### Project Proposal

**Paper 1:** 69, *Dynamic Survival Transformers for Causal Inference with Electronic Health Records*, NeurIPS TS4H, Prayag Chatha, Yixin Wang, Zhenke Wu, Jeffrey Regier

1) Task: Utilize a transformer to model the effects of treatment on a patient’s probability of survival as it changes with time.

2) Innovation: Introduction of a transformer to recalculate the probability of failure for patients for each time interval throughout the patient’s history.

3) Adv/Dis: Patient survival probabilities are modeled at each timestep, independent of data from future timesteps, allowing the prediction to change over time. Timesteps correspond to exactly one hour of time.

4) Dataset and Accessibility: MIMIC III Dataset utilizing patient stays longer than 16 hours (inclusive) and limited to the first 128 hours of length. Exactly 30323 patient records in length. <https://physionet.org/content/mimiciii/1.4/>

5) Code Accessibility: <https://github.com/prob-ml/DynST?utm_source=chatgpt.com>

6) Feasibility: The dataset used in this paper is MIMIC-III, which will be available and accessible to us. For data preprocessing, the authors utilized MIMIC-Extract, a pipeline that is also publicly available. To effectively replicate their methodology, we will need to understand how they configured and applied this pipeline. Regarding computational resources, the authors specified using an NVIDIA GTX 2080 Ti GPU. Since we have multiple computers equipped with NVIDIA GPUs, we can match their computational power, ensuring that hardware constraints do not pose a major challenge. The most challenging aspect of replicating this paper will be the integration of static and dynamic patient data using transformer layers. While the authors have made their codebase publicly available, successful replication will still require experimentation with different hyperparameters to optimize model performance and validate reproducibility.

**Paper 2:** 46, *Improving clinical outcome predictions using convolution over medical entities with multimodal learning*, Artificial Intelligence in Medicine, Batuhan Bardak, Mehmet Tan

1) Task: Enhance the prediction of patient mortality and ICU length of stay by introducing clinical notes to the already existing structured dataset for patients.

2) Innovation: Utilizes the med7 named entity recognition model to extract diagnosis, drug dosage and frequency, and other medical information from unstructured clinical notes.

3) Adv/Dis: Clinical notes tend to be difficult to process for meaning. Dataset is imbalanced, with a significant portion of patients surviving and having short ICU stays.

4) Dataset and Accessibility: MIMIC III Dataset utilizing patient stays between 12 hours and 10 days (inclusive) for patients older than 15 years of age with clinical notes within the first 24 hours of stay, excluding discharge summaries and clinical notes without a timestamp. <https://physionet.org/content/mimiciii/1.4/>

5) Code Accessibility: <https://github.com/tanlab/ConvolutionMedicalNer>

6) Feasibility: The dataset used in this paper is MIMIC-III, which will be available and accessible to us. The authors employed a data pipeline for extracting and preprocessing the medical records, utilizing Med7, a publicly available NLP tool for medical entity recognition. However, we will need to familiarize ourselves with its functionality before integrating it into our workflow.

The paper does not specify the exact computational resources used. However, given the complexity of deep learning models, particularly convolutional architectures, it is reasonable to assume that a high-performance GPU will be required for efficient training.

The most challenging aspect of replicating this study would be designing and optimizing the convolutional layers to effectively process medical records. Tuning the model parameters and preprocessing pipelines for structured and unstructured medical data will also require careful experimentation.

**Paper 3:** 30, *Towards automated clinical coding*, International Journal of Medical Informatics, Finneas Catling, Georgios P. Spithourakis, Sebastian Riedel

1) Task: Develop a method to automate the process of turning clinical notes into structured clinical code.

2) Innovation: Broadens the scope of current automation studies to include more than just common diagnoses. Trains discharge notes (with known diagnoses) on their symptoms presented to create a model to predict diagnoses upon patient admission, before it has been confirmed.

3) Adv/Dis: Clinical notes generally lack structure, are full or errors, and medical coding is fuzzy (there are many synonyms and codes used to denote the same concept). Clinical notes are, by nature, subjective. Incredibly rare diagnoses sometimes lack specific medical codes to identify them. Predictive models are prone to systematic errors caused on the institution level.

4) Dataset and Accessibility: The free-text discharge summaries and associated ICD-9-CM codes from the MIMIC III Dataset v1.4, excluding those with errors or no associated code. <https://physionet.org/content/mimiciii/1.4/>

5) Code Accessibility: The codebase for this research is not provided.

