Title: Categorization and evaluation of emotional faces in psychopathic women

Categorization and evaluation of emotional faces in psychopathic women

Author links open overlay panelHedwig Eisenbarth a, Georg W. Alpers a, Dalia Segrè b, Antonino Calogero c, Alessandro Angrilli b d
Show more
Outline
Add to Mendeley
Share
Cite
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2007.09.001Get rights and content

Abstract
Psychopathic individuals have been shown to respond less strongly than normal controls to emotional stimuli. Data about their ability to judge emotional facial expressions are inconsistent and limited to males. To measure categorical and dimensional evaluations of emotional facial expressions in psychopathic and non-psychopathic women, 13 female psychopathic forensic inpatients, 15 female non-psychopathic forensic inmates and 16 female healthy participants were tested in an emotion-categorizing task. Emotional facial expressions were presented briefly (33 ms) or until buttonpress. Participants were to classify emotional expressions, and to rate their valence and arousal. Group differences in categorization were observed at both presentation times.

Psychopathic patients performed worst with briefly presented sad expressions. Moreover, their dimensional evaluation resulted in less positive ratings for happy expressions and less arousal for angry expressions compared with the responses of non-psychopathic and normal subjects. Results shed light on the mechanism possibly underlying the emotional deficits in psychopathic women.

Keywords

Psychopathy
Female inmates
Emotion detection
Facial expression recognition

1\. Introduction

Psychopathy has been characterized as a clinical construct that comprises, amongst other characteristics, antisocial deviance and deficient affect (Hare et al., 1990) that are reflected in shallow affect, lack of empathy, remorse or guilt, and failure to accept responsibility.

According to the Violence Inhibition Model (VIM; Blair, 1995), distress cues do not inhibit aggressive behavior in psychopathic people as they do in healthy people. According to this model, the absent effect of distressing stimuli is due to a failure of the psychopathic individual to decode emotional stimuli. As part of the deficient affect, this decoding deficit can be found in reduced physiological or cortical activations in response to emotional stimuli (i.e., Kiehl et al., 2001, Benning et al., 2005) as well as in reduced behavioral responses. In particular, reactions to stimuli with sad or fearful content evoke weaker reactions in psychopathic persons than in controls (e.g., Levenston et al., 2000, Blair et al., 2001). Nevertheless, reported results vary from no group differences (e.g., Campanella et al., 2005, Glass and Newman, 2005, Kreklewetz and Roesch, 2005) and even better emotion decoding performance of psychopathic participants (Habel et al., 2002) to group differences for specific facial expressions (e.g., Blair et al., 2004, Montagne et al., 2005). The latter studies found impaired emotion categorization in psychopathic participants for negative emotional expressions, but past research included only male participants. To our knowledge, there are so far no data on emotion detection or emotion categorization in psychopathic women; therefore, this study investigates facial affect recognition and evaluations in female psychopaths.

In order to consider most of the aspects of facial picture presentation, we included facial expressions of all seven basic emotions and presented the facial expressions for two different presentation durations. In one block, facial expressions were shown briefly and masked, so as to limit the processing time for decoding the corresponding emotion category and thereby revealing deficits in psychopaths' spontaneous emotion categorization compared with deficits in cognitively elaborated emotion decoding. A condition that did not limit the time to process the stimuli was included in a second block of presentations with ad libitum duration, until participants decided which button they wanted to press.

In addition to this classical categorizing task, based on Ekman's idea of distinct emotions, we also included a dimensional evaluation task based on the model of Russell (Lang, 1979, Posner et al., 2005) by asking subjects to rate their self-perceived valence and arousal levels. The use of these ratings could provide a more detailed picture of the emotion decoding deficit through the dimensional rating. Furthermore, the paradigm combines a quite obvious question on emotion in the valence dimension and a rather indirect question on

emotion decoding in the arousal dimension.

In sum, this study examines differences in categorization and evaluation of emotional facial expressions between psychopathic and non-psychopathic women and a female control group.

2\. Method

2.1. Design

This study was conducted in a 3 (group: female psychopathic patients, female non-psychopathic patients, and female healthy control participants) × 2 (duration: short picture presentation and ad libitum picture presentation) × 7 (emotion category: afraid, angry, disgust, happy, neutral, sad, surprise) design. The dependent variables were the hit rates and the response latencies as well as the ratings of the stimuli for valence and arousal levels. Age, years of education and age-related intelligence, measured by Raven's Standard Progressive Matrices set A (Raven, 1938), were taken into account as covariates.

