# Unit Testing the Open-source project "Miradi"

Team 5

Ian Dudderar, Jacob Lipsey, Jacob Nash, Jacob Roddam

# Table of Contents

**Commented [JN1]:** If we change anything we need to make sure we update the page numbers here

Abstract		
Background		3
Chapter 1		3
Chapter 1		
Chapter 2		4
C1		5
Chapter 3	•••••	
Chapter 4		9
G1		s
-		
Chapter 6		
Appendix A		
Team	n self-evaluation	A-i
Sugg	estions for course improvements	

# Abstract

Write this after everything else.

### **Background**

Linux, the UNIX-like OS named after Linus Torvalds who helped create it, is the most famous and most widely used open-source software ever. Part of this is due to the flexible nature of Linux, allowing it to be useful in thousands of different ways. This flexibility is fundamental to its open-source nature—here, open-source meaning literally that, that the *source* code is *openly* available to anyone and everyone. And since the source is open to all, anyone may change it in any way they see fit, for any reason. This has allowed Linux to become more than just one OS; it has been transformed into thousands of unique instances. Though by no means the first open-source software, the popularity and usefulness of Linux (and other softwares) have inspired many to turn their own endeavors into open-source projects, in order that their software might benefit from other's work and insights and that more people would find use in the programs than if they were proprietary. Among such software are both ODK Collect and Miradi, the two open-source projects that we used throughout the semester.

### Chapter 1

At the beginning of the semester, we were planning on testing the software ODK (Open Data Kit). Eventually, we had to change projects due to lack of thorough documentation on ODK as well as not being able to find testable classes (at least, tests that could be automated) within ODK. We changed direction, and switched our project to Miradi, an open-source software designed to help worldwide conservation efforts. Miradi would prove to have its own issues, but we were able to build and run the program. Since we were all still learning how to use the Linux command line, we all felt satisfied once we had the software built and running. At this point in

**Commented [JN2]:** Do you guys think we need these sections?

the semester, we didn't have a good grasp yet of where we were headed with this project and didn't yet understand how we would be testing this software, but we weren't terribly concerned because we seemed to be on par with the other teams.

# Chapter 2

For deliverable #2, we produced the following test plan:

### Testing Process

Each method will be isolated in its class and built along with any dependencies necessary so that a main driver can be run from the command line, along with a file containing any necessary inputs. The expected output will be compared to the received output to determine success or failure.

### Requirements Traceability

Many of the methods being used will have a dependency on other objects, classes, or methods in other directories existing in the Miradi repo. These will also be tested and well-documented to ensure that there are no loose-ends to minimize potential reasons for a failed test.

### Planned Schedule

- 10-13: Revised testing plan presented, 5 test cases specified
- 10-15: All teams have success building classes from command line
- 10-20: Final decisions of classes/methods to test
- 10-27: Implemented at least one test using automated testing script
- 11-5: Deliverable #3 --> Automated testing framework designed and operable; also, at least 15 test cases designed (and hopefully tested)
- 11-12: At least 20 test cases designed (and hopefully tested); also, begin "testing" testing framework
- 11-17: Deliverable #4 --> Testing framework revised and finalized, 25 test cases designed and tested
- 11-20: Re-analysis of testing framework and test cases, inspection for possible faults
- 11-24: Deliverable #5 --> Fault injection testing & report
- 11-27: Final report accumulation, and presentation designed
- 12-1: Team comfortable with project presentation; have practiced more than once
- 12-3: Final Report --> Project presentations

### **Procedures**

Each method will be traced and recorded, ensuring that any necessary inherited classes or abstract methods are appropriately documented. From this information, we will study what is being performed so that we can understand the purpose of the function as well as predict the

overall outcome. Test cases will be developed and written down, along with their expected outputs. After testing, pass/fail will be listed as well as any reasons why the test case was successful or a failure.

### Hardware and Software Requirements

In order to enact our system of testing we will be using a Linux-based VM terminal as well as an installed JDK and JRE. This can be performed by entering '\$ sudo dnf install java-latest-openjdk' on the command line.

