

CHURCH ENCODINGS OF ORDINALS, AND SIMULATION OF ORDINAL FUNCTIONS

Peter Hancock
hancock@spamcop.net

Nottingham University CSIT

15 March 2008, Swansea

ORDINALS AS ITERATORS

"A number is the exponent of an operation."

(T, 6.021)

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} X : \text{Set} \\ z : X \\ s : X \rightarrow X \\ l : X^N \rightarrow X \end{array} \right\} \bar{x} : (1 + X + X^N) \rightarrow X$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Br} &: \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set} \\ \text{Br } X &= 1 + X + X^N \\ \Omega &= \mu \text{Br} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Br } X & \xrightarrow{\bar{x}} & X \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow ([\cdot]) \\ \text{Br } ([\cdot]) & & \\ \uparrow & \langle 0, (+1), \text{sup} \rangle & \\ \text{Br } \Omega & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Omega \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \llbracket \alpha + \beta \rrbracket X z s l &= \llbracket \beta \rrbracket X (\llbracket \alpha \rrbracket X z s l) s l \\
 \llbracket \alpha \times \beta \rrbracket X z s l &= \llbracket \beta \rrbracket X z, (x \mapsto \llbracket \alpha X x s l \rrbracket) s l \\
 \llbracket \alpha \uparrow \beta \rrbracket X z s l &= \llbracket \beta \rrbracket (X \rightarrow X) s \\
 &\quad (f, x \mapsto \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket X x f l) \\
 &\quad (g, x \mapsto l(n \mapsto g n x)) \\
 &\quad z \\
 \llbracket 0 \rrbracket X z s l &= z \\
 \llbracket \omega \rrbracket X z s l &= l(n \mapsto s^n z)
 \end{aligned}$$

Modulo $\beta\eta$,

- $(0, +)$ a monoid.
- $(1, \times)$ a monoid.
- $\alpha \times 0 = 0, \quad \alpha \times (\beta + \gamma) = \alpha \times \beta + \alpha \times \gamma$
- $\alpha \uparrow 0 = 1, \quad \alpha \uparrow (\beta + \gamma) = \alpha \uparrow \beta \times \alpha \uparrow \gamma$
 $\alpha \uparrow 1 = \alpha, \quad \alpha \uparrow (\beta \times \gamma) = (\alpha \uparrow \beta) \uparrow \gamma$

In particular,

$$\begin{array}{lll} \alpha + 0 & = \alpha & \alpha + (\beta + 1) = (\alpha + \beta) + 1 \\ \alpha \times 0 & = 0 & \alpha \times (\beta + 1) = (\alpha \times \beta) + \alpha \\ \alpha \uparrow 0 & = 1 & \alpha \uparrow (\beta + 1) = (\alpha \uparrow \beta) \times \alpha \end{array}$$

So, our definitions are correct.

$$(\llbracket \phi \alpha \rrbracket X \underbrace{z s l}_{\bar{x}} = D \bar{x}(\llbracket \alpha \rrbracket)(F X)(U \bar{x}))$$

where

- $F : \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}$
- $U : (\text{Br } X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (\text{Br}(F X) \rightarrow F X)$: ‘uplifts’ a Br-algebra on carrier X to another on $F X$.
- $D : (\text{Br } X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow F X \rightarrow X$: ‘drops’ from $F X$ to X .

Example (ω^α):

- $F X = X \rightarrow X$,
- $U \bar{x} = s, (f, x \mapsto l(n \mapsto f^n x)), (g, x \mapsto l(n \mapsto g n x))$,
- $D \bar{x} = (f \mapsto f z)$.

SOME NICE CLOSURE PROPERTIES

- Closed under composition. $\phi \cdot \psi$ simulated by

$$\begin{aligned} F_\psi \cdot F_\phi \\ \bar{x} \mapsto U_\psi(U_\phi \bar{x}) \\ \bar{x} \mapsto (D_\phi \bar{x}) \cdot D_\psi(U_\phi \bar{x}) \end{aligned}$$

- How about 'countable composition' $\sup_n(\phi_n \cdot \phi_{n-1} \cdots \phi_0)$?

Well, yes, it works. It is the basis for simulating the Veblen hierarchy χ_β^α .

But it is a little heavy with subscripts, so let's just look at

$$\phi^\omega = \sup_n(\underbrace{\phi \cdot \phi \cdots \phi}_n).$$

SUP OF A SEQUENCE

- Given $F : \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}$, form $F' X = (\prod n : N) F^n X$.
- Given $U : (\text{Br } X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (\text{Br}(F X) \rightarrow F X)$,
form $U_n : (\text{Br } X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (\text{Br}(F^n X) \rightarrow F^n X)$.
- Now, eliding some of the more bureaucratic arguments, we have an inverse chain:

$$X \xleftarrow{D \dots} F X \xleftarrow{D \dots} F^2 X \xleftarrow{D \dots} \dots$$

- Given $\xi : F' X = (\prod n : N) F^n X$, form the 'sup' of $\xi_0 = \xi$,
 $\xi_1 = (n \mapsto D(\dots)\xi_0(n+1))$, $\xi_2 = \dots$ using the sup at each level.
- (Rough) claim: if (F, U, D) simulates ϕ , which is normal, then the operation $\xi \mapsto \xi_\omega$ maps $F' X$ onto the inverse limit of the above chain, and simulates ϕ^ω . Call this op C .
- Define $U' \dots$ (giving a Br-algebra on $F' X$) by applying/postcomposing C to (U_n) above.

THE (F, U, D) 'S FORM A LARGE BR-ALGEBRA

- The zero: take $F X = X \rightarrow X, \dots$, that simulates ω^α .
- The successor: the operation that takes (F, U, D) to $X \mapsto (\prod n : N) F^n X, \dots$ as on the previous slide. (More or less, takes us from a normal function ϕ to its Veblen derivative.
- The limit: we have an ω -sequence of (F_n, U_n, D_n) . The idea is quite similar to what we do in the successor case, except the steps in the chain are heterogeneous.

With no universes, we can define approximants up to ε_0 . Then with one universe by iterating the large Br-algebra through these approximants, we can define approximants up to $\phi_{\varepsilon_0} 0$. And so on ... with a tower of universes, up to Γ_0 .

Rash claim: I expect that the same techniques (with essentially no new ideas), can be used to obtain similar (lower bounds) results for a superuniverse, a super² universe, and so on.