#### MA-106 Linear Algebra

M.K. Keshari



Department of Mathematics Indian Institute of Technology Bombay Powai, Mumbai - 76

> 16th February, 2015 D1 - Lecture 18

#### Recall:

- The problem of solving linear system of 1-st order ODE with constant coefficients  $\frac{du}{dt} = Au$  reduces to solving the eigenvalue problem  $Ax = \lambda x$ .
- The eigenvalues of A are roots of characteristic polynomial  $\det(A \lambda I)$  and the eigenspace associated to eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is  $N(A \lambda I)$ .
- $\lambda = 0$  is an eigenvalue of  $A \Leftrightarrow A$  is singular. So A is non-singular  $\Leftrightarrow 0$  is not an eigenvalue of A.
- If A is a diagonal matrix, then eigenvalues of A are  $a_{11}, a_{22}, \ldots, a_{nn}$  and the associated eigenvectors are  $e_1, \cdots, e_n$ .
- Eigenvectors need not form a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Ex:  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .
- **Def.** Let A and B be square matrices such that  $S^{-1}AS = B$  for an invertible matrix S. Then A and B are called **similar**.

• Recall: Let  $L: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  be linear map L(x,y) = (x+y,x+2y). Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{(1,0)^T,(0,1)^T\}$  and  $\mathcal{B}' = \{(1,1)^T,(1,-1)^T\}$  be two bases of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $A = [L]_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $B = [L]_{\mathcal{B}'}^{\mathcal{B}'}$ . Then A and B are similar. Consider

$$\mathbb{R}^{2}_{\mathcal{B}'} \xrightarrow{id} \mathbb{R}^{2}_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{L} \mathbb{R}^{2}_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{id} \mathbb{R}^{2}_{\mathcal{B}'}$$

$$(5)$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \ S = [id]_{\mathcal{B}'}^{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \ B = S^{-1}AS = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{2} & \frac{-1}{2} \\ \frac{-1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can find B directly also

$$L(1,1) = (2,3) = \frac{5}{2}(1,1) + \frac{-1}{2}(1,-1)$$
  

$$L(1,-1) = (0,-1) = \frac{-1}{2}(1,1) + \frac{1}{2}(1,-1)$$

- If A and B are similar, then they have same characteristic polynomial,  $det(A \lambda I) = det(B \lambda I)$ , hence same eigenvalues.
- **Def.** A square matrix A is called diagonalizable if A is similar to a diagonal matrix  $\Lambda$ , i.e.,  $S^{-1}AS = \Lambda$  for some S. In this case, the eigenvalues of A are the diagonal entries of  $\Lambda$ .

- 1. 140020024 PRANSHU MAHENDRA JAIN
- 2. 140020025 AMIYA MAITREYA
- 3. 140020042 PRANAY AGARWAL
- 4. 140020045 MOHIT SINGHAL
- 5. 140020054 PRANAY LADIWALA
- 6. 140020089 SATYENDRA KUMAR
- 7. 140020105 ANUP PATTNAIK
- 8. 140050028 VARRE ADITYA VARDHAN
- 9. 140050031 C VISHWESH
- 10. 140050038 GUDIPATI KRISHNA CHAITANYA
- 11. 140050047 Y PUSHYARAG
- 12. 140050057 YOGENDRA VINAY
- 13. 140050065 ARUKONDA GOUTHAM SURYA
- 14. 140050071 DARNASI RAHUL KIRAN
- 15. 140050056 DANTAM MOHAN SAITEJA
- 16. 140050060 GANGAM ROHITH REDDY
- 17. 140050068 THANNEERU RANA PRATHAP

#### Diagonalization of a Matrix

**Q:** What is the advantage of a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  consisting of eigenvectors?

Theorem:

Eigenvectors diagonalize a matrix

Assume an  $n \times n$  matrix A has a basis consisting of eigenvectors  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ .

Consider the invertible matrix  $S = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_n \end{bmatrix}$  with columns  $x_i$ .