### Constraints

Our current and predicted future constraints are as follows but not limited to:

- -Lack of prior knowledge and experience in github forking/cloning, as well as Linux
- -Omission of an easy-to-use build file provided by Miradi
- -Poor communication and group understanding placed by virtual constraints due to COVID
- -Time management issues throughout the semester colliding with other courses
- -Having to retrace certain steps as we encounter dead-ends in our progress

We were mostly able to hold to this plan, with a few exceptions in the schedule due to unplanned occurrences such as changing work schedules and difficulty finding a time for all team members to "meet" virtually. Also, the original 5 test cases that were specified with this deliverable were discarded in favor of others, so they have been omitted here; test cases actually implemented are given in the next section and in the project submission.

### Chapter 3

In deliverable 3, we included the following how-to build steps, a diagram of the testing framework, and the first 5 test cases.

### How-To:

(Step 1) Create an Ubuntu 20.04 Virtual Machine or use a working Ubuntu 20.04 Virtual Machine.

(Step 2a) Verify that java 8 is on your device and not a different version. If it isn't java 8, and you don't have java 8 installed, then type the following into the terminal, and after the last command select java 8. "sudo apt-get update" "sudo apt-get install openjdk-8-jre" "sudo update-alternatives --config java"

(Step 2b) If you do have java 8 installed, but it is not the default, then type the following into the terminal and select java 8. "sudo update-alternatives --config java"

(Step 3) Clone the Team-5 repository to the Virtual Machine.

(Step 4) In the terminal, move to directory /Team-5/TestAutomation/ and run the runAllTests.sh file with the following command, your default browser should appear with the test results of all tests. "./scripts/runAllTests.sh"

```
Framework Directory Structure
/TestAutomation
/project
  /src
   DoubleUtilities.class
   DoubleUtilities.java
   EnhancedJsonArray.class
   EnhancedJsonArray.java
   EnhancedJsonObject.class
   EnhancedJsonObject.java
   FloatingPointFormatter.class
   FloatingPointFormatter.java
   IgnoreCaseStringComparator.class
   IgnoreCaseStringComparator.java
   IntVector.class
   IntVector.java
   OptionalDouble.class
   OptionalDouble.java
   StringList.class
   StringList.java
   StringUtilites.class
   StringUtilites.java
  /bin/org
   /json
    JSONArray.class
    JSONArray.java
    JSONObject$Null.class
    JSONObject.class
    JSONObject.java
```

Commented [JN3]: I'm pretty sure he doesn't want the compiled class files in here.

**Commented [JN4]:** Do we need these? Do we use them?

/scripts runAllTests.sh /testCases testCase01.txt

testCase02.txt

JSONTokener.class JSONTokener.java /miradi/utils

testCase03.txt

/reports report.html report1.html report2.html report3.html here? Also, what are TestRemove and TestAdd?

report4.html report5.html report6.html report7.html report8.html report9.html report10.html report11.html report12.html report13.html report14.html report15.html report16.html report17.html report18.html report19.html report20.html report21.html report22.html report23.html report24.html report25.html

**Commented [JN7]:** Why do we have 26 different reports? Shouldn't they be cleaned out each time before it runs?

# Test Cases

The format for test cases is:

Test Number Requirement being tested Method being tested Input1 Input2 ... Expected Output

Test Execuatble name (without ".java" i.e. TestAdd)

Here are the first five test cases:

1 add() Method returns correct sum of two positive doubles with no value after decimal public OptionalDouble add(OptionalDouble optionalDoubleToAdd) 2.0 3.0 5.0 TestAdd

add() Method returns correct sum for two positive doubles with values after decimal public OptionalDouble add(OptionalDouble optionalDoubleToAdd)

```
5.7 3.6
9.3
TestAdd
add() Method returns correct sum for two very large positive doubles
public OptionalDouble add(OptionalDouble optionalDoubleToAdd)
99999.99999 123456.789
223456.78899
TestAdd
add() Method returns correct sum for two very large negative doubles
public OptionalDouble add(OptionalDouble optionalDoubleToAdd)
-99999.99999 -123456.789
-223456.78899
TestAdd
5
add() Method returns correct sum for a positive double and a small double
public OptionalDouble add(OptionalDouble optionalDoubleToAdd)
7.0 - 5.6
1.4
TestAdd
```

# Chapter 4

For deliverable 4, we finished adding in all 25 of our test cases, and we changed the html format to look nicer, we made it into a chart showing test case ID, requirement being tested, inputs, expected output, and whether the test passed or failed. Previously, we didn't have all of this information displayed and easily located, so we added in what we were missing as well as making the format look nicer. The specific 25 test cases have been omitted here for brevity; they can be found in /Team-5/TestAutomation/testCases/ if you would like to view them all.

