In the Philadelphia model of the Quakers, the Walnut Street prison

really Foucault's target, no more than the prison was. Rather, he is concerned with disciplinary procedures themselves. We can now turn to contury and with a vengeance in the nineteenth, these tactics extended to other sectors of the population, other places of reform, other administrations of control. The institution of the hospital or the school is not It should be emphasized that prisons are only one example among many others of this technology of discipline, surveillance, and punishment. One of the central points Foucault is making is that the prisons themselves, as well as the tracts on the ideal form of punishment, are only the clearly articulated expressions of more generalized practices of disciplining both individuals and populations. Throughout the eighteenth these practices and isolate their general characteristics.

(police). But it is not reducible or identifiable with any of these particular instances. Discipline does not simply replace other forms of power which existed in society. Rather, it "invests" or colonizes them, linking them together, extending their hold, honing their efficiency, and "above all making it possible to bring the effects of power to the most minute and Discipline is a technique, not an institution. It functions in such a way that it could be massively, almost totally appropriated in certain institutions (houses of detention, armies) or used for precise ends in others (schools, hospitals); it could be employed by preexisting authorities (disease control) or by parts of the judicial state apparatus distant elements" (DP 216).

course, the imposition of a form of social control over the body is found in How does it work? According to Foucault, discipline operates separated into its constituent parts. The aim of disciplinary technology is to forge a "docile [body] that may be subjected, used, transformed and primarily on the body, at least in the early stages of its deployment. Of this control takes. The body is approached as an object to be analyzed and all societies. What is distinctive in disciplinary societies is the form that improved" (DP 136).

beings will be built on the smallest and most precise of bases. The construction of ac"micropower," starting from the body as object to be most precise, productive, and comprehensive system of control of human How does this work? First, the body is divided into units, for example, the legs and arms. These are then taken up separately and subjected to a precise and calculated training. The aim is control and efficiency of operation both for the part and the whole. One thinks of the drills royal armies expend so much effort in performing. Scale is crucial; the greatest,

mized, and silenced. During the Classical Age, while so much attention Second, the signifying dimension is progressively ignored, minimanipulated, is the key to disciplinary power.

prisoners. Each individual would be carefully supervised, his time organized in the most efficient way possible, his day partitioned into producdirection were provided to each prisoner. In addition the Quakers provided some new dimensions of their own. The punishment for a crime was dossiers, and scrupulous classification were the key. Detailed grilling as to which opened in 1790, the most important lessons of the Dutch and English systems were combined into a total institution. The economic imperative was present; the prison would be supported by the work of the tive segments. The moral imperative also operated: guidance and spiritual now carried out in secret, behind prison walls. The public entrusted the right of punishment to the correct and most suitable authorities. These authorities were free to accomplish not only the transformation of the recalcitrant into the dutiful, but a complete and total rehabilitation of all aspects of a prisoner's life. Knowledge, detailed observation, complete the circumstances of the crime, the behavior of the criminal, his progress under detention, and an increased knowledge of the criminal and of criminality in general, combined with the economic moral reform imperatives, comprise the component elements of this new figure of punishment.

The appearance and the rapid acceptance of preventive detention as overpower it. Rather, the body was to be trained, exercised, and supervised. The production of a new apparatus of control was necessary, one system of authority and knowledge; a concerted orthopaedy applied to the main form of criminal punishment is striking, not because it incorporated some of the principles proposed by the Enlightenment reformers, but begause it violated, reversed, or contradicted so many others. These contrasts can be summed up as follows: Punishment no longer sought significant public representation and didactic moral insight but rather attempted behavioral modification-both of the body and of the soul-through the precise application of administrative techniques of knowledge and power. Punishment would have succeeded when it produced "docile bodies." The application of punishment was once again inscribed on the body, but its aim was no longer to crush, dismember, and which would carry out this program of discipline. It was to be an apparatus of total, continuous, and efficient surveillance. Whereas the ritual It also required an increasing autonomy of operation, free of meddling the time of the convict, a regulation of his movements and behaviour by a convicts in order to reclaim them individually; an autonomous administration of this power that is isolated both from the social body and from of torture and confession and the punitive city of the reformers were carried out in public, this new technique of punishment required secrecy. influences. "A meticulous assumption of responsibility for the body and the judicial power in the strict sense" (DP 130).