

# MA 105 D1 Lecture 12

Ravi Raghunathan

Department of Mathematics

Autumn 2014, IIT Bombay, Mumbai



## Review - problems involving the gradient

**Exercise 1:** Find the points on the hyperboloid  $x^2 - y^2 + 2z^2 = 1$  where the normal line is parallel to the line that joins the points  $(3, -1, 0)$  and  $(5, 3, 6)$ .

**Solution:** The hyperboloid is an implicitly defined surface. A normal vector at a point  $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$  on the hyperboloid is given by the gradient of the function  $x^2 - y^2 + 2z^2$  at  $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ :

$$\nabla f(x_0, y_0, z_0) = (2x_0, -2y_0, 4z_0).$$

We require this vector to be parallel to the line joining the points  $(3, -1, 0)$  and  $(5, 3, 6)$ . This line lies in the same direction as the vector  $(5 - 3, 3 + 1, 6 - 0) = (2, 4, 6)$ . Thus we need only solve the equations

$$2x_0 = 1, \quad -2y_0 = 4, \quad 4z_0 = 6,$$

which give  $x_0 = 1/2$ ,  $y_0 = -2$  and  $z_0 = 3/2$ . Thus, we need to find  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda(1/2, -2, 3/2)$  lies on the hyperboloid.

Substituting in the equation yields  $\lambda = \pm\sqrt{2/3}$ .

## Problems involving the gradient, continued

**Exercise:** Find the directions in which the directional derivative of  $f(x, y) = x^2 + \sin xy$  at the point  $(1, 0)$  has the value 1.

**Solution:** We compute  $\nabla f$  first:

$$\nabla f(x, y) = (2x + y \cos xy, x \cos xy),$$

so at  $(1, 0)$  we get,  $\nabla f(1, 0) = (2, 1)$ .

To find the directional derivative in the direction  $v = (v_1, v_2)$  (where  $v$  is a unit vector), we simply take the dot product with the gradient:

$$\nabla_v f(1, 0) = 2v_1 + v_2.$$

This will have value “1” when  $2v_1 + v_2 = 1$ , subject to  $v_1^2 + v_2^2 = 1$ , which yields  $v_1 = 0, v_2 = 1$  or  $v_1 = 4/5, v_2 = -3/5$ .

## Review of the gradient

**Exercise:** Find  $D_u F(2, 2, 1)$  where  $D_u$  denotes the directional derivative of the function  $F(x, y, z) = 3x - 5y + 2z$  and  $u$  is the unit vector in the outward normal to the sphere  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 9$  at the point  $(2, 2, 1)$ .

**Solution:** The unit outward normal to the sphere  $g(x, y, z) = 9$  at  $(2, 2, 1)$  is given by

$$\frac{\nabla g(2, 2, 1)}{\|\nabla g(2, 2, 1)\|}.$$

We see that  $\nabla g(2, 1, 1) = (4, 4, 2)$  so the corresponding unit vector is  $(2, 2, 1)/3$ .

To get the directional derivative we simply take the dot product of  $\nabla F$  with  $u$ :

$$(3, 5, 2) \cdot (2, 2, 1)/3 = 6.$$

**Comments:** Also, there is no need to compute the gradient to find the normal vector to the sphere - it is obviously the radial vector at the point  $(2, 2, 1)$ !.

## Review of the gradient, continued

**Exercise:** Find the equations of the tangent plane and the normal line to the surface

$$F(x, y, z) := x^2 + 2xy - y^2 + z^2 = 7$$

at  $(1, -1, 3)$ .

**Solution:** We first compute the gradient of  $F$  to get  $\nabla F(x, y, z) = (2x + 2y, 2x - 2y, 4z)$ . At  $(1, -1, 3)$ , this yields the vector  $\lambda(0, 4, 6)$  which is normal to the given surface at  $(1, -1, 3)$ . The point  $(1, 3, 9)$  also lies on the normal line so its equation is

$$x = 1, \frac{y + 1}{4} = \frac{z - 3}{6}.$$

The equation of the tangent plane is given by

$$4(y + 1) + 6(z - 3) = 0,$$

since it consists of all lines orthogonal to the normal and passing through the point  $(1, -1, 3)$ .