Then 
$$S^{-1}AS=$$
 diagonal matrix  $\Lambda=egin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}.$ 

**Proof.** (2 × 2 case).  $Ax_i = \lambda_i x_i$ . Hence

$$AS = A \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ax_1 & Ax_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 x_1 & \lambda_2 x_2 \end{bmatrix} = S\Lambda.$$

Therefore  $S^{-1}AS = \Lambda$ ,

i.e., A is similar to a diagonal matrix.

Caution: 
$$\Lambda S = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \\ & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 a & \lambda_1 b \\ \lambda_2 c & \lambda_2 d \end{bmatrix} \neq S\Lambda.$$

# Diagonalization: Example

Ex: 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 6 \\ 0 & 2 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 is triangular.  

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = (1 - \lambda)(2 - \lambda)(3 - \lambda).$$
Eigenvalues:  $\lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 2$ ,  $\lambda_3 = 3$ .

**Note:** If A is triangular, its eigenvalues are sitting on the diagonal

Eigenvectors: 
$$x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $x_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $x_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -7 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Further,  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Hence  $S = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \end{bmatrix}$  is invertible, and

$$AS = \begin{bmatrix} Ax_1 & Ax_2 & Ax_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 2x_2 & 3x_3 \end{bmatrix} = S\Lambda$$
, where  $\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 2 & \\ & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Thus  $S^{-1}AS = \Lambda$ , i.e., A is diagonalizable.

## Diagonalization and Change of Basis

With A as before,  $T: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$  defined by Tx = Ax is linear. If  $S = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  is the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , then

$$[T]_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{S}} = \begin{bmatrix} [Te_1]_{\mathcal{S}} & [Te_2]_{\mathcal{S}} & [Te_3]_{\mathcal{S}} \end{bmatrix} = A.$$

Recall that for  $x_1 = (1,0,0)^T$ ,  $x_2 = (5,1,0)^T$  and  $x_3 = (-7,-4,1)$ ,  $Tx_1 = x_1$ ,  $Tx_2 = 2x_2$ ,  $Tx_3 = 3x_3$ .

Furthermore,  $\mathcal{B} = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . **Q:** What is  $[T]_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}$ ?

$$[T]_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} [Tx_1]_{\mathcal{B}} & [Tx_2]_{\mathcal{B}} & [Tx_3]_{\mathcal{B}} \end{bmatrix} = \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 2 & \\ & & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consider

$$\mathbb{R}^3_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{id} \mathbb{R}^3_{\mathcal{S}} \xrightarrow{T} \mathbb{R}^3_{\mathcal{S}} \xrightarrow{id} \mathbb{R}^3_{\mathcal{B}}$$

Change of basis formula:

$$[id]_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{B}}[T]_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{S}}[id]_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{S}} = [T]_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}$$
, i.e.,  $[id]_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{B}}A[id]_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{S}} = \Lambda$ .

**Observe:** 
$$[id]_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{S}} = \begin{bmatrix} [id(x_1)]_{\mathcal{S}} & [id(x_2)]_{\mathcal{S}} & [id(x_3)]_{\mathcal{S}} \end{bmatrix} := \mathcal{S}.$$

i.e., the change of basis formula gives:  $S^{-1}AS = \Lambda$ .

Thus diagonalization of a matrix is the same as finding a basis w.r.t. which the matrix is diagonal.

## When is A Diagonalizabe

• If  $x_1, \ldots, x_r$  are eigenvectors of A associated to distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r$ , then  $x_1, \ldots, x_r$  are linearly independent.

*Proof.* Suppose  $x_1, \ldots, x_r$  are linearly dependent. Choose a linear relation involving minimum number of  $x_i$ 's, say

(1) 
$$a_1x_1 + \ldots + a_tx_t = 0$$
.  $(1 < t \le r, t \text{ is minimal, } a_i \ne 0)$ 

Apply A to get 
$$a_1\lambda_1x_1 + \ldots + a_t\lambda_tx_t = 0$$
 (2)

$$\lambda_1 \cdot (1) - (2)$$
 gives  $a_2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)x_2 + \ldots + a_t(\lambda_1 - \lambda_t)x_t = 0$ ,

which contradicts the minimality of t.

