

Title: Static topographic modeling for facial expression recognition and analysis

JavaScript is disabled on your browser. Please enable JavaScript to use all the features on this page. [Skip to main content](#)[Skip to article](#)

ScienceDirect

* [Journals & Books](#)

* [Help](#)

* [Search](#)

Gergo Gyori

IT University of Copenhagen

* [View **PDF**](#)

* [Download full issue](#)

[Search ScienceDirect](#)

Outline

1. Abstract
2. 3. Keywords
4. 1\. Introduction
5. 2\. Topographic analysis
6. 3\. Topographic context based feature extraction
7. 4\. Experiments on facial expression recognition
8. 5\. Separability analysis
9. 6\. Robustness analysis
10. 7\. Discussion
11. 8\. Concluding remarks
12. Acknowledgments
13. References

[Show full outline](#)

Cited by (74)

Figures (16)

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.

[Show 10 more figures](#)

Tables (7)

1. Table 1
2. Table 2
3. Table 3
4. Table 4
5. Table 5
6. Table 6

[Show all tables](#)

Computer Vision and Image Understanding

Volume 108, Issues 1?2, October?November 2007, Pages 19-34

Static topographic modeling for facial expression recognition and analysis

Author links open overlay panelJun Wang, Lijun Yin

[Show more](#)

[Outline](#)

[Add to Mendeley](#)

[Share](#)

[Cite](#)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cviu.2006.10.011>[Get rights and content](#)

Abstract

Facial expression plays a key role in non-verbal face-to-face communication.

It is a challenging task to develop an automatic facial expression reading and understanding system, especially, for recognizing the facial expression from a static image without any prior knowledge of the test subject. In this paper, we present a topographic modeling approach to recognize and analyze facial expression from single static images. The so-called topographic modeling is developed based on a novel facial expression descriptor, Topographic Context (TC), for representing and recognizing facial expressions. This proposed approach applies topographic analysis that treats the image as a 3D surface and labels each pixel by its terrain features. Topographic context captures the distribution of terrain labels in the expressive regions of a face. It characterizes the distinct facial expression while conserving abundant expression information and disregarding most individual characteristics. Experiments on person-dependent and person-independent facial expression recognition using two public databases (MMI and Cohn-Kanade database) show that TC is a good feature representation for recognizing basic prototypic expressions. Furthermore, we conduct the separability analysis of TC-based features by both a visualized dimensionality reduction example and a theoretical estimation using certain separability criterion. For an in-depth understanding of the recognition property of different expressions, the between-expression discriminability is also quantitatively evaluated using the separability criterion. Finally, we investigated the robustness of the extracted TC-based expression features in two aspects: the robustness to the distortion of detected face region and the robustness to different intensities of facial expressions. The experimental results show that our system achieved the best correct rate at 82.61% for the person-independent facial expression recognition.

* Previous article in issue

* Next article in issue

Keywords

Facial expression representation

Facial expression recognition

Topographic context

Separability analysis

1\ Introduction

Facial expression recognition and emotion analysis could help humanize computers and robots. Due to the wide range of applications in human-computer interaction, telecommunication, law enforcement and psychological research, facial expression analysis has become an active research area. Generally, an automatic facial expression reader consists of three major components: face detection, facial expression representation and facial expression classification [1], [2]. Although the face detection component is a crucial part towards realizing an automatic expression recognition system, most research currently concentrates on how to achieve a better facial expression representation and feature extraction.

Facial expression representation concerns itself with the problem of facial feature extraction for modeling the expression variations with a certain accuracy and robustness. The Facial Action Coding System (FACS) [3], [4], a psychological finding made over 25 years ago, is a typical example for representing and understanding human facial expressions. In order to read facial expressions correctly, the action units have to be detected accurately and automatically. Based on the FACS, a number of systems were successfully developed for facial expression analysis and recognition [4], [5], [6]. Other systems have exploited the optical flow analysis to estimate the facial feature motions [7], [8], [9]. In addition, several other approaches are

reported in recent literatures, such as Manifold-based analysis for blended expressions [10], Gabor-wavelet-labeled elastic graph matching for expression recognition [11], feature selection using the AdaBoost algorithm with classification by support vector machine [12], and learning Bayesian network for classification [13], [14], [15], etc. Impressive results were reported, however most representations of facial expressions are in a transformed domain with an implicit format. We believe that good features for representing facial expression could alleviate the complexity of the classification algorithm design. The facial expression descriptor should have the ability to decrease the significance of variations in age, gender and race when presenting the same prototypic expressions. In other words, it should work in a person-independent fashion.

Ideally, the facial expression can be modeled as a 3D face surface deformation actuated by the movement of the facial muscles. The intensity variations on a face image is caused by the face surface orientation and its reflectance. The resultant texture appearance provides an important visual cue to classify a variety of facial expressions [16]. Avoiding the ill-posed problem of 3D reconstruction from a single image, we exploit a so-called topographic representation to analyze facial expression on a terrain surface. Topographic analysis is based on the topographic primary sketch theory [17], in which the gray scale image is treated as a 3D terrain surface. Each pixel is assigned one type of topographic label based on the terrain surface structure. We can imagine that the facial skin "wave" is a reflection of a certain expression. Since the skin surface is represented by a topographic label "map", this "map" varies along with the change in facial expression. This fact suggests that topographic features can be expected to have the robustness associated with facial expression representation. It is thus of interest for us to investigate the relationship between these topographic features and the corresponding expressions in order to model the facial expression in an intuitive way. Motivated by the topographic analysis technique [18], [19], in this paper, we propose a novel facial expression descriptor—Topographic Context (TC)—to represent and classify facial expressions. Topographic Context describes the distribution of topographic labels in a region of interest of a face. We split a face image into a number of expressive regions. In order to obtain the topographic feature vector for an expression, the facial topographic surface is labeled to form a terrain map. Statistics on the terrain map is then conducted to derive the TC for each pre-defined expressive region. Finally, a topographic feature vector is created by concatenating all the TCs of expressive regions. With the extracted TC features, the facial expression can be recognized using some classification algorithms.

Note that most existing work have exploited time-varying features from video sequences for the dynamic facial expression analysis [5], [8], [9], [12], [15], [20]. In this paper, we address the problem of facial expression representation and classification using static frontal-view facial images. This poses more of a challenge than using video sequences as no temporal information is available. Although temporal dynamics reflect facial behavior, it is essential to exploit the static image to represent and analyze configurational information of facial expressions [6]. The basic understanding of static facial expressions can help facilitate the application in psychological research and law enforcement when the temporal information is unavailable or inaccurate (e.g., due to the head motion). Our facial expression recognition system is tested on the static facial images from two facial expression databases, one is the commonly used Cohn-Kanade (CK) database [21] and the other is a newly published MMI database [22]. This

system is completely person-independent, which means the subject to be tested has never appeared in the training set. In fact, person-independent expression recognition from a single static image is much more difficult due to the lack of prior information of the recognized subjects. The experiment shows that our TC-based expression representation has a good performance in classifying six universal expressions in terms of accuracy and robustness.

We conducted a detailed evaluation on the separability of the TC-based features, which includes an intuitive dimensionality reduction analysis and a theoretical analysis using a separability criterion. The evaluation results show that the TC-based expression features reflect intrinsic expression characteristics and decrease the variance on the age, race and subject. In order to evaluate the reliability of the TC-based feature representation, we further conducted the robustness analysis in terms of two aspects: robustness to the face region detection and robustness to the facial expression intensity. The experiments show that the TC-based feature representation has certain robustness to the distortion of facial landmark detection. Also, the TC-based features can be used to recognize mid-intensity facial expressions, although the performance is not as high as the extreme-intensity expression case.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the topographic analysis is introduced. In Section 3, we present the concept of topographic context and give our static topographic facial expression model. The experiments on facial expression recognition are conducted in Section 4. The performance of the TC-based facial expression representation will be evaluated through the separability analysis in Section 5 and the robustness analysis in Section 6, followed by a discussion in Section 7. Finally, concluding remarks will be given in Section 8.