2.2. Participants

In this study three groups of participants took part (_n_ = 44). Two groups of female forensic patients were recruited at a forensic hospital in Northern Italy (Ospedale Psichiatrico Giudiziario di Castiglione delle Stiviere). Patients were inmates in the high security psychiatric facility and were convicted for physical assault or homicide. One more group of healthy control participants was recruited at the University of Padova, and consisted of female employees working at the administration offices of the Faculty of Psychology (_n_ = 16). For the forensic patients the PCL-R scores (Hare et al., 1990) were assessed and two groups were formed, one with scores greater than or equal to 30 (_n_ = 13, _M_ = 31.77, _SD_ = 1.17, range: 30?34), according to the guidelines of Hare et al. (1990), and one with scores below 30 (_n_ = 15, _M_ = 17.40, _SD_ = 6.21, range: 7?28). Patients with psychotic symptoms were excluded. In both patients groups the primary diagnoses of the patients were personality disorders. The distribution of the individual personality disorder diagnoses (histrionic PD, borderline PD, paranoid PD, schizotypical PD and antisocial PD) was not equal due to the priority given to the psychopathy score. Since distributions of Psychopathy Checklist-Revised scores in the normal population are very low, the group of employees was considered to be low on psychopathy.

The three groups differed significantly in age, due to the _a priori_ categorization criterion based on the psychopathy score (_F_(2,41) = 7.08; _P_ = 0.01). The psychopathic patients (_M_ = 33.00; _SD_ = 7.66) were relatively younger and differed significantly from non-psychopathic patients (_M_ = 46.67; _SD_ = 14.88) and from the employees (_M_ = 44.19; _SD_ = 5.19). There were no age differences between non-psychopathic patients and employees. For the level of education, there was an overall difference between all groups (_F_(2,41) = 8.24; _P_ = 0.01), which revealed the lowest level of education in psychopathic patients (_M_ = 8.15; _SD_ = 3.08) and a higher level in non-psychopathic patients (_M_ = 11.60; _SD_ = 3.70) and employees (_M_ = 13.94; _SD_ = 4.17). Concerning intelligence, the forensic groups did not differ in intelligence measured by age- and education-corrected Raven's scores (_T_(26) = 8.24; _P_ = 0.15) (see Table 1).

Table 1. Numbers of participants, numbers of right-handed/ambidextrous participants, means and standard deviations for age, education and Raven's Standard Progressive Matrices

Empty Cell	Psychopathic patients	Non-psychopathic patients	Employees	Sum
_n_	13	15	16	44

Right-hander/ambidextrous| 10/3| 14/1| 16/0| 40/4
Age| 33.00 (7.66)| 46.67 (14.88)| 44.19 (5.19)| 41.73 (11.47)
Education| 8.15 (3.08)| 11.60 (3.70)| 13.94 (4.17)| 11.43 (4.42)
Intelligence (Raven's)| 83.81 (29.55)| 99.36 (25.99)| ?| 92.14 (28.30)
Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Each participant
understood that participation was voluntary and would not result in financial
or other gain nor in advantages concerning their imprisonment, and that
consent could be withdrawn at any stage of the study.

2.3. Measures

2.3.1. Psychopathy Checklist Revised
The Psychopathy Checklist Revised (PCL-R) contains 20 items on behavioral and
personality features. An expert who draws on information from file review and
from a semi-structured interview rates all items. The validity and reliability
of the PCL-R have been demonstrated repeatedly (Hare, 2003). Harpur and
colleagues (1989) proposed a two-factor model, which is the prevailing idea of
psychopathy and of the PCL-R. The two factors, psychopathic personality and
antisocial behavior, differentiate between personality-related features that
are difficult to measure via self-report and behavioral features that
correlate highly with antisocial personality disorder symptoms and diagnoses.
Another model (Cooke and Michie, 2001) supports three factors derived from
factor analyses, namely arrogant and deceitful interpersonal style, deficient
affective experience and impulsive and irresponsible behavioral style. Thus,
the PCL-R takes into account the pathological behavior as well as the specific
personality style of individuals scoring high on psychopathy.

