

# ## Title: Shape analysis of local facial patches for 3D facial expression recognition

JavaScript is disabled on your browser. Please enable JavaScript to use all the features on this page. Skip to main contentSkip to article

ScienceDirect

\* Journals & Books

\* Help

\* Search

Gergo Gyori

IT University of Copenhagen

\* View \*\*PDF\*\*

\* Download full issue

Search ScienceDirect

## ## Outline

1. Abstract
2. 3. 4. Keywords
5. 1\ Introduction
6. 2\ Related work
7. 3\ Database description
8. 4\ 3D facial patches-based representation
9. 5\ Framework for 3D shape analysis
10. 6\ Feature vector generation for classification
11. 7\ Recognition experiments
12. 8\ Conclusions
13. References
14. Vitae

Show full outline

## ## Cited by (73)

## ## Figures (8)

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.

Show 2 more figures

## ## Tables (3)

1. Table 1
2. Table 2
3. Table 3

## ## Pattern Recognition

Volume 44, Issue 8, August 2011, Pages 1581-1589

# Shape analysis of local facial patches for 3D facial expression recognition

Author links open overlay panelAhmed Maalej a b, Boulbaba Ben Amor a b, Mohamed Daoudi a b, Anuj Srivastava c, Stefano Berretti d

Show more

Outline

Add to Mendeley

Share

Cite

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patcog.2011.02.012>Get rights and content

## ## Abstract

In this paper we address the problem of 3D facial expression recognition. We propose a local geometric shape analysis of facial surfaces coupled with machine learning techniques for expression classification. A computation of the length of the geodesic path between corresponding patches, using a

Riemannian framework, in a shape space provides a quantitative information about their similarities. These measures are then used as inputs to several classification methods. The experimental results demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed approach. Using multiboosting and support vector machines (SVM) classifiers, we achieved 98.81% and 97.75% recognition average rates, respectively, for recognition of the six prototypical facial expressions on BU-3DFE database. A comparative study using the same experimental setting shows that the suggested approach outperforms previous work.

### ### Highlights

? We address the 3D facial expression recognition problem using Riemannian geometry. ? We propose local shape analysis of faces coupled with machine-learning techniques. ? A comparative study shows that the suggested approach outperforms previous work.

\* Previous article in issue

\* Next article in issue

### ## Keywords

3D facial expression classification

Shape analysis

Geodesic path

Multiboosting

SVM

### ## 1\ Introduction

In recent years, 3D facial expression recognition has received growing attention. It has become an active research topic in computer vision and pattern recognition community, impacting important applications in fields related to human-machine interaction (e.g., interactive computer games) and psychological research. Increasing attention has been given to 3D acquisition systems due to the natural fascination induced by 3D objects visualization and rendering. In addition 3D data have advantages over the 2D data, in that 3D facial data have high resolution and convey valuable information that overcomes the problem of pose/lighting variations and the detail concealment of low resolution acquisition.

In this paper we present a novel approach for 3D identity-independent facial expression recognition based on a local shape analysis. Unlike the identity recognition task that has been the subject of many papers, only few works have addressed 3D facial expression recognition. This could be explained through the challenge imposed by the demanding security and surveillance requirements. Besides, there has long been a shortage of publicly available 3D facial expression databases that serve the researchers exploring 3D information to understand human behaviors and emotions. The main task is to classify the facial expression of a given 3D model, into one of the six prototypical expressions, namely \_Happiness\_, \_Anger\_, \_Fear\_, \_Disgust\_, \_Sadness\_ and \_Surprise\_. It is stated that these expressions are universal among human ethnicity as described in [1], [2].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. First, a brief overview of related work is presented in Section 2. In Section 3 we describe the BU-3DFE database designed to explore 3D information and improve facial expression recognition. In Section 4, we summarize the shape analysis framework applied earlier for 3D curves matching by Joshi et al. [3], and discuss its use to perform 3D patches analysis. This framework is further expounded in Section 5, so as to define methods for shapes analysis and matching. In Section 6 a description of the feature vector and used classifiers is given. In Section 7, experiments and results of our approach are reported, and the average recognition rate over 97% is achieved using

machine-learning algorithms for the recognition of facial expressions such as multiboosting and SVM. Finally, discussion and conclusion are given in Section 8.

