FROM: Al Davis, INTERNET:adavis@vivaldi.uccs.edu

TO: Ed Mechler, CCP, 76105,3332

Al Davis, INTERNET: ADAVIS@VIVALDI.UCCS.EDU

DATE: 5/3/96 3:59 PM

Re: Re: Soft. Engr. Articles

Sender: adavis@vivaldi.uccs.edu

Received: from vivaldi.uccs.edu (vivaldi.uccs.edu [128.198.97.18]) by dub-img-3.compuserve.com (8.6.10/5.950515)

id PAA23918; Fri, 3 May 1996 15:30:16 -0400

Received: by vivaldi.uccs.edu (4.1/SMI-4.1)

id AA06862; Fri, 3 May 96 13:39:59 MDT

Date: Fri, 3 May 96 13:39:59 MDT

From: adavis@vivaldi.uccs.edu (Al Davis)

Message-Id: <9605031939.AA06862@vivaldi.uccs.edu> To: 76105.3332@CompuServe.COM, adavis@vivaldi.uccs.edu

Subject: Re: Soft. Engr. Articles

Ed:

I am confused by your recent email to me. If you are suggesting an article by you (and whomever) on the subject, you should write it and submit it. If you are interested in this, I can send you detailed author guidelines.

If you are suggesting a special issue on the subject . . . which would include 3-4 articles written by people OTHER than you, and on which you would serve as the guest editor (and thus write an introduction), then you should send me a full special issue proposal (typically 20-30 pages lon proposal). If interested in this, I can send you the detaailed special issue guest editor guidelines.

Al

Alan M. Davis
Editor-in-Chief, IEEE Software
El Pomar Professor of Software Engineering
University of Colorado at Colorado Springs
1867 Austin Bluffs Parkway, Suite 200
PO Box 7150

Colorado Springs, CO 80933-7150

phone: (719) 593-3695

assistant: (719) 593-3694 FAX: (719) 260-7387 Cellular: (719) 660-3573

email (IEEE Software): software@vivaldi.uccs.edu email (other): adavis@vivaldi.uccs.edu

- >>From 76105.3332@CompuServe.COM Wed May 1 06:27:21 1996
- >>Return-Path: <76105.3332@CompuServe.COM>
- >>Received: from dub-img-3.compuserve.com by vivaldi.uccs.edu (4.1/SMI-4.1)
- >> id AA06005; Wed, 1 May 96 06:27:20 MDT
- >>Received: by dub-img-3.compuserve.com (8.6.10/5.950515)
- >> id IAA25142; Wed, 1 May 1996 08:17:31 -0400
- >>Date: 01 May 96 08:16:05 EDT

```
>>From: "Ed Mechler, CCP" <76105.3332@CompuServe.COM>
>>To: Al Davis <adavis@vivaldi.uccs.edu>
>>Subject: Soft. Engr. Articles
>>Message-Id: <960501121605 76105.3332 GHC70-1@CompuServe.COM>
>>Status: RO
>>
>>
>>A1,
>>
>>I would like to submit the following initial outline
>>for a series of articles on Software Engineering.
>>This is a result of April Correspondence between
>>yourself and Narayana Jayaram(attached in text
>>Format for your review)
>>
>>
>>1. Soft. Engr. Definition
                               Re print Boehm's 79 paper
                               (reported to have started Soft
>>
                               Engr) or Comments on how it
>>
                               has changed in 90s or just new
>>
                               definition or both
>>
        2. Knowledge and Practices Overview
>>
               2A. General System Theory
>>
               2B. Hard/Soft structures
>>
               2C. Methodology
>>
               2D. Communications
>>
               2E. Mathematics
>>
>>
               2F. Humanities
               2G. - 2XX Other
>>
       3. Educational Issues Overview
>>
               3A. Separate or Together
                                              Changes in Computer Science
>>
                                      and Computer Engineering at
>>
                                      Universities plus integration occuring
>>
                                      Maybe opposing authors
>>
               3B. Industry Changes outlined in course
>>
               3C. - 3XX Other
>>
        4. Ethics and Professional Practices
>>
               4A. Intellectual Properity
>>
               4B. Ethics
>>
               4C.Secrity
>>
               4D. Certification
>>
               4E - 4XX Other Areas
>>
>>
        5. IEEE CS & ACM Steering Committee results
>>
>>Being from Industry, I do agree with your comments or should
>>I say your reporting of readers comments. I would like more ideas
>>to apply now and I think this set of articles will fit the bill. On the
>>other hand I would hate to lose the 5-10 year ideas since this
>> field doesn't believe in passing time in normal tempos( 5 year
>>estimate become 1 year).
>>We will be awaiting your evaluation.
>>
>>Ed
>>
>>
```