6) Feasibility: The dataset for this paper would be easy to obtain, as it is the MIMIC-III dataset. Additionally, multiple named entity recognition models could be implemented and tested for reading the clinical notes. The difficulty with this paper would be improving upon the research done there-in, as we would be using the same dataset, if not a similar dataset from the same institution, prone to the same disadvantages outlined above.

**Target Paper:** Paper 1: 69, *Dynamic Survival Transformers for Causal Inference with Electronic Health Records*, NeurIPS TS4H, Prayag Chatha, Yixin Wang, Zhenke Wu, Jeffrey Regier

1) Why this paper: Both team members are interested in the paper’s use of transformers to train the electronic health records as a function of time.

2) Hypotheses to Verify: Utilizing transformers allowed the model to improve upon baseline models for predicting patient survivability by updating the probability at each unit in time.

3) Methods: We are certain that the dataset used in the study is available, as it is utilizing the MIMIC-III dataset and the filtering data is provided. Our computers have at least half of the power of the proposed GPU utilized in the paper. Alternatively, we may leverage Google Colab compute nodes should either computer have troubles in training or testing the dataset.

### Appendix

1. MIMIC-Extract:

MIMIC-Extract is a publicly available data extraction and preprocessing pipeline designed to streamline the use of the MIMIC-III clinical database for machine learning applications. Developed to address the challenges of working with raw electronic health records (EHRs), MIMIC-Extract provides a structured framework for processing patient data into a format suitable for predictive modeling.

Key Features:

* Standardized Data Representation: Transforms raw ICU patient records into a uniform, time-aligned dataset for easier analysis.
* Predefined Clinical Variables: Extracts vital signs, lab measurements, demographics, and treatment indicators from the MIMIC-III database.
* Temporal Data Structuring: Organizes time-series data into fixed-hourly intervals to facilitate longitudinal analysis.
* Open-Source Implementation: Available on GitHub (https://github.com/MLforHealth/MIMIC\_Extract), allowing for modifications and extensions based on research needs.

Relevance to This Study:

In our work, MIMIC-Extract is utilized to preprocess patient records, ensuring a structured and consistent input format for machine learning models. The extracted features serve as the foundation for training predictive models, including those based on transformers and survival analysis techniques. Understanding and adapting MIMIC-Extract is essential for maintaining data integrity and replicability in clinical outcome predictions.

1. Propensity Score: The odds of a patient receiving a treatment dependent on their observed characteristics. In this study, the propensity score is used to calculate a synthetic binary treatment variable, (whether the patient receives treatment). Denoted by whether a patient is severely ill, , which is 1 when a patient has been diagnosed with more than one of the following conditions: hypertension, coronary atherosclerosis, and atrial fibrillation. Propensity score is defined as:

1. Hazard Function: The risk of death at an instant in time given that a patient has survived up to that point in time. Limited to the range and defined as the product of the baseline hazard (a), the treatment effect (b), static variables (c), the temporal interaction term (d), and dynamic variables (e), such that:

Where:

* 1. Let and , such that a patient’s risk decreases the longer they survive.
  2. Let be the binary treatment variable and , such that treatment intervention reduces a patient’s risk.
  3. Let be the binary variables for whether the patient is male, and if they have been diagnosed with hypertension, coronary atherosclerosis, and atrial fibrillation respectively. Let be coefficients generated with a Uniform(0.7,1.2) distribution.
  4. Let be the indicator variable for severely ill patients as denoted in the propensity score function. When the hazard increases by 2% each time step to replicate a severely ill patient’s deteriorating health.
  5. Let be coefficients generated with a Uniform(0.1,0.3) distribution. Let be the vital readings for hematocrit, hemoglobin, platelets, and mean blood pressure, clipped to avoid inflated hazards such that

1. Estimated Survival Probability:

Where is the cutoff timestep, is the time series vector for dynamic variables to time , and is the compliment of the hazard function, such that

1. Predicted Survival Time:
2. Loss Function: The difference between the model’s predicted output and the actual target value.
   1. Maximizing survival probabilities up to failure time for uncensored patients.
   2. Penalizing error of predicted survival time such that for censored patients, only predicted times before censoring are penalized.
   3. Summed over all patients

Where is the tuning hyperparameter.

1. True Average Treatment Effect: Defined on Restricted Mean Survival Time (RMST) from two copies of the dataset, one in which every patient receives treatments and one in which no patients receive treatment .
2. Mean Absolute Error:

Where is the observed survival time or censor time, is the predicted survival time, and is a binary indicator for if the patient record is censored (for patient records longer than 128 hours)

Note: Our team chose not to use any LLMs during the project proposal.
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