## ## 2\ Related work

Facial expression recognition has been extensively studied over the past decades especially in 2D domain (e.g., images and videos) resulting in a valuable enhancement. Existing approaches that address facial expression recognition can be divided into three categories: (1) `_static_` versus `_dynamic_`; (2) `_global_` versus `_local_`; (3) `_2D_` versus `_3D_`. Most of the approaches are based on feature extraction/detection as a mean to represent and understand facial expressions. Pantic and Rothkrantz [4] and Samal and Iyengar [5] presented a survey where they explored and compared different approaches that were proposed, since the mid 1970s, for facial expression analysis from either static facial images or image sequences. Whitehill and Omlin [6] investigated on the Local versus Global segmentation for facial expression recognition. In particular, their study is based on the classification of action units (AUs), defined in the well-known Facial Action Coding System (FACS) manual by Ekman and Friesen [7], and designating the elementary muscle movements involved in the bio-mechanical of facial expressions. They reported, in their study on face images, that the local expression analysis showed no consistent improvement in recognition accuracy compared to the global analysis. As for 3D facial expression recognition, the first work related to this issue was presented by Wang et al. [8]. They proposed a novel geometric feature based facial expression descriptor, derived from an estimation of primitive surface feature distribution.

A labeling scheme was associated with their extracted features, and they constructed samples that have been used to train and test several classifiers. They reported that the highest average recognition rate they obtained was 83%. They evaluated their approach not only on frontal view facial expressions of the BU-3DFE database, but they also tested its robustness to non-frontal views. A second work was reported by Soyel and Demirel [9] on the same database. They extracted six characteristic distances between 11 facial landmarks, using Neural Network architecture that analysis the calculated distances, they classified the BU-3DFE facial scans into seven facial expressions including neutral expression. The average recognition rate they achieved was 91.3%. Mpiperis et al. [10] proposed a joint 3D face and facial expression recognition using bilinear model. They fitted both formulations, using symmetric and asymmetric bilinear models to encode both identity and expression. They reported an average recognition rate of 90.5%. They also reported that the facial expressions of disgust and surprise were well identified with an accuracy of 100%. Tang and Huang [11] proposed an automatic feature selection computed from the normalized Euclidean distances between two picked landmarks from 83 possible ones. Using regularized multi-class Adaboost classification algorithm, they reported an average recognition rate of 95.1%, and they mentioned that the surprise expression was recognized with an accuracy of 99.2%.

In this paper, we further investigate the problem of 3D identity-independent facial expression recognition. The main contributions of our approach are the following: (1) We propose a new process for representing and extracting patches on the facial surface scan that cover multiple regions of the face and (2) we apply a framework to derive 3D shape analysis to quantify similarity measure between corresponding patches on different 3D facial scans. Thus, we combine a local geometric-based shape analysis approach of 3D faces and several machine-learning techniques to perform such classification.

### ## 3\ Database description

BU-3DFE is one of the very few publicly available databases of annotated 3D facial expressions, collected by Yin et al. [12] at Binghamton University. It was designed for research on 3D human face and facial expression and to develop a general understanding of the human behavior. Thus the BU-3DFE database is beneficial for several fields and applications dealing with human computer interaction, security, communication, psychology, etc. There are a total of 100 subjects in the database, 56 females and 44 males. A neutral scan was captured for each subject, then they were asked to perform six expressions namely: Happiness (HA), Anger (AN), Fear (FE), Disgust (DI), Sad (SA) and Surprise (SU). The expressions vary according to four levels of intensity (low, middle, high and highest or 01?04). Thus, there are 25 3D facial expression models per subject in the database. A set of 83 manually annotated facial landmarks is associated to each model. These landmarks are used to define the regions of the face that undergo specific deformations due to single muscles movements when conveying facial expression [7]. In Fig. 1, we illustrate examples of the six universal facial expressions 3D models including the highest intensity level.

1. Download: [Download high-res image \(536KB\)](#)

2. Download: [Download full-size image](#)

Fig. 1. Examples of 3D facial expression models (first row 3D shape models, second row 3D textured models) of the BU-3DFE database.

### ## 4\ 3D facial patches-based representation

Most of the earlier work in 3D shape analysis use shape descriptors such as curvature, crest lines, shape index (e.g., ridge, saddle, rut, dome, etc.).

