Introduction to Machine Learning - CS725
Instructor: Prof. Ganesh Ramakrishnan
Lecture 11 - KKT Conditions, Support Vector Regression and its
Dual

KKT conditions for the Constrained (Convex) Problem

• Here, $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and the domain is the intersection of all functions. Lagrangian is:

$$L(\mathbf{w}, \lambda, \mu) = f(\mathbf{w}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i g_i(\mathbf{w}) + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \mu_j h_j(\mathbf{w})$$

- KKT **necessary** conditions for all differentiable functions (i.e. f, g_i, h_j) with optimality points $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$ and $(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\mu})$ are:
 - $\nabla f(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \hat{\lambda}_i \nabla g_i(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \hat{\mu}_j \nabla h_j(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) = 0$
 - $g_i(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) \leq 0; 1 \leq i \leq m$
 - $\hat{\lambda}_i \geq 0$; $1 \leq i \leq m$
 - $\hat{\lambda}_i g_i(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) = 0; 1 \leq i \leq m$
 - $h_j(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) = 0; 1 \le j \le p$
- When f and $g_i, \forall i \in [1, m]$ are convex and $h_j, \forall j \in [1, p]$ are affine, KKT conditions are also **sufficient** for optimality at $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$ and $(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\mu})$



KKT conditions for the Constrained (Convex) Problem Recap Application 1: Equivalence of two forms of Ridge Regression

Equivalent Forms of Ridge Regression

 Consider the formulation in which we limit the weights of the coefficients by putting a constraint on size of the L2 norm of the weight vector:

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y})^T(\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}) \ \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \leq \xi$$

- The objective function, namely $f(\mathbf{w}) = (\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{w} \mathbf{y})^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{w} \mathbf{y})$ is strictly convex. The constraint function, $g(\mathbf{w}) = \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \xi$, is also convex.
- For convex $g(\mathbf{w})$, the set $\{\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \leq \mathbf{0}\}$, is also convex. (Why?)



Equivalent Forms of Ridge Regression

• To minimize the error function subject to constraint $|\mathbf{w}| \leq \xi$, we apply KKT conditions at the point of optimality \mathbf{w}^*

$$abla_{\mathbf{w}^*}(f(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})) = \mathbf{0}$$

(the first KKT condition). Here, $f(\mathbf{w}) = (\Phi \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y})^T (\Phi \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y})$ and, $g(\mathbf{w}) = ||\mathbf{w}||^2 - \xi$.

Solving we get,

$$\mathbf{w}^* = (\Phi^T \Phi + \lambda I)^{-1} \Phi^T \mathbf{y}$$

From the second KKT condition we get,

$$\|\mathbf{w}^*\|^2 \leq \xi$$

From the third KKT condition,

$$\lambda \geq 0$$

From the fourth condition

$$\lambda \|\mathbf{w}^*\|^2 = \lambda \xi$$



Equivalent Forms of Ridge Regression

 \bullet Values of ${\bf w}$ and λ that satisfy all these equations would yield an optimal solution. That is, if

$$\|\mathbf{w}^*\| = \|(\Phi^T \Phi)^{-1} \Phi^T \mathbf{y}\| \le \xi$$

then $\lambda=0$ is the solution. Else, for some sufficiently large value, λ will be the solution to

$$\|\mathbf{w}^*\| = \|(\Phi^T \Phi + \lambda I)^{-1} \Phi^T \mathbf{y}\| = \xi$$

Bound on λ in the regularized least square solution

Consider,

$$(\Phi^T \Phi + \lambda I)^{-1} \Phi^T \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{w}^*$$

We multiply $(\Phi^T \Phi + \lambda I)$ on both sides and obtain,

$$\|(\Phi^T\Phi)\mathbf{w}^* + (\lambda \mathbf{I})\mathbf{w}^*\| = \|\mathbf{\Phi}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{y}\|$$

Using the triangle inequality we obtain,

$$\|(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Phi})\mathbf{w}^{*}\| + (\lambda)\|\mathbf{w}^{*}\| \geq \|(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Phi})\mathbf{w}^{*} + (\lambda\mathbf{I})\mathbf{w}^{*}\| = \|\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y}\|$$