# Inf, Sup

Consider the set of points in the interval  $[0, 1/2)$ . What is its supremum? Clearly  $1/2$ .

On the other hand consider the subset of number of  $[0, 1/2)$  of the form  $1/2 - 1/2n$ . What is its supremum? Clearly, also  $1/2$ .

Suppose we have a subset  $S$  of  $[0, 1/2)$  which has the property that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a  $y \in S$  such that  $y \geq 1/2 - 1/2n$ . What is its supremum? Again,  $1/2$ .

In general taking the supremum over a subset yields a smaller number than taking the supremum over the whole set. The point I am trying to make is that special subsets of a given set may have the same supremum as the whole set

## The Darboux lower integral for $f(x) = x$

Suppose I take any partition  $P$  of the interval  $[0, 1]$  and take the Darboux lower sum  $L(f, P)$  for  $f(x) = x$ . What does one get? Some number  $x \in [0, 1/2)$ .

On the other hand, if I take the partition  $P_n$  given by interval of equal length  $1/n$ , what does one get for  $L(f, P_n)$ ?  $1/2 - 1/2n$ .

Now the set of partitions  $P' = P \cup P_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is a *subset* of the set of *all* partitions. So *sup* of  $L(f, P')$  while only  $n$  varies will be less than or equal to the sup of  $L(f, P)$  as we vary all  $P$ .

On the other hand, for each partition  $P$ , the partition  $P' = P_n \cup P$  is a refinement of both  $P_n$  and  $P$ . So  $L(f, P') \geq 1/2 - 1/2n$ .

It follows that for each  $P$ , there is a  $P'$  such that  $L(f, P) \leq 1/2 - 1/2n \leq L(f, P')$ . Thus taking the supremum over all  $P$  will yield something less than or equal to what we get when taking the supremum only over partitions of the form  $P'$ .



The point in the preceding slide is that the set  $S$  of all partitions  $P'$  as above has the property that we want. Namely

$$\sup_{P'} L(f, P') = \sup_P L(f, P).$$

Thus in order to say the lower integral exists, you can look at this special family and take its supremum, which is  $1/2$ .

A similar analysis of the upper sums show that the upper Darboux integral is also  $1/2$ .

Small aside: why is  $L(f, P) \leq 1/2$  for any  $P$ ?

Notice that  $x_{i-1} \leq \frac{x_{i-1} + x_i}{2}$ . So,

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i-1}(x_i - x_{i-1}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_{i-1} + x_i)}{2}(x_i - x_{i-1}).$$

This last sum is the telescoping sum:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2}{2} - \frac{x_{i-1}^2}{2} = \frac{x_n^2}{2} - \frac{x_0^2}{2} = 1/2.$$

# Riemann Integration

First, note the correction that I issued for the main theorem on Riemann integration which was Theorem 21. The correct statement is as follows.

**Theorem 21:** Every **bounded** function  $f$  on  $[a, b]$  which has at most only a finite number of discontinuities is Riemann integrable.

Now back to discussing the function  $f(x) = x$ . How does one prove that it is Riemann integrable using Definition 2?

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. Let  $N > 1/2\varepsilon$  and let  $P_N$  be the partition  $\{0 < 1/N, 2/N, \dots < 1\}$ . Let  $(P, t)$  be any tagged refinement of  $P_N$ . Then

$$L(f, P_N) \leq R(f, P, t) \leq U(f, P_N),$$

i.e.,

$$1/2 - 1/2N \leq R(f, P, t) \leq 1/2 + 1/2N.$$

But this shows that  $|1/2 - R(f, P, t)| \leq 1/2N < \varepsilon$ . This shows that the function is Riemann integrable and has Riemann integral  $1/2$ .