## CS310 Automata Theory – 2016-2017

#### Nutan Limaye

Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay
 nutan@cse.iitb.ac.in

Lecture 15: Extensions of DFA/NFAs February 06, 2017

### Last class

Proof of the main claim: The class of languages recognized by 2DFAs is exactly REG.

Pushdown automata: NFA + Stack

## Formal definition of 2DFA

#### **Definition**

A 2DFA 
$$A = (Q, \Sigma \cup \{\#, \$\}, \delta, q_0, q_{acc}, q_{rej})$$
, where

Q: set of states,  $\Sigma$ : input alphabet

#: left endmarker \$: right endmarker

 $q_0$ : start state

 $q_{\rm acc}$ : accept state  $q_{\rm rej}$ : reject state

$$\delta: Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\#, \$\}) \to Q \times \{L, R\}$$

## The following conditions are forced:

$$\forall q \in Q, \exists q', q'' \in Q \text{ s.t. } \delta(q, \#) = (q', R) \text{ and } \delta(q, \$) = (q'', L).$$

## Power of 2DFAs

#### Lemma

The class of language recognized by 2DFAs is regular.

### Proof.

Let  $T_x : Q \times \{M\} \to Q \times \{\bot\}$ , which is defined as follows:

 $T_x(p) := q$  if whenever A enters x on p it leaves x on q.

 $T_x(\bowtie) := q$  q is the state in which A emerges on x the first time.

 $T_x(q) := \bot$  if A loops on x forever.



## Power of 2DFAs

#### Lemma

The class of language recognized by 2DFAs is regular.

### Proof.

Let 
$$T_x: Q \times \{ \bowtie \} \to Q \times \{ \bot \}$$
, which is defined as follows: 
$$T_x(p) \coloneqq q \quad \text{if whenever } A \text{ enters } x \text{ on } p$$
 it leaves  $x \text{ on } q$ . 
$$T_x(\bowtie) \coloneqq q \quad q \text{ is the state in which } A \text{ emerges }$$
 on  $x \text{ the first time.}$  
$$T_x(q) \coloneqq \bot \quad \text{if } A \text{ loops on } x \text{ forever.}$$

## Total number of functions of the type

$$T_x \le (|Q|+1)^{(|Q|+1)}$$
  
 $T_x = T_y \Rightarrow \forall z(xz \in F \Leftrightarrow yz \in F)$  (Prove this.)  
 $T_x = T_y \Leftrightarrow x \equiv_A y$ 

## Pushdown automata

$$NFA + Stack$$

$$L_{a,b}=\left\{a^nb^n\mid n\geq 0\right\}.$$

$$PAL = \{ w \cdot w^R \mid w \in \Sigma^* \}.$$

## Pushdown automata: formal definition

### **Definition**

A non-deterministic pushdown automaton (NPDA)

$$A = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, \bot, F)$$
, where

Q: set of states  $\Sigma$ : input alphabet

 $\Gamma$ : stack alphabet  $q_0$ : start state

 $\bot$ : start symbol F: set of final states

$$\delta \subseteq Q \times \Sigma \times \Gamma \times Q \times \Gamma^*.$$

## Understanding $\delta$

For  $q \in Q$ ,  $a \in \Sigma$  and  $X \in \Gamma$ , if  $\delta(q, a, X) = (p, \gamma)$ ,

then p is the new state and  $\gamma$  replaces X in the stack.

if  $\gamma = \epsilon$  then X is popped.

if  $\gamma = X$  then X stays unchanges on the top of the stack.

if  $\gamma = \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \dots \gamma_k$  then X is replaced by  $\gamma_k$  and  $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \dots \gamma_{k-1}$  are pushed on top of that.

## Configuration of an NPDA

## Definition (Configurations)

A configuration of an NPDA  $A = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, \bot, F)$  is a three tuple  $(q, w, \gamma)$ , where  $q \in Q$ ,  $w \in \Sigma^*$ , and  $\gamma \in \Gamma^*$ .

if 
$$(p, \gamma) \in \delta(q, a, X)$$
 then  $\forall w \in \Sigma^*$  and  $\gamma' \in \Gamma^*$ ,

$$(q, a \cdot w, X\gamma') \vdash (p, w, \gamma \cdot \gamma')$$

Let I, J are two configurations of A.

We say that  $I \vdash^k J$  iff  $\exists I'$  such that  $I \vdash I'$  and  $I' \vdash^{k-1} J$ .

## Language recognized by pushdown automata

#### **Definition**

We say that a word is accepted by an NPDA A if  $(q_0, w, \bot) \vdash^* (q, \epsilon, \epsilon)$ , where  $q \in Q$ . acceptance by an empty stack.

A language L is said to be recognized by an NPDA A if the set  $\{w \mid w \text{ is accepted by } A\}$  is the same as L.

The class of languages recognized by NPDAs is called Context-free languages.

Another notion of acceptance of words:

We say that a word is accepted by an NPDA A if  $(q_0, w, \bot) \vdash^* (q, \epsilon, \gamma)$ , where  $q \in F$ . acceptance by a final state.

## Context-free languages

### Examples

$$\mathsf{PAL} = \{ w \cdot w^R \mid w \in \Sigma^* \}.$$

Balanced =  $\{w \in \{(,),[,]\} \mid w \text{ balanced string of paranthesis }\}.$ 

$$L_{a/b/c} = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i \neq j \text{ or } j \neq k\}.$$

$$L_{a/b/c} = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i \neq j \text{ and } j \neq k\}.$$
?