2\ Topographic analysis

In order to derive the Topographic Context of facial images, we apply the topographic primal sketch theory [17] to study the pixel characteristics in the face region. Topographic analysis treats the grey scale image as a terrain surface in a 3D space. The intensity $I(x, y)$ is represented by the height of the terrain at pixel (x, y) . Fig. 1 shows an example of a face image and its terrain surface in the nose region. According to the property of the terrain surface, each pixel can be assigned one of the topographic labels: `_peak_`, `_ridge_`, `_saddle_`, `_hill_`, `_flat_`, `_ravine_`, or `_pit_` [17]. Hill-labeled pixels can be further specified as one of the labels `_convex hill_`, `_concave hill_`, `_saddle hill_` or `_slope hill_`. Saddle hills can be further distinguished as `_concave saddle hill_` or `_convex saddle hill_`. Saddle can be specified as `_ridge saddle_` or `_ravine saddle_`. So there are a total of 12 types of topographic labels [18], [19]. In real face images the terrain surface of the face region is mainly composed of `_ridge_`, `_ravine_`, `_convex hill_`, `_concave hill_`, `_convex saddle hill_` and `_concave saddle hill_`. We illustrate these six topographic labels in Fig. 2, which will be used for our TC-based expression representation.

1. Download: [Download full-size image](#)

Fig. 1. Face image and the 3D terrain surface of the nose region. (a) Original face image; (b) terrain surface of the nose region of the original image; (c) terrain surface of nose region smoothed by a Gaussian filter.

1. Download: [Download full-size image](#)

Fig. 2. A subset of the topographic labels [19]. The center pixel in each example carries the indicated label. From left to right, the labels are: `_ridge_`, `_convex hill_`, `_convex saddle hill_`, `_ravine_`, `_concave hill_` and `_concave saddle hill_`.

In order to calculate the topographic labels of the input gray scale image, a continuous surface $f(x, y)$ is used to fit the local $N \times N$ patch centered at (x, y) with the least square error. Then the first-order derivatives $I_x(x, y)$ and $I_y(x, y)$, and the second-order derivatives $I_{xx}(x, y)$, $I_{yy}(x, y)$ and $I_{xy}(x, y)$ are estimated using $f(x, y)$. Similar to the surface fitting approach used in [18], we use discrete Chebyshev polynomials up to the third degree as the bases spanning the vector space of these continuous functions. With the function $f(x, y)$, the partial derivatives can be approximated as $f^{(p,q)}(x, y) = \sum_{i=-N}^N \sum_{j=-N}^N f(x-i, y-j) h(i; p) h(j; q)$ where $f^{(p,q)}(x, y)$ means the $(p+q)$ th partial derivative at (x, y) with p along x axis and q along y axis. $h(i; p)$ and $h(j; q)$ are the smoothed differentiation filters from Chebyshev polynomials with degree p and q , respectively [23]. Thus the Hessian matrix is obtained as follows: $H(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} I_{xx}(x, y) & I_{xy}(x, y) \\ I_{xy}(x, y) & I_{yy}(x, y) \end{bmatrix}$. After applying eigenvalue decomposition to the Hessian matrix, we get: $H = UDU^T = [u_1 u_2] \cdot \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cdot [u_1 u_2]^T$ where λ_1 and λ_2 are the eigenvalues and u_1 and u_2 are the orthogonal eigenvectors. The gradient magnitude $|\nabla I(x, y)|$ can be calculated as $|\nabla I(x, y)| = \sqrt{I_x^2(x, y) + I_y^2(x, y)}$. From the calculated λ_1 , λ_2 , u_1 , u_2 , $|\nabla I(x, y)|$ and the derivatives, the terrain labels can be assigned to pixel (x, y) by obeying a series of rules with some pre-defined empirical thresholds (e.g., T_g for gradients and T_λ for eigenvalues). For example, the ridge label is determined if the following condition is satisfied: $|\nabla I(x, y)| > T_g$, $\lambda_1 > T_{\lambda_1}$ and $\lambda_2 < T_{\lambda_2}$. The detailed labeling rules can be found in [19]. In order to reduce the influence of noise, smoothing preprocessing on the gray scale image is executed before calculating the derivatives. In our experiments, we use a Gaussian filter with a size of 15×15 , a standard deviation $\sigma = 3.0$. An example of a face image and the smoothed 3D terrain surface of the nose region is shown in Fig. 1.

3\ Topographic context based feature extraction

By applying topographic analysis, the original gray-scale image $I = \{I_{xy}\}$ is transformed to a so-called terrain map $T = \{T_{xy}\}$, which is composed of the terrain labels of each pixel.

Definition 3.1** Terrain Map

A Terrain Map describes the topographic composition of a 3D terrain surface by indicating the type of terrain at each pixel.

In a terrain map, each pixel is represented by a certain topographic label. We survey the distribution of topographic labels on the terrain map by statistically analyzing 864 face images in the CK database. In real face images the terrain surface of the face region is mainly composed of *_ridge_*, *_ravine_*, *_convex hill_*, *_concave hill_*, *_convex saddle hill_* and *_concave saddle hill_*, which are more than 98.7% of all topographic label types. Table 1 shows our statistical results on 864 facial expression images. In the remaining part of the paper, only these six types of terrain labels are taken into account in our analysis.

Table 1. The ratios of 12 kinds of topographic labels in face image based on the statistic on 864 images from CK database

Convex hill	Convex saddle hill	Ridge	Flat	Ridge saddle	Peak
20.3%	31.8%	6.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%
Concave hill	Concave saddle hill	Ravine	Slope	Ravine saddle	Pit

14.0%| 21.8%| 4.8%| 0.0%| 0.3%| 0.2%

The terrain map can be visualized using different colors to represent the different types of label. Fig. 3 shows the examples of facial expression images from the CK database and their corresponding terrain maps in the face regions. The terrain maps exhibit different patterns corresponding to different facial expressions. In order to give an explicit and quantitative description, we use a so-called Topographic Context to describe the statistical property of the terrain map.

1. Download: [Download full-size image](#)

Fig. 3. Facial expression images and the corresponding terrain maps in face regions. From left to right, `_Anger_`, `_Disgust_`, `_Fear_`, `_Happy_`, `_Sad_` and `_Surprise_`.

3.1. Topographic context (TC)

The motion of facial muscles due to changing expressions leads to a variation of facial terrain surface, which also results in the variation of image intensities. This fact suggests that topographic features can be expected to have the robustness for expression representation. To find an explicit representation for the fundamental structure of facial surface details, the statistical distributions of topographic labels within certain regions of interest are exploited.

Definition 3.2 Topographic Context

Topographic context is a descriptor of the terrain features inside a region of interest of a gray-scale image. This descriptor is identified by the distribution of topographic labels in the corresponding terrain map.

In other words, the topographic context is a vector, whose elements are the ratios of the number of pixels with certain type of terrain label to the number of pixels in the whole region. For a given region in the terrain map, the topographic context can be computed as
$$T = \frac{1}{n} [n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t]$$
 where n_i is the number of pixels with the i -th type of terrain label and $n = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i$ is the total number of pixels in the referred region. t denotes the number of terrain label types, which is 6 in our approach.

As an example shown in Fig. 4, we mark two square regions on the terrain map of a face image, and display the corresponding topographic contexts using normalized histograms. As illustrated in this figure, the topographic contexts reflect different characteristics of terrain features in different facial regions.

1. Download: [Download full-size image](#)

Fig. 4. Terrain map and topographic context by histogram representation. (a) Terrain map of the face image of Fig. 1; (b and c) topographic context of the jowl and glabella. The bars in the histogram (from left to right) represent `_convex hill_`, `_convex saddle hill_`, `_concave hill_`, `_concave saddle hill_`, `_ridge_` and `_ravine_`.

3.2. Face model

The formation of a facial expression is a combined actuation by a number of facial muscles. Based on the facial muscle distribution and the neuroanatomy of facial movement [24], [25], [26], we partition the face image into eight sub-regions, which are so-called `_expressive regions_`, so as to derive the topographic context efficiently.