These descriptors are defined based on the geometric and topological properties of the 3D object, and are used as features to simplify the representation and thus the comparison for 3D shape matching and recognition tasks. Despite their rigorous definition, such features are computed based on numerical approximation that involves second derivatives and can be sensitive to noisy data. In case of 3D facial range models, the facial surface labeling is a critical step to describe the facial behavior or expression, and a robust facial surface representation is needed. In Samir et al. [13] the authors proposed to represent facial surfaces by an indexed collections of 3D closed curves on faces. These curves are level curves of a surface distance function (i.e., geodesic distance) defined to be the length of the shortest path between a fixed reference point (taken to be the nose tip) and a point of the extracted curve along the facial surface. This being motivated by the robustness of the geodesic distance to facial expressions and rigid motions. Using this approach they were able to compare 3D shapes by comparing facial curves rather than comparing corresponding shape descriptors.

In our work we intend to further investigate on local shapes of the facial surface. We are especially interested in capturing deformations of local facial regions caused by facial expressions. Using a different solution, we compute curves using the Euclidean distance which is sensitive to deformations and thus can better capture differences related to variant expressions. To this end, we choose to consider  $N$  reference points (landmarks)  $\{r_l\}_{l=1}^N$  (Fig. 2(a)) and associated sets of level curves  $\{c_l\}_{l=1}^{m_l}$  (Fig. 2(b)). These curves are extracted over the patches centered at these points. Here  $r_l$  stands for the value of the distance function between the reference point  $r_l$  and the point belonging to the curve  $c_l$ , and  $m_l$  stands for the maximum value taken by  $r_l$ . Accompanying each facial model there are 83 manually picked landmarks, these landmarks are practically similar to the MPEG-4 feature points and are selected based on the facial anatomy structure. Given these

points the feature region on the face can be easily determined and extracted. We were interested in a subset of 68 landmarks laying within the face area, discarding those marked on the face border. Contrary to the MPEG-4 feature points specification that annotates the cheeks center and bone, in BU-3DFE there were no landmarks associated with the cheek regions. Thus, we add two extra landmarks at both cheeks, obtained by extracting the middle point along the geodesic path between the mouth corner and the outside eye corner.

1. Download: Download high-res image (1MB)

2. Download: Download full-size image

Fig. 2. (a) 3D annotated facial shape model (70 landmarks); (b) 3D closed curves extracted around the landmarks; (c) 3D curve-based patches composed of 20 level curves with a size fixed by a radius  $r=20$  mm; (d) extracted patches on the face.

We propose to represent each facial scan by a number of patches centered on the considered points. Let  $r_i$  be the reference point and  $P_i$  a given patch centered on this point and localized on the facial surface denoted by  $S_i$ . Each patch will be represented by an indexed collection of level curves. To extract these curves, we use the Euclidean distance function  $d(p, r_i)$  to characterize the length between  $r_i$  and any point  $p$  on  $S_i$ . Using this function we defined the curves as level sets

$C_i(r_i, d) = \{p \in S_i \mid d(p, r_i) = r\}$ ,  $r \in [0, r_{max}]$ . Each  $C_i$  is a closed curve, consisting of a collection of points situated at an equal distance  $r$  from  $r_i$ .

Fig. 2 resumes the scheme of patches extraction.

#### 5. Framework for 3D shape analysis

Once the patches are extracted, we aim at studying their shape and design a similarity measure between corresponding ones on different scans under different expressions. This is motivated by the common belief that people smile, or convey any other expression, the same way, or more appropriately certain regions taking part in a specific expression undergo practically the same dynamical deformation process. We expect that certain corresponding patches associated with the same given expression will be deformed in a similar way, while those associated with two different expressions will deform differently. The following sections describe the shape analysis of closed curves in  $R^3$ , initially introduced by Joshi et al. [3], and its extension to analyze shape of local patches on facial surfaces.

##### 5.1. 3D curve shape analysis

We start by considering a closed curve  $\gamma$  in  $R^3$ . While there are several ways to analyze shapes of closed curves, an elastic analysis of the parametrized curves is particularly appropriate in 3D curves analysis. This is because (1) such analysis uses a square-root velocity function representation which allows us to compare local facial shapes in presence of elastic deformations, (2) this method uses a square-root representation under which the elastic metric reduces to the standard  $L^2$  metric and thus simplifies the analysis, (3) under this metric the Riemannian distance between curves is invariant to the re-parametrization. To analyze the shape of  $\gamma$ , we shall represent it mathematically using a square-root representation of  $\gamma$  as follows; for an interval  $I = [0, 1]$ , let  $\gamma: I \rightarrow R^3$  be a curve and define  $q: I \rightarrow R^3$  to be its square-root velocity function (SRVF), given by:  $(2)q(t) = \dot{\gamma}(t)$ .

