In search of primordial B-modes: challenges and advances in cosmic microwave background polarization

Claudia G. Scóccola[1]

[1] *Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas, Universidad de Chile, Blanco Encalada 2008, Santiago, Chile*

Received: ... / Accepted: ...

Resumen / El modelo cosmológico estándar, basado en la Relatividad General y la física de partículas, describe la evolución del Universo desde sus instantes iniciales hasta la actualidad. El Fondo Cósmico de Radiación (FCR) es uno de los pilares observacionales de este marco teórico. En las últimas décadas, mediciones cada vez más precisas han permitido poner cotas a los parámetros del modelo cosmológico, dando lugar a lo que llamamos la "cosmología de precisión". Sin embargo, aún quedan desafíos fundamentales, como comprender la naturaleza de la materia oscura y la energía oscura o determinar con mayor detalle las condiciones iniciales del Universo. En particular, la polarización del FCR contiene una sutil señal de ondas gravitatorias primordiales, generadas durante la inflación, un breve período de expansión exponencialmente acelerada del Universo. Estas ondas gravitatorias dejarían un patrón característico en la polarización, conocido como modos B. La detección de estos modos sigue siendo un desafío, debido a su debilidad intrínseca y a la contaminación por radiación polarizada de nuestra galaxia. Este artículo revisa el estado actual del campo, explorando los desafíos observacionales, los avances en instrumentación y análisis de datos, y las perspectivas futuras para la detección de los modos B primordiales.

Abstract / The standard cosmological model, based on General Relativity and particle physics, describes the evolution of the Universe from its initial moments to the present day. The Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) is one of the observational pillars of this theoretical framework. In recent decades, increasingly precise measurements have allowed us to place tighter constraints on the parameters of the cosmological model, giving rise to what we now call "precision cosmology". However, fundamental challenges remain, such as understanding the nature of dark matter and dark energy, or determining the initial conditions of the Universe in greater detail. In particular, the polarization of the CMB contains a subtle signal from primordial gravitational waves, generated during inflation, a brief period of exponentially accelerated expansion of the Universe. These gravitational waves would leave a characteristic pattern in the polarization, known as B-modes. Detecting these modes remains a challenge due to their intrinsic weakness and contamination from polarized radiation in our galaxy. This article reviews the current state of the field, exploring observational challenges, advancements in instrumentation and data analysis, and future prospects for detecting primordial B-modes.

Keywords / cosmic background radiation — inflation — instrumentation: detectors — polarization

1. Introduction

The standard cosmological model, known as ΛCDM, provides a remarkably successful framework for understanding the evolution of the Universe. Built upon General Relativity and the Standard Model of particle physics, it describes the Universe's expansion history, the formation of cosmic structures, and the nature of its dominant constituents: dark matter and dark energy. A key observational pillar of this model is the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB), the relic radiation from the early Universe, which carries an imprint of primordial density fluctuations. Over the past few decades, increasingly precise measurements of the CMB have improved our determination of cosmological parameters, establishing what is now known as precision cosmology (Planck Collaboration et al., 2020b).

One of the most profound questions in modern cosmology concerns the earliest moments of the Universe. Inflation, a hypothetical period of rapid exponential expansion, is postulated to have occurred within the first fraction of a second after the Big Bang (Guth, 1981; Linde, 1982; Albrecht & Steinhardt, 1982). Beyond resolving several theoretical issues in the standard Big Bang model, inflation offers a compelling explanation for the origin of structure in the Universe: quantum fluctuations stretched to macroscopic scales provide the seeds for galaxy formation. Moreover, inflation also predicts the generation of a stochastic background of primordial gravitational waves, which would leave a distinct signature in the CMB polarization (Starobinsky, 1979; Rubakov et al., 1982; Fabbri & Pollock, 1983).

These imprints appear as a B-mode polarization pattern, providing a distinctive observational window into inflationary physics (Seljak & Zaldarriaga, 1997; Kamionkowski et al., 1997). The detection of primordial B-modes would not only confirm the inflationary paradigm but also provide direct insight into physics at energy scales near the Grand Unified Theory

(GUT) regime. However, detecting these elusive signals remains an outstanding challenge. The primordial B-mode signal is extremely weak and is obscured by foreground contamination from Galactic dust and synchrotron emission, as well as instrumental and systematic limitations (Ade et al., 2021).

This article reviews the current status of the search for primordial B-modes. We discuss key observational challenges, including instrumental sensitivity, systematic effects, and foreground contamination. We also highlight recent technological breakthroughs in experimental design, data analysis techniques, and theoretical modeling (Ade et al., 2019; Abazajian et al., 2022). Finally, we discuss open questions and future prospects in the search for primordial B-modes, whose detection could offer unprecedented insight into the physics of the early Universe.

