominant than the other features. However, as the number of examples increases, say 100, another feature x_{a2} may be considered more influential than x_{a1} . This may be right or wrong on the test data. If it is right on test data, the test accuracy goes up, and vice versa.

WU3

The training accuracy is guaranteed to monotonically increase, because it is table-look-up, except for the case which at every node on DT the probability of going right or left is 50-50. This will never increase the accuracy, but very unlikely to happen.

The testing accuracy could oscillate like on the figure, but not guaranteed. As questions asked at DT nodes go up, the model tends to overfit. This could either increase or decrease in accuracy. However, in the end the accuracy goes down overall.

WU4

- introduction to low-level programming concepts [212]
 - program analysis and understanding [631]
 - computer processing of pictorial information [733]
 - Leaf -1.0
 - Leaf 1.0
 - introduction to human-computer interaction [434]
 - Leaf -1.0
 - Leaf 1.0
- computational linguistics ii [773]
 - computational methods [460]
 - Leaf -1.0
 - Leaf 1.0
 - computational geometry [754]

- Leaf 1.0
- Leaf 1.0

I may be wrong and there may be correlation between taking CG and taking these courses, but the courses look fairly random to me. This implies DT is not the best model for this problem.

WU5

Your answer here.

WU6

Your answer here.