• By the Cauchy Shwarz inequality, $\|(\Phi^T \Phi) \mathbf{w}^*\| \le \alpha \|\mathbf{w}^*\|$ for some $\alpha = \|(\Phi^T \Phi)\|$. Substituting in the previous equation,

$$(\alpha + \lambda) \|\mathbf{w}^*\| \ge \|\mathbf{\Phi}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{y}\|$$

i.e.

$$\lambda \ge \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\Phi}^T \mathbf{y}\|}{\|\mathbf{w}^*\|} - \alpha$$

Note that when $\|\mathbf{w}^*\| \to \mathbf{0}, \lambda \to \infty$. (Any intuition?) Using $\|\mathbf{w}^*\|_2^2 \le \xi$ we get,

Bound on λ in the regularized least square solution

 $\|(\Phi^T\Phi)\mathbf{w}^*\| \le \alpha \|\mathbf{w}^*\|$ for some α for finite $|(\Phi^T\Phi)\mathbf{w}^*\|$. Substituting in the previous equation,

$$(\alpha + \lambda) \|\mathbf{w}^*\| \ge \|\mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{y}\|$$

i.e.

$$\lambda \ge \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{T}\mathbf{y}\|}{\|\mathbf{w}^*\|} - \alpha$$

Note that when $\|\mathbf{w}^*\| \to 0, \lambda \to \infty$. (Any intuition?) Using $\|\mathbf{w}^*\|^2 \le \xi$ we get,

$$\lambda \ge \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\Phi}^T \mathbf{y}\|}{\sqrt{\xi}} - \alpha$$

This is not the exact solution of λ but the bound proves the existence of λ for some ξ and Φ .

The Resultant alternative objective function

Substituting $g(\mathbf{w}) = \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 - \xi$, in the first KKT equation considered earlier:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}^*}(f(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \cdot (\|\mathbf{w}\|^2 - \xi)) = \mathbf{0}$$

This is equivalent to solving

$$\min(\parallel \Phi \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y} \parallel^2 + \lambda \parallel \mathbf{w} \parallel^2)$$

for the same choice of λ . This form of **regularized** ridge regression is the **penalized** ridge regression.

KKT conditions for the Constrained (Convex) Problem Application 2: SVR and its Dual

KKT and Dual for SVR

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\mathbf{w}, b, \xi_i, \xi_i^*} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_i (\xi_i + \xi_i^*) \\ & \text{s.t. } \forall i, \\ & y_i - \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - b \leq \epsilon + \xi_i, \\ & b + \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i \leq \epsilon + \xi_i^*, \\ & \xi_i, \xi_i^* \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Let's consider the lagrange multipliers α_i , α_i^* , μ_i and μ_i^* corresponding to the above-mentioned constraints.
- The Lagrange Function is

KKT and Dual for SVR

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\mathbf{w}, b, \xi_i, \xi_i^*} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_i (\xi_i + \xi_i^*) \\ & \text{s.t. } \forall i, \\ & y_i - \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - b \leq \epsilon + \xi_i, \\ & b + \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i \leq \epsilon + \xi_i^*, \\ & \xi_i, \xi_i^* \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Let's consider the lagrange multipliers α_i , α_i^* , μ_i and μ_i^* corresponding to the above-mentioned constraints.
- The Lagrange Function is $L(\mathbf{w}, \alpha, \alpha^*, \mu, \mu^*) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i} (\xi_i + \xi_i^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \left(y_i \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) b \epsilon \xi_i \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i^* \left(b + \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) y_i \epsilon \xi_i^* \right) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i \xi_i \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i^* \xi_i^*$

$$L(\mathbf{w}, \alpha, \alpha^*, \mu, \mu^*) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i} (\xi_i + \xi_i^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \left(y_i - \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - b - \epsilon - \xi_i \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i^* \left(b + \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i - \epsilon - \xi_i^* \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i^* \xi_i^*$$

$$\bullet \text{ Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t. } \mathbf{w}.$$

$$L(\mathbf{w}, \alpha, \alpha^*, \mu, \mu^*) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i} (\xi_i + \xi_i^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \left(y_i - \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - b - \epsilon - \xi_i \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i^* \left(b + \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i - \epsilon - \xi_i^* \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i^* \xi_i^*$$

• Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t. w,

$$\mathbf{w} - \alpha_i \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) + \alpha_i^* \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) = 0$$
 i.e., $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) \phi(\mathbf{x}_i)$

ullet Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t. $\dot{\xi}_{i}$

$$L(\mathbf{w}, \alpha, \alpha^*, \mu, \mu^*) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i} (\xi_i + \xi_i^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \left(y_i - \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - b - \epsilon - \xi_i \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i^* \left(b + \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i - \epsilon - \xi_i^* \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i^* \xi_i^*$$

• Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t. w,

$$\mathbf{w} - \alpha_i \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) + \alpha_i^* \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) = 0$$
 i.e., $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) \phi(\mathbf{x}_i)$

• Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t. ξ_i ,

$$C - \alpha_i - \mu_i = 0$$
 i.e., $\alpha_i + \mu_i = C$

• Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t ξ_i^* ,

$$L(\mathbf{w}, \alpha, \alpha^*, \mu, \mu^*) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i} (\xi_i + \xi_i^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \left(y_i - \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - b - \epsilon - \xi_i \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i^* \left(b + \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i - \epsilon - \xi_i^* \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i^* \xi_i^*$$

• Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t. w,

$$\mathbf{w} - \alpha_i \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) + \alpha_i^* \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) = 0$$
 i.e., $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) \phi(\mathbf{x}_i)$

- Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t. ξ_i , $C \alpha_i \mu_i = 0$ i.e., $\alpha_i + \mu_i = C$
- Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t ξ_i^* , $\alpha_i^* + \mu_i^* = C$
- Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t b,

$$L(\mathbf{w}, \alpha, \alpha^*, \mu, \mu^*) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i} (\xi_i + \xi_i^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \left(y_i - \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - b - \epsilon - \xi_i \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i^* \left(b + \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i - \epsilon - \xi_i^* \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i^* \xi_i^*$$

• Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t. w,

$$\mathbf{w} - \alpha_i \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) + \alpha_i^* \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) = 0$$
 i.e., $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) \phi(\mathbf{x}_i)$

• Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t. ξ_i , $C - \alpha_i - \mu_i = 0$ i.e., $\alpha_i + \mu_i = C$

- Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t ξ_i^* , $\alpha_i^* + \mu_i^* = C$
- Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t b, $\sum_{i}(\alpha_{i}^{*}-\alpha_{i})=0$
- Complimentary slackness:



$$L(\mathbf{w}, \alpha, \alpha^*, \mu, \mu^*) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i} (\xi_i + \xi_i^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \left(y_i - \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - b - \epsilon - \xi_i \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i^* \left(b + \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i - \epsilon - \xi_i^* \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i^* \xi_i^*$$

• Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t. w,

$$\mathbf{w} - \alpha_i \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) + \alpha_i^* \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) = 0$$
 i.e., $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) \phi(\mathbf{x}_i)$

• Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t. ξ_i , $C - \alpha_i - \mu_i = 0$ i.e., $\alpha_i + \mu_i = C$

- Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t ξ_i^* , $\alpha_i^* + \mu_i^* = C$
- Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t b, $\sum_{i} (\alpha_{i}^{*} \alpha_{i}) = 0$
- Complimentary slackness:

$$\alpha_i(y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top} \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - b - \epsilon - \xi_i) = 0 \text{ AND } \mu_i \xi_i = 0 \text{ AND } \alpha_i^* (b + \mathbf{w}^{\top} \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i - \epsilon - \xi_i^*) = 0 \text{ AND } \mu_i^* \xi_i^* = 0$$



Conclusions from the KKT conditions:

$$\alpha_i \in (0, C) \Rightarrow ?$$

$$\alpha_i^* \in (0, C) \Rightarrow ?$$

KKT conditions

- Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t. \mathbf{w} , $\mathbf{w} \alpha_i \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) + \alpha_i^* \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) = 0$ i.e. $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) \phi(\mathbf{x}_i)$
- Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t. ξ_i , $C \alpha_i \mu_i = 0$ i.e. $\alpha_i + \mu_i = C$
- Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t ξ_i^* , $\alpha_i^* + \mu_i^* = C$
- Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t b, $\sum_{i}^{m} (\alpha_{i}^{*} \alpha_{i}) = 0$
- Complimentary slackness:

$$\alpha_i(y_i - \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - b - \epsilon - \xi_i) = 0$$

$$\mu_i \xi_i = 0$$

$$\alpha_i^* (b + \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i - \epsilon - \xi_i^*) = 0$$

$$\mu_i^* \xi_i^* = 0$$



Conclusions from the KKT conditions:

$$\alpha_i(y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top}\phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - b - \epsilon - \xi_i) = 0$$

and

$$\alpha_i^*(b + \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i - \epsilon - \xi_i^*) = 0$$

 \Rightarrow ?

