Final Exam, version 4 CSE 103, Fall 2013

Name:			
ID:			

On your desk you should have only the exam paper, writing tools, and the cheat-sheet. The cheat-sheet is one page handwritten on both sides.

The exams are color coded. Your exam should have different color than that of your neighbours to the left, right and in front.

There are 10 questions in this exam, totalling 100 points and an extra-credit question, worth 10 points. The final score is determined by summing all the points and taking the min of the sum and 100.

Be clear and concise. Write your answers **in the space provided** after each question. To the degree possible, write your answers as expressions. If you provide only the final numerical answer it would be hard for us to assign you partial credit. Similarly, clearly written explanations written after the final answer will make it possible for us to give you partial credit if your final answer is incorrect.

Do your work on the back side of the pages and then, when you are confident of the answer, copy it, including explanations, neatly into the space below the question.

1	10pt.
2	8pt.
3	7pt.
4	15pt.
5	10pt.
6	10pt.
7	10pt.
8	10pt.
9	10pt.
10	10pt.
EC	10pt.
total	
	1

1

<b>1.</b> (10 pts)
How many strings of 4 lower case letters are there that contain at least 2 of the letters in the range a-g inclusive?
Hint: look at the complement: how many strings contain no letters in a-g, and how many strings contain exactly one letter in a-g?
<b>2.</b> (8 pts)
Suppose a pedestrian crosses a busy street either on or off the crosswalk. Suppose that the probability she uses the cross-walk is $90\%$ . Furthermore, suppose that the probability of getting hit by a car is $10^{-9}$ when using the cross-walk and $10^{-4}$ when not using the cross-walk.
Suppose the pedestrian crossed the street and was hit by a car, what is the probability that she was using the cross-walk?
Suppose the pedestrian crossed the street and was not hit by a car, what is the probability that she was using the cross-walk?
<b>3.</b> (7 pts)
You are giving candy to 4 kids. The candy consists of 9 Twix and 10 Milky-ways. Each kids gets at least one Twix. How many ways are there to distribute the candy among the kids?

## **4.** (15 pts)

For the following joint probability matrices, fill in the missing values and answer the questions.

	X=1	X=2	X=3	Marginal over Y
Y=1	1/20	1/10	1/10	
Y=2	1/20	1/10	1/10	1/4
Y=3		1/5		1/2
Marginal over X	1/5	2/5	2/5	*
		•	•	

- Are *X* and *Y* dependent?
- Are *X* and *Y* correlated? \_\_\_\_\_
- $\bullet P(X=1|Y=2) = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$

	X=1	X=2	X=3	Marginal over Y
Y=1	1/13	0	2/13	3/13
Y=2			2/13	
Y=3	1/13	1/13	2/13	4/13
Marginal over X	4/13	3/13	6/13	*
				•

- Are *X* and *Y* dependent?
- Are *X* and *Y* correlated?
- $\bullet P(X = 1 | Y = 3) =$

	X=1	X=2	X=3	Marginal over Y
Y=1	1/8	0	1/8	1/4
Y=2	0			1/2
Y=3		0	1/8	1/4
Marginal over X	1/4	1/2	1/4	*

- Are *X* and *Y* dependent?
- Are *X* and *Y* correlated? \_\_\_\_\_
- P(Y = 1 | X = 1) = \_\_\_\_\_

_	/1A	
<b>5.</b> (	(TU	pts)

You are given a card deck of 90 ca	rds, consisting all combinations	of 15 ranks and 6 suits.	. Suppose you draw	a hand of 5 c	ards out
of this deck, what is the probability	that it will be a <i>straight</i> ?				

Recall that a *straight* refers to five cards whose ranks are in sequence, but whose suits are **not all the same** (otherwise it is called a straight flush). The 1 can be used either as a low card or a high card when forming a straight. For example, both (1,2,3,4,5) and (12,13,14,15,1) are valid straight ranks, but not (13,14,15,1,2).

1. The number of possibilities for the ranks of a straight is	
2. The suits can be anything other than all equal, so the number of possibilities for the suits of a straight is	
3. Thus the number of straight hands is	

4. Finally, the probability that a randomly chosen hand is a straight is \_\_\_\_\_\_.

You are	given a c	oin that	you	assume	is a fa	r coin,	and	you	are	interested	in	the	probability	that	the	fraction	of '	"heads"	in the
sequence	e is at leas	st 0.4																	

a. Suppose the coin is flipped n=100 times	
$\circ$ Write an exact expression for the probability that the number of heads is equal to $0.4 \times 100 = 40$ .	
What Z-score corresponds to getting 40 heads?	
• What is the central limit theorem approximation for the probability that the number of heads is 40 or hig the coin is fair?	her assuming tha
b. Suppose that instead of n=100 times we flip the coin n=10000 times.	
○ What Z-score corresponds to getting 0.4×10000=4000 heads?	
• What is the central limit theorem approximation for the probability that the number of heads is 4000 or that the coin is fair?	higher assuming
a. As we increase the number of coin flips $n$ , does the probability of the event "the number of heads is lar increase, decrease or stay the same?	ger than $0.4 \times n'$

