1   Project Description

Contents

1.1   Introduction

Development of the "Smart Grid", a modernized power infrastructure, is one of the key technological challenges facing the United States at the dawn of the 21st century. According to the Department of Energy (DoE) , the smart grid should: (1) Enable active participation by consumers by providing choices and incentives to modify electricity purchasing patterns and behavior; (2) Accommodate all generation and storage options, including wind and solar power. (3) Enable new products, services, and markets through a flexible market providing cost-benefit trade-offs to consumers and market participants; (4) Provide reliable power that is relatively interruption-free; (5) Optimize asset utilization and maximize operational efficiency; (6) Provide the ability to self-heal by anticipating and responding to system disturbances; (7) Resist attacks on physical infrastructure by natural disasters and attacks on cyber-structure by malware and hackers [25].

Supporting "all generation options" implies the need to support distributed, small scale, intermittent generation such as residential solar. Supporting "all storage options" implies the need to support distributed, small scale, intermittent storage such as electric vehicle batteries. Once energy generation and storage can be just as decentralized as energy consumption, the utility of microgrids as a building block for the DoE Smart Grid becomes clear. Microgrids are semi-autonomous, self-regulating electrical systems below the subsystem level that can involve small-scale storage and generation as well as consumption.

1.1.1   Vision

Section 1.1.1 must provide a concise description of the "vision" for our proposed sustainable energy pathway that focuses on energy transmission, distribution, efficiency, and use. We must show in our vision: a combination of scientific knowledge and technical innovation; a recognition of environmental, societal, and economic imperatives;

Our vision for a sustainable energy pathway begins with the creation of a functional, semi-autonomous, self-regulating microgrid that creates a positive energy future for the University of Hawaii at Manoa campus. This campus currently faces severe energy challenges: while energy consumption per square foot is among the lowest across campuses in the nation (at approximately 65K BTU per square foot), the cost of energy per square foot is among the highest in the nation (approximately \$4.50 per square foot), as is the cost of energy per student FTE (approximately \$1,300 per student FTE).

Making matters more complicated, an aggressive retrofitting of mechanical systems at the University over the past 10 years has largely exhausted the traditional avenue to energy cost reduction. In addition, because the State of Hawaii depends on fossil fuels for almost 90% of its energy, it is possible, if not probable, that the cost of energy in Hawaii, already the highest in the nation, will rise substantially in the next 20 years. The current high cost of energy and the probability of it rising even higher, combined with the exhaustion of traditional approaches to energy cost reduction, has led David Hafner, an Assistant Vice Chancellor at the University of Hawaii to state, *"the cost of energy represents an* existential *threat to the University of Hawaii as a Research I university"* [9].

We present this background to emphasize that our choice to focus on a sustainable energy pathway for the University of Hawaii at Manoa campus is not because it would be "nice to have", it is because the status quo is quite literally unsustainable. To retain its current quality of campus life, the University of Hawaii must find a way to generate a substantial fraction of campus power, reduce the cost per kilowatt of electricity purchased from the utility, and create a "climate of energy conservation" among campus members that minimizes overall demand.

Our vision involves the transformation of the campus from one which passively delegates to the utility all responsibility for its energy needs, to one which owns and operates a smart, sustainable microgrid involving up to 5 MW of solar generation, short term, small scale storage, automated demand response for the major on-campus HVAC systems, and consumer facing information technology to engage campus members in support of the microgrid and its goals. This vision requires innovation in transmission, distribution, efficiency, and use. Our research and development plan involves five interrelated research components, summarized as follows:

1. *Sensors and monitoring.* A responsive microgrid requires the ability to assess its current state and estimate its future state. To enable these capabilities, this component will design and install a network of strategically located power and environmental sensors into the UH campus as well as into the neighboring vicinity. This raw data will be collected and stored in a server for use in modeling and analysis.

2. *Modeling and analysis.* This component takes the raw power and environmental data and applies stochastic modeling techniques to gain insight into both the current and near-future state of the grid. This component provides the information necessary for control and optimization.

3. *Control and optimization.* This component uses models and analyses to support voltage and frequency regulation, peak shaving and peak shifting. By maintaining quality of service

---

and a promotion of education and workforce development. We need a transformative approach to SEP, not incremental advances or deployment of existing technologies.

while reducing load and ramp, the University microgrid will reduce the cost per kWh of supplemental energy bought from the utility.

4. *Social, economic, privacy, security, and policy implications.* It is explicitly not our goal to create a grid that operates transparently and invisibly from its users. Indeed, we believe that part of the problems with our current electrical infrastructure results from lack of public awareness concerning the problems of reliable, sufficient, and sustainable energy production. This component investigates the information technology necessary to inform campus members about the microgrid in an actionable form that lets them actively participate in achieving its goals. In so doing, we must confront and address the privacy and security issues that result, both with respect to the security of the grid itself and the ways grid data could be used to inappropriately monitor campus member behaviors.

