My thanks to the Reviewer for their comments. I offer the following responses:

**Reviewer 2 writes:**

“Just a few comments, I would tend not to use specific file formats when mentioning document files (row 28). I rather use a general term like "document files" knowing that in many journals formats used are diverse.”

**Author response:**

Thank you for this comment. I have included the term “document files” and placed the file format in parentheses. In my experience, journals primarily only accept two file formats: docx and LaTeX files. However, I have been using Linux as my only operating system for over 20 years now, which means that when I use a word processor, I use LibreOffice, which defaults to the ODT file format. Saving or reading a file as a DOCX file in LibreOffice can involve changes in formatting and other issues. This can be especially problematic when journals have complex formatting requirements. LaTeX is much better in many ways because it relies on marked up plain text, but again, different journals have imposed complicated styles on authors submitting LaTeX files (e.g., I have been unable to compile some LaTeX documents using some publisher’s styles). Therefore, the reason I emphasized DOCX was because of the dominance of this file format, which is strictly tied to a specific application that I don’t always have easy access to (i.e., Microsoft Word). I think that file formats should be agnostic of their applications. LaTeX is kind of like that but complicated (for reasons above), but other markup languages, like Markdown, have seen rapid development and adoption in the last decade.

**Reviewer 2 writes:**

“I guess some readers will argue that another reason why there is a low level of acceptance is the need to have enough resources to manage a high level of articles. I don't know if you could comment on that, too.”

**Author response:**

Thank you for bringing this up. I added a comment in the Scarcity section and a reference to Björk (2019) that addresses this.

**Reviewer 2 writes:**

“Finally, at the end of the text, just before the conclusion there is a paragraph commenting on other issues where journals need a huge improvement. It is a bit telegraphic and there is only a list of elements to improve but maybe there is a lack of possible solutions. For instance, which is the solution for publishing tables? Change format? Link datasets?”

Thank you. I offered some additional text to clarify this paragraph. My goal was to tie this back to the beginning of the paper when I discussed the need for an overall evaluation of scholarly practices even though my intent was to focus on journal issues in this paper.