

# Clean Comments and Formatting

Christopher Simpkins

`chris.simpkins@gatech.edu`

# Clean Comments

Comments are (usually) evil.

- Most comments are compensation for failures to express ideas in code.
- Comments become baggage when chunks of code move.
- Comments become stale when code changes.
- Result: comments lie.

Comments don't make up for bad code. If you feel you need a comment to explain some code, put effort into improving the code, not authoring comments for it.

# Good Names Can Obviate Comments

```
// Check to see if the employee is eligible for full benefits  
if ((employee.flags & HOURLY_FLAG) && (employee.age > 65))
```

We're representing a business rule as a boolean expression and naming it in a comment. Use the language to express this idea:

```
if (employee.isEligibleForFullBenefits())
```

Now if the business rule changes, we know exactly where to change the code that represents it, and the code can be reused. (What does “reused” mean?)

Another example:

```
// Returns an instance of the Responder being tested.  
protected abstract Responder responderInstance();
```

Get rid of the comment and use the language:

```
protected abstract Responder responderBeingTested;
```

# Good Comments

Sometimes comments are useful.

- Legal comments (copyright notices, licenses)
- Informative comments
- Explanation of intent
- Clarifications
- Warnings
- Todos
- Amplification
- Javadocs for public APIs

# Informative Comments

Sometimes a comment can help the reader of code understand what code is supposed to do even if the code itself has a bug. Consider:

```
// format matched kk:mm:ss EEE, MMM dd, yyyy Pattern timeMatcher =  
    Pattern.compile(  
        "\\d*:\\d*:\\d* \\w*, \\w* \\d*, \\d*");
```

The regex format is hard to understand, but the comment makes it crystal clear. If the code has a bug, the programmer knows exactly how to go about fixing it. (How else might the programmer know?)

# Explanation of Intent

```
public int compareTo(Object o) {  
    if(o instanceof WikiPagePath) {  
        WikiPagePath p = (WikiPagePath) o;  
        String compressedName = StringUtil.join(names, "");  
        String compressedArgumentName = StringUtil.join(p.names, "");  
        return compressedName.compareTo(compressedArgumentName);  
    }  
    return 1; // we are greater because we are the right type.  
}
```

This comment is acceptable because it explains the programmer's intent: if the type of the other object is different, `this` object is greater

# Explanation of Intent

Consider this test of some multithreaded code:

```
public void testConcurrentAddWidgets() throws Exception {  
    // ...  
  
    //This is our best attempt to get a race condition  
    //by creating large number of threads.  
    for (int i = 0; i < 25000; i++) {  
        WidgetBuilderThread widgetBuilderThread =  
            new WidgetBuilderThread(widgetBuilder, text, parent, failFlag);  
        Thread thread = new Thread(widgetBuilderThread);  
        thread.start();  
    }  
    assertEquals(false, failFlag.get());  
}
```

The comment quickly explains something that might be puzzling at first glance.

# Clarification

If you're using code from the standard library or other code you can't change to express your idea in code, a clarifying comment can be helpful.

```
public void testCompareTo() throws Exception {  
    WikiPagePath a = PathParser.parse("PageA");  
    WikiPagePath ab = PathParser.parse("PageA.PageB");  
    WikiPagePath b = PathParser.parse("PageB");  
  
    assertTrue(a.compareTo(a) == 0);    // a == a  
    assertTrue(a.compareTo(b) != 0);    // a != b  
    assertTrue(ab.compareTo(ab) == 0);  // ab == ab  
    assertTrue(a.compareTo(b) == -1);   // a < b  
    // ...  
}
```

Be very careful though - it's easy to get the comments wrong, which substantially increases the cognitive burden on the reader trying to understand the code.



# Warnings

## Consider:

```
public static SimpleDateFormat makeStandardHttpDateFormat() {  
    //SimpleDateFormat is not thread safe,  
    //so we need to create each instance independently.  
    SimpleDateFormat df = new SimpleDateFormat("EEE, dd MMM yyyy  
        HH:mm:ss z");  
    df.setTimeZone(TimeZone.getTimeZone("GMT"));  
    return df;  
}
```

On discovering this code you might be tempted to “optimize” it by making a single `SimpleDateFormat` to be shared. The comment would (hopefully) keep you from doing so.

# Warnings

But don't use warning comments when you can express your idea in code. For example, instead of:

```
// Don't run unless you have some time to kill.
public void _testWithReallyBigFile() {
    writeLinesToFile(10000000);
    response.setBody(testFile);
    response.readyToSend(this);
    String responseString = output.toString();
    assertSubString("Content-Length: 1000000000", responseString);
    assertTrue(bytesSent > 1000000000);
}
```

Use Junit 4's annotations:

```
@Ignore("Takes too long to run").
public void _testWithReallyBigFile() {
    // ...
}
```

# Bad Comments

Most comments are bad. Most bad comments fall into these categories:

- Redundancies
- Misleading
- Noise

# Redundant and Misleading Comments

## Consider:

```
// Utility method that returns when this.closed is true.  
// Throws an exception if the timeout is reached.  
public synchronized void waitForClose(final long timeoutMillis)  
    throws Exception {  
    if(!closed) {  
        wait(timeoutMillis);  
        if(!closed)  
            throw new Exception("MockResponseSender could not be closed");  
    }  
}
```

- Comment is redundant. Better to read the code or better yet a well-named method and parameter list.
- Comment is misleading. Method doesn't wait for `closed` to become `true` – it gives it `timeOutMillis` to become `true` and throws an `Exception` if it doesn't.