Here  $t$  is a parameter  $\in I$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  is the Euclidean norm in  $R^3$ . We note that  $q(t)$  is a special function that captures the shape of  $\gamma$  and is particularly convenient for shape analysis, as we describe next. The classical elastic metric for comparing shapes of curves becomes the  $L^2$  metric under the SRVF representation [14]. This point is very important as it simplifies the calculus of elastic metric to the well-known calculus of functional analysis

under the  $L^2$  metric. Also, the squared  $L^2$ -norm of  $\mathbf{q}$ , given by:  
 $\|\mathbf{q}\|_2^2 = \int_0^1 \langle \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{q}(t) \rangle dt = \int_0^1 \|\mathbf{q}(t)\|^2 dt$ , which is the length of  $\mathbf{q}$ . In order to restrict our shape analysis to closed curves, we define the set:  
 $C = \{\mathbf{q}: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \int_0^1 \mathbf{q}(t) dt = 0\} / L^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^3)$ . Notice that the elements of  $C$  are allowed to have different lengths. Due to a non-linear (closure) constraint on its elements,  $C$  is a non-linear manifold. We can make it a Riemannian manifold by using the metric: for any  $u, v \in T_q(C)$ , we define:  $\langle u, v \rangle = \int_0^1 \langle u(t), v(t) \rangle dt$ . So far we have described a set of closed curves and have endowed it with a Riemannian structure. Next we consider the issue of representing the \_shapes\_ of these curves. It is easy to see that several elements of  $C$  can represent curves with the same shape. For example, if we rotate a curve in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we get a different SRVF but its shape remains unchanged. Another similar situation arises when a curve is re-parametrized; a re-parameterization changes the SRVF of curve but not its shape. In order to handle this variability, we define orbits of the rotation group  $SO(3)$  and the re-parameterization group  $\mathcal{R}$  as the equivalence classes in  $C$ . Here,  $\mathcal{R}$  is the set of all orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of  $S^1$  (to itself) and the elements of  $\mathcal{R}$  are viewed as re-parameterization functions. For example, for a curve  $\mathbf{q}: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  and a function  $\gamma: S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ ,  $\gamma$ , the curve  $\mathbf{q} \circ \gamma$  is a re-parameterization of  $\mathbf{q}$ . The corresponding SRVF changes according to  $\mathbf{q}(t) \rightarrow \mathbf{q}(\gamma(t)) \gamma'(t)$ . We set the elements of the orbit:  $[q] = \{\mathbf{q} \circ \gamma \mid \gamma \in \mathcal{R}\} / SO(3)$ , to be equivalent from the perspective of shape analysis. The set of such equivalence classes, denoted by  $S = C / (SO(3) \times \mathcal{R})$  is called the \_shape space\_ of closed curves in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .  $S$  inherits a Riemannian metric from the larger space  $C$  due to the quotient structure. The main ingredient in comparing and analysing shapes of curves is the construction of a geodesic between any two elements of  $S$ , under the Riemannian metric given in Eq. (3). Given any two curves  $\mathbf{q}_1$  and  $\mathbf{q}_2$ , represented by their SRVFs  $\mathbf{q}_1$  and  $\mathbf{q}_2$ , we want to compute a geodesic path between the orbits  $[q_1]$  and  $[q_2]$  in the shape space  $S$ . This task is accomplished using a \_path-straightening approach\_ which was introduced in [15]. The basic idea here is to connect the two points  $[q_1]$  and  $[q_2]$  by an arbitrary initial path  $\gamma$  and to iteratively update this path using the negative gradient of an energy function  $E[\gamma] = \int_0^1 \|\dot{\gamma}(s)\|^2 ds$ . The interesting part is that the gradient of  $E$  has been derived analytically and can be used directly for updating  $\gamma$ . As shown in [15], the critical points of  $E$  are actually geodesic paths in  $S$ . Thus, this gradient-based update leads to a critical point of  $E$  which, in turn, is a geodesic path between the given points. In the remainder of the paper, we will use the notation  $d_S(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2)$  to denote the length of the geodesic in the \_shape space\_  $S$  between the orbits  $[q_1]$  and  $[q_2]$ , to reduce the notation.