# Non-context-free languages

## Lemma (Pumping lemma for CFLs)

Say L is a language over the alphabet  $\Sigma^*$ . If

- $\odot$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- $\ \ \ \exists z \in \Sigma^*, such that$
- © for all possible ways of breaking z into  $z = u \cdot v \cdot w \cdot x \cdot y$ , s.t.  $|v \cdot w \cdot x| \le n$  and  $|v \cdot x| > 0$ ,
- $\exists i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s. t. } u \cdot v^i \cdot w \cdot x^i \cdot y \notin L,$ then L is not a CFL.

# Applications of the pumping lemma for CFLs

Let 
$$L_{a,b,c} = \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \ge 0\}$$

- $\odot$  For any chosen n,
- $\bigcirc$  let  $z = a^n \cdot b^n \cdot c^n$
- $\odot$  For any split of z into u, v, w, x, y
- © as  $|v \cdot w \cdot x| \le n$ Either  $v \cdot w \cdot x$  has no c's, or no a's. Therefore,  $u \cdot v^0 \cdot w \cdot x^0 \cdot y \notin L$ .

Say L is a language over the alphabet  $\Sigma^*$ . If

- $\odot$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- $\exists z \in \Sigma^*$ , such that
- ② for all possible ways of breaking z into  $z = u \cdot v \cdot w \cdot x \cdot y$ , s.t.  $|v \cdot w \cdot x| \le n$  and  $|v \cdot x| > 0$ ,
- $\exists i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s. t. } u \cdot v^i \cdot w \cdot x^i \cdot y \notin L,$  then L is not a CFL.

# Applications of the pumping lemma for CFLs

Let 
$$EQ = \{ w \cdot w \mid w \in \{a, b\}^* \}.$$

- For any chosen *n*,
- $\bigcirc$  let  $z = a^n \cdot b \cdot a^n \cdot b$
- © For any split of z into U, V, W, X, V
- $\bigcirc$  Note that  $|v \cdot w \cdot x| \le n$ . (after some case analysis.) Therefore,  $u \cdot v^0 \cdot w \cdot x^0 \cdot v \notin L$ .

Say L is a language over the alphabet  $\Sigma^*$ . If

- $\odot$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- $\exists z \in \Sigma^*$ , such that
- © for all possible ways of breaking z into  $z = u \cdot v \cdot w \cdot x \cdot y$ , s.t.  $|v \cdot w \cdot x| < n$  and  $|v \cdot x| > 0$ .
- $\exists i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s. t. } u \cdot v^i \cdot w \cdot x^i \cdot v \notin L.$ then L is not a CFL.

# Context-free grammars

Inductive definition of PAL.

 $\epsilon, 0, 1$  are in PAL.

If w is in PAL then  $0 \cdot w \cdot 0 \in PAL$ .

If w is in PAL then  $1 \cdot w \cdot 1 \in PAL$ .

Context-free grammar for PAL.

$$S \rightarrow \epsilon$$
.

$$S \rightarrow 0$$
.

$$S \rightarrow 1$$
.

$$S \rightarrow 0S0$$
.

$$S \rightarrow 1S1$$
.

## Context-free grammar

#### **Definition**

A context-free grammar (CFG) G is given by  $(V, T, P, S_0)$ , where

V is a set of variables,

T is a set of terminal symbols or the alphabet,

P is a set of productions,  $P \subseteq V \times (V \cup T)^*$ ,

 $S_0 \in V$ , a start symbol.

Example: Grammar for PAL.

$$S \rightarrow \epsilon.$$
  $G_{pal} = (V, T, P, S_0)$  such that  $S \rightarrow 0.$   $V = \{S\},$   $T = \{0, 1\},$   $S \rightarrow 0S0.$   $P = \{S \rightarrow \epsilon, S \rightarrow 0, S \rightarrow 1, S \rightarrow 0S0, S \rightarrow 1S1\},$   $S \rightarrow 1S1.$   $S_0 = S.$ 

## Drivations of a CFG

### **Definition**

Let G be a CFG given by  $(V, T, P, S_0)$ .

Let  $w, w' \in (V \cup T)^*$ ,

let  $A \in V$  and let  $(A \rightarrow v) \in P$  be a production in the grammar, where  $v \in (V \cup T)^*$ .

Then we say that  $w \cdot A \cdot w'$  derives  $w \cdot v \cdot w'$  in one step.

We denote it as follows:  $w \cdot A \cdot w' \Rightarrow w \cdot v \cdot w'$ .

## Definition $(\Rightarrow^*)$

Let G be a CFG given by  $(V, T, P, S_0)$ .

For all  $\alpha \in (V \cup T)^*$ , we say that  $\alpha \Rightarrow^* \alpha$ .

For all  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in (V \cup T)^*$ ,

if  $\alpha \Rightarrow^* \beta$  and  $\beta \Rightarrow \gamma$  then  $\alpha \Rightarrow^* \gamma$ .

# Language of a CFG

#### **Definition**

Let G be a CFG given by  $(V, T, P, S_0)$ . The **language of** G, L(G), is the set of all the strings over T which can be derived from  $S_0$ , i.e.

$$L(G) = \{ w \in T^* \mid S \Rightarrow^* w \}.$$

#### Lemma

 $L(G_{pal})$  is equal to PAL.

 $\forall w \in \{0,1\},^* w \in PAL \text{ if and only if } w = w^R.$ 

#### Proof.

By Induction on |w|. DIY!



# Equivalence of CFGs and PDAs