

Judge's Score Sheet TEAM TWO FRONT

Judge's Name _____

PRESENTING team _____

Part I: PRESENTING Team's initial presentation (4 minutes; 30 total points)

1. Was the presentation clear and systematic? Regardless of whether or not you agree with the conclusion, did the team give a coherent argument in a clear and succinct manner?

1-10

- 1-2 = Incoherent presentation
- 3-4 = Serious logical problems in the argument (poor)
- 5-6 = Hard to follow the argument (passable)
- 7-8 = Reasonably clear and systematic
- 9 = Crystal clear presentation
- 10 = Exceptional

2. Did the team's presentation clearly identify and thoroughly discuss the central moral dimensions of the case?

1-10

- 1-2 = Failure to cover any relevant moral dimensions
- 3-4 = Serious missing or underdeveloped dimensions (poor)
- 5-6 = Some significant dimensions are missing or poorly covered (passable)
- 7-8 = Most dimensions are present and well developed
- 9 = All dimensions present and clarified appropriately
- 10 = Exceptional

3. Did the team's presentation indicate both awareness and thoughtful consideration of different viewpoints, including especially those that would loom large in the reasoning of individuals who disagree with team's position?

1-10

- 1-2 = Minimal awareness of different viewpoints
- 3-4 = Minimal consideration of different viewpoints.... (poor)
- 5-6 = Underdeveloped discussion of different viewpoints.... (passable)
- 7-8 = Solid analysis and discussion of different viewpoints, including careful attention especially to those that would loom large....
- 9 = Insightful analysis and discussion of different viewpoints, including full and careful attention especially to those that would loom large....
- 10 = Exceptional

Total from front (maximum 30)

Part II: OPPOSING Team's Commentary (3 minutes; 10 total points)


To what extent has the team effectively dealt with the presenting team's arguments?

- 1-2 = Failure to respond
- 3-4 = Weak or irrelevant response (poor)
- 5-6 = Some points are made (passable)
- 7-8 = Solid response
- 9 = Key points zeroed in on (crystal clear)
- 10 = Exceptionally composed commentary

1-10

Points for OPPOSING Team

These points are added to the other score sheet



Judge's Score Sheet TEAM TWO BACK

Part III:

PRESENTING Team's Response to Commentary (3 minutes; 10 total points)

How did the team respond to the opposing team's commentary ?

1- 10
Points for Presenting
Team

1-2 = Failure to respond
3-4 = Weak or irrelevant response (poor)
5-6 = Some points are made (passable)
7-8 = Solid response
9 = Key points zeroed in on (crystal clear)
10 = Exceptionally composed commentary

Part IV:

PRESENTING Team's Response to Judges' Questions (10 minutes; 10 total points)

How did the team respond to the judges' questions?

1- 10
Points for Presenting
Team

1-2 = Failure to respond
3-4 = Weak or irrelevant response (poor)
5-6 = Some points are made (passable)
7-8 = Solid response
9 = Key points zeroed in on (crystal clear)
10 = Exceptionally composed commentary

TOTAL SCORE FOR TEAM

Part I

Total from
Front

+

Part III

Response to
other Team's
Commentary


+

Part IV

Response to
Judge's
Questions

+

Part II
Commentary on
Other Team's
Presentation



TOTAL (MAX 60)

{from other sheet}



Comments