### 5.2. 3D patches shape analysis

Now, we extend ideas developed in the previous section from analyzing shapes of curves to the shapes of patches. As mentioned earlier, we are going to represent a number of  $L$  patches of a facial surface  $S$  with an indexed collection of the level curves of the  $r_l$  function (Euclidean distance from the reference point  $\mathbf{r}_l$ ). That is,  $P_l = \{c_l, [0, 1]\}$ , where  $c_l$  is the level set associated with  $r_l$ . Through this relation, each patch has been represented as an element of the set  $S[0, 1]$ . In our framework, the shapes of any two patches are compared by comparing their corresponding level curves. Given any two patches  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , and their level curves  $\{c_1, [0, 1]\}$  and  $\{c_2, [0, 1]\}$ , respectively, our idea is to compare the patches curves  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , and to accumulate these differences over all  $l$ . More formally, we define a distance  $d_S[0, 1]$  given by:  $d_S[0, 1](P_1, P_2) = \int_0^1 L_d(c_1, c_2) d\gamma$ . In addition to the distance  $d_S[0, 1](P_1, P_2)$ , which is useful in biometry and

other classification experiments, we also have a geodesic path in  $S[0,70]$  between the two points represented by  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . This geodesic corresponds to the optimal elastic deformations of facial curves and, thus, facial surfaces from one to another. Fig. 3 shows some examples of geodesic paths that are computed between corresponding patches associated with shape models sharing the same expression, and termed *intra-class geodesics*. In the first column we illustrate the source, which represents scan models of the same subject, but under different expressions. The third column represents the targets as scan models of different subjects. As for the middle column, it shows the geodesic paths. In each row we have both the shape and the mean curvature mapping representations of the patches along the geodesic path from the source to the target. The mean curvature representation is added to identify concave/convex areas on the source and target patches and equally spaced steps of geodesics. This figure shows that certain patches, belonging to the same class of expression, are deformed in a similar way. In contrast, Fig. 4 shows geodesic paths between patches of different facial expressions. These geodesics are termed *inter-class geodesics*. Unlike the intra-class geodesics shown in Fig. 3, these patches deform in a different way.

1. Download: [Download high-res image \(2MB\)](#)

2. Download: [Download full-size image](#)

Fig. 3. Examples of intra-class (same expression) geodesic paths with shape and mean curvature mapping between corresponding patches.

1. Download: [Download high-res image \(823KB\)](#)

2. Download: [Download full-size image](#)

Fig. 4. Examples of inter-class (different expressions) geodesic paths between source and target patches.

## 6. Feature vector generation for classification

In order to classify expressions, we build a feature vector for each facial scan. Given a candidate facial scan of a person  $j$ , facial patches are extracted around facial landmarks. For a facial patch  $P_{j,i}$ , a set of level curves  $\{c_i\}$  are extracted centered on the  $i$ -th landmark. Similarly, a patch  $P_{ref,i}$  is extracted in correspondence to landmarks of a reference scans  $ref$ . The length of the geodesic path between each level curve and its corresponding curve on the reference scan is computed using a Riemannian framework for shape analysis of 3D curves (see 5.1 3D curve shape analysis, 5.2 3D patches shape analysis). The shortest path between two patches at landmark  $i$ , one in a candidate scan and the other in the reference scan, is defined as the sum of the distances between all pairs of corresponding curves in the two patches as indicated in Eq. (5). The feature vector is then formed by the distances computed on all the patches and its dimension is equal to the number of used landmarks  $N=70$  (i.e., 68 landmarks are used out of the 83 provided by BU-3DFED and the two additional cheek points). The  $i$ -th element of this vector represents the length of the geodesic path that separates the relative patch to the corresponding one on the reference face scan. All feature vectors computed on the overall dataset will be labeled and used as input data to machine-learning algorithms such as multiboosting and SVM, where multiboosting is an extension of the successful Adaboost technique for forming decision committees.

## 7. Recognition experiments

To investigate facial expression recognition, we have applied our proposed approach on a dataset that is appropriate for this task. In this section, we describe the experiments, obtained results and comparisons with related work.

### 7.1. Experimental setting

For the goal of performing identity-independent facial expression recognition,

the experiments were conducted on the BU-3DFE static database. A dataset captured from 60 subjects were used, half (30) of them were female and the other half (30) were male, corresponding to the high and highest intensity levels 3D expressive models (03?04). These data are assumed to be scaled to the true physical dimensions of the captured human faces. Following a similar setup as in [16], we randomly divided the 60 subjects into two sets, the training set containing 54 subjects (648 samples), and the test set containing six subjects (72 samples).

To drive the classification experiments, we arbitrarily choose a set of six reference subjects with its six basic facial expressions. We point out that the selected reference scans do not appear neither in the training nor in the testing set. These references, shown in Fig. 5, with their relative expressive scans corresponding to the highest intensity level, are taken to play the role of representative models for each of the six classes of expressions. For each reference subject, we derive a facial expression recognition experience.