2.3.2. Raven Standard Progressive Matrices
Raven's Standard Progressive Matrices (SPM; Raven, 1938) are a standard
measure for intelligence. The SPM comprises two main components of general
intelligence in a directly measurable way using robust and directly
interpretable procedures. The SPM tests are made up of a series of diagrams or
designs with a missing figure that should be selected among others for logical
match. Those taking the tests are expected to select the correct part to
complete the designs from a number of options printed underneath. By means of
this task, both components of intelligence, educative and reproductive
ability, can be measured.

2.4. Procedure
Before the experimental session, participants gave written consent for their
participation in the study and filled in a questionnaire for demographic
variables. The experimental session was conducted on a Laptop via Presentation
9.70 (Neurobehavioral Systems, 2005) and an external keyboard. Pictures of six
women and six men from the Karolinska Directed Emotional Faces set (KDEF,
Lundqvist et al., 1998) were chosen, each depicting anxious, angry, disgusted,
happy, neutral, sad and surprised expressions in size of 20.78° × 7.98° angle
of vision. In the first block of trials half of the pictures were presented
once in random order for 33 ms and masked by blurred versions of each actor's
neutral expression (Adobe Photoshop 6.0, San Jose). In the second block of
trials, the remaining pictures were shown until a button press of the
participant (ad libitum) was registered. For both blocks the participants had
to choose one of seven buttons, labeled with the nouns of all basic emotions
(anxiety, anger, disgust, happiness, neutral, sadness and surprise) and
neutral that best matched the presented facial expression. The third task was
to rate all pictures for valence (How positive or negative was this picture?)
and arousal (How arousing was this picture?) on scales ranging from ? 4 to 4
and 1 to 9, respectively. After the experimental task, patients were tested
for intelligence with the SPM (Raven, 1938) and received a small gift for

their participation.

3\. Results

3.1. Categorization

An analysis of variance (ANOVA) for repeated measures revealed main effects in categorizing accuracy for duration; the accuracy was higher for ad libitum than for briefly presented stimuli ($F_{(1,41)}$ = 109.31, P < 0.001) (Fig. 1).

 1. Download: Download full-size image

Fig. 1. Percent of correct responses for ad libitum (A) and briefly (B) presented facial expressions (?? P < 0.050).

Another main effect was found for the emotion category; the most accurate performance was found for happy facial expressions and the least accurate performance for afraid facial expressions ($F_{(6,246)}$ = 51.22, P < 0.001). The significant main effect for group showed that the employees were the most accurate, while patients with high psychopathy-scores performed worst ($F_{(2,41)}$ = 4.16, P = 0.02) in the categorizing tasks. The trend for an interaction of emotion and group further indicates that these main group differences exist for all emotions except for happy and afraid facial expressions ($F_{(12,246)}$ = 1.75, P = 0.08).

Post hoc tests for the interaction between emotion and group revealed significant effects between groups for sad, disgusted, neutral and surprised expressions, but not for happy, afraid or angry ones. Bonferroni-adjusted post hoc analyses for these group effects showed significant differences in categorization of sad expressions between psychopathic patients and employees, of disgusted expressions between non-psychopathic patients and employees, of neutral expressions between psychopathic patients and employees and of surprised expressions between psychopathic patients and employees (see Table 2).

Table 2. Mean percent of correct responses and standard deviations for all conditions and all participant groups; ANOVA results

Empty Cell		Psychopathic patients	Non-psychopathic patients	Employees	Significance F (P)
Short presentation	Afraid	23.08 (16.01)	22.22 (16.27)	22.92 (15.96)	0.01 (0.99)
	Angry	41.03 (26.01)	40.00 (24.23)	41.67 (29.81)	0.02 (0.99)
	Disgust	47.44 (39.00)	35.56 (35.00)	51.04 (25.44)	0.91 (0.41)
	Happy	76.92 (16.01)	81.11 (30.12)	91.67 (10.54)	2.01 (0.15)
	Neutral	53.85 (40.34)	61.11 (39.67)	78.13 (33.18)	1.62 (0.21)
	Sad	19.23 (17.80)	46.67 (31.62)	48.96 (31.90)	4.68 (0.02)
	Surprise	47.44 (31.80)	64.44 (32.65)	81.25 (25.73)	4.56 (0.02)
Ad libitum presentation	Afraid	32.05 (19.79)	32.22 (22.24)	39.58 (32.13)	0.43 (0.66)
	Angry	76.92 (27.67)	85.56 (26.63)	91.67 (14.91)	1.43 (0.25)
	Disgust	52.56 (28.74)	52.22 (29.46)	81.25 (14.75)	6.87 (0.01)
	Happy	93.59 (8.44)	90.00 (23.40)	96.88 (6.72)	0.82 (0.45)
	Neutral	69.23 (32.52)	82.22 (23.96)	96.88 (6.72)	5.30 (0.01)
	Sad	57.69 (24.17)	66.67 (27.46)	72.92 (22.67)	1.35 (0.27)
	Surprise	64.10 (31.80)	75.56 (32.65)	88.54 (26.33)	2.37 (0.11)