Definition 3.3 Expressive Region

An expressive region is a pre-defined region of interest that reflects muscle actions and facial expressions. The expressive region may indicate facial organs or a single segment of the facial surface.

To locate the expressive regions in a face, we define a face model with 64 control points. We use the Active Appearance Model (AAM), which was well

developed by Cootes etc. [27], to find the facial features and shapes. In the case of the high level of expression intensity, AAM may not detect the landmarks accurately. In order to evaluate our new facial expression features, the necessary manual adjustment is added to alleviate the influence of the facial landmark detection. In Section 6.1, we investigate the robustness of facial landmark detection with the artificial landmark distortions. As a result, the expressive regions can be constructed by polygons whose vertices are the detected facial landmarks, as shown in Fig. 5.

1. Download: [Download full-size image](#)

Fig. 5. Face model: (a) 64 facial landmarks; (b) 8 facial expressive regions.

Note that not all of the facial areas are defined by the expressive regions.

The nose bridge is excluded because of its lack of expressive information.

Although the forehead surface may signify the expression-related furrows, due to the occasional occlusion by hairs, we exclude this region from our regions of interest. Currently, eight expressive regions are defined to construct the facial terrain model for TC-feature extraction.

3.3. TC-based facial expression feature

With the detected facial region or features, the expression feature can be derived. Here, we propose a novel feature, `_Topographic Context_` based expression feature, which has certain insensitivity to expression intensity and facial region locations.

The variation of facial expressions is a result of the motion of both facial organs and facial skin. For example, mouth opening is a distinct organ motion in some `_surprise_` expressions which present jowl surface stretching and flattening. Through the analysis of facial terrain surface, we are able to describe the terrain features in the expressive regions by topographic contexts. These topographic contexts will be eventually linked to a certain expression. The relationship between the topographic context and the expression is illustrated by an example in Fig. 6, which shows two subjects with three distinct prototypic facial expressions, `_Surprise_`, `_Anger_` and `_Happy_`. The corresponding TCs of expressive region 1 and region 6 are illustrated by their histograms.

1. Download: [Download full-size image](#)

Fig. 6. TC features of two subjects with different expressions: (a and b) original images: `_Surprise_`, `_Anger_` and `_Happy_` of two subjects from the CK database; (c and d) corresponding TCs in the region 1 of subject (a) and (b); (e and f) corresponding TCs in the region 6 of subject (a) and (b).

Given the same facial expression from different subjects, the topographic contexts of expressive regions exhibit similar histogram characteristics. Fig. 6 illustrates the quantitative property of TCs for different subjects. It demonstrates that the topographic label distribution reflects different facial expressions. For example, in the expressive region 6, the `_concave hill_` and `_ravine_` rarely appear in mouth region when a face shows `_anger_` expression. Moreover, the prominent ratio of `_convex saddle hill_` usually results from happiness. Similarly, the prototypic expression information can also be uniquely represented in other seven regions.

Since the topographic context is defined in each expressive region, the eight expressive regions will produce eight topographic contexts. The combination of these TCs will generate a unique expression feature vector for a specific expression. In general, the procedure for generating expression feature vectors can be described as the following four steps:

* 1.

Detect the 64 facial landmarks;

* 2.

derive terrain map $T = \{T_x, T_y\}$ from original facial image $I = \{I_x, I_y\}$ by label each pixel based on its terrain property;

* 3.

with the detected facial landmarks and the derived terrain map, compute topographic context for each expressive region;

* 4.

combine the extracted topographic contexts of the eight expressive regions to construct the expression features.

As a result, the expression feature vector is constructed as $E = [e_1, e_2, \dots, e_M]$ where M is the number of expressive regions ($M = 8$ in our experiment). The dimensionality of the expression feature vector is $t \times M$. Because only six kinds of terrain labels are used in our algorithm ($t = 6$), the expression feature of each face image is represented by a 48-dimensional vector, which is much lower than the dimensionality of the original image space.

4\ Experiments on facial expression recognition

In this section, we conduct person-dependent and person-independent facial expression recognition experiments using the extracted TC-based features. Two different databases are used in our experiments. One is a newly created facial expression database (MMI-database) from the man-machine interaction group of Imperial College London [22] and the other is the widely used CK database [21]. MMI database mainly provide action units based facial expression data. Currently, only a few subjects with six universal expressions are available. The CK database consists of video sequences of subjects displaying distinct facial expressions, starting from neutral expression and ending with the peak of the expression. Because some subjects only show one or two facial expressions, we use a subset with 53 subjects for our experiment. On the average, four expressions appear for each subject. For each expression of a subject, the last four frames in the videos are selected. Notice that although we use several frames from the video sequence, we only treat these frames as static images for both training and testing without using any temporal information. Several samples of these two expression databases are shown in Fig. 3, Fig. 6, Fig. 7. The summary of the two databases is presented in Table 1.

1. Download: Download full-size image

Fig. 7. Expression images from the MMI database.

Although many existing classification algorithms could be employed for the experiment on facial expression recognition, our purpose is to evaluate the inherent discriminatory ability of the extracted TC features. The classifier design and training are not our emphasis in this paper. Hence, several standard and widely used classification approaches are used in our experiments (Table 2).

Table 2. Summary of the databases used for our experiments

Database	# of subjects	# of images per subject for each expression	Overall # of images
MMI	5	6	180
CK	53	4	864

4.1. Person-dependent recognition test

In person-dependent test, first we divide the MMI and CK database into six and four subsets, respectively. Each subset contains all the subjects and each subject includes a set of available prototypic expressions. Then one of the subsets is selected for test while the remainder is used to construct the training set. It is a so-called "leave-one-out" cross-validation rule. The

tests are repeated six times in the MMI database and four times in the CK database, with different test subset being used for each time. Three classifiers, quadratic discriminant classifier (QDC), linear discriminant analysis (LDA) and naive Bayesian network classifier (NBC), are employed to recognize the six prototypic facial expressions. Table 3 shows the average recognition rate. Table 4 reports the confusion matrix using the NBC classifier on the CK database.

Table 3. Experimental results of person-dependent expression classification

Database\classifier	QDC (%)	LDA (%)	NBC (%)
MMI	92.78	93.33	85.56
CK	82.52	87.27	93.29

Table 4. Confusion matrix of the average case of NBC classifier on the CK database in person-dependent expression recognition

Input\output	Anger (%)	Disgust (%)	Fear (%)	Happy (%)	Sad (%)	Surprise (%)
Anger	83.70	5.43	0.00	1.09	9.78	0.00
Disgust	2.78	93.06	0.00	0.00	2.08	2.08
Fear	1.92	3.85	83.65	7.69	2.89	0.00
Happy	0.00	0.50	1.00	98.50	0.00	0.00
Sad	1.47	0.74	0.00	0.00	97.06	0.74
Surprise	0.00	1.60	1.06	0.53	1.59	95.21

As shown in Table 3, LDA achieves the highest recognition rate for the MMI database, and NBC does for the CK database. In Table 4, we can see that _Happy_ , _Surprise_ , _Disgust_ and _Sad_ are detected with high accuracy. _Fear_ is sometimes confused with _Happy_ while _Anger_ is sometimes misclassified as _Sad_.

4.2. Person-independent recognition test

Note that the person-independent facial expression recognition is more challenging and necessary for practical applications. In order to train person-independent classifiers, we need more training subjects covering various patterns of same expression. Therefore, we use the CK database to carry out the person-independent tests. Because 53 subjects are contained in our database with a total of 864 images, we partition the whole set into 53 subsets, each of which corresponds to one subject and includes all the images of this subject with different expressions. The ?leave-v-out? strategy is used for separating these subsets into training sets and test sets. This strategy is proved to be a more elaborate and expensive version of cross-validation [28]. In our experiment, the value of v is 10. It means that for each test, the database is partitioned into 43 subsets as the training set and 10 subsets as the test set. Note that any subject used for testing does not appear in the training set because the random partitioning is based on the subjects rather than the individual images. The tests are executed 20 times on each classifier with different partitions to achieve a stable recognition rate. The entire process guarantees every subject is tested at least once for every classifier. For each test, all the classifiers are reset and re-trained from the initial state. Totally four classifiers, including QDC, LDA, NBC and support vector classifier (SVC) with RBF kernel are used in the experiments. Table 5 reports the average recognition rates of these four classifiers, where LDA classifier achieve the highest accuracy with 82.68% correct rate. The confusion matrix of the average case for LDA classifier is shown in Table 6. The expressions _Surprise_ and _Happy_ are well detected with accuracy over 95% and 91%. _Anger_ , _Disgust_ and _Sad_ are sometimes confused with each

other, while _Fear_ is sometimes misclassified as _Happy_.