2. Fundamentals of CMB polarization

The CMB radiation, a relic of the early Universe, provides a wealth of information about its origin, composition, and evolution. This radiation comprises photons from the primordial plasma, a hot, dense state of ionized gas that filled the cosmos before it cooled sufficiently for atoms to form. As the Universe expanded and cooled, electrons and protons combined to form neutral hydrogen during the recombination epoch, around 380,000 years after the Big Bang. This process led to the decoupling of photons from matter, allowing them to travel freely through space, forming the last-scattering surface we observe today (Hu & Dodelson, 2002). While the temperature anisotropies of the CMB have been extensively studied, its polarization offers a complementary and equally powerful probe of cosmic history. Polarization arises due to Thomson scattering of these primordial photons off free electrons in the presence of quadrupole anisotropies in the photon-baryon fluid. This process, occurring primarily at the surface of last scattering, imprints a distinctive pattern on the CMB, which can be decomposed into two types of modes: E-modes (gradient) and B-modes (curl) (Hu & White, 1997).

2.1. Physics of CMB polarization

The polarization of the CMB is a direct consequence of the quadrupole moment in the photon distribution at the time of last scattering. When CMB photons scatter off electrons, they acquire a net polarization if the incident radiation field is anisotropic. This anisotropy arises from perturbations in the photon-baryon fluid, which can be either scalar (density fluctuations) or tensor (gravitational waves). The resulting polarization pattern is described by the Stokes parameters Q and U, which can be decomposed into E-modes and B-modes using spin-2 spherical harmonics.

- **E-modes:** These are gradient-like patterns that arise primarily from scalar perturbations. They are characterized by even parity and are the dominant form of polarization in the CMB. E-modes have been detected with high precision and provide valuable information about the density fluctuations in the early Universe (Carlstrom et al., 2003).
- **B-modes:** These are curl-like patterns with odd parity. Unlike E-modes, B-modes cannot be generated by scalar perturbations alone. Instead, they require a source of tensor perturbations, such as primordial gravitational waves, or secondary effects like gravitational lensing (Seljak & Zaldarriaga, 1997).

2.2. Primordial vs. secondary B-modes

The detection of B-modes in the CMB polarization is a major goal of modern cosmology, as they carry unique information about the early Universe. However, not all B-modes are created equal:

The **primordial B-modes** are generated by primordial gravitational waves produced during cosmic inflation, a period of exponentially accelerated expansion in the first fraction of a second after the Big Bang. Inflation amplifies quantum fluctuations in the metric, creating a stochastic background of gravitational waves. These waves induce a curl component in the CMB polarization, leaving a faint but distinct B-mode signature. The amplitude of these primordial B-modes is quantified by the tensor-to-scalar ratio r, which measures the relative strength of tensor (gravitational wave) perturbations to scalar (density) perturbations.

The **secondary B-modes** are produced by processes occurring after recombination. The most significant source is gravitational lensing, where the CMB photons are deflected by the large-scale structure of the Universe, converting some of the E-mode polarization into B-modes (Planck Collaboration et al., 2016a). Other sources include polarized emission from Galactic dust and synchrotron radiation, which can mimic or obscure the primordial B-mode signal.

2.3. The inflationary imprint

The tensor-to-scalar ratio r is a key parameter in inflationary cosmology. It quantifies the relative amplitude of tensor perturbations (gravitational waves) to scalar perturbations (density fluctuations) in the early Universe and is defined as

$$r = \frac{P_t(k)}{P_s(k)}, \tag{1}$$

where $P_t(k)$ and $P_s(k)$ are the power spectra of tensor and scalar perturbations, respectively, evaluated at a given pivot scale k.

A detection of r would provide direct evidence for inflation and constrain its energy scale. For example, a large value of r ($r \gtrsim 0.01$) would favor high-energy inflationary models, potentially connecting inflation to Grand Unified Theories (GUTs) or quantum gravity (Channuie & Karwan, 2014). Conversely, a null detection ($r \approx 0$) would rule out many simple inflationary scenarios and push the field toward more complex models (Planck Collaboration et al., 2020c; Wolf, 2024).

The search for primordial B-modes is thus not just a technical challenge but a fundamental test of our understanding of the early Universe. Current experiments, such as BICEP/Keck (Ade et al., 2021), the Simons Observatory (Galitzki et al., 2024), and QUBIC (Hamilton et al., 2022; Mousset et al., 2022), aim to measure r with unprecedented precision, while future missions like LiteBIRD (Campeti et al., 2024) and CMB-S4 (Abazajian et al., 2019) will push the sensitivity to $\sigma(r) \sim 0.001$. Achieving this goal requires not only cutting-edge instrumentation but also sophisticated techniques for separating the primordial signal from foreground contamination and systematic effects (Puglisi et al., 2022; Petroff et al., 2020; Stompor et al., 2009).

The polarization of the CMB, particularly its B-mode component, offers a unique window into the physics of the early Universe. Precise B-mode measurements help constrain inflationary models, test modifications to general relativity, and explore physics beyond the Standard Model.