Planned contrasts between psychopathic and non-psychopathic patients in the short presentation condition showed significant differences only for sad facial expressions ($T_{(26)}$ = 2.77, P = 0.04).

Taking into account the group differences in age and education as well as handedness as covariates, the main effect of group remained significant ($F_{(2,38)}$ = 4.00, P = 0.03), whereas the main effects of duration and of

emotion were no longer significant. The relevance of age for the effects concerning the categorization task was reflected in the significant main effect of age ($F_{(1,38)}$ = 10.50, P = 0.01) as well as in an interaction of duration and age ($F_{(1,38)}$ = 4.56, P = 0.04).

3.1.1. False responses

To examine the false responses in the categorization task, we calculated ratios of false positive evaluations and false negative evaluations for each emotion ($?$ (false positive)/$?$ (false negatives)) as a measure of emotion-specific response bias. We again found significant differences between short and ad libitum presentation ($F_{(1,41)}$ = 29.96, P < 0.001) as well as a trend toward a difference between groups ($F_{(2,41)}$ = 2.52, P = 0.09), resulting in an interaction of duration, emotion and group ($F_{(12,246)}$ = 2.74, $P =$ 0.01). Thus, psychopathic patients more often erroneously categorized briefly presented facial expressions as happy with respect to other emotions (mainly surprise, angry, sad and neutral) and with respect to the other groups ($F_{(2,41)}$ = 5.46, P = 0.01). The ad libitum presented facial expressions (mainly the afraid ones) were more often categorized as angry by psychopathic patients than by employees ($F_{(2,41)}$ = 2.791 P = 0.07). Non-psychopathic patients more often erroneously categorized ad libitum presented facial expressions (mainly afraid and sad) as surprise compared with employees ($F_{(2,41)}$ = 3.32, P = 0.05).

3.2. Dimensional evaluation

Subjective evaluations of valence and arousal dimensions in pictures were analyzed for effects of emotion category and group differences as well as for the kind of dimension (valence vs. arousal).

3.2.1. Valence ratings

For emotional valence evaluations we found a main effect of emotion category ($F_{(6,246)}$ = 77.57, P < 0.001), but no main effect of group nor interaction of emotion category and group. The subjective evaluation of valence showed more negative evaluations for afraid, angry, disgusted and sad facial expressions, more positive evaluations for happy expressions and neutral evaluations for neutral and surprised expressions (see Fig. 2).

 1. Download: Download full-size image

Fig. 2. Means and standard deviations of valence (A) and arousal (B) ratings.

3.2.2. Arousal ratings

The differences between the groups were significant in the arousal ratings (see Fig. 2). Besides a main effect of emotion category ($F_{(6246)}$ = 15.47, P < 0.001), in terms of more arousal for happy facial expressions and less arousal for neutral facial expressions compared with all other emotional facial expressions, we also found a main effect of group ($F_{(2,41)}$ = 3.15, P = 0.05), indicating that the psychopathic group perceived all facial expressions less arousing than the three remaining groups. Bonferroni-corrected post hoc tests showed significant differences in arousal ratings between psychopathic and non-psychopathic patients.

4\. Discussion

This study tested the hypothesis that there are differences in emotional facial expression recognition and evaluation between psychopathic and non-psychopathic women as well as a control group of employees. Results showed a decline of categorization accuracy starting from employees, to non-psychopathic patients and psychopathic patients who performed worse in categorizing all emotions, except happy. Nevertheless there were no group differences for categorizing pictures with happy facial expressions, which were recognized quite well, and pictures with fearful expressions, which were categorized very poorly by all participants, independent of presentation

duration.