1. Download: Download high-res image (156KB)
2. Download: Download full-size image

Fig. 5. Different facial expression average recognition rates obtained using different reference subjects (using multiboost-LDA).

### 7.2. Discussion of the results

Several facial expression recognition experiments were conducted with changing at each time the reference. Fig. 5 illustrates the selected references (neutral scan). Using the \_Waikato Environment for Knowledge Analysis (Weka)\_ [17], we applied the multiboost algorithm with three weak classifiers, namely, Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA), Naive Bayes (NB), and Nearest Neighbor (NN), to the extracted features, and we achieved average recognition rates of 98.81%, 98.76% and 98.07%, respectively. We applied the SVM linear classifier as well, and we achieved an average recognition rate of 97.75%. We summarize the resulting recognition rates in Table 1.

Table 1. Classification results using local shape analysis and several classifiers.

Classifier	Multiboost-LDA	Multiboost-NB	Multiboost-NN	SVM-Linear
--- --- --- --- ---				
Recognition rate	**98.81%**	98.76%	98.07%	97.75%

We note that these rates are obtained by averaging the results of the 10 independent and arbitrarily run experiments (10-fold cross-validation) and their respective recognition rate obtained using the multiboost-LDA classifier. We note that different selections of the reference scans do not affect significantly the recognition results and there is no large variations in recognition rates values. The reported results represent the average over the six runned experiments. The multiboost-LDA classifier achieves the highest recognition rate and shows a better performance in terms of accuracy than the other classifiers. This is mainly due to the capability of the LDA-based classifier to transform the features into a more discriminative space and, consequently, result in a better linear separation between facial expression classes.

The average confusion matrix relative to the best performing classification using multiboost-LDA is given in Table 2.

Table 2. Average confusion matrix given by multiboost-LDA classifier.

%	AN	DI	FE	HA	SA	SU
--- --- --- --- --- ---						
AN	**97.92**	1.11	0.14	0.14	0.69	0.0
DI	0.56	**99.16**	0.14	0.0	0.14	0.0
FE	0.14	0.14	**99.72**	0.0	0.0	0.0



HA| 0.56| 0.14| 0.0| \*\*98.60\*\*| 0.56| 0.14  
SA| 0.28| 0.14| 0.0| 0.0| \*\*99.30\*\*| 0.28  
SU| 0.14| 0.56| 0.0| 0.0| 1.11| \*\*98.19\*\*

In order to better understand and explain the results mentioned above, we apply the multiboost algorithm on feature vectors built from distances between patches for each class of expression. In this case, we consider these features as weak classifiers. Then, we look at the early iterations of the multiboost algorithm and the selected patches in each iteration.

Fig. 6 illustrates for each class of expression the most relevant patches. Notice that, for example, for the Happy expression the selected patches are localized in the lower part of the face, around the mouth and the chin. As for the Surprise expression, we can see that most relevant patches are localized around the eyebrows and the mouth region. It can be seen that patches selected for each expression lie on facial muscles that contribute to this expression.

- 1. Download: Download high-res image (489KB)
- 2. Download: Download full-size image

Fig. 6. Selected patches at the early few iterations of multiboost classifier for the six facial expressions (Angry, Disgust, Fear, Happy, Sadness, Surprise) with their associated weights.

### 7.3. Comparison with related work

In Table 3 results of our approach are compared against those reported in [11], [9], [8], on the same experimental setting (54 versus 6 subject partitions) of the BU-3DFE database. The differences between approaches should be noted: Tang et al. [11] performed automatic feature selection using normalized Euclidean distances between 83 landmarks, Soyel et al. [9] calculated six distances using a distribution of 11 landmarks, while Wang et al. [8] derived curvature estimation by locally approximating the 3D surface with a smooth polynomial function. In comparison, our approach capture the 3D shape information of local facial patches to derive shape analysis. For assessing how the results of their statistical analysis will generalize to an independent dataset, in [8] a 20-fold cross-validation technique was used, while in [11], [9] the authors used 10-fold cross-validation to validate their approach.

Table 3. Comparison of this work with respect to previous work [11], [9], [8].