3. Observational challenges

The search for primordial B-modes in the CMB polarization is one of the most ambitious goals in modern cosmology. However, this endeavor has significant observational challenges that must be carefully addressed to isolate the faint primordial signal, both from astrophysical foregrounds and instrumental limitations. Below, we discuss the primary difficulties and the strategies being developed to overcome them.

3.1. Galactic foregrounds

One of the most serious challenges in detecting primordial B-modes is the presence of polarized emission from our own Galaxy. This emission, known as Galactic foregrounds, can obscure or mimic the cosmological signal. The two dominant sources of Galactic foregrounds are:

- **Polarized dust emission:** Thermal emission from interstellar dust grains, which align with the Galactic magnetic field, produces polarized radiation (Ade et al., 2025). This emission is particularly strong at high frequencies ($\gtrsim 100\,\mathrm{GHz}$) and varies significantly across the sky. The Planck satellite has provided detailed maps of this dust polarization, revealing its complex spatial structure and frequency dependence (Planck Collaboration et al., 2015, 2016b, 2017). Modeling and subtracting this component require precise multi-frequency observations and robust statistical techniques (Katayama & Komatsu, 2011).
- **Synchrotron radiation:** Electrons spiraling around Galactic magnetic fields emit polarized synchrotron radiation, which dominates at low frequencies ($\lesssim 70\,\mathrm{GHz}$) (Tucci et al., 2000; Fauvet et al., 2011). Like dust emission, synchrotron radiation exhibits spatial variability and frequency dependence, complicating its removal (Miville-Deschênes et al., 2008).

The spatial variability of these foregrounds poses a major challenge. Unlike the CMB, which is statistically isotropic, Galactic foregrounds are highly anisotropic, with intensity and polarization patterns that vary across the sky. This variability necessitates high-resolution, multi-frequency observations to accurately model and subtract the foregrounds. In this context, multi-frequency observations are essential for minimizing foreground residuals in component separation techniques. Bolometric interferometers, with their high spectral resolution and subfrequency information, offer a promising approach for distinguishing and mitigating such residuals (Regnier et al., 2024).

3.2. Instrumental systematics

In addition to astrophysical foregrounds, instrumental systematics present a significant barrier to detecting primordial B-modes (Monelli et al., 2024). Achieving the required sensitivity and precision demands state-of-the-art instrumentation and meticulous control of systematic effects. Key challenges include:

- **Sensitivity requirements:** Primordial B-modes are expected to be extremely faint, with amplitudes on the order of tens of nanokelvins (nK). To detect such a weak signal, experiments must achieve noise levels of μK-arcmin or better. This requires large arrays of highly sensitive detectors, such as transition-edge sensors (TES) (Piat et al., 2020) or microwave kinetic inductance detectors (MKIDs) (Johnson et al., 2018), operating at cryogenic temperatures.
- **Beam imperfections:** Imperfections in the beam of the telescope beam can distort the observed polarization patterns, introducing spurious B-modes (Karkare & BICEP/Keck Array Collaboration, 2017). Accurate characterization and calibration of the beam are essential to mitigate this effect.
- **Calibration errors:** Misalignment of polarization angles or errors in gain calibration can also produce false signals (Aumont et al., 2018). Precise calibration techniques, often using celestial sources or dedicated calibration devices, are critical to minimizing these errors (Staggs et al., 2002).
- **Atmospheric noise:** Ground-based telescopes must deal with atmospheric emission, which can introduce noise and systematic effects (Brown et al., 2009). Advanced filtering techniques and careful site selection are employed to reduce this contamination (Errard et al., 2015).

3.3. Component separation

Separating the primordial B-mode signal from foregrounds and instrumental noise is a complex task that relies on sophisticated data analysis techniques. This process, known as component separation, involves:

- **Multi-frequency analysis:** Since Galactic foregrounds and the CMB have distinct spectral signatures, observations at multiple frequencies can be used to disentangle them. Algorithms such as

Commander (Eriksen et al., 2008), **NILC** (Needlet Internal Linear Combination) (Delabrouille et al., 2009), and **SMICA** (Spectral Matching Independent Component Analysis) (Delabrouille et al., 2003) are widely used for this purpose. These methods take advantage of the differences in frequency dependence to isolate the CMB signal.

- **High-resolution foreground surveys:** Accurate modeling of foregrounds requires high-resolution maps of Galactic dust and synchrotron emission. Missions like Planck have provided invaluable data, while future experiments, such as the Simons Observatory, CMB-S4, and QUBIC, will further improve our understanding of foregrounds. Additionally, dedicated surveys, such as those conducted by SOFIA (Stratospheric Observatory for Infrared Astronomy) (Bryant et al., 2024), contribute to refining foreground models (Murphy, 2013).
- **Delensing:** Secondary B-modes generated by gravitational lensing must also be removed to isolate the primordial signal (Hotinli et al., 2022). This process, known as delensing, involves reconstructing the lensing potential using high-resolution CMB maps and large-scale structure data.