Table 5. Experimental results of person-independent expression classification

Classifier	QDC	LDA	NBC	SVC
---	---	---	---	---
Recognition rate	81.96%	82.68%	76.12%	77.68%

Table 6. Confusion Matrix of the average case of LDA classifier for person-independent expression recognition

Input\output	Anger (%)	Disgust (%)	Fear (%)	Happy (%)	Sad (%)	Surprise (%)
---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Anger	75.39	14.06	1.56	1.56	7.42	0.00
Disgust	12.50	69.50	10.04	0.00	5.87	2.08
Fear	3.72	2.93	68.88	21.54	1.33	1.60
Happy	0.00	2.70	4.46	91.76	1.08	0.00
Sad	13.20	5.28	1.94	0.18	79.40	0.00
Surprise	0.00	1.47	1.32	0.00	1.62	95.59

5\ Separability analysis

In order to further study the property of the TC-based expression representation, we investigate the inter-expression discriminability of TC-based expression features in this section. First of all, we examine the separability of the TC-based features in a low-dimensional space for an intuitive demonstration. Then, we give an in-depth theoretical analysis to quantitatively evaluate the between-expression separability using certain separability criterion, and show how the separability criterions used in this study agree with the results of human perception for distinguishing facial expressions.

5.1. Separability in a low-dimensional space: an intuitive example

High-dimensional TC-based expression features are hard to be visualized. It is intuitive for us to observe the clustering characteristic of the expression features in a low-dimensional space (i.e., 3D space). Here, we apply the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to investigate the inter-expression discriminability of TC-based expression feature. To do so, we project the extracted TC-based features into a 3D space through the PCA dimensionality reduction.

Although a complex classification algorithm or a well-trained classifier can improve the performance, it heavily relies on the training data and lacks enough generalization ability. The unsupervised learning, for example, clustering characteristic gives a clear clue for an in-depth study on the separability of extracted features. We found that the TC-based facial feature exhibits a good inter-expression separability even in a low-dimensional space, especially for the distinct expressions _Happy_ and _Surprise_. An example of separability study by reducing the dimensionality to a visualized space is given in Fig. 8. The TC features of 30 expression images (10 subjects, each of which has three expression images, _Happy_ , _Surprise_ and _Disgust_) are projected to a 3D space by PCA capturing 61.9% of the total energy. The selected subjects cover the variations of gender, race and face shapes.¹ As we can see, the output samples are roughly clustered in three sets which correspond to the three types of expressions. In general, the TC-based expression feature vectors exhibit a good ability in distinguishing expressions even in a very low-dimensional space.

1. Download: [Download full-size image](#)

Fig. 8. 3D projections by applying PCA to reduce the dimensionality of TC-based expression features. The three expressions, _Happy_ , _Surprise_ and _Disgust_ are roughly distinguishable.

For comparison, the original intensity image is also projected to the 3D space by applying PCA. As shown in Fig. 9, the three expression samples of each subject are distributed together. The three expressions are completely indistinguishable from projections of the original intensity images. The clustering sample intuitively demonstrates that the separability of TC-based expression feature is much superior to the intensity-based features, which exhibit the clustering property by subjects rather than by expressions.

1. Download: Download full-size image

Fig. 9. 3D projections by applying PCA to reduce the dimensionality of intensity-based expression features. The three expressions, _Happy_ , _Surprise_ and _Disgust_ are completely mixed together.

Note that a visualized expression manifold by the Lipschitz embedding has been successfully used by Chang and Turk [10]. However, in [10], the constructed manifold is built for individual subject with a plenty of expression images of the _same_ subject. Here, instead, we investigate the separability and clustering characteristic of extracted expression features using _multi_-subject and _multi_-expression data in a visualized 3D space. In general, the TC-based expression descriptor reflects more intrinsic expression property and alleviates the variations of non-expression factors, such as race and gender. Although the separability of other three expressions is hard to be visualized in 3D space, we can still distinguish them in a higher dimensional space, which is proved by the recognition experiments.

In the following section, we will give a theoretical analysis of the expression separability through the evaluation and comparison between the TC-based features and the intensity-based features.

5.2. Separability analysis of TC-based features

In order to quantitatively measure the separability of the expression features, a computable criterion should be defined first. There are some existing criteria, which are mainly used for feature selection [29], [30], for example, probability based criterion, within-class and between-class distance based criterion, etc. Bayesian decision theory provides the probability based separability criterion (e.g., correct probability or error probability), which can be used to evaluate and select the extracted features. In our multi-category case for expression classification, it is more efficient to compute the correct probability rather than the error probability. The correct probability metric is defined

as (7)
$$P(\text{correct}) = \sum_{i=1}^c P(x \in R_i | i) = \sum_{i=1}^c \int_{R_i} P(x | i) P(i) dx$$
 where $x \in R_i$ means the feature space divided by the classifier, c is the number of classes, i denotes the label of class and $P(i)$ is the prior probability of i . Although the definition in Eq. (7) is simple, it is not feasible to calculate the correct probability in practice because it is difficult to estimate the class-conditional probability density functions $p(x | i)$ and the multiple integration has to be executed in high-dimensional space. Alternatively, the separability criterion based on within-class and between-class distance is more feasible and empirical. Suppose $x_k(i)$ and $x_l(j)$ are the d -dimensional features with the label i and j , respectively. The definition of average between-class distance in the case of multiple categories is as

follow: (8)
$$J_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^c \sum_{j=1}^c \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} \sum_{l=1}^{n_j} (x_k(i) - x_l(j))^T (x_k(i) - x_l(j))$$
 where, n_i , n_j are the numbers of samples in class i and j , P_i , P_j are the class-prior probabilities. $d(x_k(i), x_l(j))$ denotes the distance between two samples, which is usually represented by the Euclidean distance (9) $d(x_k(i), x_l(j)) = \|x_k(i) - x_l(j)\|$. In order to represent the $J_1(x)$ in a compact form, two new concepts, _within-class scatter matrix_

S_w and S_b , are introduced

$$S_w = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N (x_k(i) - m_i)(x_k(i) - m_i)^T$$

$$S_b = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (m_i - m)(m_i - m)^T$$

where m_i is the mean of samples in the i -th class, m is the mean of all the samples.

With the definitions of Eqs. (10), (11), we can get $J_1(x)$ in the following form [30],

$$J_1(x) = \frac{\text{tr}(S_w + S_b)}{\text{tr}(S_w)}$$

$J_1(x)$ is an efficient and computable separability criterion for feature selection. But it is not appropriate for comparing two different features because the value of calculated $J_1(x)$ depends on the scale and dimensionality of the feature space. Since the TC-based features and intensity-based features lie in two completely different spaces with different scales and dimensionalities, it is not easy to normalize the value of $J_1(x)$ for comparison. Here we use a comparable separability criterion to avoid the normalization. The new metric, $J_2(x)$, is defined as a natural logarithm of the ratio of determinant of within-class scatter matrix and between-class scatter matrix. $J_2(x)$ is intrinsically normalized in the comparable scale and reflects the separability of the features. For the value of $J_2(x)$, the larger the values are, the better the samples can be separated.

$$J_2(x) = \ln \left(\frac{|S_b + S_w|}{|S_w|} \right)$$

We conducted the calculations of $J_2(x)$ on CK test set, which includes 864 facial expression images of 53 subjects. PCA is used to reduce the dimensionality of the extracted TC-based expression features. The selected separability metrics are calculated for each reduced dimensionality. For comparison, the similar experiment is also conducted using intensity features. Note that TC-based features and intensity features locate in completely different feature spaces, where the intensity feature has much higher dimensionality than the TC-based feature has. Therefore we normalize the calculated values by the percentage of retained energy rather than by the dimensionality. The facial expression separability and human subject separability are examined, respectively. Each examination is conducted by comparing the TC-based features and intensity features using the metric measurement $J_2(x)$. Fig. 10 shows the experimental results of the calculated value of $J_2(x)$. As shown in Fig. 10a, for the same ratio of retained energy, the value $J_2(x)$ of TC-based features is always higher than that of intensity-based features, which means that the TC-based features always exhibit much better between-expression separability than the intensity feature does.