In the categorizing task, psychopathic patients differed in their accuracy from non-psychopathic patients only in one condition: the short presentation of sad facial expressions. This is in accordance with previous results for men (Stevens et al., 2001, Fullam and Dolan, 2006). But this also points to the influence of the presentation duration, which can reduce the time for cognitively evaluating the stimulus. Thus, the impairment in categorizing facial expressions could be reduced to conditions of reduced cognitive elaboration.

More relevant, to our knowledge, this study is the first to report a response bias, showing that the psychopathic group categorized briefly presented angry, sad, surprised and neutral facial expressions as happy; instead they interpreted ad libitum presented afraid expressions as angry. This misclassification directly contributes to the hypothesis that false interpretations of emotional facial expressions could be a relevant factor for antisocial behavior, which is often observed in psychopathic individuals. Moreover, these results could offer an explanation for the Violence Inhibition Model (VIM; Blair, 1995) as follows: if sad or afraid facial expressions are misinterpreted by psychopathic persons as happy or angry stimuli, this information cannot inhibit aggressive behavior.

Results concerning the dimensional evaluation of emotional facial expressions are new and provide more evidence for a reduced subjective activation of psychopathic women in response to emotional expressions. Valence ratings showed no between-groups differences; indeed psychopathic patients rated nearly all emotional facial expressions, especially angry, disgusted, neutral and surprised expressions, as less arousing than students and employees did. These results, together with data from the literature, suggest that there are differences between psychopathic and non-psychopathic inmates also at a subjective level concerning negative emotional contents, not only at a physiological level. The observed impairment in the perception of emotional facial expressions might contribute to advance our knowledge about the altered mechanisms of social interaction, in which psychopathic patients often tend to display clearly antisocial behavior. Consequently, this impairment raises the question whether it could be possible to improve psychopaths' ability to recognize the emotional contents in others' faces via focused training, but additional studies with larger samples are needed.

A further limitation of these results is that we only tested a female sample. Even if men and women with psychopathic attributes are both emotionally impaired (Hamburger et al., 1996, Warren et al., 2003) and, in general, psychopathic women show reduced physiological responses to emotional stimuli (Sutton et al., 2002), the conclusions cannot be directly generalized to psychopathic men. Future research should directly compare male and female psychopaths. Moreover, the group differences in age and intelligence suggest that age- and intelligence-matched samples should be included in future studies, preferably using a correlational approach with self-report measures (see Eisenbarth and Alpers, 2007).

The question remains, why the fearful facial expressions were categorized erroneously by all groups independently of the presentation duration, and at the same time have been rated (as expected) as rather negative and highly arousing. Reasons for this could be that the facial stimuli did not adequately represent an effective prototype of a fearful facial expression or, alternatively, the increased difficulty of attributing the correct emotion because of the high number of categories among which subjects had to choose. However, results of this study confirm the hypothesis that, similarly to

psychopathic males, psychopathic women are impaired in the perception of emotional facial expressions.

Recommended articles

References

1. Benning et al., 2005

Benning S.D., Patrick C.J., Iacono W.G.

Psychopathy, startle blink modulation, and electrodermal reactivity in twin men

Psychophysiology, 42 (2005), pp. 753-762

CrossrefView in ScopusGoogle Scholar

2. Blair, 1995

Blair R.J.R.

A cognitive developmental approach to morality: investigating the psychopath

Cognition, 57 (1995), pp. 1-29

View PDFView articleView in ScopusGoogle Scholar

3. Blair et al., 2001

Blair R.J.R., Colledge E., Mitchell D.G.V.

Somatic markers and response reversal: is there orbitofrontal cortex dysfunction in boys with psychopathic tendencies?

Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 29 (2001), pp. 499-511

View in ScopusGoogle Scholar

4. Blair et al., 2004

Blair R.J.R., Mitchell D.G.V., Peschardt K.S., Colledge E., Leonard R.A., Shine J.H., Murray L.K., Perrett D.I.

Reduced sensitivity to others' fearful expressions in psychopathic individuals

Personality and Individual Differences, 37 (2004), pp. 1111-1122

View PDFView articleView in ScopusGoogle Scholar

5. Campanella et al., 2005

Campanella S., Vanhoolandt M.E., Philippot P.