3.4. The path forward

Addressing these observational challenges requires a combination of technological innovation, advanced data analysis techniques, and collaborative efforts across the cosmology community. Future experiments, such as CMB-S4 and LiteBIRD, are designed to achieve unprecedented sensitivity and resolution, enabling more precise foreground subtraction and systematic control (Fuskeland et al., 2023; Bianchini et al., 2025). Meanwhile, advances in machine learning and statistical methods are enhancing our ability to extract the primordial signal from noisy, contaminated data (Yan et al., 2024; Costanza et al., 2024).

While detecting primordial B-modes presents significant observational challenges, they are not prohibitive. Through the development of advanced instrumentation, refined foreground modeling, and sophisticated data analysis techniques, significant progress is being made toward the ultimate goal of identifying the imprint of inflation in the CMB polarization.

4. Instrumental advances and data analysis techniques

The search for primordial B-modes in the CMB polarization has driven remarkable advances in instrumentation and data analysis. These innovations are essential for overcoming the observational challenges discussed earlier, enabling increasingly precise measurements of the CMB. Below, we highlight key experiments, cutting-edge technologies, and groundbreaking data analysis techniques that are shaping the future of CMB cosmology.

4.1. Key experiments

A new generation of experiments is pushing the boundaries of sensitivity, resolution, and frequency coverage to detect the elusive primordial B-modes. These experiments span ground-based, balloon-borne, and space-based platforms, each offering unique advantages.

- **Ground-based experiments:**
  - **BICEP/Keck Array:** Located at the South Pole, this series of experiments has set some of the most stringent limits on the tensor-to-scalar ratio r ($r < 0.06$ at 95% confidence) (The BICEP/Keck Collaboration et al., 2024). The South Pole's dry, stable atmosphere makes it an ideal location for CMB observations (Schillaci et al., 2023).
  - **Simons Observatory (SO):** Currently under construction in the Atacama Desert, SO will feature a large-aperture telescope (LAT) and an array of small-aperture telescopes (SATs) (Kiuchi et al., 2020). With over 60,000 detectors, SO aims to achieve unprecedented sensitivity and resolution, paving the way for future experiments like CMB-S4.
  - **CMB-S4:** Planned as the next-generation ground-based experiment, CMB-S4 will deploy hundreds of thousands of detectors across multiple sites, including the South Pole and the Atacama Desert (Barron et al., 2022). Its goal is to measure r with a precision of $\sigma(r) \sim 0.001$, potentially detecting primordial B-modes or ruling out many inflationary models (Abazajian et al., 2016).
  - **QUBIC:** Located at Alto Chorrillos, Salta, Argentina, QUBIC combines the advantages of interferometry and bolometric detection to achieve high spectral resolution and sensitivity. After component separation, it will reach a sensitivity of $\sigma(r) = 0.0225$ (Chanial et al., 2024).
- **Balloon-borne experiments:**
  - **SPIDER:** This high-altitude balloon experiment observes the CMB from near-space, avoiding atmospheric noise while covering large areas of the sky. SPIDER has provided valuable data on Galactic foregrounds (Ade et al., 2025) and large-scale polarization patterns (Ade et al., 2022).
  - **EBEX:** Another balloon-borne experiment, EBEX has tested novel technologies, such as transition-edge sensor (TES) bolometers, in a space-like environment (EBEX Collaboration et al., 2018).
- **Space missions:**
  - **WMAP:** The Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP), which operated from 2001 to 2010, was a groundbreaking mission that produced the first high-precision maps of CMB temperature anisotropies. While its sensitivity was insufficient to detect primordial B-modes, WMAP's measurements of temperature and E-mode polarization played a crucial role in es-

tablishing the standard ΛCDM model and constraining fundamental cosmological parameters, including the age, composition, and geometry of the Universe (Komatsu et al., 2011).
  - **Planck:** Although no longer operational, Planck's legacy data remains a cornerstone of CMB research. Its all-sky temperature and polarization maps have been instrumental in characterizing Galactic foregrounds and refining cosmological parameters (Planck Collaboration et al., 2020a).
  - **LiteBIRD:** Scheduled for launch in the 2030s by JAXA and ESA, LiteBIRD will observe the CMB from space, free from atmospheric contamination. With a focus on large angular scales, LiteBIRD aims to measure r with unparalleled precision, targeting $\sigma(r) \sim 0.001$ (Ghigna et al., 2024).

