From: Gail Kaiser[SMTP:kaiser@cs.columbia.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, March 10, 1998 11:10 PM
To: Mechler, Edmund
Subject: Re: FW: Article

Yes, that's fine, thanks. Sorry I took so long to respond, swamped.
I'm looking forward to a complete draft.

Regards,
        -Gail Kaiser

EMechler@eri.eqt.com wrote:
>
> Gail
> I haven't heard back from you on the e-mail below.
> Is the format ok?
> Ed
>
> >----------
> >From: Mechler, Edmund
> >Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 1998 9:50 AM
> >To: 'Gail Kaiser'
> >Subject: Article
> >
> >Gail
> >QUESTIONS CLARIFICATION:
> >   3. Do we need approval for acknowledgments? I will
> >   get from sub committee but task force members
> >   are on web site and magazines.
> >Not sure what you mean here. Yes, you have to acknowledge the
> >subcommittee members. How many are there?
> >What I meant was, if I acknowledge these people do I need their
> >permission first? In the first group(see attached) no one has pointed
> >them out yet. The second group was listed in Mags and on the web page.
> >   4. Planning for Sept/Oct issue - OK?
> >That will have a deadline around end of June, I'll have to get back to
> >you with specifics. Could you do it earlier?
> >I might be able to do it earlier but I thought it was apropos for the issue
> >on SE OVER THE NET. Please sent me the specifics as soon as you
> >can. Does the 2500 word limit include everything, from title to the URLs?
> >
> >TEST FORMAT
> >In regard to "We need HTML that is readable (with the tags) in a plain ascii
> >editor." I have a number of choices. I am using the editor in Netscape
> >Navigator Gold Version 3.01Gold. I have transferred the background info to
> >this medium and I will write the remainder of the article in this editor if
> >it
> >passes your inspection. If we talk again remind me to tell you the story
> >behind this. In the attached I have tried to sample as much as possible.
> >The background info may be made smaller later because of the 2500 words
> >limit. This is taken from IEEE CS Computer Mag Nov 97 P88. Should we
> >reference it?
> >Ed
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

>   --
>
>   SE CODE OF ETHICS AND PROFESSIONAL PRACTICES
>
> INTRODUCTION
>
> BACKGROUND
>
> In May of 1993, the Board of, Governors of the IEEE-CS established a
> steering committee for evaluating, planning, and coordinating actions
> related to establishing software engineering as a profession. In that
> same year the ACM Council endorsed the establishment of a Commission
> on Software Engineering. By January of 1994, both societies formed a
> joint steering committee "To establish the appropriate set(s) of
> standards for professional practice of Software Engineering upon which
> industrial decisions, professional certification, and educational
> curricula can be based." To accomplish these they made the following
> recommendations:
>
>   1. adopt standard definitions
>
>   2, define required body of knowledge and recommended practices
>
>   3. define ethical
>
>   4. define educational curricula for undergraduate, graduate(MS
>   and continuing education (for retraining and migration)
>
> The steering committee decided to accomplish these tasks through the
> establishment of a series of task forces. Initially the task forces
> established were: Software Engineering Body of Knowledge and
> Recommended Practices, Software Engineering Ethics and Professional
> Practices, and Software Engineering Curriculum. The purpose of the
> Software Engineering ethics and professional practices task force is
> to document the ethical and professional responsibilities and
> obligations of software engineers.
>
> SEEPP PROCESS
>
> OBSERVATIONS
>
> ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
>
> The initial version of the Code was developed by Ilene Burnstein,
> Michael Davis, Amr El-Kadi, N. Jayaram, Ed Mechler, Manuel J. Norman,
> Patrick Sullivan, and Vivian Weil.
>
> The Code was developed by the IEEE-CS/ACM Joint Task Force on Software
> Engineering Ethics and Professional Practices: Chair: Donald
> Gotterbarn. Executive Committee: Keith Miller and Simon Rogerson.
> Members: Peter Barnes, Steve Barber, Ilene Burnstein, Amr El-Kadi, N.
> Ben Fairweather, Milton Fulghum, N. Jayaram, Tom Jewett, Maj. Mark
> Kanko, Ernie Kallman, Duncan Langford, Joyce Currie Little, Ed
> Mechler, Manuel J. Norman, Douglas Phillips, Peter Ron Prinzivalli,
> Patrick Sullivan, John Weckert, S. Weisband, and Laurie Honour Werth.
>
> URLs FOR THIS COLUMN
>