5. *Education and workforce development.* All of the PIs on this project are also active participants in the Center for Renewable Energy and Island Sustainability (REIS), a project with a central focus on workforce development in renewable energy. As a natural result, our vision includes the development of curriculum materials about microgrid design, implementation, and evaluation, and students with real-world experience in development of the microgrid. Our participation in REIS helps ensure that workforce development will span multiple disciplines including computer science, engineering, economics, urban planning, law, biology, and other disciplines.

Our vision begins, but does not end, with addressing the energy challenges facing the University of Hawaii at Manoa campus. First, the creation of a functional microgrid for the UH Manoa campus will create technology, data, and workforce training essential for the development of similar microgrids for other educational, government, and military "campuses" across the Hawaiian islands. Replication of the approach will yield important insights into the transformation of a single centralized, top-down grid into multiple, decentralized, federated microgrids.

Second, while the mainland US does not yet feel the level of energy pressure faced by Hawaii, we believe these pressures will rise across the country in coming years as the price of oil rises or if a commitment to sustainable energy pathways is made. We believe the science and engineering produced by this research will provide significant aid to microgrid development outside Hawaii, and thus provide a important building block in service of the Department of Energy's goal of a nationwide Smart Grid.

1.1.2 Integration

This project is designed to explore the challenges and opportunities of microgrid development from multiple perspectives and multiple disciplines.

From a scientific perspective, our sustainable energy pathway will produce opportunities for the development of new analytic methods. As further discussed in Section 1.2.2, this research is expected to result in the development of new analytic methods based upon belief propogation networks and Markov models. It will also support the development of controlled and semi-controlled

---

Section 1.1.2 must summarize how we will approach the research from an interdisciplinary perspective that integrates science and engineering with a synergistic, systems approach.

experiments to understand both the physical issues underlying a semi-autonomous, self-regulating microgrid (as discussed in Section **??**), as well as the social issues (as discussed in Section **??**).

From an engineering perspective, the challenges and opportunities are obvious and manifest. The microgrid requires the specification, acquisition, and/or fabrication of hardware and software components for sensing and regulation of electrical and environmental data. These components must be integrated into the current electrical and physical infrastructure of the University of Hawaii campus in a manner compliant with all state and federal regulations. Finally, the microgrid must interact with the centralized grid provided by the utility, both accepting control signals from that grid as well as providing status information back to the utility.

The scientific and engineering challenges will not be faced in isolation but form a natural, complementary, and synergistic pair of viewpoints. For example, the development of analytic methods will be guided by the control and optimization goals. Conversely, resolution of engineering challenges such as the optimal placement of sensors will be guided by controlled experimental procedures that are intended to produce methods for sensor placement useful outside of the University of Hawaii context.

1.1.3   Collaboration (Management Plan)

This team consists of four principal investigators (Professors Aleksandar Kavcic, Philip Johnson, Anthony Kuh, and Matthias Fripp from the University of Hawaii) along with four collaborators (Dora Nakafuji, Hawaiian Electric Company; David Hafner and Stephen Meder, Associate Vice Chancellors for the University of Hawaii; and Jeff Mikulina, Blue Planet Foundation). Each of the four collaborators have supplied a letter indicating their support for the project and interest in participation.

Team members were carefully chosen to provide a broad, interdisciplinary, and complementary set of skills. Professors Kuh, Kavcic, and Fripp are from the Department of Electrical Engineering and have expertise in sensing, modeling, and power systems. Professor Johnson is from the Department of Information and Computer Sciences and has expertise in software engineering and consumer-facing interfaces to the Smart Grid. Dr. Dora Nakafuji is Director of Renewable Energy at Hawaiian Electric Company and has expertise in utility-side systems. David Hafner is an Assistant Vice Chancellor and head of Facilities Management at the University of Hawaii and has expertise in UH power systems and requirements. Stephen Meder is also an Assistant Vice Chancellor and head of sustainability initiatives at the University of Hawaii. Finally, Jeff Mikulina is the Executive Director of Blue Planet Foundation, a Hawaii-based environmental advocacy group which has played a major role in renewable energy policy development in Hawaii.

For a summary of the basic roles and responsibilities of these team members, it is useful to think of the project as consisting of two dimensions. The first dimension, domain of inquiry, comprises two basic areas: science/engineering and social/environmental/policy. The second dimension, domain of application, also has two basic areas: internal to the proposed microgrid (i.e. the University of Hawaii campus) and external to the proposed microgrid (i.e. the surrounding environment).

Section 1.1.3 must discuss the roles, qualifications, and synergy of the multi-disciplinary team, the leadership structure, and the integration of the proposed activities among team members. International or industrial collaborations can strengthen the proposal.