# Chapter 5

For deliverable 5, we added in faults to our testing framework to see how they were handled.

<u>Instructions for running code with and without faults:</u>

-Each fault will be located in the specified class and method within the "testExecutables" folder

- -Original and faulty code segments are specified by comments
- -By default, the original code is commented out and the faulty code runs
- -To switch back to the original code simply uncomment out the original code and comment out the faulty code
- -Upon running scripts/runAllTests.sh again, the program will run with the original code

### Fault 1:

Class: OptionalDouble

Method: add(OptionalDouble OptionalDoubleToAdd)

Change Made: Each value involved in the addition is rounded using the method "round" from the java.math library. Doing so allows each double to be changed to its nearest whole number, and then parsed to an integer.

Effects: Test ID #2

Expected Result: 9.3 New Result: 10 Failed

Expected Result: 223456.7889

New Result: 223457

Failed Test ID #4

Test ID #3

Expected Result: -223456.78899

New Result: -223457

Failed Test ID #5

Expected Result: 1.4 New Result: 1

Failed

Note: Test #1 unaffected by change

## Fault 2:

Class: OptionalDouble

Method: subtract(OptionalDouble OptionalDoubleToSubtract)

Change Made: Previously the code would find the difference between two numbers. After the inserted changes, the code now returns the absolute value of the difference of the two numbers, resulting in the inability to return a negative number.

Effects: Test ID #7

Expected Result: -8.1 New Result: 8.1

Failed

Note: Tests #6, #8, #9, #10 unaffected by change

### Fault 3:

Class: OptionalDouble

Method: divideBy(OptionalDouble optionalDoubleToDivideBy)

Change Made: Previously the code would return the dividend divided by the divisor. The changes to the code swap these two values, so that the dividend becomes the divisor and vice versa.

Effects: Test ID #22

Expected Result: 0.0368767629

New Result: 27.11345

Failed Test ID #23

Expected Result: 222.1059113300

New Result: 0.00450

Failed Test ID #24

Expected Result: -997.1319311663

New Result: 0.001002876

Failed Test ID #25

Expected Result: 2.2829181495 New Result: 0.438035853

Failed

Note: Test #21 unaffected by change.

### Fault 4.

Class: OptionalDouble

Method: multiply(OptionalDouble optionalDoubleToMultiply)

Change Made: The calculated product in the original code is now multiplied by -1 before returning the value.

Effects:

Test ID #16

Expected Result: 3189.34 New Result: -3189.34

Failed Test ID #17

Expected Result: -105.148449 New Result: 105.148449

Failed Test ID #19 Expected Result: 0.00000154737 New Result: -0.00000154737

Failed Test ID #20

Expected Result: -11.115179348 New Result: 11.115179348

Failed

Note: Test #18 unaffected by change.

### Fault 5:

Class: IgnoreCaseStringComparator

Method: compare(Object object1, Object object2)

Change Made: Inserted code so that the method no longer ignores case during the

comparisons.

Effects: Test ID #12

Expected Result: 0 (false) New Result: 1 (true)

Failed

Note: Test #11, #13, #14, #15 unaffected by change.

# Chapter 6

Overall team experiences and what we learned from this project.

**Commented [JN8]:** I wanted all your input before I wrote this

Ian, Jacob, Jacob, and Jacob

A-i

# Appendix A

# Team 5 self-evaluation

something

Commented [JN9]: I also wanted y'alls input here too

UNIT TESTING MIRADI	Ian, Jacob, Jacob, and Jacob	A-ii	
Comments on course and suggestions for impr	ovements		
something			Commented [JN10]: Your input here too
something something	ovements		Commented [JN10]: Your input here too