4.2. Cutting-edge technologies

The success of these experiments relies on breakthroughs in detector technology, telescope design, and cryogenics. Key innovations include:

- **Superconducting detectors:**
  - **Transition-Edge Sensors (TES):** These highly sensitive bolometers operate at the transition edge between superconducting and normal states, enabling precise measurements of CMB photons (Irwin & Hilton, 2005; Denis et al., 2016). TES arrays are used in experiments like BICEP/Keck, SO, QUBIC, and CMB-S4.
  - **Microwave Kinetic Inductance Detectors (MKIDs):** MKIDs offer high multiplexing capabilities, allowing thousands of detectors to be read out simultaneously (Johnson et al., 2018). They are being developed for future experiments, including CMB-S4.
- **Cryogenic optics:**
  - To minimize thermal noise, modern CMB telescopes use cryogenically cooled optics. For example, SO's LAT will operate at temperatures below 10 K, while LiteBIRD's detectors will be cooled to 0.1 K. QUBIC operates at 0.32 K (Masi et al., 2022).
- **Large-Aperture telescopes:**
  - Larger apertures improve angular resolution, enabling detailed maps of the CMB and better separation of foregrounds. SO's LAT, with a 6-meter aperture, and CMB-S4's telescopes, with apertures up to 5 meters, exemplify this trend (Bhandarkar et al., 2025).

4.3. Data analysis innovations

Extracting the primordial B-mode signal from CMB observations requires sophisticated data analysis techniques to mitigate contamination from foregrounds, instrumental noise, and systematic effects. In recent years, several methodological advances have significantly improved the precision and reliability of B-mode searches.

Machine learning has emerged as a powerful tool for foreground separation, with convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and other deep-learning architectures demonstrating the ability to identify and remove complex foreground patterns (McCarthy et al., 2024; Adak, 2025; Casas et al., 2022). These techniques complement traditional component-separation methods by utilizing large datasets to learn nontrivial correlations between frequency channels, enhancing sensitivity to primordial signals.

High-fidelity simulations play a crucial role in validating analysis pipelines and interpreting observational results. Advanced tools such as PySM (Python Sky Model) (Thorne et al., 2017) and WebSky (Stein et al., 2020) generate realistic models of Galactic foregrounds, including dust and synchrotron emission, as well as the effects of gravitational lensing on polarization. These simulations enable rigorous testing of data-processing algorithms and facilitate the development of optimal filtering strategies.

Furthermore, the CMB community has increasingly adopted open-source frameworks and collaborative data-sharing initiatives, fostering transparency and reproducibility in cosmological analyses. Large-scale projects such as CMB-HD (Sehgal et al., 2019) and the Simons Observatory[⋆] have prioritized public data releases, providing well-documented software pipelines and high-quality datasets for the broader scientific community. These efforts collectively advance the search for primordial B-modes, ensuring that results are robust, reproducible, and accessible to the wider astrophysical community.

4.4. Synergies and future directions

The rapid progress in CMB cosmology is driven by the interplay between instrumental advancements and increasingly sophisticated data analysis techniques. Next-generation experiments will capitalize on these synergies to achieve unprecedented sensitivity and control over systematics. For instance, CMB-S4 will deploy thousands of ultra-sensitive detectors across multiple ground-based telescopes, optimizing frequency coverage and sky overlap to mitigate foreground contamination. Meanwhile, LiteBIRD will exploit its full-sky coverage and cryogenic optics to provide high-fidelity polarization measurements with minimal atmospheric noise, complementing ground-based observations.

Beyond instrumental improvements, future efforts will also focus on enhancing data analysis methodologies. Advances in component separation, likelihood-based inference, and simulation-based techniques will refine constraints on the tensor-to-scalar ratio r and further our understanding of systematic uncertainties. Additionally, cross-correlation studies with large-scale structure surveys, such as those from LSST (Ivezić et al., 2019) and Euclid (Laureijs et al., 2011), will provide independent probes of cosmological parameters, strength-

[⋆]`https://github.com/simonsobs/map_based_simulations` ening the robustness of inflationary constraints.

The search for primordial B-modes demonstrates the power of technological innovation and collaborative science. Ongoing improvements in experimental sensitivity progressively enhance the ability to investigate the fundamental physics of the early Universe. These developments not only refine our understanding of inflation but also drive progress in detector technology, statistical methods, and high-performance computing. These advancements have broad applications across astrophysics and beyond.

5. Recent results and current limits

The search for primordial B-modes in the CMB polarization has yielded significant progress over the past decade, with increasingly stringent constraints on the tensor-to-scalar ratio r and improved characterization of Galactic foregrounds. These results have not only refined our understanding of the early Universe but also highlighted the challenges and complexities of isolating the primordial signal. Below, we summarize the most recent findings and their implications for cosmology.

5.1. Constraints on r

The tensor-to-scalar ratio r remains one of the most sought-after parameters in cosmology, as it provides a direct link to the energy scale of inflation. Recent analyses have placed increasingly tight upper limits on r, narrowing the range of viable inflationary models.

