NEWMAIL

20-APR-1995 08:23:16.27 IN%"rholland@nsf.gov" From: IN%"CSEPWEIL@minna.acc.iit.edu" "Vivian Weil" To: cc: Subj: RE: Software Proposal Return-path: <rholland@nsf.gov>

Recurred: <rnollandensf.gov>
Received: from mailman.nsf.gov by minna.acc.iit.edu (PMDF V4.3-10 #5435)
id <01HPK03PV60WBSJD0J@minna.acc.iit.edu>; Thu,
20 Apr 1995 08:23:04 -0500 (CDT)
Received: from xrelay.nsf.gov by mailman.nsf.gov with SMTP id AA14875
(5.65c/IDA-1.4.4 for <CSEPWEIL@minna.acc.iit.edu>); Thu,
20 Apr 1995 09:22:56 -0400
Received: from cc:Mail by xrelay.nsf.gov id AA798394705; Thu,
20 Apr 95 09:21:25 EST
Date: Thu, 20 Apr 1995 09:21:25 -0500 (EST) Date: Thu, 20 Apr 1995 09:21:25 -0500 (EST) From: rhollandensf.gov Subject: Re: Software Proposal
To: Vivian Weil <CSEPWEIL@minna.acc.iit.edu> Message-id: <9503207983.AA798394705@xrelay.nsf.gov>

Press RETURN for more...

MAIL>

20-APR-1995 08:23:16.27 Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

NEWMAIL

Vivian-

I have had a chance to look over the proposal plus codicil. It is looking better. I still think the budget can be cut. I ask you to delete the secretary line and limit the senior salary lines to 2/3rds of what you requested. That will keep the total salary line to under \$10,000. If that's agreeable, just send in a final budget form with appropriate signatures appropriate signatures.

On more substantive matters, I want to provide a note of caution.

Part of the question I was raising to the original proposal concerned the problem of generalizability. Whatever information you gain from one case will face questions as to its generality. There is further the problem of interpretation; data such as that you will accrue will surely be able to be "read" in more than one way. The codicil can be read to overly dichotomize and simplify the differences between points of view about the roles of professional codes. Are the important

Press RETURN for more...

MAIL>

exempt.

20-APR-1995 08:23:16.27 #1 differences in theoretical approaches to their uses captured by talk about selfishness versus selflessness? I don't think Michael thinks so, nor do I think that all of the literature on uses of professional codes to protect status thinks so. By the way, please indicate whether you can/will keep an electronic archive of the Task Force's deliberations, and can/will make it available for other scholars.

hr. (کوک This last point reminds me that this research does involve human subjects. Although the federal regs indicate that it falls into a category that is exempt from the need for IRB approval, you need to submit it to your IRB and send us their form which declares that it is

I will expect to get a response to these matters soon (if the message gets through.) Thanks, Rachelle

NEWMAIL