

Lab: BST and AVL

CSCI104

REMEMBER: Heaps

- COMPLETE d-ary tree
 - All levels except the last are completely filled
 - All leaves in last level are to the left side
- Every parent is "better" than both of its children
- Min Heap: node is less than or equal to all children
- Max Heap: node is greater than or equal to all children








Could this be a heap??

Binary Search Trees (BST)

- Not necessarily a complete or full tree
- Left children (left subtree) hold values
 LESS THAN or equal to parent's values
- Right children (right subtree) hold values
 GREATER THAN parent's value







Traversals: Pre-Order, In-Order, Post-Order

- All traverals operate on EVERY node eventually—just in different orders
 - "Pre": visit the parent "pre-" (before) visiting left and right sub-trees.
 - "In": visit the parent "in"-between visiting left and right sub-trees.
 - "Post": visit the parent "post-" (after) visiting left and right sub-trees.

Pre-Order Traversal

```
// Operate on current node
// Recurse left
// Recurse right
// return
```

In-Order Traversal

```
// Recurse left
// Operate on current node
// Recurse right
// return
```

Post-Order Traversal

```
// Recurse left
// Recurse right
// Operate on current node
// return
```

Traversals in C++


For a BST, what is special about operating on elements using an in-order traversal? If we were printing integers using this traversal, what would the output look like?


```
void pre_order(Node* node) {
    if (node == nullptr) return;
    print(node);
    pre_order(node->left);
    pre_order(node->right);
void in order(Node* node) {
    if (node == nullptr) return;
    in_order(node->left);
    print(node);
    in_order(node->right);
void post_order(Node* node) {
    if (node == nullptr) return;
    post_order(node->left);
    post_order(node->right);
    print(node);
```

Why BSTs? SEARCHING!

- Enable (potentially) faster searching
- Why do we say potentially? What is an example where the search is slow, even if it's a valid BST?

Why BSTs? SEARCHING!





Slower search: O(n)
Basically like a linked list

Faster search: O(logn)

Search Function

Can do it iteratively or recursively

To search for key \mathbf{x} in a BST, we compare X to the current node.


- If the current node is null. X must not reside in the tree.
- If X is equal to the current node, simply return the current node.
- If it is less than the current node, we check the left subtree.
- Else, it must be greater than the current node, so we check the right subtree.

Or, in code:

```
// Finds the node with value == val inside the bst. Returns nullptr if not found
Node* find(Node* root, int val) {
   if (root == nullptr) return nullptr;
   if (root->val == val) return find(root->left, val);
   return find(root->right, val);
}
```

Recursive example

Search Example



Operation: find(6) // We begin at the root Let's walk through this:


- Current node = 8, 6 < 8, therefore go left.
- Current node = 3, 6 > 3, therefore go right.
- Current node = 6, 6 = 6, we've found the node.

Operation: find(0) // We begin at the root Let's walk through this one too:

- Current node = 8, 0 < 8, therefore go left.
- Current node = 3, 0 < 3, therefore go left.
- Current node = 1, 0 < 1, therefore go left.
- Current node = null. 0 is not in the tree.

Balanced Binary Tree

- Height-balancing property: heights of each subtree differ by no more than 1
- Avoids the slower search times!
- Keeps the height of the tree log(n)



A is balance, B is not


Maintaining BST Property


- REMEMBER: BST Property = left subtree node keys less than parent's and right subtree node keys greater than parent's
- Maintained by smart insertion and deletion
- Insert function
 - Traverse the tree based on key to be inserted
 - Insert once you encounter a situation where you cannot traverse further
- Remove function
 - Need to choose which node to promote
 - o If node you want to remove has 0 children: just remove it
 - o If node you want to remove has 1 child: promote the child of the node
 - o If node you want to remove has 2 children: swap with its predecessor OR successor

Self-Balancing BSTs


- We will be focusing on AVL trees
- You keep the tree balanced even after insertions or deletions
- This involves using rotations!
 - Foundation of AVL trees


Single Rotations RIGHT







Single Rotations LEFT







Double Rotations RIGHT LEFT





Double Rotations LEFT RIGHT






AVL Insert and Remove

- Insert
 - Insert as you would in a BST
 - Fix the tree if it is unbalanced after inserting the node (ROTATION)
 - Need at most 1 rotation (either a single or double rotation)
- Remove
 - Remove as you would in a BST
 - Keep traversing up the tree and fixing tree if unbalanced (ROTATIONS)
 - You may need multiple rotations to fully fix the tree

The Lab

- Draw or type out operations on tree
- Try your best to not do it with your neighbors; you will need to personally understand this for the next PA!

Initial Tree



Insert 14

Insert 3

Remove 3

Remove 4