- **Joint BICEP/Keck-Planck analysis:** The most stringent constraint to date comes from the joint analysis of data from the BICEP/Keck Array and Planck collaborations. By combining high-resolution CMB observations with detailed foreground maps, this analysis has established an upper limit of $r < 0.06$ at 95% confidence (The BICEP/Keck Collaboration et al., 2024). This result represents a significant improvement over previous limits and underscores the importance of multi-experiment collaboration.
- **Delensing Techniques:** Secondary B-modes generated by gravitational lensing can obscure the primordial signal. Recent experiments, such as SPT-3G (South Pole Telescope) (Ge et al., 2024) and ACT (Atacama Cosmology Telescope) (Han et al., 2021), have developed advanced delensing techniques to remove this contamination. By reconstructing the lensing potential from high-resolution CMB maps, these experiments have improved the sensitivity to primordial B-modes, further tightening constraints on r.

5.2. Foreground characterization

Accurate modeling and subtraction of Galactic foregrounds are critical for detecting primordial B-modes. Recent efforts have significantly advanced our understanding of these foregrounds, particularly polarized dust emission and synchrotron radiation.

- **Planck 353 GHz dust polarization maps:** The Planck satellite has provided the most detailed maps of polarized dust emission to date, revealing its complex spatial structure and frequency dependence (Planck Collaboration et al., 2020d). These maps have been instrumental in developing foreground models and validating component separation techniques.
- **Synergies with HI Surveys:** Observations of neutral hydrogen (HI) at radio wavelengths, such as those conducted by C-BASS (C-Band All-Sky Survey) (Harper et al., 2022) and QUIJOTE (Q-U-I JOint Tenerife Experiment) (Poidevin et al., 2018), have complemented CMB data by providing additional constraints on synchrotron radiation. These synergies have improved the accuracy of foreground subtraction and enhanced the sensitivity to primordial B-modes.

5.3. Insights and future directions

The recent progress in CMB polarization studies has yielded valuable lessons for future experiments. On the one hand, the importance of multi-frequency validation. Indeed, observations at multiple frequencies are essential for distinguishing between the CMB and Galactic foregrounds. The success of joint analyses, such as BICEP/Keck-Planck, highlights the need for complementary datasets spanning a wide range of frequencies. On the other hand, given the challenges of foreground contamination and instrumental systematics, a conservative approach to data analysis is crucial. This includes rigorous validation of results, careful treatment of uncertainties, and transparent reporting of methods and assumptions.

While current constraints on r are already impressive, future experiments aim to push the limits even further. Projects like the Simons Observatory, CMB-S4, and LiteBIRD will achieve unprecedented sensitivity and resolution, potentially detecting primordial B-modes or ruling out many inflationary models. These efforts will build upon the insights gained from recent advancements, employing cutting-edge developments in instrumentation, foreground modeling, and data analysis to further our understanding of the early Universe.

6. Future prospects and emerging projects

The search for primordial B-modes in the CMB polarization is entering an exciting new era, with next-generation experiments poised to achieve unprecedented sensitivity and resolution. These advances will not only bring us closer to detecting the imprint of inflation but also open new avenues for exploring fundamental physics. Below, we discuss the future prospects and emerging projects that are shaping the future of CMB cosmology.

6.1. Next-generation experiments

Several ambitious projects are currently in development, each designed to push the boundaries of CMB observations and address the challenges of foreground contamination, instrumental systematics, and sensitivity.

- **CMB-S4** represents the next generation of ground-based CMB experiments, designed to achieve transformative precision in measuring the tensor-to-scalar ratio r. With a target sensitivity of $\sigma(r) \sim 0.001$, CMB-S4 aims to either detect primordial B-modes or place stringent constraints on a wide range of inflationary models. The experiment will deploy hundreds of thousands of detectors across multiple sites, including the South Pole and the Atacama Desert, utilizing large-aperture telescopes and cryogenic optics to produce high-resolution, multi-frequency maps of the CMB polarization. By combining unprecedented sensitivity with advanced delensing techniques, CMB-S4 is expected to provide definitive insights into the physics of inflation and the early Universe, representing a significant milestone in precision cosmology.
- **LiteBIRD**, a space-based mission led by JAXA and ESA, is designed to produce full-sky polarization maps of the CMB, with a particular focus on large angular scales. Its target sensitivity for the tensor-to-scalar ratio r is $\sigma(r) \sim 0.001$, matching the precision goals of ground-based experiments. Operating from space, LiteBIRD will avoid atmospheric contamination and observe across a wide range of frequencies (40–400 GHz), enabling precise characterization and subtraction of Galactic foregrounds. With its all-sky coverage and high sensitivity, LiteBIRD will complement ground-based efforts like CMB-S4, providing a comprehensive and complementary dataset to advance our understanding of CMB polarization and its cosmological implications.