Conclusions from the KKT conditions:

$$\alpha_i \in (0, C) \Rightarrow ?$$

$$(C - \alpha_i)\xi_i = 0 \Rightarrow ?$$

$$\alpha_i^* \in (0, C) \Rightarrow ?$$

$$(C - \alpha_i^*)\xi_i^* = 0 \Rightarrow ?$$

For Support Vector Regression, since the original objective and the constraints are convex, any $(\mathbf{w},b,\alpha,\alpha^*,\mu,\mu^*,\xi,\xi^*)$ that satisfy the necessary KKT conditions gives optimality (conditions are also sufficient)

Some observations

- $\alpha_i, \alpha_i^* \ge 0$, $\mu_i, \mu_i^* \ge 0$, $\alpha_i + \mu_i = C$ and $\alpha_i^* + \mu_i^* = C$ Thus, $\alpha_i, \mu_i, \alpha_i^*, \mu_i^* \in [0, C]$, $\forall i$
- If $0 < \alpha_i < C$, then $0 < \mu_i < C$ (as $\alpha_i + \mu_i = C$)
- $\mu_i \xi_i = 0$ and $\alpha_i (y_i \mathbf{w}^{\top} \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) b \epsilon \xi_i) = 0$ are complementary slackness conditions

So
$$0 < \alpha_i < C \Rightarrow \xi_i = 0$$
 and $y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top} \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - b = \epsilon + \xi_i = \epsilon$

- \bullet All such points lie on the boundary of the ϵ band
- Using any point \mathbf{x}_j (that is with $\alpha_j \in (0, C)$) on margin, we can recover b as: $b = y_j \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_j) \epsilon$

KKT Conditions, Duality, SVR Dual

$$L(\mathbf{w}, \alpha, \alpha^*, \mu, \mu^*) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i} (\xi_i + \xi_i^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \left(y_i - \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - b - \epsilon - \xi_i \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i^* \left(b + \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i - \epsilon - \xi_i^* \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i^* \xi_i^*$$

• Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t. w,

$$\mathbf{w} - \alpha_i \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) + \alpha_i^* \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) = 0$$
 i.e., $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) \phi(\mathbf{x}_i)$

• Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t. ξ_i , $C - \alpha_i - \mu_i = 0$ i.e., $\alpha_i + \mu_i = C$

- Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t ξ_i^* , $\alpha_i^* + \mu_i^* = C$
- Differentiating the Lagrangian w.r.t b, $\sum_{i}(\alpha_{i}^{*}-\alpha_{i})=0$
- Complimentary slackness:

$$\alpha_i(y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top} \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - b - \epsilon - \xi_i) = 0 \text{ AND } \mu_i \xi_i = 0 \text{ AND } \alpha_i^* (b + \mathbf{w}^{\top} \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i - \epsilon - \xi_i^*) = 0 \text{ AND } \mu_i^* \xi_i^* = 0$$



For Support Vector Regression, since the original objective and the constraints are convex, any $(\mathbf{w},b,\alpha,\alpha^*,\mu,\mu^*,\xi,\xi^*)$ that satisfy the necessary KKT conditions gives optimality (conditions are also sufficient)

Some observations

- $\alpha_i, \alpha_i^* \ge 0$, $\mu_i, \mu_i^* \ge 0$, $\alpha_i + \mu_i = C$ and $\alpha_i^* + \mu_i^* = C$ Thus, $\alpha_i, \mu_i, \alpha_i^*, \mu_i^* \in [0, C]$, $\forall i$
- If $0 < \alpha_i < C$, then $0 < \mu_i < C$ (as $\alpha_i + \mu_i = C$)
- $\mu_i \xi_i = 0$ and $\alpha_i (y_i \mathbf{w}^{\top} \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) b \epsilon \xi_i) = 0$ are complementary slackness conditions