• If A has n distinct eigenvalues, then A is diagonalizable.

*Proof.* If  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  are eigenvectors associated to distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ , then  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  is a linearly independent set.

Then  $S = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_n \end{bmatrix}$  is invertible, and  $S^{-1}AS = \Lambda$  as earlier.

Hence A is diagonalizable.

#### When is A Diagonalizabe

• A is diagonalizable  $\Leftrightarrow$  A has n linearly independent eigenvectors.

**Proof.** We have seen  $(\Leftarrow)$ . Let's prove  $(\Rightarrow)$ .

Assume  $S = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_n \end{bmatrix}$  is an invertible matrix such that

$$S^{-1}AS = \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $AS = S\Lambda$ , i.e.  $\begin{bmatrix} Ax_1 & \dots & Ax_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 x_1 & \dots & \lambda_n x_n \end{bmatrix}$ .

Therefore  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  are eigenvectors of A. They are linearly independent since S is invertible.

The columns of the diagonalizing matrix S are eigenvectors of A S need not be unique, e.g., replace  $x_1$  by  $2x_1$  etc.

M.K. Keshari () D1 - Lecture 18 16th February, 2015 9 / 12

# Diagonalizability: Non-examples

**Ex:**  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  has repeated eigenvalues 1, 1.

The eigenvectors of A are  $\begin{bmatrix} y \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Theorefore A does not has a basis consisting of eigenvectors, so A is not diagonalizable.

**Ex:** Similarly, for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} a & 1 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}$  has repeated eigenvalues a, a and is not diagonalizable.

M.K. Keshari () D1 - Lecture 18 16th February, 2015 10 / 12

#### Eigenvalues of AB and A + B

• If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of A,  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue of B, is  $\lambda\mu$  an eigenvalue of AB?

*Proof.* False Proof. 
$$ABx = A(\mu x) = \mu(Ax) = \lambda \mu x$$
.

This is false since A and B may not have same eigenvector x.

• Ex: 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $AB = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

The eigenvalues of A and B are 0,0 and that of AB are 1,0.

- Eigenvalues of A+B are NOT  $\lambda+\mu$ . In above example,  $A+B=\begin{bmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{bmatrix}$  has eigenvalues 1,-1.
- If A and B have same eigenvectors associated to  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , then  $\lambda\mu$  and  $\lambda + \mu$  are eigenvalues of AB and A + B respectively.

**Q:** When do A and B have the same eigenvectors?

## Simultaneous Diagonalizability

- Assume A and B are diagonalizable. Then A and B have same eigenvector matrix S if and only if AB = BA.
- **Proof.** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume  $S^{-1}AS = \Lambda_1$  and  $S^{-1}BS = \Lambda_2$ , where  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are diagonal matrices.

Then 
$$AB = (S\Lambda_1 S^{-1})(S\Lambda_2 S^{-1}) = S(\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2)S^{-1}$$
  
and  $BA = S(\Lambda_2 \Lambda_1)S^{-1}$ .

Since  $\Lambda_1\Lambda_2=\Lambda_2\Lambda_1$ , we get AB=BA.

• (Part of  $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume AB = BA.

If  $Ax = \lambda x$ , then  $ABx = B(Ax) = B(\lambda x) = \lambda Bx$ .

If Bx = 0, then x is an eigenvector of B, associated to  $\mu = 0$ .

If  $Bx \neq 0$ , then x and Bx both are eigenvectors of A, associated to  $\lambda$ .

Special case: Assume all the eigenspaces of A are one dimensional.

Then  $Bx = \mu x$  for some scalar  $\mu \Rightarrow x$  is an eigenvector of B.

We will not prove the general case.