6.2. Synergies with multi-messenger astronomy

The future of CMB cosmology is deeply intertwined with other areas of astrophysics, particularly multi-messenger astronomy. Cross-correlating CMB data with other observables will enhance our understanding of the Universe and provide new insights into fundamental physics.

- **Gravitational wave surveys:** The detection of primordial gravitational waves by CMB experiments could be cross-checked with observations from gravitational wave detectors like LISA (Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (Amaro-Seoane et al., 2017) and the Einstein Telescope (Punturo et al., 2010). Such synergies would provide a multi-messenger view of the early Universe, testing the consistency of inflationary predictions.
- **Weak lensing surveys:** Experiments like LSST and Euclid will map the large-scale structure of the Universe with unprecedented precision (Gagnon et al., 2024). These data can be used to reconstruct the lensing potential and improve delensing techniques, enhancing the sensitivity of CMB experiments to primordial B-modes (Hertig et al., 2024).

6.3. Theoretical implications

The detection or non-detection of primordial B-modes will have profound implications for our understanding of the early Universe and fundamental physics. A detection of $r \gtrsim 0.001$ would strongly support simple inflationary models, such as single-field slow-roll inflation, and provide critical insights into the energy scale of inflation. Conversely, a null detection would rule out many simple inflationary scenarios, shifting focus toward more complex models, such as multifield inflation or alternative theories of the early Universe.

The search for primordial B-modes is also deeply connected to other open questions in cosmology, including the nature of dark energy and the properties of neutrinos. Precise measurements of CMB polarization could constrain the sum of neutrino masses and the equation of state of dark energy, offering a window into physics beyond the Standard Model (Khoraminezhad et al., 2020). Furthermore, primordial B-modes have the potential to probe physics beyond the standard ΛCDM framework, such as early dark energy, modifications to gravity, or the existence of additional relativistic species in the early Universe (Murai et al., 2023).

These theoretical implications highlight the transformative potential of primordial B-mode detection, advancing our understanding of inflation and addressing key questions in modern cosmology.

7. Conclusions

The search for primordial B-modes in the CMB polarization is a central endeavor in modern cosmology. A detection would provide direct evidence for cosmic inflation, constraining its energy scale and probing the nature of primordial gravitational waves, while a null detection would challenge many inflationary models, motivating alternative theories of the early Universe.

This effort exemplifies the synergy between theory, instrumentation, and data analysis. Advances in detector technology, observational techniques, and computational methods have transformed cosmology into a precision science, enabling rigorous tests of fundamental physics.

Future progress will rely on interdisciplinary collaboration, open data sharing, and transparent methodologies. Next-generation experiments like CMB-S4 and LiteBIRD promise to deepen our understanding of the early Universe, offering transformative insights into its origins and governing laws.

References

Abazajian K., et al., 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1907.04473
Abazajian K., et al., 2022, ApJ, 926, 54
Abazajian K.N., et al., 2016, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1610.02743
Adak D., 2025, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2501.07469

