



#### Welcome!

Jake Thompson
Psychometrician, ATLAS, University of Kansas



#### Overview

- 1. Introduction to Bayesian regression
- 2. Customizing Bayesian regression models
- 3. Evaluating Bayesian regression models
- 4. Presenting and using Bayesian regression models



### A review of frequentist regression

- Frequentist regression using ordinary least squares
- The kidiq data

```
kidiq
#> # A tibble: 434 x 4
      kid score mom hs mom iq mom age
           =<int> <int> <dbl>
#>
                                      <int>
                             121.
               65
                           115.
                             99.4
   5 115 1 92.7
6 98 0 108.
7 69 1 139.
8 106 1 125.
9 102 1 81.6
                            92.7
                                          18
                                          20
                            81.6
                                          24
                              95.1
      ... with 424 more rows
```



#### A review of frequentist regression

Predict child's IQ score from the mother's IQ score

```
lm_model <- lm(kid_score ~ mom_iq, data = kidiq)</pre>
```

```
summary(lm model)
#>
#> Call:
#> lm(formula = kid score ~ mom iq, data = kidiq)
#>
#> Residuals:
#> Min 1Q Median 3Q
                                    Max
#> -56.753 -12.074 2.217 11.710 47.691
#>
#> Coefficients:
     Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
#> (Intercept) 25.79978 5.91741 4.36 1.63e-05 ***
        0.60997 0.05852 10.42 < 2e-16 ***
#> mom iq
#> ---
#> Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
#>
#> Residual standard error: 18.27 on 432 degrees of freedom
#> Multiple R-squared: 0.201, Adjusted R-squared: 0.1991
#> F-statistic: 108.6 on 1 and 432 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```



### Examing model coefficients

• Use the **broom** package to focus just on the coefficients

```
library(broom)

tidy(lm_model)
#> term estimate std.error statistic p.value
#> 1 (Intercept) 25.7997778 5.91741208 4.359977 1.627847e-05
#> 2 mom_iq 0.6099746 0.05852092 10.423188 7.661950e-23
```

Be cautious about what the p-value actually represents

### Comparing Frequentist and Bayesian Probabilities

- What's the probability a woman has cancer, given positive mammogram?
  - $P(+M \mid C) = 0.9$
  - P(C) = 0.004
  - $P(+M) = (0.9 \times 0.004) + (0.1 \times 0.996) = 0.1$
- What is P(C | M+)?
  - **0.036**



#### **Spotify Data**

```
songs
#> # A tibble: 215 x 7
                    artist name song age valence tempo popularity duration ms
#>
     track name
                    <chr>
                                                                           <int>
#>
      <chr>
                                    <int>
                                            <dbl> <dbl>
                                                              <int>
                                     5351
                                                    99.3
                                                                          235933
   1 Crazy In Love Beyoncé
                                            70.1
   2 Naughty Girl Beyoncé
                                                 100.0
                                                                          208600
                                     5351
                                            64.3
                                                                 59
                                     5351
                                                    91.0
                                                                          244867
   3 Baby Boy
                  Beyoncé
                                            77.4
                                                                 57
   4 Hip Hop Star Beyoncé
                                     5351
                                            96.8
                                                  167.
                                                                 39
                                                                          222533
   5 Be With You
                    Beyoncé
                                     5351
                                            75.6
                                                   74.9
                                                                          260160
                                     5351
    6 Me, Myself a... Beyoncé
                                            55.5
                                                    83.6
                                                                 54
                                                                          301173
                    Beyoncé
                                     5351
                                            56.2
                                                  112.
                                                                 43
                                                                          259093
   7 Yes
   8 Signs
                                     5351
                                                   74.3
                                                                          298533
                   Beyoncé
                                            39.8
                                                                 41
   9 Speechless
                   Beyoncé
                                     5351
                                                                          360440
                                             9.92 113.
                                                                 41
#> 10 That's How Y... Beyoncé
                                     5351
                                            68.1
                                                    84.2
                                                                          219160
                                                                 42
#> # ... with 205 more rows
```





# Let's practice!





# **Bayesian Linear Regression**

Jake Thompson

Psychometrician, ATLAS, University of Kansas



### Why use Bayesian methods?

- P-values make inferences about the probability of data, not parameter values
- Posterior distribution: combination of likelihood and prior
  - Sample the posterior distribution
  - Summarize the sample
  - Use the summary to make inferences about parameter values



### The rstanarm package

- Interface to the *Stan* probabilistic programming language
- rstanarm provides high level access to Stan
- Allows for custom model definitions



## Using rstanarm