1. Download: Download full-size image

Fig. 10. Separability criterion ($J_2(x)$) comparison of TC-based features and intensity feature: (a) separability of expressions; (b) separability of subjects.

The subject separability performance is also evaluated using the similar experimental strategy. Here, we re-label the facial images based on subjects rather than expressions. There are totally $c = 53$ classes in our test data. The estimated performance curve of separability criterion $J_2(x)$ as to the ratio of retained energy is shown in Fig. 10b. The separability curve of TC-based features is always lower than that of intensity-based features, which means that the intensity features have much better discriminability for subjects than the TC-based features have.

5.3. Between-expression separability analysis

The person-independent experiment in Section 4 (see Table 6) has shown that the correct recognition rates for different expressions are different.

Surprise and Happy are the two most distinguishable expressions among the six prototype facial expressions, while Anger , Disgust and Sad are sometimes confused with each other. As a result, the confusion matrix of recognition exhibits a considerable imbalance. The automatic facial expression

recognition system reported by Cohen etc. [20], which used different expression features and classifiers, shows the similar imbalance characteristic in classifying six prototype expressions. Here, we study the expression separability in order to provide insights into the intrinsic property of the facial expressions. We attempt to quantitatively explain the expression separability for better understanding the machine recognition and human cognition.

In order to evaluate the between-expression separability, the two-category separability criteria are used. Different from the criteria used in Section 5.2, here, the metrics for `_within-class matrix_` and `_between-class scatter matrix_` are specified for the two-category case. Assume that the six prototypic expressions are indexed from 1 to 6, we want to estimate the separability between expression `_e_i_` and `_e_j_`, where $1 \leq i, j \leq 6$ and $i \neq j$. In the test data set, we only use those images with these two types of expressions. The scatter matrices are calculated for the two-category case

as
$$S_w(e_i, e_j) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k(e_i) - m_{e_i})(x_k(e_i) - m_{e_i})^T + \frac{1}{l} \sum_{l=1}^l (x_l(e_j) - m_{e_j})(x_l(e_j) - m_{e_j})^T$$

$$S_b(e_i, e_j) = P_{e_i} P_{e_j} (m_{e_i} - m_{e_j})(m_{e_i} - m_{e_j})^T$$
 where $n = n_{e_i} + n_{e_j}$ and $P_{e_i} + P_{e_j} = 1$. We estimate the separability criterion $J_2(x)$ for each pair of selected expressions while excluding other expressions. For each pair of selected expressions, the scatter matrices are computed using Eqs. (16), (17). Then the separability criterion $J_2(x)$ is calculated. The evaluation is conducted on the extracted TC-based features with the entire energy conserved.

Table 7 illustrates the separability of all pairs of prototypic expressions, where the larger values of $J_2(x)$ indicates better discriminability between the two expressions. The results support the confusion matrix shown in Table 6. For example, expressions `_Happy_` and `_Surprise_` have the highest recognition rates. Conformably, these two expressions have a larger separability value $J_2(x)$ than other expressions. Also, expressions `_Anger_`, `_Disgust_` and `_Sad_` are easily misclassified because the separability value $J_2(x)$ is fairly small for any two of these three expressions.

Table 7. Confusion matrix of the expression separability criterion of $J_2(x)$

Empty Cell| Anger| Disgust| Fear| Happy| Sad| Surprise

---|---|---|---|---|---|---

Anger	_N/A_	3.432	8.890	7.179	4.957	9.066
Disgust	3.432	_N/A_	5.357	8.290	4.192	8.544
Fear	8.890	5.357	_N/A_	4.570	8.400	7.225
Happy	7.179	8.290	4.570	_N/A_	12.490	15.395
Sad	4.957	4.192	8.400	12.490	_N/A_	9.335
Surprise	9.066	8.544	7.225	15.395	9.335	_N/A_

N/A, not applicable.

In short, the recognition performance of the six prototypic expressions is mostly affected by the intrinsic appearance characteristics of expressions. The quantitative separability analysis is consistent with the recognition property for different expression, which is also obey the human vision perception rule.

6. Robustness analysis

6.1. Robustness to facial landmarks detection

As we know, the topographic context is defined on the individual expressive region of a face. It is conceivable that the performance of facial expression recognition is affected by the expressive region extraction, in other words, by the facial feature detection.

An accurate facial landmark localization algorithm could improve the

performance of the expression recognition. Most previous work in facial expression analysis is under controlled conditions. For real applications, the possible complicated environment (e.g., complex background and uncontrolled illumination) could make the detection of facial landmarks inaccurate and unreliable.

In order to evaluate the TC-based feature as to how sensitive it is to the expressive region detection, we conduct two simulation experiments. In our first experiment, we simulate an uncontrolled environment by adding a Gaussian noise $_k_ \cdot _N(0, 0.7)$ with a magnitude $_k_$ to the detected landmarks. An example of the facial landmarks with different magnitudes of noise is shown in Fig. 11b and c. The second experiment simulates the noise through applying a random affine transformation to the detected entire facial shape. The transformation (i.e., rotation and translation) has the form $M=Rk10 \cdot P(-0.5, 0.5) \times T(5k \cdot P(-0.5, 0.5))$ where $P(-0.5, 0.5)$ is a uniform distribution between $[-0.5, 0.5]$ and $_k_$ is the magnitude of noise. Fig. 11d?f shows the examples after affine transformation (Fig. 12).

1. Download: [Download full-size image](#)

Fig. 11. (a) Original landmarks; (b and c) landmarks added with random Gaussian noise with magnitude $_k_ = 2$ and $_k_ = 5$; (d?f) landmarks added after random affine transformation with magnitude $_k_ = 1$, $_k_ = 3$ and $_k_ = 4$.

1. Download: [Download full-size image](#)

Fig. 12. Recognition under random Gaussian noise added to detected facial landmarks.

For the training set, we use the clean facial landmarks. For each test image, the modified landmarks are used to calculate the facial expression features. The person-independent experiments described in Section 4.2 are repeated under the noise with different magnitudes. The performance curves of all four classifiers are recorded in Fig. 13. As shown in the figures, the recognition rate monotonically decreases as the noise magnitude increases, but it still maintains a fairly good performance, especially under the random Gaussian noise. The classifiers QDC and LDA maintain the correct recognition rate higher than 80% when $_k_ = 4$. In short, the experimental results demonstrate that the TC-based facial expression features are not very sensitive to facial landmark detection. The main reason is that this feature representation is based on regional terrain label statistics. These statistical characteristics are not affected by local noises or by a certain shape distortion seriously.

1. Download: [Download full-size image](#)

Fig. 13. Recognition under random affine transformation noise added to detected facial landmarks.