	Inside microgrid / UH	Outside microgrid / UH
Science / Engineering	Kavcic, Kuh, Johnson, Hafner	Fuji, Fripp
Environment / Policy	Hafner, Meder, Kuh	Mikulina, Meder, Johnson

Figure 1: Team members and focal areas

Figure 1 illustrates these two dimensions and the focal points for the team members in a simple table. As the table shows, at least one PI and at least one collaborator is associated with each of the four areas in the table.

The project will be led by Dr. Kuh who will manage the work, ensure coordination among the investigators, and track milestones. The entire team will meet monthly by conference call to discuss research and education progress, with smaller meetings in focus areas occuring more frequently. A yearly summit meeting will provide an opportunity to assess overall progress and adjust milestones if necessary.

At the University of Hawaii the investigators will hold weekly meetings with graduate students. Typically, a student or faculty member will present their research results which will be critiqued by the whole group. The meetings will ensure that work is collaborative and will give all investigators and students an opportunity to see the different phases of the research project. Dr. Nakafuji recently became an adjunct Professor at the University of Hawaii and will join our meeting at regular intervals.

The PIs will serve as leaders for the five research components. Professor Kuh will lead sensors and monitoring, Professor Kavcic will lead modeling and analysis, Professor Fripp will lead control and optimization, Professor Johnson will lead social, economic, privacy, security, and policy implications, and Professor Kuh will (also) lead education and workforce development.

All PIs will work together on recruiting, retention, and outreach efforts. Special attention will be given to recruiting of underrepresented students with assistance from NHSEMP and SWE.

1.2 Pathway to Smart, Sustainable Microgrids

Our pathway to smart, sustainable microgrids involves the development of a testbed consisting of the UH Manoa campus. This section goes into detail on the five research components introduced above.

1.2.1 Sensing, monitoring, and data gathering

We will consider a complex micro-grid with a high penetration of distributed renewable energy generation. Theoretical studies will be considered along with extensive simulations in conjunction with working on a real test-bed, the University of Hawaii at Manoa (UHM) micro-grid. The UHM micro-grid test-bed will provide validation on simulation and theoretical studies.

Today's modern electrical grid system relies on a vast web of distribution networks (D) and transmission corridors (T) to deliver power to customers. Tremendous control, visibility and communication resources and standards exist to support the "T" portion. The "D" portion has received much less attention and poses one of the weakest links to enabling wide adoption of more distributed resources. The "D" portion tends to be more disperse, less automated and non-standard across the nation. Making matters worse, the utility operators have limited or no visibility to the

distributed resources emerging on their systems. The influx of customer-sited PV systems and emerging plug-in-hybrid vehicle technologies deployed at the distribution level have accelerated the need to accurately model impacts of these distributed generation (DG) resources on the electric system. On the UHM micro-grid test-bed this requires design and installation of appropriate sensing equipment to monitor environmental resource data, electrical grid data, and building energy usage. This is closely tied to other aspects of the project including modeling and analysis, optimization and control, and the economic and social implications.

This research considers both theoretical and practical aspects of gathering data from sensor and monitors. Multiple data types will be gathered requiring construction of sensor networks and data fusion from the multiple sensor networks. A key is to deploy enough monitors and sensors so that important information can be gathered and processed. Information that is needed are events that can will impact the operation of the grid. This includes detecting ramps that occur with solar energy due to changing weather conditions (from cloudy to sunny or vice versa) and faults that can occur on the electrical grid. With sufficient sensor networks deployed intelligent control and optimization strategies can be made to reduce energy usage in buildings subject to economic considerations and energy requirements made on labs, classrooms, and offices in the building. In this subsection we discuss the data types, data collection, building sensor networks, and gathering information from the data (sensor fusion, compression, and data mining).

Data types. To accurately model and analyze the micro-grid along with designing optimization and control strategies for the grid several sets of data need to be monitored. We also need to consider measuring data both spatially and temporally. The data that we consider in this proposal are environmental resource data, electrical grid data, building energy usage, and data gathered from users of energy on the micro-grid. This data will be gathered from our test-bed, the UHM micro-grid.

Environmental resource data is monitored so that we can better forecast the energy generation of distributed renewable energy sources such as solar PV panels. Here we build and deploy sensor boxes that measure solar irradiation, wind speed and direction, temperature, and humidity. These sensors and monitors will be placed on rooftops of UHM buildings with key considerations being how fast we sample data, how many sensors do we deploy, and where do we place sensors.

Electrical grid data will also be gathered from existing utility meters. Additional meters will be placed at key locations on buses and electrical lines. Currents, voltages, complex power, and energy usage will be measured. Again key considerations are how fast we sample data, where to deploy meters, and what sensors and monitors do we use that are already deployed on the grid.

We would like to get better information about load data and this can be done by deploying sensors and monitors in buildings. Here we can monitor energy usage by deploying sensors to measure energy usage in rooms from air conditioning, electrical equipment, and lighting. (Philip, perhaps you can help here, WattDepot).

