## Problem Set 9

1. Formulas in Presburger Arithmetic (PA) use first-order variables x, y, ... that are evaluated over the structure  $\mathcal{A} = (N, +)$ , where  $N = \{0, 1, ...\}$  is the set of natural numbers, and, + is the ternary addition predicate. Here is a valid sentence in this logic:

$$\forall x \forall y \exists z [(x+z=y) \lor (y+z=x)]$$

- (a) Show that the relations x < y, S(x, y) can be defined in PA.
- (b) Is the satisfiability problem decidable for PA? That is, given a formula  $\varphi$  in PA, can you decide if  $\varphi$  is satisfiable?
- 2. Let  $AP = \{p, q, r\}$ . Formulate the following as LT properties:
  - (a) Eventually false
  - (b) p occurs exactly twice, and q never occurs between two occurrences of p
  - (c) If r occurs only finitely often, then p continously occurs from some point
  - (d) r is true continuously upto some point, and at the next point, both p, q hold, and then q and r alternate infinitely often
  - (e) Infinitely often there are two consecutive occurrences of p
  - (f) Between every consecutive occurrences of p, there is a q, and there is a prefix of r's of even length
- 3. Let TS and TS' be two transition systems without terminal states on the same set of atomic propositions AP. Then show that Traces(TS) = Traces(TS') iff TS and TS' satisfy the same set of LT properties.
- 4. Consider a set of atomic propositions AP. Consider the following logic  $\mathcal X$  defined as follows:

$$\varphi ::== (a \in AP)|\varphi \wedge \varphi| \neg \varphi|\varphi \Delta \varphi$$

with semantics as follows:

Given a word  $w = A_0 A_1 \dots$  over  $2^{AP}$  and a position  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define

- (a)  $w, i \models a \text{ iff } a \in A_i \text{ for } a \in AP$
- (b)  $w, i \models \varphi_1 \land \varphi_2 \text{ iff } w, i \models \varphi_1 \text{ and } w, i \models \varphi_2$
- (c)  $w, i \models \neg \varphi \text{ iff } w, i \not\models \varphi$
- (d)  $w, i \models \varphi \Delta \psi$  iff  $\exists j > i, w, j \models \psi$  and  $\forall i < k < j, w, k \models \varphi$ .

Comment on the equivalence of LTL and  $\mathcal{X}$ .

5. Exercises 5.1, 5.2, 5.5, 5.6 and 5.7 from Baier-Katoen.