Emotional deficit in subjects with psychopathic tendencies as assessed by the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-2: an event-related potentials study

Neuroscience Letters, 373 (2005), pp. 26-31

Google Scholar

6. Cooke and Michie, 2001

Cooke D.J., Michie C.

Refining the construct of psychopathy: towards a hierarchical model

Psychological Assessment, 13 (2001), pp. 171-188

View in ScopusGoogle Scholar

7. Eisenbarth and Alpers, 2007

Eisenbarth H., Alpers G.W.

Validierung der deutschen Übersetzung des Psychopathy Personality Inventory (PPI) [Validation of the German translation of the Psychopathy Personality Inventory (PPI)]

Zeitschrift für Klinische Psychologie und Psychotherapie, 36 (2007), pp. 216-224

CrossrefView in ScopusGoogle Scholar

8. Fullam and Dolan, 2006
Fullam R., Dolan M.
Emotional information processing in violent patients with schizophrenia: association with psychopathy and symptomatology
Psychiatry Research, 141 (2006), pp. 29-37
View PDFView articleView in ScopusGoogle Scholar

9. Glass and Newman, 2005
Glass S.J., Newman J.P.
Processing of facial affect in psychopathic individuals
Paper presented at the 1st Biannual Meeting of the Society for the Scientific Study of Psychopathy (2005)
Vancouver, BC, Canada
Google Scholar

10. Habel et al., 2002
Habel U., Kuehn E., Salloum J.B., Devos H., Schneider F.
Emotional processing in psychopathic personality
Agressive Behavior, 28 (2002), pp. 394-400
View in ScopusGoogle Scholar

11. Hamburger et al., 1996
Hamburger M.E., Lilienfeld S.O., Hogben M.
Psychopathy, gender, and gender roles: implications for antisocial and histrionic personality disorders
Journal of Personality Disorders, 10 (1996), pp. 41-55
CrossrefView in ScopusGoogle Scholar

12. Hare, 2003
Hare R.D.
Manual for the Hare Psychopathy Checklist-Revised
(2nd edition), Multi-Health Systems, Toronto (2003)
Google Scholar

13. Hare et al., 1990
Hare R.D., Harpur T.J., Hakstian A.R., Forth A.E., Hart S.D., Newman J.P.
The revised psychopathy checklist: reliability and factor structure
Psychological Assessment, 2 (1990), pp. 338-341
View in ScopusGoogle Scholar

14. Harpur et al., 1989
Harpur T.J., Hare R.D., Hakstian A.R.
Two-factor conceptualization of psychopathy: construct validity and assessment implications
Psychological Assessment, 1 (1989), pp. 6-17
View in ScopusGoogle Scholar

15. Kiehl et al., 2001
Kiehl K.A., Smith A.M., Hare R.D., Mendrek A., Forster B.B., Brink J., Liddle P.F.
Limbic abnormalities in affective processing by criminal psychopaths as revealed by functional magnetic resonance imaging
Biological Psychiatry, 50 (2001), pp. 677-684
View PDFView articleView in ScopusGoogle Scholar

16. Kreklewetz and Roesch, 2005
Kreklewetz K., Roesch R.
Facial affect recognition in psychopathic offenders
Paper presented at the 1st Biannual Meeting of the Society for the Scientific Study of Psychopathy (2005)
Vancouver, BC, Canada
Google Scholar

17. Lang, 1979

Lang P.J.

A bio-informational theory of emotional imagery

Psychophysiology, 16 (1979), pp. 495-512

CrossrefView in ScopusGoogle Scholar

18. Levenston et al., 2000

Levenston G.K., Patrick C.J., Bradley M.M., Lang P.J.

The psychopath as observer: emotion and attention in picture processing

Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 109 (2000), pp. 373-385

View in ScopusGoogle Scholar

19. Lundqvist et al., 1998

Lundqvist D., Flykt A., Ohman A.

Karolinska directed emotional faces (KDEF)

Dept. of Neurosciences, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden (1998)

Google Scholar

20. Montagne et al., 2005

Montagne B., van Honk J., Kessels R.P.C., Frigerio E., Burt M., van Zandvoort M.J.E., Perrett D.I., de Haan E.H.F.