So
$$0 < \alpha_i < C \Rightarrow \xi_i = 0$$
 and $y_i - \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - b = \epsilon + \xi_i = \epsilon$

- \bullet All such points lie on the boundary of the ϵ band
- Using any point \mathbf{x}_j (that is with $\alpha_j \in (0, C)$) on margin, we can recover b as: $b = y_j \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_j) \epsilon$

Support Vector Regression Dual Objective

Weak Duality

- $L^*(\alpha, \alpha^*, \mu, \mu^*) = \min_{\mathbf{w}, b, \xi, \xi^*} L(\mathbf{w}, b, \xi, \xi^*, \alpha, \alpha^*, \mu, \mu^*)$
- By weak duality theorem, we have: $\min_{\mathbf{w},b,\xi,\xi^*} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m (\xi_i + \xi_i^*) \ge L^*(\alpha,\alpha^*,\mu,\mu^*)$ s.t. $y_i \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) b \le \epsilon \xi_i$, and $\mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) + b y_i \le \epsilon \xi_i^*, \text{ and}$ $\xi_i, \xi^* > 0, \ \forall i = 1, \dots, n$
- The above is true for any $\alpha_i, \alpha_i^* \geq 0$ and $\mu_i, \mu_i^* \geq 0$
- Thus,

Weak Duality

- $L^*(\alpha, \alpha^*, \mu, \mu^*) = \min_{\mathbf{w}, b, \xi, \xi^*} L(\mathbf{w}, b, \xi, \xi^*, \alpha, \alpha^*, \mu, \mu^*)$
- By weak duality theorem, we have:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w},b,\xi,\xi^*} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m (\xi_i + \xi_i^*) \ge L^*(\alpha, \alpha^*, \mu, \mu^*)$$
s.t. $y_i - \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - b \le \epsilon - \xi_i$, and $\mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) + b - y_i \le \epsilon - \xi_i^*$, and $\xi_i, \xi^* \ge 0$, $\forall i = 1, \dots, n$

- The above is true for any $\alpha_i, \alpha_i^* \geq 0$ and $\mu_i, \mu_i^* \geq 0$
- Thus.

$$\min_{\mathbf{w},b,\xi,\xi^*} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m (\xi_i + \xi_i^*) \geq \max_{\alpha,\alpha^*,\mu,\mu^*} L^*(\alpha,\alpha^*,\mu,\mu^*)$$

s.t.
$$y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top} \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - b \leq \epsilon - \xi_i$$
, and $\mathbf{w}^{\top} \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) + b - y_i \leq \epsilon - \xi_i^*$, and $\xi_i, \xi^* \geq 0$, $\forall i = 1, \dots, n$



Dual objective

- $L^*(\alpha, \alpha^*, \mu, \mu^*) = \min_{\mathbf{w}, b, \xi, \xi^*} L(\mathbf{w}, b, \xi, \xi^*, \alpha, \alpha^*, \mu, \mu^*)$
- Assume: In case of SVR, we have a strictly convex objective and linear constraints \Rightarrow KKT conditions are necessary and sufficient and strong duality holds (for $\alpha, \alpha^* \geq 0$):

$$\min_{\mathbf{w},b,\xi,\xi^*} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m (\xi_i + \xi_i^*) = \max_{\alpha,\alpha^*,\mu,\mu^*} L^*(\alpha,\alpha^*,\mu,\mu^*)$$

s.t.
$$y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top} \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - b \leq \epsilon - \xi_i$$
, and $w^{\top} \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) + b - y_i \leq \epsilon - \xi_i^*$, and $\xi_i, \xi^* \geq 0$, $\forall i = 1, \dots, n$

- This value is precisely obtained at the $\left\{\hat{\mathbf{w}}, \hat{b}, \hat{\xi}, \hat{\xi}^*, \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\alpha}^*, \hat{\mu}, \hat{\mu}^*\right\}$ that satisfies the necessary (and sufficient) KKT optimality conditions [KKT Constraint Set]
- Given strong duality, we can equivalently solve: $\max_{\hat{\alpha},\hat{\alpha}^*,\hat{\mu},\hat{\mu}^*} L^*(\hat{\alpha},\hat{\alpha}^*,\hat{\mu},\hat{\mu}^*)$