Cross-validation| This work| Tang et al. [11]| Soyel et al. [9]| Wang et al. [8]

---|---|---|---|---  
10-fold| \*\*98.81%\*\*| 95.1%| 91.3%| ?  
20-fold| \*\*92.75%\*\*| ?| ?| 83.6%

### 7.4. Non-frontal view facial expression recognition

In real world situations, frontal view facial scans may not be always available. Thus, non-frontal view facial expression recognition is a challenging issue that needs to be treated. We were interested in evaluating our approach on facial scan under large pose variations. By rotating the 3D shape models in the *\_y\_*-direction, we generate facial scans under six different non-frontal views corresponding to 15°, 30°, 45°, 60°, 75° and 90° rotation. We assume that shape information is unavailable for the occluded facial regions due to the face pose. For each view, we perform facial patches extraction around the visible landmarks in the given scan. In cases where a landmark is occluded, or where the landmark is visible, but the region nearby is partially occluded, we treat it as a missing data problem for all faces sharing this view. In these cases, we are not able to compute the geodesic path between corresponding patches. The corresponding entries in the distance matrix are blank and we fill them using an imputation technique [18]. In our

experiments we employed the mean imputation method, which consists of replacing the missing values by the means of values already calculated in frontal view scenario obtained from the training set. Let

$d_{ijk} = d_S[0, ?0](P_{ik}, P_{jk})$  be the geodesic distance between the  $_k$ -th patch belonging to subjects  $_i$  and  $_j$  ( $i \neq j$ ). In case of frontal view ( $_fv$ ), the set of instances  $_X\_i\_fv$  relative to the subject  $_i$  need to be labeled and is given by:

$X_{fv} = d_{i11} ? d_{i1k} ? d_{i1N} ? ? ? ? ? d_{ij1} ? d_{ijk} ? d_{ijN} ? ? ? ? ? d_{iJ1} ? d_{iJk} ? d_{iJN}$  where  $_N$  is the number of attributes. In case of non-frontal view ( $_nfv$ ), if an attribute  $_k$  is missing, we replace the  $_k$ -th column vector in the distance matrix  $_X\_i\_nfv$  by the mean of geodesic distances computed in the frontal view case, with respect to the  $_k$ -th attribute and given by:  $mkfv = \sum_{j=1}^J d_{ijk} / J$ , where  $_J$  is the total number of instances.

$X_{inv} = d_{i11} ? mkfv ? d_{i1N} ? ? ? ? ? d_{ij1} ? mkfv ? d_{ijN} ? ? ? ? ? d_{iJ1} ? mkfv ? d_{iJN}$  To evaluate the robustness of our approach in a context of non-frontal views, we derive a view-independent facial expression recognition. Error recognition rates are evaluated throughout different testing facial views using the four classifiers trained only on frontal view facial scans. Fig. 7 shows the average error rates of the four classification methods. The multiboost-LDA shows the best performance for facial expression classification on the chosen database. From the figure, it can be observed that the average error rates increase with the rotation angle (values from  $0^\circ$  to  $90^\circ$  of rotation are considered), and the multiboost-LDA is the best performing methods also in the case of pose variations. As shown in this figure, recognition accuracy remains acceptable, even only 50% of data (half face) are available when we rotate the 3D face by  $45^\circ$  in  $_y$ -direction.

1. Download: [Download high-res image \(172KB\)](#)
2. Download: [Download full-size image](#)

Fig. 7. The average error rates of six expressions with different choices of views corresponding to the best reference and using different classifiers.

### ### 7.5. Sensitivity to landmarks mis-localization

It is known that the automatic 3D facial feature points detection is a challenging problem. The most difficult task remains the localization of points around the eyebrow regions, which appear to play an important role in the expression of emotions. The effect of the mis-localization of the landmarks has been addressed in a specific experiment. We considered the eyebrow regions in that the points in these regions are expected to be the most difficult to detect automatically. In these regions, we added noise to the landmarks provided with the BU-3DFED. In particular, we added noise to the position of the landmarks by moving them randomly in a region with a radius of 10 mm, as illustrated Fig. 8 by blue circles. Then we performed expression recognition experiments with such noisy landmarks. The results are reported in Fig. 8. It can be noted that with the multiboost-LDA algorithm the lower decrease in the recognition rate is observed, and even with a recognition rate equal to 85.64% the result still outperforms the one reported in Wang et al [8].

