

FINAL SPRINT

FINISH ALL CODE BY 6/6

TEAM CALENDAR

Final sprint – Game Logic Integration (Nick, Darwin, Zhenyu, Katy, Pranay)

- The back of the AI card
- Make sure to pull deck for player from their active deck
- Random pick card for AI
- Fix the animation when same card are put onto the table
- Puppeteer: game loop (win/lose/initial card/draw card)
 - need to mock/fixture randomness
- Jest: game logic - ties, win conditions etc. Code Coverage (~80%)

Final sprint – Game Pages Layout (Zack, Jaylynne, Zhenyu, Katy, Haoting (CSS person))

- Home page need to have a background and link to different pages
- Card style on the gamepage
- Exit to site button
- Timer animation
- Winning animation
- Puppeteer: general site navigation (every click = 1+ test)
 - home-page -> game-page -> exit game-page to home-page -> collection-page
- Add color/text/annotation to the card counter
 - Living: "Dining" –blue
 - Dining: "Structure" – Default
 - Structure: "Living"-- Green

Final sprint– Card System & CARD (ChengCheng, Ryan, Chung, Destin, Katy)

- CRUD for game collection page for active deck
- CSS for the game collection page
- Fix the styling
- Fix the img ratio
- Replace the black card holder
- Puppeteer: collection page - changing active deck (check CRUD)
- CUSTOM IMG
- Jest: IndexedDB Code Coverage (~80%)

- UCSDCardsDB init
- activeDeck - CRUD ops

Guidelines: general rules / reminders for Final Sprint

- General workflow for development:
 - feature branches should be branched off of develop. Make sure to periodically pull from develop.
 - Run ESLint and prettier locally:


```
npm run lint
```

```
npm run format
```
 - (if applicable) run the unit tests: `npm run test:unit`
 - (if applicable) run the e2e tests: `npm run test:e2e`
 - If you get issues running `npm lint / format`, `npm ci` should install dependencies
 - When ready to merge to develop, make a PR request. Make sure you pass the CI pipeline. Tag your assigned reviewer at least in your PR.
- Use Github Projects (we will close the previous sprints'). The general project for your team and <FINAL> will be created, but you must create sub-issues and correctly assign yourself to them. Keep your Github Project board updated, and **link PRs by commenting Closes #<issue number>.**
- Write tests in the same branch as the code you are testing.
- Try in to post in #stand-up every other day. If you get off by a day, that's fine. You should have things to put into standup; this is really the only way it will be enforced.
- Use #breaking-changes for changes that are made on your end that will affect other teams. Use threads for replies; keep the general channel clear
- Please acknowledge Slack messages, even in #important-announcements

Things we need to fix before turn in:

- Naming consistency (repo and variable names within code)
- Clean up branches
- Reorganize repo for clarity and organization
 - Clear entry point of file (README.md), clear starting file name
 - Link to second repo in the main README
- Releases?
- Docs (Readme.md)
- Design related Docs
 - Clean up "Zack's designs", wireframes
- UCD related Docs
 - Markdown file of user stories – link in issues
- Architectural related docs
 - Add indexDB init function
 - Flowchart of ci/cd
 - Flowchart of the gameplay
- System related docs
 - CI pipeline diagram ✓
 - How database interacts w/ other components (diagram of how the cards are stored / "where they go")
- Team & managerial related docs
- Developer Docs
 - JSDoc
 - NEED TO ADD JSDoc COMMENTS FOR FILES OTHER THAN SCRIPT.JS
- Doc location and doc DX
- Sprint planning & estimation
 - We plan to finish our bare-bone at sprint 1, but wasn't even half way through, etc...
 - We can show that these have improved – sprint planning docs
- Code consistency, commenting, decomposition
 - Linting, commenting: only the script.js has jsdocs (eslint only checks if the comment is valid rather than if it exists)
- Testing
 - Need to up code coverage, pretty well formed pipeline; need to do end user testing / hand testing
- Hand testing (?)

- "Dog Fooding" (?)
 - Yes, we all played it
 - Most of the time, we try it out ourselves before we make a pr
- Stakeholder feedback (?)
 - Weekly TA meetings, especially increased communication towards the end
- Outside User Acceptance Testing (?)
 - Google Form to send out with product and user feedback
- The -ilities (see below)
- Should probably update main with a "working" product → continue
- Branching naming scheme: name-what-you're-doing
- CI/CD pipeline documentation: cipline directory has

| ility | How we implemented | Improvements needed |
|-----------------|---|---|
| usability | 3 pages, instructions on 2 pages, clear and easy to use nav bar across all pages | How people won in each round (haoting suggested) Improve readability - decrease the card text, perhaps for more information the user can hover over the card to get more information |
| maintainability | CI pipeline Custom card element Separate navbar code Comments/docs (JSDocs) | Code/file organization More ADRs on CI More JSDoc comments UCD related Docs |
| scalability | indexDB > localStorage | We might be able to get cloud storage + local storage to increase scalability TrackJS |
| availability | | |
| reliability | Separate main and develop - always have a working version Reliable retrieval of cards - local read Font fallbacks | Fallback images? Noscript? |
| portability | Dynamic file paths for localhost vs Github pages rendering | Browser tests? |
| testability | Unit and e2e testing integrated into the CI | More console logs, errors, warn (Lab 9) |

| | | |
|------------------|---|---|
| | pipeline | Fix the command for tests in package.json |
| security | No stored user information | |
| interoperability | N/A | |
| performance | Lighthouse tests | Reformat/resizing the image |
| stability | Many starting points | |
| accessibility | Lighthouse tests, Error page, meta for SEO | No alt, no enough contrast ratio for background, lists don't only contain , no script |
| compatibility | | Browser tests???? |

usability - how user-friendly and intuitive the software is

maintainability - how easily the software can be modified to do corrections, improve.

scalability- the ability of the software to handle growing amounts of workload as user increase

availability - the proportion of the time the system is working and functional, measure in percentage

reliability: the ability of the software to perform its required function under stated condition for a amount of time

portability - the ease of the software translate from one environment to another

testability: how effectively the system can be tested for defects

flexibility" how easy the software can be changed in its environment

interoperability: the ability of the software to interact with other system

performance: how the system behaves in terms of RAIL

stability: the software's ability to run without unexpected terminations

: the softwares' ability to be used by end users with different abilities

Compatibility: the capability of the software to coexist with other system