Reduced efficiency in recognising fear in subjects scoring high on psychopathic personality characteristics

Personality and Individual Differences, 38 (2005), pp. 5-11

View PDFView articleView in ScopusGoogle Scholar

21. Posner et al., 2005

Posner J., Russell J.-A., Peterson B.-S.

The circumplex model of affect: an integrative approach to affective neuroscience, cognitive development, and psychopathology

Development and Psychopathology, 17 (2005), pp. 715-734

View in ScopusGoogle Scholar

22. Raven, 1938

Raven J.C.

Standard Progressive Matrices: Sets A, B, C, D, (and E), H.K. Lewis, London (1938)

Google Scholar

23. Stevens et al., 2001

Stevens D., Charman T., Blair R.J.R.

Recognition of emotion in facial expressions and vocal tones in children with psychopathic tendencies

Journal of Genetic Psychology, 162 (2001), pp. 201-211

CrossrefView in ScopusGoogle Scholar

24. Sutton et al., 2002

Sutton S.K., Vitale J.E., Newman J.P.

Emotion among women with psychopathy during picture perception

Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 111 (2002), pp. 610-619

View in ScopusGoogle Scholar

25. Warren et al., 2003

Warren J.I., Burnette M.L., South S.C., Chauhan P., Bale R., Friend R., Van Patten I.

Psychopathy in women: structural modeling and comorbidity

International Journal of Law and Psychiatry, 26 (2003), pp. 223-242

View PDFView articleView in ScopusGoogle Scholar

Cited by (63)

* ### Not just fear and sadness: Meta-analytic evidence of pervasive emotion recognition deficits for facial and vocal expressions in psychopathy

2012, Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews

Citation Excerpt :
Expression recognition deficits were not moderated by whether the sample was psychopathic (10 of the 20 studies) or not. Since this initial meta-analysis, some studies have replicated the findings of impaired recognition in psychopathy of fear (Fairchild et al., 2009) and/or sadness (Eisenbarth et al., 2008; Hastings et al., 2008; Woodworth and Waschbusch, 2008; Fairchild et al., 2009, 2010) from facial expressions. Others have also found deficits in recognizing fear when measuring CU traits (Dadds et al., 2008; Leist and Dadds, 2009; Muñoz, 2009).

Show abstract

The present meta-analysis aimed to clarify whether deficits in emotion recognition in psychopathy are restricted to certain emotions and modalities or whether they are more pervasive. We also attempted to assess the influence of other important variables: age, and the affective factor of psychopathy. A systematic search of electronic databases and a subsequent manual search identified 26 studies that included 29 experiments (_N_ = 1376) involving six emotion categories (anger, disgust, fear, happiness, sadness, surprise) across three modalities (facial, vocal, postural). Meta-analyses found evidence of pervasive impairments across modalities (facial and vocal) with significant deficits evident for several emotions (i.e., not only fear and sadness) in both adults and children/adolescents. These results are consistent with recent theorizing that the amygdala, which is believed to be dysfunctional in psychopathy, has a broad role in emotion processing. We discuss limitations of the available data that restrict the ability of meta-analysis to consider the influence of age and separate the sub-factors of psychopathy, highlighting important directions for future research.

   * ### Psychopathy and Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Blood Oxygenation Level-Dependent Responses to Emotional Faces in Violent Patients with Schizophrenia
2009, Biological Psychiatry

Show abstract

Comorbidity between schizophrenia and psychopathy has been noted in violent patients in forensic settings. Both disorders are characterized by deficits in processing sad and fearful emotions, but there have been no imaging studies examining the impact of comorbid psychopathic traits on emotional information processing in violent patients with schizophrenia. We tested the hypothesis that violent patients with schizophrenia who had high psychopathy scores would show attenuated amygdala responses to emotional (particularly fearful) faces compared with those with low psychopathy scores.
Twenty-four violent male patients with schizophrenia were categorized as high/low scorers based on the Psychopathy Checklist: Screening Version. Participants underwent functional magnetic resonance imaging during a block-designed implicit face affect processing task. In a region of interest approach, responses in the amygdala and prefrontal cortex were examined with contrasts between sad/fearful/angry/disgusted faces and neutral faces.
High psychopathy scorers exhibited reduced blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) responses in the amygdala during exposure to fearful faces. Psychopathy scores, particularly the affective facets, correlated negatively with amygdala responses. The BOLD responses in the orbitofrontal cortex were negatively correlated with the lifestyle and antisocial facets of psychopathy during exposure to sad faces. Psychopathy scores were positively correlated with neural activation in amygdala and inferior prefrontal regions for disgust but negatively correlated for anger.
Patients with schizophrenia and high levels of psychopathic traits appear to have blunted amygdala responses to fearful faces. At a dimensional level,