7. (10 pts)
Suppose a web-server receives, on average, 60 requests per minute. Assume that the requests are independent and therefore:
<ol> <li>The number of requests in any given minute is distributed according to a Poisson distribution and</li> <li>The time between two consecutive requests is distributed according to an Exponential distribution.</li> </ol>
• What is the probability that it will not receive any request within the first 3 seconds of some particular minute?

• What is the probability that it will receive exactly 1 requests during the same period of time? \_\_\_\_\_\_

• What is the probability that the time between the first and the second requests received in a minute would be smaller than 2 seconds? \_\_\_\_\_

Suppose  $X_1,...,X_{74}$  are independent random variables where  $X_i \in \{0,1\}$  and  $P(X_i = 1) = 0.3$  for i = 1,...,n.

Define a random variable  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{74} (-1)^{i+1} X_i = X_1 - X_2 + X_3 - X_4 + \dots - X_{74}$ 

What is E[Y]?

What is Var[Y]?

Using Chebyshev's inequality, give un upper bound on P(|Y| > 20)?

We want to prove that seat-belts save lives. In other words, our goal is to reject the null hypothesis stating that seat-belts do not help.

Suppose first that we know that, in general, the probability of a fatality in a car accident is q = 1%.

We examine n = 1000 randomly selected records of accidents where the driverwore a seat belt. We find that k = 7 of these accidents were fatal.

With what confidence can we conclude that claim is correct?  $X_i = i^{th}$  accident was fatal.

The null hypothesis is that seat belts make no difference.  $Pr(X_i = 1) = q = 0.01$ . Alternative hypothesis,  $Pr(X_i = 1) < q$ .

The total number of fatal accident under the null hypothesis  $S = \sum_{i} X_{i}$ .

$$E(S) = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$$

$$var(S) = \underline{\hspace{1cm}} std(S) = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$$


k = 7 is approximately one standard deviation smaller than the mean, in other words, the z-score is roughly (write an integer number)

Using this approximation, the *p*-value associated with k = 7 is \_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

**Complete the sentence:** the *p*-value ("is"/"is not") \_\_\_\_\_\_ a **random variable**.

Below is the CDF of a mixture distribution with point mass and uniform components.

Component weights take on multiples of 0.05 and they need to sum to one.



Identify the component distributions:

- Uniform component on the interval ( \_\_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_\_). Its component weight is \_\_\_\_\_\_
- Uniform component on the interval ( \_\_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_). Its component weight is \_\_\_\_\_
- Point mass on \_\_\_\_\_\_. Its component weight is \_\_\_\_\_\_
- Point mass on \_\_\_\_\_\_. Its component weight is \_\_\_\_\_\_

The **Percentile** algorithm finds the *i*'th smallest element of an (unsorted) array of numbers. The **Median** algorithm is the special case Percentile(S,round(|S|/2)).where we use |S| to denote the length of the array S**function** Percentile(S,i)

- Pick an element v from S at random
- Split *S* into three pieces:
  - $S_L$ , elements less than v
  - $S_v$ , elements equal to v
  - $S_R$ , elements greater than v
- If  $i < |S_L|$  Return Percentile( $S_L$ ,i)
- Else if  $i < |S_L| + |S_v|$  return v
- Else Return Percentile( $S_R$ ,i- $|S_L| + |S_V|$ )

In this problem, we find out some simple facts regarding the performance of the algorithm.

A call to Percentile results either with the answer being found, or, in the more common case, a recursive call to Percentile with a shorter array.

Clearly, the algorithm makes more progress the shorter the list with which the recursive call is made. We can't know whether the list that would be used is  $S_L$  or  $S_R$ , we therefor want both to be short.

- What is the probability of choosing v so that  $|S_L| < \frac{3}{4}|S|$ ?
- What is the probability of choosing v so that  $|S_R| < \frac{3}{4}|S|$ ?
- What is the probability of choosing v so that both  $|S_R| < \frac{3}{4}|S|$  and  $|S_L| < \frac{3}{4}|S|$ ?
- We call a split of the last type, where both  $|S_R| < \frac{3}{4}|S|$  and  $|S_L| < \frac{3}{4}|S|$ , a **good** split. What is the expected number of splits until we get a good split?
- How many good splits do we need before the length of the list is reduced to one and the algorithm has to terminate? (Write your answer in terms of the length of the original list |S| = n)
- Upper bound the expected number of splits (and recursive calls) before the algorithm terminates?