Ade P., et al., 2019, JCAP, 2019, 056
Ade P.A.R., et al., 2021, PhRvL, 127, 151301
Ade P.A.R., et al., 2022, ApJ, 927, 174
Ade P.A.R., et al., 2025, ApJ, 978, 130
Albrecht A., Steinhardt P.J., 1982, Phys. Rev. Lett., 48, 1220
Amaro-Seoane P., et al., 2017, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1702.00786
Aumont J., et al., 2018, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1805.10475
Barron D.R., et al., 2022, J. Zmuidzinas, J.R. Gao (Eds.), *Millimeter, Submillimeter, and Far-Infrared Detectors and Instrumentation for Astronomy XI*, *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, vol. 12190, 1219002
Bhandarkar T., et al., 2025, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2501.09241
Bianchini F., et al., 2025, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2502.04300
Brown M.L., et al., 2009, MNRAS, 397, 634
Bryant A., et al., 2024, L.E. Coyle, S. Matsuura, M.D. Perrin (Eds.), *Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2024: Optical, Infrared, and Millimeter Wave*, *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, vol. 13092, 130920D
Campeti P., et al., 2024, JCAP, 2024, 008
Carlstrom J.E., et al., 2003, NewAR, 47, 953
Casas J.M., et al., 2022, A&A, 666, A89
Chanial P., et al., 2024, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2409.18698
Channuie P., Karwan K., 2014, PhRvD, 90, 047303
Costanza B., Scóccola C.G., Zaldarriaga M., 2024, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2412.10580
Delabrouille J., Cardoso J.F., Patanchon G., 2003, MNRAS, 346, 1089
Delabrouille J., et al., 2009, A&A, 493, 835
Denis K.L., et al., 2016, Journal of Low Temperature Physics, 184, 668
EBEX Collaboration, et al., 2018, ApJS, 239, 8
Eriksen H.K., et al., 2008, ApJ, 676, 10
Errard J., et al., 2015, ApJ, 809, 63
Fabbri R., Pollock M.D., 1983, Physics Letters B, 125, 445
Fauvet L., et al., 2011, A&A, 526, A145
Fuskeland U., et al., 2023, A&A, 676, A42
Gagnon E.L., et al., 2024, The Open Journal of Astrophysics, 7, 110
Galitzki N., et al., 2024, ApJS, 274, 33
Ge F., et al., 2024, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2411.06000
Ghigna T., et al., 2024, L.E. Coyle, S. Matsuura, M.D. Perrin (Eds.), *Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2024: Optical, Infrared, and Millimeter Wave*, *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, vol. 13092, 1309228
Guth A.H., 1981, Phys. Rev. D, 23, 347
Hamilton J.C., et al., 2022, JCAP, 2022, 034
Han D., et al., 2021, JCAP, 2021, 031
Harper S.E., et al., 2022, MNRAS, 513, 5900
Hertig E., et al., 2024, PhRvD, 110, 043532
Hotinli S.C., et al., 2022, JCAP, 2022, 020
Hu W., Dodelson S., 2002, ARA&A, 40, 171
Hu W., White M., 1997, NewA, 2, 323
Irwin K.D., Hilton G.C., 2005, C. Enss (Ed.), *Cryogenic Particle Detection*, vol. 99, 63
Ivezić Ž., et al., 2019, ApJ, 873, 111
Johnson B.R., et al., 2018, Journal of Low Temperature Physics, 193, 103
Kamionkowski M., Kosowsky A., Stebbins A., 1997, PhRvD, 55, 7368
Karkare K.S., BICEP/Keck Array Collaboration, 2017, *American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts #229*, *American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts*, vol. 229, 323.02
Katayama N., Komatsu E., 2011, ApJ, 737, 78
Khoraminezhad H., et al., 2020, JCAP, 2020, 039
Kiuchi K., et al., 2020, H.K. Marshall, J. Spyromilio, T. Usuda (Eds.), *Ground-based and Airborne Telescopes VIII*, *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, vol. 11445, 114457L
Komatsu E., et al., 2011, ApJS, 192, 18
Laureijs R., et al., 2011, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1110.3193
Linde A.D., 1982, Phys. Lett. B, 108, 389
Masi S., et al., 2022, JCAP, 2022, 038
McCarthy F., et al., 2024, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2404.03557
Miville-Deschênes M.A., et al., 2008, A&A, 490, 1093
Monelli M., et al., 2024, JCAP, 2024, 018
Mousset L., et al., 2022, JCAP, 2022, 035
Murai K., et al., 2023, PhRvD, 107, L041302
Murphy E., 2013, Estimating the Strength of Anomalous Microwave Emission in NGC6946, SOFIA Proposal, Cycle 2, ID. 02_0040
Petroff M.A., et al., 2020, ApJ, 903, 104
Piat M., et al., 2020, Journal of Low Temperature Physics, 200, 363
Planck Collaboration, et al., 2015, A&A, 576, A107
Planck Collaboration, et al., 2016a, A&A, 596, A102
Planck Collaboration, et al., 2016b, A&A, 586, A133
Planck Collaboration, et al., 2017, A&A, 599, A51
Planck Collaboration, et al., 2020a, A&A, 641, A4
Planck Collaboration, et al., 2020b, A&A, 641, A6
Planck Collaboration, et al., 2020c, A&A, 641, A10
Planck Collaboration, et al., 2020d, A&A, 641, A12
Poidevin F., et al., 2018, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1802.04594
Puglisi G., et al., 2022, MNRAS, 511, 2052
Punturo M., et al., 2010, Classical and Quantum Gravity, 27, 194002
Regnier M., et al., 2024, A&A, 686, A271
Rubakov V.A., Sazhin M.V., Veryaskin A.V., 1982, Phys. Lett. B, 115, 189
Schillaci A., et al., 2023, Journal of Low Temperature Physics, 213, 317
Sehgal N., et al., 2019, *Bulletin of the American Astronomical Society*, vol. 51, 6
Seljak U., Zaldarriaga M., 1997, PhRvL, 78, 2054
Staggs S.T., et al., 2002, S. Cecchini, S. Cortiglioni, R. Sault, C. Sbarra (Eds.), *Astrophysical Polarized Backgrounds*, *American Institute of Physics Conference Series*, vol. 609, 183–186, AIP
Starobinsky A.A., 1979, JETP Lett., 30, 682
Stein G., et al., 2020, JCAP, 2020, 012
Stompor R., et al., 2009, MNRAS, 392, 216
The BICEP/Keck Collaboration, et al., 2024, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2405.19469
Thorne B., et al., 2017, MNRAS, 469, 2821
Tucci M., et al., 2000, NewA, 5, 181
Wolf W.J., 2024, PhRvD, 110, 043521
Yan Y.P., et al., 2024, ApJS, 274, 4