# Noise

- Journal comments added each time a file is modified.

```
* Changes (from 11-Oct-2001)
* -----
* 11-Oct-2001 : * Re-organised the class and moved it to new
package
                com.jrefinery.date (DG;)
* 05-Nov-2001 : * Added a getDescription() method, and eliminated
NotableDate
                class (DG);
```

Don't write journal comments. Use your VCS.

- Mandated comments, like Javadocs for code that's not part of a public API.
- Just plain dumb noise, like:

```
/**
 * Default constructor. */
protected AnnualDateRule() { }
```

# Position Markers and Brace Comments

Sometimes position markers can be useful, but be wary of commenting sections of code like this:

```
// Actions //////////////////////////////////////
```

And never comment closing braces:

```
public final E pop() {  
    if (isEmpty()) {  
        throw new java.util.EmptyStackException();  
    } // end if  
    return removeNext();  
} // end pop
```

Ending-brace comments are well-intentioned but redundant and risk becoming stale when method and class names change.

## A few more commenting tips

- Don't put attributions in code, like `/* Added by Rick. */`. Your VCS handles this automatically. (Note, this is different from `@author` Javadoc tags.)
- Don't comment out code, delete it. Again, your VCS will remember old code for you.
- Don't put HTML markup in comments. If a tool like Javadoc turns comments into HTML, it's the tool's job to put in the HTML tags, not yours.
- Javadoc is usually overkill for code that's no part of a public API.
- Don't put nonlocal information in a comment. Everything you need to know to understand a comment should be within a couple of lines.
- Keep comments short.

Remember: comments make up for lack of expressivity in a programming language. You shouldn't need many, and you certainly don't need long comments.

# Formatting

*Code should be written for human beings to understand, and only incidentally for machines to execute. – Hal Abelson and Gerald Sussman, SICP*

*The purpose of a computer program is to tell other people what you want the computer to do. – Donald Knuth*

The purpose of formatting is to facilitate communication. The formatting of code conveys information to the reader.



# Vertical Formatting

- Newspaper metaphor
- Vertical openness between concepts
- Vertical density
- Vertical distance
- Vertical ordering

# Vertical Openness Between Concepts

Notice how vertical openness helps us locate concepts in the code more quickly.

```
package fitnessse.wikitext.widgets;

import java.util.regex.*;

public class BoldWidget extends ParentWidget {
    public static final String REGEXP = "''.+?'";
    private static final Pattern pattern = Pattern.compile("''.+?'",
        Pattern.MULTILINE + Pattern.DOTALL
    );

    public BoldWidget(ParentWidget parent, String text) throws Exception
    {
        super(parent);
        Matcher match = pattern.matcher(text);
        match.find();
        addChildWidgets(match.group(1));
    }
}
```

# Vertical Openness Between Concepts

If we leave out the blank lines:

```
package fitnessse.wikitext.widgets;
import java.util.regex.*;
public class BoldWidget extends ParentWidget {
    public static final String REGEXP = "'''.+?''';
    private static final Pattern pattern = Pattern.compile("'''.+?'''",
        Pattern.MULTILINE + Pattern.DOTALL
    );
    public BoldWidget(ParentWidget parent, String text) throws Exception
    {
        super(parent);
        Matcher match = pattern.matcher(text);
        match.find();
        addChildWidgets(match.group(1));
    }
}
```

- It's harder to distinguish the package statement, the beginning and end of the imports, and the class declaration.
- It's harder to locate where the instance variables end and methods begin.

# Vertical Density

Openness separates concepts. Density implies association. Consider:

```
public class ReporterConfig {  
    /** The class name of the reporter listener */  
    private String m_className;  
  
    /** The properties of the reporter listener */  
    private List<Property> m_properties = new ArrayList<Property>();  
  
    public void addProperty(Property property) {  
        m_properties.add(property);  
    }  
}
```

The vertical openness (and bad comments) misleads the reader.  
Better to use closeness to convey relatedness:

```
public class ReporterConfig {  
    private String m_className;  
    private List<Property> m_properties = new ArrayList<Property>();  
  
    public void addProperty(Property property) {  
        m_properties.add(property);  
    }  
}
```

# Vertical Distance and Ordering

Concepts that are closely related should be vertically close to each other.

- Variables should be declared as close to their usage as possible.
- Instance variables should be declared at the top of the class.
- Dependent functions: callers should be above callees.

# Horizontal Openness and Density

- Keep lines short. Uncle Bob says 120, but he's wrong. Keep your lines at 80 characters or fewer if possible (sometimes it is impossible, but very rarely).
- Put spaces around `=` to accentuate the distinction between the LHS and RHS.
- Don't put spaces between method names and parens, or parens and parameter lists - they're closely related, so should be close.
- Use spaces to accentuate operator precedence, e.g., no space between unary operators and their operands, space between binary operators and their operands.
- Don't try to horizontally align lists of assignments – it draws attention to the wrong thing and can be misleading, e.g., encouraging the reader to read down a column.
- Always indent scopes (classes, methods, blocks).

# Team Rules

- Every team should agree on a coding standard and everyone should adhere to it.
- Don't modify a file just to change the formatting, but if you are modifying it anyway, go ahead and fix the formatting of the code you modify.
- Code formatting standards get religious. My rule: make your code look like the language inventor's code.
- If the language you're using has a code convention (like Java's), use it!