### Clean Classes

**Christopher Simpkins** 

chris.simpkins@gatech.edu

## **Data Abstraction with Classes**

#### Consider a concrete Point data type:

```
public class Point {
  public double x, y;
}
```

#### and an abstract Point data type:

```
public interface Point {
  double getX();
  double getY();
  void setCartesian(double x, double y);
  double getR();
  double getTheta();
  void setPolar(double r, double theta);
}
```

- The concrete Point exposes its implementation, the abstract Point hides it.
- Abstract Point expresses that it take two elements to define a point, concrete Point allows x and y to be set independently.

## **Data Abstraction with Classes**

```
public interface Point {
  double getX();
  double getY();
  void setCartesian(double x, double y);
  double getR();
  double getTheta();
  void setPolar(double r, double theta);
}
```

■ The abstract Point class is truly an absraction - its interface expresses the essence of pointness and hides its implementation.

Data abstraction isn't just making instance variables private and providing getters and setters.

### Classes as Data Structures

```
public class Rectangle {
 public Point topLeft;
 public double height;
 public double width:
public class Circle {
 public Point center:
 public double radius;
public class Geometry {
  public double area (Object shape) throws NoSuchShapeException {
    if (shape instanceof Rectangle) {
      Rectangle r = (Rectangle) shape;
      return r.height * r.width;
    else if (shape instanceof Circle) {
      Circle c = (Circle) shape;
      return Math.PI * c.radius * c.radius;
    throw new NoSuchShapeException();
```

## **Object-Oriented Classes**

```
public interface Shape {
 public double area():
public class Rectangle implements Shape {
 private Point topLeft;
 private double height;
 private double width;
 public double area() { return height * width; }
public class Square implements Shape {
 private Point topLeft:
 private double side;
 public double area() { return side*side; }
public class Circle implements Shape {
 private Point center:
 private double radius;
 public double area() { return Math.PI * radius * radius; }
```

# Data/Object Anti-symmetry

Look back at the two implementations of the shape family.

- In the procedural classes-as-data-structures implementation:
  - Adding a shape requires adding a new shape class and then touching every function in Geometry.
  - Adding a function only requires adding it to Geometry and coding it to work with each shape.
- In the object-oriented implementation:
  - Adding a class requires only creating a class that implements each of the functions in Shape.
  - Adding a function requires adding its declaration to Shape, and then adding a defintion to every class that implements Shape

# Data/Object Anti-Symmetry

The observations above lead to two complementary general rules:

Procedural code (code using data structures) makes it easy to add new functions without changing the existing data structures. OO code, on the other hand, makes it easy to add new classes without changing existing functions.

#### and

Procedural code makes it hard to add new data structures because all the functions must change. OO code makes it hard to add new functions because all the classes must change.

Clean design requires knowing when to apply each style (will you be more likely to add new functions or new classes?). Don't drive every nail with the same hammer.

#### The Law of Demeter

A module should not know about the internal structure of an object it uses. Consider:

```
final String outputDir =
   ctxt.getOptions().getScratchDir().getAbsolutePath();
```

Code like this is a *train wreck* because it looks like a train of method calls on objects returned from a succession of methods.

#### Is this better?

```
Options opts = ctxt.getOptions();
File scratchDir = opts.getScratchDir();
final String outputDir = scratchDir.getAbsolutePath();
```

#### Maybe, but probably not.

- Internal structure is still exposed and relied upon.
- A protocol-ish interface is a design smell the client of the ctxt object is trying to do something give that something a name and represent it as a method

# Hiding Internal Structure

What is the something that the client is doing with an absolute path?

First, this code smells: multiple levels of abstraction are mixed together. But ultimately the client code is using the absolute path of the scratch directory to create a file in that directory.

Better OO design to let the ctxt object do this for us:

```
BufferedOutputStream bos = ctxt.createScratchFileStream(classFileName);
```

- Now the internal structure of the ctxt object is no longer exposed and is free to change without affecting client code.
- Client code is much cleaner: several messy lines replaced with one method call whose intent is crystal clear.

# Data Transfer Objects and Active Data Objects

Data Transfer Objects (DTOs) are simple data structures useful for passing data between clients and servers, into and out of databases.

```
public class Person {
  private String name, email;
  public Person(String name, String email) {
    this.name = name; this.email = email;
  }
  public String getName() { return name; }
  public String getEmail() { return email; }
}
```

- Other than meeting the JavaBean spec, no need for private instance variables and getters. (This is one of Java's warts.)
- Sometimes a DTO will include methods like save and find that operate on the database in which the DTOs are stored. These are called active data objects (ADOs).
- Don't put business logic in an ADO. Create a separate class to hold business logic and let the ADO have a single responsbility: transferring data to and from a database.

# Class Organization

A class should follow the standard Java organization:

- public static constants
- private static variables
- private instance variables
- public functions
- private helper functions right after the functions they serve (stepdown rule/newspaper metaphor)

Should nearly never have public instance variables, but they'd go right after the private instance variables.

Only valid reason to break encapsulation is to facilitate unit testing. Do this by giving protected or package access – the unit test should be in the same package as the class it tests.