6.2. Robustness to expression intensity

Most of approaches for automatic facial expression analysis attempt to recognize a set of prototypic emotional expressions (e.g., fear, sadness, disgust, anger, surprise and happiness). In everyday life, however, such prototypic expressions with deliberate (i.e., posed) facial action rarely occur. Instead, the spontaneous expressions with subtle facial action appear more frequently [32]. Moreover, the posed facial action tasks typically differ in appearance and timing from the authentic facial expressions induced through events in the normal environment of the subject. Therefore, investigation of recognition performance on different degrees of $_expression\ intensity_$ (or degree of expression saturation) is of interest to us. Low-intensity expressions mostly reflect the spontaneity of human emotions. A database with authentic face expressions from 28 subjects was created by Sebe et al. [32], which includes four spontaneous expressions (neutral, happy, surprise and disgust). Bartlett et al. tested their algorithm on facial expression with

different intensities measured by manual FACS coding [33]. Tian et al. combined Gabor features and neural network to discriminate eye status and compared their method with manual markers [34]. Although there is some existing work targeting the spontaneous expression analysis, so far, no standard definition and quantitative measurement of _expression intensity_ has been reported.

As we know, recognizing a slight or low-intensity spontaneous expression is a very challenging task. Even for human vision system, it is difficult to interpret a slight or low-intensity expression based on only a single static image (e.g., because of the ambiguity). For the _static_ image based universal expression recognition, most of previous research focused on facial images with extreme expressions or sufficiently perceivable expressions [1], [35]. For low-intensity spontaneous expression recognition, it will be more effective to explore the temporal information through the analysis of dynamic expression sequences.

In the research on static image based facial expression recognition to date, little work has been done on the quantitative analysis of the recognition rate versus the expression intensity. In order to analyze the robustness and sensitivity of TC-based facial expression representation, we conduct an experiment to explicitly evaluate the performance of the extracted TC-based features under different degrees of expression intensities.

To do so, we extend the subset of CK database used in Section 4 by selecting more frames from the original video sequences. For each subject, we selected eight frames, which correspond to eight different degrees of perceived expressions. The selected frames are indexed from 1 to 8 based on the order of the frames in the video sequence. Because the expression video starts from the neutral expression to the extreme expression, the expression intensity increases from the index 1 to the index 8. As an example, eight facial expression images of one subject with different intensities are showed in Fig. 14. In our experiments, a total of 1672 face images, selected from 209 video sequences, are used.

1. Download: [Download full-size image](#)

Fig. 14. Eight facial images with different expression intensities.

The experimental strategy is same as the one used in Section 4.2. The recorded recognition performance is reported in Fig. 15. Moreover, the same criterion $J_2(x)$ that is used in Section 5 is applied to evaluate the between-expressions separability in different level of expression intensity. The calculated values of $J_2(x)$ corresponding to expression intensity indexed from 1 to 8 are recorded in Fig. 16.

1. Download: [Download full-size image](#)

Fig. 15. Facial expression recognition performance under different facial expression intensity. x coordinate denotes the index of the test image in the selected video sequence, which displays the expression from low-intensity to extreme.

1. Download: [Download full-size image](#)

Fig. 16. Separability criterion ($J_2(x)$) under different expression intensities.

Note that since there is no existing solution for the quantitative measurement of the expression intensity, our performance analysis in terms of expression intensity using frame indexes is approximate. Since different subjects may exhibit different styles of expressions (e.g., timing, speed, etc.), the frame-indexing based approach may not be able to extract the same expression intensity at a same indexed frame across different videos.

Experimental results on both expression recognition and between-expression

separability illustrate the following properties of the TC-based facial expression recognition. First, the expression intensity does affect the recognition performance. Especially, when the expression intensity increases from index 1 to 5, the correct recognition rates are dramatically improved. In general, the recognition rate monotonically grows when the expression intensity increases. Second, when the expression reaches a certain degree of intensity, the correct recognition rate does not have a significant increment. In other words, when expressions reach the "saturation" status with the high level of intensity, the performance of the recognition is not further improved.

7. Discussion

7.1. Advantages of TC-based feature representation

Expression feature extraction is a fundamental issue in automatic facial expression analysis and recognition. There are, in general, two categories of facial expression features used for classification, i.e., geometric features and appearance features [2]. Both feature representations are sensitive to imaging conditions and individual variations, such as face shape, scale and other factors. Although the normalization process could reduce the effect of imaging and individual variations, it is not trivial to obtain the normalized features without the human interaction and a neutral face input in some cases. For example, there is some prior work utilizing the line-based (or edge-based) caricatures to classify expressions [36]. Because the detected edge features are represented as binary levels (black and white), normalization is impossible. Moreover, because edges or corners are usually detected in the high contrast regions, it is hard to capture subtle facial features on the entire facial region. In [36], only three types of salient expressions can be classified correctly. However, in contrast, our topographic features are labeled with six different categories on each pixel of the entire face region. Since we use the statistics of the six types of topographic labels for each pre-defined facial region, the intrinsically normalized topographic context alleviates the influence of the individual variance, such as the face shape and scale. Although the calculation of TC is based on the detected facial landmarks, it is not sensitive to the facial region location because of its inherent statistical characteristics (proven by the experiments presented in Section 6.1). By contrast, geometric features are sensitive to the facial feature detection. Moreover, for the robustness analysis as to the head rotation, we compared the TC features with the Gabor-wavelet features on a synthesized facial expression image database using a recently published 3D facial expression database [37]. The experimental results reported in [38] show that the TC features are much more insensitive to facial pose than the Gabor-wavelet features.

It is worth noting that unlike most of existing approaches (e.g., FACS-based approaches), our TC-based facial expression representation does not require the high-resolution image input since the preprocessing of topographic labeling smooths the image details. Hence, it is conceivable that the TC-based features should have certain robustness to image quality.

7.2. Qualitative comparison with existing work

Identifying facial expression is a challenging problem in computer vision community. However, there is no baseline algorithm or standard database for measuring the merits of new methods, or determining what factors affect the performance. The unavailability of an accredited common database (e.g., like FERET database for face recognition) and evaluation methodology make it difficult to compare any new algorithm with the existing algorithms. As quoted by some researchers [1], [6], [35], most of existing work using static

expressions (e.g., see Table 8 in [1] and Tables 2 and 3 in [35]) were tested on the in-house databases and some of the approaches are only evaluated by a person-dependent test. Although the CK database is widely used, some recent work [20], [15] only utilized a portion of the database because not all the subjects in CK database have six prototypic expressions. This makes the algorithm comparison not feasible without knowing the exact test set used in their approaches.

Here, we give a brief qualitative comparison with the system recently reported by Cohen et al. in [20], [15]. Both our work and the Cohen's system selected 53 subjects from the CK database for person-independent experiments. Although our system works on static images while Cohen's system worked on video sequences, both achieve similar recognition results and characteristics. Cohen's system achieved the best correct recognition rate at 81.80%, while our system achieves the best recognition rate at 82.68%. Both systems show the similar characteristics in classifying six prototypic expressions. For example, _Surprise_ and _Happy_ , as both reported, have the highest recognition rate. _Fear_ is easily confused with _Happy_ while _Sad_ is confused with _Anger_.

8\. Concluding remarks

In this paper we proposed a novel and explicit static topographic modeling for facial expression representation and recognition based on the so-called Topographic Context. Motivated by the mechanism of facial expression formation in the 3D space, we exploit the topographic analysis to study the facial terrain map which is derived by the topographic labeling techniques at the pixel level of detail. The facial expression is a behavior of an entire facial surface. The distribution of topographic labels described by the Topographic Context in expressive regions is a good reflection of this behavior. The performance of such a new facial expression descriptor is evaluated by the experiments of person-dependent and person-independent expression recognition on two public facial expression databases. The experimental results demonstrate that the TC-based expression features can capture the characteristics of facial expressions and achieve encouraging results in recognizing six prototypic expressions with person-independence. For a further investigation of the TC-based facial expression features, the separability analysis is conducted. The experimental results show that the TC-based features are appropriate for expression recognition because they reflect more intrinsic expression characteristics while alleviating the individual variations, such as race, gender and face shape. Robustness of TC-based features is evaluated in two aspects, the robustness to distortion of facial landmark detection and the robustness to different levels of expression intensities. Notice that the TC-based approach still has some limitations. Because the topographic feature estimation is based on the polynomial patch approximation, it is better than some edge detection approaches in terms of the illumination variations. However, this approach is still the pixel-based approach. It may not be robust to the dramatic illumination change. Due to the lack of the illumination-varied facial expression database, our future work is to develop a synthesis-based approach to simulate the various lighting conditions in order to study the illumination sensitivity.

