From: Felipe Cabrera[SMTP:cabrera@MICROSOFT.com]

Sent: Monday, November 25, 1996 4:29 PM

To: Mechler, Edmund

Cc: 'r.melford@computer.org'

Subject: RE: work items from our meeting last week in Pittsburgh

I am SORRY, this was supposed to go to Robert Melford.

Now, you ALSO know all the action items that came out of the meeting.

```
-- Felipe
```

```
>-----
>From:
               EMechler@eri.eqt.com[SMTP:EMechler@eri.eqt.com]
>Sent: Monday, November 25, 1996 1:20 PM
       Felipe Cabrera
>To:
               RE: work items from our meeting last week in Pittsburgh
>Subject:
>
>Felipe
>I am confused. I didn't meet with you in Pgh last week. I wish
>I would have known you were in Pgh, I would have liked to meet.
>I did meet with Don last week. As to the action items there isn't
>any with my name on it; they look very interesting; need any help.
>Ed
>> -----
>>From:
               Felipe Cabrera[SMTP:cabrera@MICROSOFT.com]
>>Sent:
               Monday, November 25, 1996 3:52 PM
>>To: Mechler, Edmund
>>Subject:
               work items from our meeting last week in Pittsburgh
>>
>>Ed,
>>
      Many thanks for your participation in our meeting last Thursday. I
>>appreciate your involvement and your work.
      I did regret to be unable to talk longer with you about the
>>
>>stressing life situations that you have been going through. I look
>> forward to spending some time at the next meeting in February.
      FYI I enclose the complete list of actions items that you helped
>>
>>us draft. There are several that belong to you and will appreciate it
>>very much if you let me know if clouds appear in the horizon and the
>>work cannot be done.
>>
>>
       -- Felipe
>>
>>>
>>>Action item due 11/24/96: Felipe Cabrera
>>> Send Stuart Feldman a copy of the code of Ethics of Professional Engineers
```

```
>>>and Civil Enginners.
>>> Resolution: 11/23/96. Done.
>>>
>>>
>>>Action item due 11/24/96: Mario Barbacci
>>>Change the description that appears in the current survey to state that
>>>multiple sessions are possible.
>>>
>>>Action item due 11/24/96: Robert Melford
>>>Provide Felipe Cabrera with an initial statement of the question whether
>>>software engineers should be licensed engineers, to be used as basis to
>>>present the forthcoming discussion on this subject.
>>>
>>>Action item due 11/25/96: Felipe Cabrera
>>>Inquire whether IEEE-CS can set additional Web pages with information about
>>>the activities of our committee. This Web site should also offer the
>>>possibility of registration by e-mail to receive periodic distribution of
>>>information.
>>>Resolution: 11/21/96. Site can be established immediately with all the
>>>function needed.
>>>
>>>Action item due 11/30/96: Dennis Frailey
>>>Produce a list of topics that describes the area of real-time systems.
>>>Send it via e-mail to the whole steering committee.
>>>
>>>Action item due 12/06/96: complete steering committee
>>>Select a set of questions that is a "good example" of the level of detail
>>>that we desire for the whole survey. Send e-mail to the whole steering
>>>committee with the choice.
>>>
>>>Action item due 12/13/96: Mary Shaw & Dennis Frailey
>>>Produce a set of paragraphs that describe the field of real-time software
>>>engineering.
>>> Send it via e-mail to the whole steering committee.
>>>
>>>Action item due 12/13/96: complete steering committee
>>>Review and propose improvements to the existing outline and structure of
>>>the
>>>survey.
>>>Send it via e-mail to the whole steering committee.
>>>Felipe Cabrera will act as editor of the suggestions.
>>>Action item due 1/15/97: Leonard Tripp
>>>Request sets of questions for the survey in the topics identified by Dennis
>>>Frailey.
>>>Send it via e-mail to the whole steering committee.
```

```
>>>
>>>Action item due 1/15/97: Elliot Chikofsky
>>>Identify and secure relevant position statements that address the issue of
>>>licensing of software enginers. Send the material to Felipe and e-mail to
>>>the
>>>whole steering committee regarding the findings.
>>>Action item due 1/20/97: Robert Melford
>>>Rewrite the proposal for a Code Of Ethics and Professional Practices for
>>>Software Engineers following the canonical form of those for Professional
>>>and
>>>Civil Engineers. Produce a document that complements and expands those
>>>previously mentioned. This will align this proposed code with that of
>>>sister
>>>disciplines. Be different only when there is need to be different.
>>>Action item due 1/20/97: Mary Shaw
>>>Request Fred Brooks to be the moderator of the Web-based discussion on
>>> whether software engineers should be licensed.
>>>
>>>Action item due 2/10/97: Robert Melford
>>>Report on the Computer Engineer exam. Include in the report their top-level
>>>definition of the field.
>>>
>>
>
```