NLP HW5

1. Learning a grammar

Q1.a

→ Because there are lots of words that rarely shows in sentences, the sparse data problem

Q1.b

→ Add prime because there are some grammar rules did not show in training sets, so we could generate some new rules using the same properties (such as VP') instead of a particular node (NP-PP-ADVP)

Q1.c

of binary rules: 226 # of unary rules: 64 # of lexical rules: 309

2. CKY Parser

Q2.a

→ Some pre_terminal could go to several terminals such as NN and VB. Then, count all those possibilities. Thus, the number of strings generated by two grammars is 2*3*3*2*3+3*3*3=135.

Q2.b

→ see toy.parsed

Q2.c

less test.parsed | grep -c "NONE"

→ There are 22 sentences parsing failures. Since some words in the test file are not observed in our training set, our grammar.pcfg.bin does not include rules that from preterminal to those new words, and it occurs the parsing failure.

Q2.d

less test.parsed.new | grep -c "NONE"

 \rightarrow There is 0 parsing failure.

Q2.e

→ we used evalb-Copy1.py adding F-1 score calculator in evalb.py.

| <pre>[\$ python evalb-Copy1.py test.parsed test.trees</pre> | | [\$ python evalb-Copy1.py test.parsed.new test.trees | |
|---|--------------|--|--------------|
| test.parsed 2 | 214 brackets | test.parsed.new | 371 brackets |
| test.trees 3 | 885 brackets | test.trees | 385 brackets |
| matching 1 | .99 brackets | matching | 314 brackets |
| precisions 9 | 2.99% | precisions | 84.64% |
| recalls 5 | 55.58% | recalls | 96.36% |
| F-1 score 6 | 9.58% | F-1 score | 90.12% |