psychopathy subfacets show a differential relationship to functioning in amygdala-prefrontal circuitry.
 * ### Happy Mouth and Sad Eyes: Scanning Emotional Facial Expressions
2011, Emotion
 * ### Identification of emotional facial expressions: Effects of expression, intensity, and sex on eye gaze
2016, PLoS ONE
 * ### Perceptual and affective mechanisms in facial expression recognition: An integrative review
2016, Cognition and Emotion
 * ### Parsing fear: A reassessment of the evidence for fear deficits in psychopathy
2016, Psychological Bulletin
View all citing articles on Scopus
View Abstract

Recommended articles
 * ### The structure of individual differences in procedural working memory: Comparing task switching and stimulus response rule information load
Intelligence, Volume 104, 2024, Article 101817
Dror Garbi, Nachshon Meiran
View PDF
 * ### Facial gender and hemispheric asymmetries: A hf-tRNS study
Brain Stimulation, Volume 10, Issue 6, 2017, pp. 1145-1147
Giulia Prete, ?, Luca Tommasi
View PDF
 * ### Disentangling the relation between psychopathy and emotion recognition ability: A key to reduced workplace aggression?
Personality and Individual Differences, Volume 184, 2022, Article 111232
Iris Kranefeld, Gerhard Blickle
View PDF
 * ### Refining the link between psychopathy, antisocial behavior, and empathy: A meta-analytical approach across different conceptual frameworks
Clinical Psychology Review, Volume 94, 2022, Article 102145
Carlos Campos, ?, Fernando Barbosa
View PDF
 * ### Emotion recognition using deep learning approach from audio?visual emotional big data
Information Fusion, Volume 49, 2019, pp. 69-78
M. Shamim Hossain, Ghulam Muhammad
View PDF
 * ### The compassionate vagus: A meta-analysis on the connection between compassion and heart rate variability
Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, Volume 116, 2020, pp. 21-30
Maria Di Bello, ?, Cristina Ottaviani
View PDF
Show 3 more articles

Article Metrics
Citations
 * Citation Indexes: 63
Captures
 * Readers: 105
View details
 * About ScienceDirect
 * Remote access
 * Shopping cart
 * Advertise
 * Contact and support

Cookie Preference Center

We use cookies which are necessary to make our site work. We may also use additional cookies to analyse, improve and personalise our content and your digital experience. For more information, see our Cookie Policy and the list of Google Ad-Tech Vendors.

You may choose not to allow some types of cookies. However, blocking some types may impact your experience of our site and the services we are able to offer. See the different category headings below to find out more or change your settings.

Allow all

Manage Consent Preferences

Strictly Necessary Cookies

Always active

These cookies are necessary for the website to function and cannot be switched off in our systems. They are usually only set in response to actions made by you which amount to a request for services, such as setting your privacy preferences, logging in or filling in forms. You can set your browser to block or alert you about these cookies, but some parts of the site will not then work. These cookies do not store any personally identifiable information.

Cookie Details List?

Functional Cookies

Functional Cookies

These cookies enable the website to provide enhanced functionality and personalisation. They may be set by us or by third party providers whose services we have added to our pages. If you do not allow these cookies then some or all of these services may not function properly.

Cookie Details List?

Performance Cookies

Performance Cookies

These cookies allow us to count visits and traffic sources so we can measure and improve the performance of our site. They help us to know which pages are the most and least popular and see how visitors move around the site.

Cookie Details List?

Targeting Cookies

Targeting Cookies

These cookies may be set through our site by our advertising partners. They may be used by those companies to build a profile of your interests and show you relevant adverts on other sites. If you do not allow these cookies, you will experience less targeted advertising.

Cookie Details List?

Back Button

Cookie List

Search Icon

Filter Icon

Clear

checkbox label label

Apply Cancel

Consent Leg.Interest

checkbox label label

checkbox label label

checkbox label label

Confirm my choices