Data is gathered from different sources to understand how energy is generated and consumed on the micro-grid. This requires understanding user behavior. Recently, an energy dorm competition was held, the Kukui cup where the goal was for student in the dorm to use knowledge about energy consumption to reduce energy usage. Numerous survey results were conducted to understand student behavior as it related to energy consumption. This will continue with our proposal. (Philip, perhaps you can add here too).

Data collection. There are several issues to be considered when getting data from sensors, monitors, and meters. How much information is to be gathered, how do we collect this data, and how do we organize this data. The first issue is discussed here with the other two issues discussed in subsequent subsections.

Where do we place the sensors? There has been substantial research on the sensor placement problem from researchers studying sensor networks with applications for environmental monitoring, monitoring the electrical grid with PMUs, and biomedical monitoring. Tools from signal processing, statistics, and machine learning are used. The problem is often framed as an optimization problem of minimizing some error criterion or maximizing some information criterion. Once space has been discretized it can be formulated as placing m sensors among $n > m$ possible locations. Optimal algorithms are computationally infeasible for large m and n, but greedy algorithms that run in polynomial time of m and n often give good approximations to optimal algorithms. Some key aspects of the optimization include properties of submodularity and monotonicity. We study these algorithms as it applies to our algorithm for both static and dynamic cases. A state space model can be used to model the dynamics of different parameters with a linear state space model given by

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k)$$
$$y(k) = C(x(k) + v(k))$$

where $x(k)$ is the state of the system (e.g. on the electrical grid, current phasor at n different nodes). A goal is to determine the best C matrix which is a binary 0/1 matrix with at most one 1 in any column and exactly one 1 in every row.

We must also determine how often to sample data. The sensor placement problem and sampling problem depend on the information we need to control and optimize the micro-grid. This depends on the modeling and analysis of the micro-grid and also what events we are trying to detect. Other factors that come into play are the economics associated with placing sensors, monitors, and meters. A goal is to place and sample sensors so that they give the most useful information. With limited resources we would consider placing sensors on the grid and to monitor the environment along an electrical line where there is significant renewable generation and hence intermittent generation. This will give us a better understanding of effects of renewable energy generation, how we can model this, and designing control and optimization algorithms that can mitigate intermittency subject to available resources; batteries and demand response.

Sensor network Implementation. We will create two sensor networks at the micro-grid level. One sensor network will consist of environmental resource data collected from student built self powered energy boxes that measure solar irradiance, wind speed and direction, temperature, and humidity. There will also be other environmental sensors such as solar resource locational monitors (e.g. LM2 monitors). A goal is to monitor environmental resource data both spatially and temporally on the UHM campus. Most of these sensors will be placed on top of UHM buildings. This will aid in understanding energy produced by distributed PV sources placed around the campus. Wireless networks will be used to gather this data to a central server and storage system.

A second sensor network will monitor the electrical grid. This will consist of sampling existing HECO utility meters and deploying demand side load monitors (e.g. AMI and PMI type devices) and PMI field device data concentrators. These sensors will be connected together via a wireless network and again sent to a central server and storage system.

(Also need a discussion of building sensor networks: Philip)

Information gathering.   We have a Smart Energy Laboratory in 493 Holmes to collect the data from different sensor networks. This will be stored in a data server and storage system. Data will be gathered from sensor networks monitoring environmental resource data, electrical grid data, and sensor networks in buildings monitoring energy usage. This data will be time stamped. In addition there will be data collected from students, staff, and faculty on campus about their energy usage. A goal in the data collection is to perform sensor fusion so that information can be obtained to assist in detecting key events that happen on the micro-grid (e.g ramps in energy produced by distributed solar PV and faults that occur on the electrical grid).

Tools are needed to organize and fuse the raw data from sensor networks. This includes using filtering, sensor fusion, source coding, compressed sensing, and machine learning to process the data to extract useful information from the data. Once this is done models can be created of the micro-grid to analyze and simulate the behavior of the grid. Then control and optimization algorithms can be tested on simulation networks to deal with events such as ramping and faults. Software packages such as Powerworld, matlab, and PScad will be used along with visualization tools such as TREX designed by Referentia.

1.2.2   Modeling and analysis

Belief Propagation: Through or previously funded NSF project (ECCS-???), we have already established that the factor-graph (PERHAPS INSERT A FIGURE HERE IF THERE IS SPACE) approach is well-suited to modeling the electrical connections of a microgrid. We demonstrated that, with only a few well placed sensors in the grid, we are able to track slowly evolving behaviors of the grid as well as with more elaborate exact maximum-likelihood estimators. This is mainly because the electrical grid is typically a *tree* graph, where correlations among renewable sources create rare loops with large diameters.