> Joint IEEE Computer Society and ACM Steering Committee for the
> Establishment of Software Engineering as a Profession *
> http://www.computer.org/tab/seprof/index.htm
>
> Software Engineering Code of Ethics and Professional Practice V4 *
> http://www-cs.etsu.edu/seeri/secode.htm
>
> Web Clearinghouse for Engineering and Computing Ethics *
> http://www4.ncsu.edu/unity/users/j/jherkert/ethicind.html

--
Prof. Gail E. Kaiser, kaiser@cs.columbia.edu, (212) 939-7081
msg: (212) 939-7000, fax: (212) 939-7084,
http://www.cs.columbia.edu/~kaiser/
Columbia University, Dept. of Computer Science, 450 Computer Science
Bldg,
1214 Amsterdam Avenue, MC 0401, New York, NY 10027, United States

----
From: Mechler, Edmund
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 1998 9:50 AM
To: 'Gail Kaiser'
Subject: Article

Gail
QUESTIONS CLARIFICATION:
            3. Do we need approval for acknowledgments? I will
                    get from sub committee but task force members
                    are on web site and magazines.
Not sure what you mean here. Yes, you have to acknowledge the
subcommittee members. How many are there?
What I meant was, if I acknowledge these people do I need their
permission first? In the first group(see attached) no one has pointed
them out yet. The second group was listed in Mags and on the web page.
            4. Planning for Sept/Oct issue - OK?
That will have a deadline around end of June, I'll have to get back to
you with specifics. Could you do it earlier?
I might be able to do it earlier but I thought it was apropos for the issue
on SE OVER THE NET. Please sent me the specifics as soon as you
can. Does the 2500 word limit include everything, from title to the URLs?

TEST FORMAT
In regard to "We need HTML that is readable (with the tags) in a plain ascii editor." I have a number of choices. I am using the editor in Netscape
Navigator Gold Version 3.01Gold. I have transferred the background info to
this medium and I will write the remainder of the article in this editor if it
passes your inspection. If we talk again remind me to tell you the story
behind this. In the attached I have tried to sample as much as possible.
The background info may be made smaller later because of the 2500 words limit. This is taken from IEEE CS
Computer Mag Nov 97 P88. Should we
reference it?
Ed

<<File: searthtm.htm>>

From: Gail Kaiser[SMTP:kaiser@cs.columbia.edu]

Sent: Monday, February 09, 1998 9:16 AM
To: Mechler, Edmund
Subject: Re: Possible Paper

Oops, sorry, this got lost in my vast mail file. Yes, looks like a
good idea for the column. See specific comments below.

   From: EMechler@eri.eqt.com
   Date: Thu, 22 Jan 1998 08:19:43 -0500
   X-Mailer: Microsoft Exchange Server Internet Mail Connector Version 4.0.995.52
   MIME-Version: 1.0
   Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
   Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
   Content-Length: 2434

   Yes I would be interested. I will co-author [do all the writing :-( ].
   and give credit in "acknowledgments.
Actually, I generally edit some and am listed as second author.
   Since my last e-mail to you had an automatic reply of not checking
   often, I thought I would take the time to develop the following outline
    for your review.
   I like your column; need more emphases on working together.

