

From: Simon Rogerson -sroq@dmu.ac.uk>
To: Donald Gotterbarn <dgot@dmu.ac.uk>
Subject: Re: Steering Committee COVER MEMO (fwd)

On Thu, 24 Apr 1997, Donald Gotterbarn wrote:

> here is keith's version. > > Separate item- I need a german letter translated,
> who should I > see?

D14U has a language dept in the Portland building suggest you give them a try.
> > <snip>

> I agree. This makes our code sound even wimpier than it is. I suppose we > must
bow to the pressures and be somewhat spineless. But we don't have to > BRAG about
it, for goodness sake.

> > Codes of ethics are common among professions. The draft below is modeled
> > after
> > several adopted by engineering groups. Although these codes
> > frequently sound legalistic (almost a contract between society and the
> > members of the field),
> > most are not intended as a means of enforcement.
> > Rather/Codes of Ethics are, for the most part, descriptions of ideal
> > behaviors.
> > They instruct practitioners about the standards that
> > society expects them to meet, what their peers strive for and expect of
> > each other.
> > We hope that the following Code will be enlightening as well as defining a
> > standard
> > we plan to meet.

> I'll reword:

> The draft evolved after extensive study of several engineering codes. All these cod
> educate and inspire the members of the professional group that adopts the
> code. The code also informs the public about the responsibilities that are
> important to a professions Codes instruct practitioners about the standard
that society expects them to meet, and what their peers strive for and
expect of each other. Codes are not meant to encourage litigation, and they
are not legislation; but they do offer practical advice about issues that
matter to professionals and their clients AND THEY DO INFORM POLICY MAKERS.

Don

I like Keith's version and certainly would want to disassoicate myself with the
previous one. You will see that I have suggested just only phrase to be added.

Simon