In this research, we plan to use belief propagation as a tool that would also enable 1) tracking the grid in transitional modes (e.g., if a large number of users and/or renewable microgenerators enter and/or drop from the microgrid abruptly) and 2) short-term future prediction of the grid behavior at node-levels. To this end, we will develop the necessary modeling and prediction tools.

Given appropriate data, the next step is to apply analytic techniques to create real-time and historical information useful for control and optimization of the microgrid.

Two important contributions of this part of the research will be: (1) analytic techniques that enable us to adequately characterize the current state of the microgrid without a cost-prohibitive deployment of sensing equipment, and (2) analytic techniques that enable short-term prediction of various useful attributes of the micro-grid (such as future (potentially peak) load and ramp) and the surrounding environment (insolation, wind speed and direction, etc.)

It should be noted that there is an interdependence between the "sensing and monitoring" subproject and the "modeling and analysis" subproject: we will "tune" the installation of sensing equipment in order to obtain acceptable quality of analytic outcomes for the next step, control and optimization. Furthermore, the chosen models and analytical tools cannot only be a good descriptor of the underlying physical processes, but also need to be matched to the signal processing (detection and estimation) methods, or else the signal processing methods will not be of much use.

Modeling demand: The standard model for demand in grid networks is using historical *histograms* [**?**]. However, historical histogram patterns do not reveal the true spatiotemporal character of the demand. It is obvious that spatial and temporal correlations will need to be exploited in order to arrive at a model that would be useful for spatiotemporal demand prediction, i.e., prediction that can predict the demand, say, 5 minutes in advance at any given set of spatial location locations in the microgrid. Furthermore, in order to utilize the belief-propagation tool, we will seek to model the demand among various nodes in the microgrid as a spatiotemporal Markov process with as few loops as possible (because it is the loops that adversely affect belief propagation [**? ? ?**]). We note that simple Gauss-Markov process modeling will likely not suffice because Gaussian processes are well-suited only for tracking slow changes. Yet, demand in campus environment can be spatially and temporally bursty (because of correlation to class-times tarts, lab-clusters, weather/heat conditions and distributed renewable microgenerators). For this reason, we plan leverage our experience in modeling Gauss-Markov processes [**?**] and finite-state machines [**?**] to develop a comprehensive double-layer Gauss-Markov/finite-state spatiotemporal demand model. We will extrapolate, calibrate and test the model using measurement data from sensors distributed around the campus.

Modeling renewable sources: Much like the loads (demand) are spatially and temporally distributed, so are the renewable micro-generators. The UH campus already has several clusters of photovoltaic (PV) generators and two small wind turbines (typically used for experimental purposes) dispersed around campus. Therefore, we will need to model their energy outputs using spatiotemporal Markov processes (with as few loops as possible) that are capable of modeling both slowly varying effects as well as (spatially and temporally) localized bursts. Again, we will utilize a layered Gauss-Markov/finite-state modeling approach to capture both effects. However, unlike modeling demand, here we will need to heavily correlate the model to weather conditions (night/day, cloud-cover, wind speed and direction). We will extrapolate, calibrate and test the model using weather measurement data from irradiance sensors, wind velocity sensors and cloud cameras distributed around the campus.

Prediction: It is a well-known fact that the Kalman predictor is the optimal predictor of spatial and temporal Gaussian processes [**?**]. When the Gaussian process further possesses a Markov structure (i.e., a Gauss-Markov process), the Kalman predictor is computationally less intensive. In our case, we will likely have a two-layer spatial and temporal Gauss-Markov/finite-state process to track. The optimal tracker of this process would be a spatiotemporal combination of a Kalman predictor [] and a forward recursion of the Baum-Welch algorithm []. However, this approach will likely be too computationally intensive to be practical. Therefore, we will ignore the loops in the model, and implement the predictor using belief propagation derived for a tree-like double-layer (Gauss-Markov/finite-state) graph, but applied to the exact loopy microgrid graph.

We envision that the developed prediction tool will be used to indicate where and how the control mechanisms (e.g., peak-shaving, gray-outs, etc.) should be applied. However, we must consider the sensitivity of certain locations when applying control. For example, it would be detrimental to shut off the air conditioner in a sensitive climate controlled laboratory, or in computer server clusters that must remain cool. For this reason, it is desirable to have a prediction method that will account for these sensitivities. It is well-known that any prediction method suffers form uncertainly, misdetection and false alarms. Grossly false estimation or prediction of the state of

certain sensitive nodes may adversely affect the stability of the network or sensitive campus locations. This can be minimized in two possible ways. Firstly, we may choose to place sensors in the vicinities of highly sensitive nodes, and thus minimize the risk of misprediction (*Note: this approach should have been discussed in the section on Sensing and Monitoring*). Secondly, we may choose to dampen the belief-propagation algorithm to prevent wild belief swings in the vicinities of highly sensitive nodes. This amounts to placing weights (or other types of constraints) on beliefs corresponding to highly sensitive nodes. We shall term this approach *sensitivity weighted* belief-propagation. To our knowledge, no such approach has been attempted in smart-grid networks, although similar strategies are available in the communications and error-control coding literature [].