As a challenging cross-disciplinary research topic, automatic facial expression recognition is still in its infancy and far from the real application. Although many advances and successes are reported in recent literature, many questions still remain open [2]. For the future work, we will work on a dynamic system using temporal information to improve the performance of the current static modeling. We will study the action units detection based

on the topographic context domain. The integration of the location information and the topographic feature information could benefit the tracking of AUs. Moreover, the investigation on quantification and estimation of expression intensities is another future research direction.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr. Jeffrey Cohn and the face group of CMU for providing the Cohn-Kanade database. We also thank Dr. Maja Pantic's group at Imperial College London for providing the MMI facial expression database. This work is supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grants IIS-0414029 and IIS-0541044, and NYSTAR's James D. Watson Investigator program.

Special issue articlesRecommended articles

References

1. [1]
M. Pantic, L. Rothkrantz
Automatic analysis of facial expressions: the state of the art
IEEE Trans. PAMI, 22 (2000), pp. 1424-1445
[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)
2. [2]
S.Z. Li, A.K. Jain
Handbook of Face Recognition
Springer, New York (2004)
[Google Scholar](#)
3. [3]
P. Ekman, W. Friesen (Eds.), The Facial Action Coding System: A Technique for the Measurement of Facial Movement, Consulting Psychologists Press, San Francisco (1978)
4. [4]
G. Donato, M. Bartlett, J. Hager, P. Ekman, T. Sejnowski
Classifying facial actions
IEEE Trans. PAMI, 21 (1999), pp. 974-989
[Google Scholar](#)
5. [5]
Y. Tian, T. Kanade, J. Cohn
Recognizing action units for facial expression analysis
IEEE Trans. PAMI, 23 (2001), pp. 1-9
[Google Scholar](#)
6. [6]
M. Pantic, L. Rothkrantz
Facial action recognition for facial expression analysis from static face images
IEEE Trans. SMC-Part B: Cybern., 34 (2004), pp. 1449-1461
[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)
7. [7]
K. Mase
Recognition of facial expression from optical flow
Proc. IEICE Trans. Spec. Issue Comput. Vis. Appl., 74 (1991), pp. 3474-3483
[Google Scholar](#)
8. [8]
Y. Yacoob, L. Davis
Recognizing human facial expression from long image sequences using optical flow
IEEE Trans. PAMI, 16 (1996), pp. 636-642
[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)
9. [9]

I. Essa, A. Pentland

Coding, analysis, interpretation, and recognition of facial expressions

IEEE Trans. PAMI, 19 (1997), pp. 757-763

[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)

10. [10]

Y. Chang, C. Hu, M. Turk, Probabilistic expression analysis on manifolds, in:

CVPR, Washington DC, USA, 2004.

[Google Scholar](#)

11. [11]

M. Lyons, J. Budynek, S. Akamatsu

Automatic classification of single facial images

IEEE Trans. PAMI, 21 (1999), pp. 1357-1362

[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)

12. [12]

G. Littlewort, M. Bartlett, I. Fasel, J. Susskind, J. Movellan, Dynamics of facial expression extracted automatically from video, in: CVPR Workshop on Face Processing in Video, Washington DC, USA, 2004.

[Google Scholar](#)

13. [13]

I. Cohen, N. Sebe, F. Cozman, M. Cirelo, T. Huang, Learning bayesian network classifiers for facial expression recognition using both labeled and unlabeled data, in: CVPR, Wisconsin, USA, 2003.

[Google Scholar](#)

14. [14]

H. Gu, Q. Ji, Facial event classification with task oriented dynamic bayesian network, in: CVPR, Washington, DC, USA, 2004.

[Google Scholar](#)

15. [15]

I. Cohen, F.G. Cozman, N. Sebe, M.C. Cirelo, T.S. Huang

Semi-supervised learning of classifiers: theory, algorithms for bayesian network classifiers and application to human-computer interaction

IEEE Trans. PAMI, 26 (2004), pp. 1553-1567

[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)

16. [16]

L. Yin, A. Basu

Generating realistic facial expressions with wrinkles for model based coding

Computer Vision and Image Understanding, 84 (2001), pp. 201-240

[View PDF](#)[View article](#)[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)

17. [17]

R. Haralick, L. Wasson, T. Laffey

The topographic primal sketch

Int. J. Robotics Res., 2 (1988), pp. 91-118

[Google Scholar](#)

18. [18]

L. Wang, T. Pavlidis

Direct gray-scale extraction of features for character recognition

IEEE Trans. PAMI, 15 (1993), pp. 1053-1067

[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)

19. [19]

O. Trier, T. Taxt, A. Jain, Ata capture from maps based on gray scale

topographic analysis, in: The Third International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition, Montreal, Canada, 1995.

[Google Scholar](#)

20. [20]

I. Cohen, N. Sebe, A. Garg, L.S. Chen, T.S. Huang
 Facial expression recognition from video sequences: temporal and static modeling
 CVIU, 91 (2003), pp. 160-187
[View PDF](#)[View article](#)[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)
 21. [21]

T. Kanade, J. Cohn, Y. Tian, Comprehensive database for facial expression analysis, in: IEEE 4th International Conference on FGR, France, 2000.
[Google Scholar](#)
 22. [22]

<<http://www.mmifacedb.com/>>.
[Google Scholar](#)
 23. [23]

P. Meer, I. Weiss
 Smoothed differentiation filters for images
 J. Vis. Commun. Image Represent., 3 (1992), pp. 58-72
[View PDF](#)[View article](#)[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)
 24. [24]

W. Rinn
 The neuropsychology of facial expression: a review of the neurological and psychological mechanisms for producing facial expressions
 Psychol. Bull., 95 (1984), pp. 52-77
[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)
 25. [25]

L. Tassinari, J. Cacioppo, T. Geen
 A psychometric study of surface electrode placements for facial electromyographic recording: 1. The brow and cheek muscle regions
 Psychophysiology, 26 (1989), pp. 1-16
[Crossref](#)[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)
 26. [26]

J. Cacioppo, D. Dorfman
 Waveform moment analysis in psychophysiological research
 Psychol. Bull., 102 (1987), pp. 421-438
[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)
 27. [27]

T. Cootes, G. Edwards, C. Taylor
 Active appearance models
 IEEE Trans. PAMI, 23 (2001), pp. 681-685
[Google Scholar](#)
 28. [28]

C. Bishop
 Neural Networks for Pattern Recognition
 Clarendon Press, Oxford (1995)
[Google Scholar](#)
 29. [29]

R. Duda, P. Hart, D. Stork
 Pattern Classification
 Wiley-Interscience, New York (2001)
[Google Scholar](#)
 30. [30]

Z. Bian, X. Zhang
 Pattern Recognition
 Tsinghua University Press, Beijing (1998)
[Google Scholar](#)

31. [31]

J.M. Lattin, J.D. Carroll, P.E. Green
Analysis Multivariate Data
Thomson Learning, New York (2002)
Google Scholar

32. [32]

N. Sebe, M. Lew, I. Cohen, Y. Sun, T. Gevers, T. Huang, Authentic facial expression analysis, in: Proceedings of Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition, 2004.
Google Scholar

33. [33]

M. Bartlett, J. Hager, P. Ekman, T. Sejnowski
Measureing facial expressions by computer image analysis
Psychophysiology, 36 (1999), pp. 253-264
View in ScopusGoogle Scholar

34. [34]

Y. Tian, T. Kanade, J. Cohen, Eye-state action unite detection by gabor wavelets, in: International Conference on Multimodal Interfaces, Beijing, China, 2000.
Google Scholar

35. [35]

B. Fasel, J. Luttin
Automatic facial expression analysis: survey
Pattern Recognit., 36 (2003), pp. 259-275
View PDFView articleView in ScopusGoogle Scholar

36. [36]

Y. Gao, M. Leung, S. Hui, M. Tananda
Facial expression recognition from line-based caricatures
IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern. A Syst. Hum., 33 (2003), pp. 407-412
View in ScopusGoogle Scholar