```
library(rstanarm)
```

```
stan_model <- stan_glm(kid_score ~ mom_iq, data = kidiq)</pre>
```



#### Examining an rstanarm model

```
summary(stan model)
#> Model Info:
#> function: stan_glm
#> family: gaussian [identity]
#> formula: kid_score ~ mom_iq
#> algorithm: sampling
#> priors: see help('prior_summary')
#> sample: 4000 (posterior sample size)
#> observations: 434
     predictors:
#>
#> Estimates:
#>
                          mean sd 2.5% 25% 50% 75% 97.5%
#> (Intercept) 25.7 6.0 13.8 21.6 25.7 30.0 37.0 #> mom_iq 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.7 0.7 #> sigma 18.3 0.6 17.1 17.9 18.3 18.7 19.5 #> mean_PPD 86.8 1.2 84.3 85.9 86.8 87.6 89.2 #> log-posterior -1885.4 1.2 -1888.5 -1886.0 -1885.1 -1884.5 -1884.0
#>
#> Diagnostics:
#>
      mcse Rhat n eff
\#> (Intercept) 0.1 1.0 4000
#> log-posterior 0.0 1.0 1896
```



#### rstanarm summary: Estimates

```
#> Estimates:
#>
                  2.5%
                      25%
                          50%
                              75%
                                   97.5%
         mean
              sd
                         25.7
 (Intercept)
        25.7
             6.0
                 13.8
                     21.6
                              30.0
                                   37.0
```

- sigma: Standard deviation of errors
- mean\_PPD: mean of posterior predictive samples
- log-posterior: analogous to a likelihood



#### rstanarm summary: Diagnostics

- Rhat: a measure of within chain variance compared to across chain variance
- Values less than 1.1 indicate convergence





# Let's practice!





# Comparing Bayesian and Frequentist Approaches

Jake Thompson
Psychometrician, ATLAS, University of Kansas



#### The same parameters!

```
tidy(lm_model)
#> term estimate std.error statistic p.value
#> 1 (Intercept) 25.7997778 5.91741208 4.359977 1.627847e-05
#> 2 mom_iq 0.6099746 0.05852092 10.423188 7.661950e-23

tidy(stan_model)
#> term estimate std.error
#> 1 (Intercept) 25.7257965 6.01262625
#> 2 mom_iq 0.6110254 0.05917996
```



### Frequentist vs. Bayesian

- Frequentist: parameters are fixed, data is random
- Bayesian: parameters are random, data is fixed
- What's a p-value?
  - Probability of test statistic, given null hypothesis
- So what do Bayesians want?
  - Probability of parameter values, given the observed data



#### **Evaluating Bayesian parameters**

- Confidence interval: Probability that a range contains the true value
  - There is a 90% probability that range contains the true value
- Credible interval: Probability that the true value is within a range
  - There is a 90% probability that the true value falls within this range
- Probablity of parameter values vs. probability of range boundaries



### Creating credible intervals

```
posterior interval(stan model)
#>
                          95%
#> (Intercept) 16.1396617 35.6015948
posterior interval(stan model, prob = 0.95)
#>
                        97.5%
#> (Intercept) 14.5472824 37.2505664
#> mom iq 0.4963677 0.7215823
#> sigma 17.1197930 19.5359616
posterior interval(stan model, prob = 0.5)
#>
                          75%
#> (Intercept) 21.7634032 29.6542886
```



#### Confidence vs. Credible intervals





# Let's practice!