Analysis: The final piece of the modeling and analysis effort is the actual analysis of the proposed models and tracking methods. In tracking mode, we will utilize statistical signal processing techniques to analyze the performance of the trackers. For example, under under stationarity conditions, we can easily bound the performance of maximum-likelihood estimators of Gausian processes. We will extend these techniques, and combine them with bounding techniques typical for finite-state processes [], to develop bounds for tracking the layered Gauss-Markov/finite-state process in under certain stationarity assumptions.

Under extremely non-stationary conditions, however, it will be extremely difficult to come up with analytical tools that will be able to bound the performance of the estimator/tracker. Indeed, in the signal processing literature, response to extreme non-stationarities are typically demonstrated by simulations [**?**]. For this reason, it is actually very important to design a good model that will not only be useful for designing the detector, but also for designing the simulator. The usefulness of this approach will be extremely important when determining the response to *rare events*. Rare events are events that are rarely (or never observed) in practice until they happen in reality (e.g., black-outs, shut-downs, catastrophic failures). The only way to understand how such events would affect the system is through simulations. We will conduct analysis studies of these rare, extremely non-stationary events through the means of simulations using the developed process models.

1.2.3 Control and optimization

The primary goals of a smart, sustainable microgrid are to use electrical energy as efficiently as possible, maximize the amount of energy coming from renewable resources, and minimize the overall cost of energy while retaining acceptable levels of reliability and quality. The optimization and control module of this project will test (explore?, show?) how well these goals can be met by scheduling electricity production and consumption using data and forecasts from the modeling and analysis module.

Some of the important capabilities include: voltage and frequency regulation, peak shaving and peak shifting (in order to obtain reduced rates from the utility), and lowered overall consumption. Because cooling constitutes most (?) of UHM's electricity load, the initial approach will focus on cooling loads. (This also takes advantage of the fact that heat or cold are much cheaper to store than electricity.) However, we will also investigate the potential for optimizing other time-shiftable loads, such as water heating, energy-intensive scientific research, and eventually electric vehicles. (Something here about managing the backup-generator blocks for peak shaving and ramp control?) In each case, automated mechanisms will be supplemented by community awareness

dissemination techniques that can enable interested members of the microgrid to participate in achieving cost savings and more efficient usage of renewable resources. That is, we will study power control techniques at all levels of user interaction, from total automated through automation with user-override, to purely user-driven based on information supplied from the optimization and control system.

The contributions of this part of the research will include development of the software and hardware systems required to support various control and optimization capabilities, and empirical studies that demonstrate the extent to which those capabilities can be achieved in a real world setting. For example, loads can be shifted by several minutes to hours with no impact on users by adjusting the temperature band used in chillers that provide cold water for the campus's cooling needs. However, even longer shifts can be achieved by automatically adjusting the thermostats in individual buildings (a process which has passive user involvement, since users must be able to override these settings) or by actively involving users through a "save energy now" message from the WattDepot. (Say more about how this is a cutting-edge area of research, cite recent utility critical peak pricing studies, and say that the effectiveness of these automatic/hybrid/user-driven strategies is still not well understood, nor have these strategies themselves been optimized, e.g., do good thermostats exist for this purpose?)

The optimization model will seek to maximize the net benefit of load control using a stochastic linear optimization model. This will use estimates of the probability of setting the peak load or peak ramp in any hour, the cost of doing so, the cost of power, and a "supply curve" for load control that reflects the cost of discomfort or inconvenience for users, exhausting their willingness to engage in load control, the cost of operating backup generators as peak- or ramp-shaving units, or the risk of failing to charge PHEVs and leaving them to purchase gasoline instead.

This module will also include an estimate of the amount of peak shaving or ramp control that can be induced by sending a signal of a given strength (e.g., shifting the temperature setpoint for chillers and/or buildings by 1C or sending a stronger signal to users in a game-based energy-management system). These coefficients will be dynamically updated by occasionally comparing the behavior of the system with and without a control signal under similar forecasted conditions.

We will also explore tradeoffs between efficiency and peak-management when many pieces of equipment are operated at part load. For example, UHM's smart grid and smart-chiller-distribution system will allow the campus to run many generators or chillers at once, giving a strong peak-shaving or ramp-shaving capability. However, this could increase power consumption, since chillers and generators are less efficient when they are run at part load.

1.2.4 Social, economic, privacy, security, and policy implications

It would be naive to assume that a smart, sustainable microgrid could be implemented transparently and invisibly to its users. Indeed, we believe that part of the problems with our current electrical infrastructure results from lack of public awareness concerning the problems of reliable, sufficient, and sustainable energy production. Many people are used to virtually unlimited, low-cost, and reliable electrical energy produced in an unsustainable, environmentally harmful manner and do not yet understand why this cannot continue.