37. [37]

L. Yin, X. Wei, Y. Sun, J. Wang, M. Rosato, A 3D facial expression database for facial behavior research, in: Proceedings of Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition, Southampton, UK, April 2006.
Google Scholar

38. [38]

J. Wang, L. Yin, X. Wei, Y. Sun, 3D facial expression recognition based on primitive surface feature distribution, in: Proceedings of IEEE CVPR, New York, June 2006.
Google Scholar

Cited by (74)

* ### An efficient multimodal 2D + 3D feature-based approach to automatic facial expression recognition
2015, Computer Vision and Image Understanding
Show abstract

We present a fully automatic multimodal 2D + 3D feature-based facial expression recognition approach and demonstrate its performance on the BU-3DFE database. Our approach combines multi-order gradient-based local texture and shape descriptors in order to achieve efficiency and robustness. First, a large set of fiducial facial landmarks of 2D face images along with their 3D face scans are localized using a novel algorithm namely incremental Parallel Cascade of Linear Regression (iPar-CLR). Then, a novel Histogram of Second Order Gradients (HSOG) based local image descriptor in conjunction with the widely used first-order gradient based SIFT descriptor are used to describe the local texture around each 2D landmark. Similarly, the local geometry

around each 3D landmark is described by two novel local shape descriptors constructed using the first-order and the second-order surface differential geometry quantities, i.e., Histogram of mesh Gradients (meshHOG) and Histogram of mesh Shape index (curvature quantization, meshHOS). Finally, the Support Vector Machine (SVM) based recognition results of all 2D and 3D descriptors are fused at both feature-level and score-level to further improve the accuracy. Comprehensive experimental results demonstrate that there exist impressive complementary characteristics between the 2D and 3D descriptors. We use the BU3DFE benchmark to compare our approach to the state-of-the-art ones. Our multimodal feature-based approach outperforms the others by achieving an average recognition accuracy of 86.32%. Moreover, a good generalization ability is shown on the Bosphorus database.

* ### 3D human face description: Landmarks measures and geometrical features
2012, Image and Vision Computing

Citation Excerpt :

This issue may be also considered as belonging to face correction field. Wang et al. [103] presented a topographic modelling approach to recognize and analyze facial expression from single static images. They developed this topographic modelling based on the Topographic Context (TC), a new facial expression descriptor, for representing and recognizing facial expressions.

Show abstract

Distance measures and geometrical features are widely used to describe faces. Generally, they are extracted punctually from landmarks, namely anthropometric reference points. The aims are various, such as face recognition, facial expression recognition, face detection, study of changes in facial morphology due to growth, or dysmorphologies. Most of the time, landmarks were extracted with the help of an algorithm or manually located on the faces. Then, measures are computed or geometrical features are extracted to perform the scope of the study. This paper is intended as a survey collecting and explaining all these features, in order to provide a structured user database of the potential parameters and their characteristics. Firstly, facial soft-tissue landmarks are defined and contextualized; then the various measures are introduced and some results are given; lastly, the most important measures are compared to identify the best one for face recognition applications.

* ### Natural facial expression recognition using differential-AAM and manifold learning
2009, Pattern Recognition

Show abstract

This paper proposes a novel natural facial expression recognition method that recognizes a sequence of dynamic facial expression images using the differential active appearance model (AAM) and manifold learning as follows. First, the differential-AAM features (DAFs) are computed by the difference of the AAM parameters between an input face image and a reference (neutral expression) face image. Second, manifold learning embeds the DAFs on the smooth and continuous feature space. Third, the input facial expression is recognized through two steps: (1) computing the distances between the input image sequence and gallery image sequences using directed Hausdorff distance (DHD) and (2) selecting the expression by a majority voting of k-nearest neighbors (k-NN) sequences in the gallery. The DAFs are robust and efficient for the facial expression analysis due to the elimination of the inter-person, camera, and illumination variations. Since the DAFs treat the neutral expression image as the reference image, the neutral expression image must be found effectively. This is done via the differential facial expression probability density model (DFEPDM) using the kernel density approximation of the positively directional DAFs changing from neutral to angry (happy,

surprised) and negatively directional DAFs changing from angry (happy, surprised) to neutral. Then, a face image is considered to be the neutral expression if it has the maximum DFEPDM in the input sequences. Experimental results show that (1) the DAFs improve the facial expression recognition performance over conventional AAM features by 20% and (2) the sequence-based k-NN classifier provides a 95% facial expression recognition performance on the facial expression database (FED06).

* ### Local Directional Ternary Pattern for Facial Expression Recognition
2017, IEEE Transactions on Image Processing

* ### Facial expression recognition using deep neural networks
2015, IST 2015 - 2015 IEEE International Conference on Imaging Systems and Techniques, Proceedings

* ### Prototype-based modeling for facial expression analysis
2014, IEEE Transactions on Multimedia

[View all citing articles on Scopus](#)

1

The 10 selected subjects are numbered in the CK database as: S010, S011, S014, S022, S026, S050, S052, S055, S067 and S100.

2

For a clear and distinguishable comparison, $eJ2(x)$ is calculated instead of $J_2(x)$.

[View Abstract](#)

Copyright © 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Part of special issue

Special Issue on Vision for Human-Computer Interaction

Edited by

Mathias Kolsch, Vladimir Pavlovic, Branislav Kisacanin, Thomas Huang

[Download full issue](#)

Other articles from this issue

* ### Vision-based human motion analysis: An overview

October?November 2007

Ronald Poppe

[View PDF](#)

* ### Face as mouse through visual face tracking

October?November 2007

Jilin Tu, ?, Thomas Huang

[View PDF](#)

* ### Objective evaluation of approaches of skin detection using ROC analysis

October?November 2007

Stephen J. Schmugge, ?, Leonid V. Tsap

[View PDF](#)

[View more articles](#)

Recommended articles

No articles found.

Article Metrics

Citations

* Citation Indexes: 74

Captures

* Readers: 64

[View details](#)

* [About ScienceDirect](#)

* [Remote access](#)

* [Shopping cart](#)

* [Advertise](#)

- * Contact and support
- * Terms and conditions
- * Privacy policy

Cookies are used by this site. Cookie Settings

All content on this site: Copyright © 2024 Elsevier B.V., its licensors, and contributors. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies. For all open access content, the Creative Commons licensing terms apply.

Cookie Preference Center

We use cookies which are necessary to make our site work. We may also use additional cookies to analyse, improve and personalise our content and your digital experience. For more information, see our Cookie Policy and the list of Google Ad-Tech Vendors.

You may choose not to allow some types of cookies. However, blocking some types may impact your experience of our site and the services we are able to offer. See the different category headings below to find out more or change your settings.

Allow all

Manage Consent Preferences

Strictly Necessary Cookies

Always active

These cookies are necessary for the website to function and cannot be switched off in our systems. They are usually only set in response to actions made by you which amount to a request for services, such as setting your privacy preferences, logging in or filling in forms. You can set your browser to block or alert you about these cookies, but some parts of the site will not then work. These cookies do not store any personally identifiable information.

Cookie Details List?

Functional Cookies

Functional Cookies

These cookies enable the website to provide enhanced functionality and personalisation. They may be set by us or by third party providers whose services we have added to our pages. If you do not allow these cookies then some or all of these services may not function properly.

Cookie Details List?

Performance Cookies

Performance Cookies

These cookies allow us to count visits and traffic sources so we can measure and improve the performance of our site. They help us to know which pages are the most and least popular and see how visitors move around the site.

Cookie Details List?

Targeting Cookies

Targeting Cookies

These cookies may be set through our site by our advertising partners. They may be used by those companies to build a profile of your interests and show you relevant adverts on other sites. If you do not allow these cookies, you will experience less targeted advertising.

Cookie Details List?

Back Button

Cookie List

Search Icon

Filter Icon

Clear

checkbox label label

Apply Cancel
Consent Leg.Interest
checkbox label label
checkbox label label
checkbox label label
Confirm my choices