   OUTLINE

           Introduction
           Brief History
                   Steering Committee
                   SEEPP
               (short and paraphrased from IEEE CS
                 Computer Magazine)
           SEEPP Process (Code Development)
                   Sub Committee
                   Task Force(SEEPP)
                   Steering Committee
                   Network URL
                   Magazines
               (Process outline from my perspective)
           Observations
                   Industry
                   SEEPP Process
               (Personal views)
You need some kind of brief conclusion.
           Acknowledgments
           URLs For This Column

   QUESTIONS:
           1. Observations are from my view on sub committee and
                   task force - should I use "I" or "We" ?
We
           2. I am from industry not university - does it matter? But I
                   am a Certified Computer Professional.
doesn't matter
           3. Do we need approval for acknowledgments? I will
                   get from sub committee but task force members
                   are on web site and magazines.
Not sure what you mean here. Yes, you have to acknowledge the
subcommittee members. How many are there?

4. Planning for Sept/Oct issue - OK?
That will have a deadline around end of June, I'll have to get back to
you with specifics. Could you do it earlier?
            5. What format do you want column in? I use WORD 6.0a
                    but can translate to other formats.
We need HTML that is readable (with the tags) in a plain ascii editor.
            6. Do you want entire column at one time or as parts are
                    completed?
I'd like to see an early draft of the whole thing, even if some parts
aren't filled in yet. Remember the whole thing shouldn't go over 2500
words. If it does, IEEE will cut it down to that size anyway.
            7. A professor at IIT is doing an in-depth study of using e-mail.
                    Is it OK to reference him in column with his permission?
Yes.

  Ed

 >----------
 >From: Gail Kaiser[SMTP:kaiser@cs.columbia.edu]
 >Sent: Thursday, January 15, 1998 7:23 PM
 >To: Mechler, Edmund
 >Cc: maurer@cpsc.ucalgary.ca
 >Subject: Re: Possible Paper
 >
 >
 >
 >I think the special issue will be devoted to more specific technical
 >advances. However, the development and review of the ethids code by
 >email and Internet might make a nice short article for my regular
 >Collaborative Work column. It appears in most issues, and I usually
 >write with a co-author - actually, the co-author does most of the
 >writing, I edit :-). A column is about 2500 words, and I'd need a
 >draft at least three weeks before the publication deadline. Let me
 >know if you're interested.
 >
 >Regards,
 >   -Gail Kaiser
 >
 >--
 >Prof. Gail E. Kaiser, kaiser@cs.columbia.edu, (212) 939-7081
 >msg: (212) 939-7000, fax: (212) 939-7084, http://www.cs.columbia.edu/~kaiser/
 >Columbia University, Dept. of Computer Science, 450 Computer Science Bldg,
 >1214 Amsterdam Avenue, MC 0401, New York, NY 10027, United States
 >

From: Mechler, Edmund
Sent: Monday, February 09, 1998 8:34 AM
To: 'Gail Kaiser'
Subject: Article

I haven't heard from you since my e-mail of
the 22nd of Jan. Did you get it and are we still
on.
Ed

From: Mechler, Edmund
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 1998 8:19 AM
To: 'kaiser@cs.columbia.edu'
Subject: RE: Possible Paper

Yes I would be interested. I will co-author [do all the writing :-( ].
and give credit in "acknowledgments.
Since my last e-mail to you had an automatic reply of not checking
often, I thought I would take the time to develop the following outline
 for your review.
I like your column; need more emphases on working together.

OUTLINE

        Introduction
        Brief History
                Steering Committee
                SEEPP
           (short and paraphrased from IEEE CS
             Computer Magazine)
        SEEPP Process (Code Development)
                Sub Committee
                Task Force(SEEPP)
                Steering Committee
                Network URL
                Magazines
           (Process outline from my perspective)
        Observations
                Industry
                SEEPP Process
           (Personal views)
        Acknowledgments
        URLs For This Column

QUESTIONS:
        1. Observations are from my view on sub committee and
                task force - should I use "I" or "We" ?
        2. I am from industry not university - does it matter? But I
                am a Certified Computer Professional.
        3. Do we need approval for acknowledgments? I will
                get from sub committee but task force members
                are on web site and magazines.
        4. Planning for Sept/Oct issue - OK?
        5. What format do you want column in? I use WORD 6.0a
                but can translate to other formats.
        6. Do you want entire column at one time or as parts are
                completed?
        7. A professor at IIT is doing an in-depth study of using e-mail.
                Is it OK to reference him in column with his permission?