Thus, an important part of this project is to develop information that can not only be used to control the grid, but also be used to inform the inhabitants of the microgrid about its current state in a useful, actionable form. We believe this is important not only to obtain support from consumers

for the costs and complexity associated with development of a smart, sustainable microgrid, but also to enable them to become active participants in management of the grid. By actively participating, efficiencies not possible with chiller management alone can be realized. In addition, it will create the data necessary for broader policy decisions by local government that can make future smart, sustainable microgrids easier to develop and deploy.

We will also investigate the privacy and security aspects of this technology.

Contributions of this part of the research will involve the design and evaluation of user-facing energy awareness and control systems and their impact on overall energy use, and an initial understanding of policy implications.

1.2.5 Education and workforce development

This project will not only include development of science and technology, but also curriculum materials. We will teach a variety of courses alongside the development of the microgrid which will enable our students to develop skills necessary to deploy these technologies. These curriculum materials will be made publically available.

Contributions of this part of the research will include the development of curriculum materials and the creation of engineers and scientists skilled in this area.

1.3 Project plan

This section provides a timeline of events and activities that show how the project will proceed on a quarterly basis, when milestones will be achieved, etc. We will need to create a list of milestones for the each of the subprojects and how they will interrelated to each other.

1.4 Results from prior NSF research

The PIs for this project have had the following NSF-supported projects during the past five years:

1. P. Johnson, *Human centered information integration for the Smart Grid*, NSF Grant IIS-1017126, 8/15/10 - 8/14/13, $381,467. The objective of this research is to design information technology and associated experimental methods to help understand what information, provided in what ways and at what times, enables consumers to make positive, sustained changes to their energy consumption behaviors. Selected publications include [5, 6, 7, 4, 20].

2. P. Johnson, *Supporting development of highly dependable software through continuous, automated, in-process, and individualized software measurement validation*, NSF Grant CCF02-34568, 9/01/02 - 8/31/07, $638,000. The objective of this research was to design, implement, and validate software measures within a development infrastructure that supports the development of highly dependable software systems. Selected publications for this project include [17, 26, 16, 15, 14, 11, 18, 8, 16, 1, 10, 13, 12, 19].

3. A. Kavcic, *Energy-Efficient Communication with Optimized ECC Decoders: Connecting Algorithms and Implementations*, NSF Grant ECCS-0725649, 09/01/07 - 08/31/10, $120,000. This is a joint project with MIT. The PI is responsible for reshaping Reed-Solomon soft decoding algorithms for VLSI implementation. This is a hardware design project, and the chip

is in the tape-out phase. Publications to date that cover the theoretical aspects of the project are [2, 3, 22, 21, 24, 23].

4. A. Kuh, *Incremental and Distributed Learning in Nonstationary Environments with Applications to Wind Forecasting*, NSF Grant ECCS-098344, 9/01/09 - 8/31/11, $150,251. The objective of this research is to design novel nonlinear kernel online and distributed learning algorithms for applications including wind forecasting. Selected publications for this project include [**? ?**].

5. A. Kuh, *US-Japan Joint Seminar Information Theory*, NSF Grant 0508025 $35,750. Funds used to support graduate students for conferences and for visit to Japan.

Professors Johnson, Kavcic, and Kuh also had NSF support dating back more than 5 years.

1.5 Conclusions

Summarize the expected contributions of the proposed research and how we meet the requirements of this solicitation.

References

[1] Victor R. Basili, Marvin V. Zelkowitz, Dag Sjoberg, Philip M. Johnson, and Tony Cowling. Protocols in the use of empirical software engineering artifacts. *Empirical Software Engineering*, 12, February 2007.

[2] Jason Bellorado and Aleksandar Kavcic. Low complexity soft decoding algorithms for Reed-Solomon codes: Part I - an algebraic soft-in-hard-out Chase decoder, 2010. to appear in *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*.

[3] Jason Bellorado, Aleksandar Kavcic, Marcus Marrow, and Li Ping. Low complexity soft decoding algorithms for Reed-Solomon codes: Part II - soft-input-soft-output iterative decoding, 2010. to appear in *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*.

[4] Robert S. Brewer. The Kukui Cup: Shaping everyday energy use via a dorm energy competition. In *Proceedings of the CHI 2011 Workshop on Everyday Practice and Sustainable HCI*, Vancouver, Canada, May 2011.

[5] Robert S. Brewer and Philip M. Johnson. WattDepot: An open source software ecosystem for enterprise-scale energy data collection, storage, analysis, and visualization. In *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Smart Grid Communications*, Gaithersburg, MD, October 2010.

