NLP HW5

Xinzhuo Cheng, Dongkyu Kim, Shiyi Zhang

1. Learning a grammar

Q1.a

→ Because there are lots of words that rarely shows in sentences, the sparse data problem

Q1.b

→ Add prime because there are some grammar rules did not show in training sets, so we could generate some new rules using the same properties (such as VP') instead of a particular node (NP-PP-ADVP)

Q1.c

of binary rules: 226 # of unary rules: 64 # of lexical rules: 309

2. CKY Parser

Q2.a

→ Some pre_terminal could go to several terminals such as NN and VB. Then, count all those possibilities. Thus, the number of strings generated by two grammars is 2*3*3*2*3+3*3*3=135.

Q2.b

→ see toy.parsed

Q2.c

less test.parsed | grep -c "NONE"

→ There are 22 sentences parsing failures. Since some words in the test file are not observed in our training set, our grammar.pcfg.bin does not include rules that from preterminal to those new words, and it occurs the parsing failure.

Q2.d

less test.parsed.new | grep -c "NONE"

 \rightarrow There is 0 parsing failure.

Q2.e

→ we used evalb-Copy1.py adding F-1 score calculator in evalb.py.

CS539-001 NLP HW5 Group 6

[\$ python evalb-Copy1.py test.parsed test.trees

test.parsed 214 brackets test.trees 385 brackets matching 199 brackets precisions 92.99% recalls 55.58%

69.58%

F-1 score

[\$ python evalb-Copy1.py test.parsed.new test.trees

test.parsed.new 371 brackets
test.trees 385 brackets
matching 314 brackets
precisions 84.64%
recalls 96.36%
F-1 score 90.12%