Ed

From: Gail Kaiser[SMTP:kaiser@cs.columbia.edu]
Sent: Thursday, January 15, 1998 7:23 PM
To: Mechler, Edmund
Cc: maurer@cpsc.ucalgary.ca
Subject: Re: Possible Paper

I think the special issue will be devoted to more specific technical
advances. However, the development and review of the ethids code by
email and Internet might make a nice short article for my regular
Collaborative Work column. It appears in most issues, and I usually
write with a co-author - actually, the co-author does most of the
writing, I edit :-). A column is about 2500 words, and I'd need a
draft at least three weeks before the publication deadline. Let me
know if you're interested.

Regards,
      -Gail Kaiser

--
Prof. Gail E. Kaiser, kaiser@cs.columbia.edu, (212) 939-7081
msg: (212) 939-7000, fax: (212) 939-7084, http://www.cs.columbia.edu/~kaiser/
Columbia University, Dept. of Computer Science, 450 Computer Science Bldg,
1214 Amsterdam Avenue, MC 0401, New York, NY 10027, United States

----
From: Gail Kaiser[SMTP:kaiser@cs.columbia.edu]
Sent: Thursday, January 15, 1998 7:23 PM
To: Mechler, Edmund
Cc: maurer@cpsc.ucalgary.ca
Subject: Re: Possible Paper

  From: EMechler@eri.eqt.com
  Date: Thu, 15 Jan 1998 14:10:24 -0500
  X-Mailer: Microsoft Exchange Server Internet Mail Connector Version 4.0.995.52
  MIME-Version: 1.0
  Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
  Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
  Content-Length: 486

  In your Sept/Oct issue of IEEE Internet Computing would you
  be interested in a paper on the development of the Software
  Engineering Code of Ethics and Professional Practices. It was
  developed by e-mail and reviewed on the net. This was a development
  of SEEPP a task force of the joint Steering Committee of IEEE-CS/
  ACM on making SE a Profession. See
      http://www.computer.org/tab/seprof/index.htm
  I think I can get a number of the task force members to work
  together on the paper.
  Ed

I think the special issue will be devoted to more specific technical
advances. However, the development and review of the ethids code by
email and Internet might make a nice short article for my regular
Collaborative Work column. It appears in most issues, and I usually
write with a co-author - actually, the co-author does most of the
writing, I edit :-). A column is about 2500 words, and I'd need a
draft at least three weeks before the publication deadline. Let me
know if you're interested.

Regards,

-Gail Kaiser

--
Prof. Gail E. Kaiser, kaiser@cs.columbia.edu, (212) 939-7081
msg: (212) 939-7000, fax: (212) 939-7084, http://www.cs.columbia.edu/~kaiser/
Columbia University, Dept. of Computer Science, 450 Computer Science Bldg,
1214 Amsterdam Avenue, MC 0401, New York, NY 10027, United States

----
From: Mechler, Edmund
Sent: Thursday, January 15, 1998 2:10 PM
To: Frank Maurer; Gail Kaiser
Subject: Possible Paper

In your Sept/Oct issue of IEEE Internet Computing would you
be interested in a paper on the development of the Software
Engineering Code of Ethics and Professional Practices. It was
developed by e-mail and reviewed on the net. This was a development
of SEEPP a task force of the joint Steering Committee of IEEE-CS/
ACM on making SE a Profession. See   http://www.computer.org/tab/seprof/index.htm
I think I can get a number of the task force members to work
together on the paper.
Ed