[6] Robert S. Brewer, George E. Lee, and Philip M. Johnson. The Kukui Cup: a dorm energy competition focused on sustainable behavior change and energy literacy. In *Proceedings of the 44th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, January 2011.

[7] Robert S. Brewer, George E. Lee, Yongwen Xu, Caterina Desiato, Michelle Katchuck, and Philip M. Johnson. Lights Off. Game On. The Kukui Cup: A dorm energy competition. In *Proceedings of the CHI 2011 Workshop on Gamification*, Vancouver, Canada, May 2011.

[8] Stuart Faulk, Philip M. Johnson, John Gustafson, Adam A. Porter, Walter Tichy, and Larry Votta. Measuring HPC productivity. *International Journal of High Performance Computing Applications*, December 2004.

[9] David Hafner. Future power distribution requirements for the UH Manoa campus. http://vimeo.com/35032779, November 2011.

[10] Lorin Hochstein, Taiga Nakamura, Victor R. Basili, Sima Asgari, Marvin V. Zelkowitz, Jeffrey K. Hollingsworth, Forrest Shull, Jeffrey Carver, Martin Voelp, Nico Zazworka, and Philip M. Johnson. Experiments to understand HPC time to development. *CTWatch Quarterly*, November 2006.

[11] Philip M. Johnson. The Hackystat-JPL configuration: Overview and initial results. Technical Report CSDL-03-07, Department of Information and Computer Sciences, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822, October 2003.

[12] Philip M. Johnson. Requirement and design trade-offs in Hackystat: An in-process software engineering measurement and analysis system. In *Proceedings of the 2007 International Symposium on Empirical Software Engineering and Measurement*, Madrid, Spain, September 2007.

[13] Philip M. Johnson and Hongbing Kou. Automated recognition of test-driven development with Zorro. *Proceedings of Agile 2007*, August 2007.

[14] Philip M. Johnson, Hongbing Kou, Joy M. Agustin, Christopher Chan, Carleton A. Moore, Jitender Miglani, Shenyan Zhen, and William E. Doane. Beyond the personal software process: Metrics collection and analysis for the differently disciplined. In *Proceedings of the 2003 International Conference on Software Engineering*, Portland, Oregon, May 2003.

[15] Philip M. Johnson, Hongbing Kou, Joy M. Agustin, Qin Zhang, Aaron Kagawa, and Takuya Yamashita. Practical automated process and product metric collection and analysis in a classroom setting: Lessons learned from Hackystat-UH. In *Proceedings of the 2004 International Symposium on Empirical Software Engineering*, Los Angeles, California, August 2004.

[16] Philip M. Johnson, Hongbing Kou, Michael G. Paulding, Qin Zhang, Aaron Kagawa, and Takuya Yamashita. Improving software development management through software project telemetry. *IEEE Software*, August 2005.

[17] Philip M. Johnson and Michael G. Paulding. Understanding HPCS development through automated process and product measurement with Hackystat. In *Second Workshop on Productivity and Performance in High-End Computing (P-PHEC)*, February 2005.

[18] Aaron Kagawa and Philip M. Johnson. The Hackystat-JPL configuration: Round 2 results. Technical Report CSDL-03-07, Department of Information and Computer Sciences, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822, May 2004.

[19] Hongbing Kou, Philip M. Johnson, and Hakan Erdogmus. Operational definition and automated inference of test-driven development with Zorro. *Journal of Automated Software Engineering*, December 2009.

[20] George E. Lee, Yongwen Xu, Robert S. Brewer, and Philip M. Johnson. Makahiki: An open source game engine for energy education and conservation. In *Submitted to Foundations of Digital Games 2012*, Raleigh, North Carolina, May 2012.

[21] Fabian Lim, Marc Fossorier, and Aleksandar Kavcic. Notes on the automorphism groups of Reed-Solomon binary images. In *IEEE Intrnational Symposium on Information Theory*, Toronto, Canada, July 2008.

[22] Fabian Lim, Aleksandar Kavcic, and Marc Fossorier. Ordered statistics decoding of linear block codes over intersymbol interference channels. *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics*, 44:3765–3768, November 2008.

[23] Fabian Lim, Aleksandar Kavcic, and Marc Fossorier. Code automorphisms and permutation decoding of certain Reed-Solomon binary images, 2010. submitted to *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*.

[24] Fabian Lim, Aleksandar Kavcic, and Marc Fossorier. List decoding techniques for inter-symbol interference channels using ordered statistics, 2010. to appear in *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communicarions*.

[25] National Energy Technology Laboratory. The modern grid strategy: Characteristics of the modern grid. `http://www.netl.doe.gov/moderngrid/opportunity/vision_characteristics.html`, 2008.

[26] Michael G. Paulding. Measuring the processes and products of HPCS development: Initial results for the optimal truss purpose-based benchmark. Technical Report CSDL-04-13, Department of Information and Computer Sciences, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822, September 2004.