1. Download: [Download high-res image \(285KB\)](#)
2. Download: [Download full-size image](#)

Fig. 8. Recognition experiment performed adding noise to the eyebrow landmarks (random displacement). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

### ## 8\ Conclusions

In this paper we presented a novel approach for identity-independent facial expression recognition from 3D facial shapes. Our idea was to describe the

change in facial expression as a deformation in the vicinity of facial patches in 3D shape scan. An automatic extraction of local curve-based patches within the 3D facial surfaces was proposed. These patches were used as local shape descriptors for facial expression representation. A Riemannian framework was applied to compute the geodesic path between corresponding patches. Qualitative (inter- and intrageodesic paths) and quantitative (geodesic distances) measures of the geodesic path were explored to derive shape analysis. The geodesic distances between patches were labeled with respect to the six prototypical expressions and used as samples to train and test machine-learning algorithms. Using multiboost algorithm for multi-class classification, we achieved a 98.81% average recognition rate for six prototypical facial expressions on the BU-3DFE database. We demonstrated the robustness of the proposed method to pose variations. In fact, the obtained recognition rate remains acceptable (over 93%) even half of the facial scan is missed.

The major limitation of our approach is that the 68 landmarks we used to define the facial patches were manually labeled. For our future work we are interested in detecting and tracking facial feature points, as proposed in [19], [20], for automatic 3D facial expression recognition.

Recommended articles

## References

1. [1]

P. Ekman, T.S. Huang, T.J. Sejnowski, J.C. Hager, Final report to nsf of the planning workshop on facial expression understanding, Technical Report, 1992.

[Google Scholar](#)

2. [2]

P. Ekman, W.V. Friesen, Constants Across Cultures in the Face and Emotion, 1971.

[Google Scholar](#)

3. [3]

S. Joshi, E. Klassen, A. Srivastava, I.H. Jermyn, A novel representation for Riemannian analysis of elastic curves in  $R^n$ , in: Proceedings of IEEE Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2007.

[Google Scholar](#)

4. [4]

M. Pantic, L. Rothkrantz

Automatic analysis of facial expressions: the state of the art

IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 22 (12) (2000), pp. 1424-1445

[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)

5. [5]

A. Samal, P.A. Iyengar

Automatic recognition and analysis of human faces and facial expressions: a survey

Pattern Recognition, 25 (1) (1992), pp. 65-77

[View PDF](#)[View article](#)[Google Scholar](#)

6. [6]

J. Whitehill, C.W. Omlin

Local versus global segmentation for facial expression recognition

FGR '06: Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition (2006), pp. 357-362

[Crossref](#)[View in Scopus](#)[Google Scholar](#)

7. [7]

P. Ekman, W. Friesen, Facial Action Coding System: A Technique for the

Measurement of Facial Movement, 1978.

Google Scholar

8. [8]

J. Wang, L. Yin, X. Wei, Y. Sun

3D facial expression recognition based on primitive surface feature distribution

IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR) (2006), pp. 1399-1406

View in ScopusGoogle Scholar

9. [9]

H. Soyel, H. Demirel

Facial expression recognition using 3d facial feature distances

International Conference on Image Analysis and Recognition (ICIAR) (2007), pp. 831-838

CrossrefView in ScopusGoogle Scholar

10. [10]

I. Mpiperis, S. Malassiotis, M.G. Strintzis

Bilinear models for 3d face and facial expression recognition

IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security, 3 (3) (2008), pp. 498-511

View in ScopusGoogle Scholar

11. [11]

H. Tang, T. Huang

3D facial expression recognition based on automatically selected features

First IEEE Workshop on CVPR for Human Communicative Behavior Analysis (CVPR4HB) (2008), pp. 1-8

Google Scholar

12. [12]

L. Yin, X. Wei, Y. Sun, J. Wang, M.J. Rosato

A 3d facial expression database for facial behavior research

FGR '06: Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition (2006), pp. 211-216

View in ScopusGoogle Scholar

13. [13]

C. Samir, A. Srivastava, M. Daoudi, E. Klassen

An intrinsic framework for analysis of facial surfaces

International Journal of Computer Vision, 82 (1) (2009), pp. 80-95

CrossrefView in ScopusGoogle Scholar

14. [14]

A. Srivastava, E. Klassen, S.H. Joshi, I.H. Jermyn, Shape analysis of elastic curves in Euclidean spaces, in: IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol. 99, IEEE Computer Society, Los Alamitos, CA, USA, 2010 ?<http://doi.ieeecomputersociety.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2010.184>?

Google Scholar

15. [15]

E. Klassen, A. Srivastava, Geodesics between 3d closed curves using path-straightening, in: ECCV, vol. 1, 2006, pp. 95-106.

Google Scholar

16. [16]

B. Gong, Y. Wang, J. Liu, X. Tang, Automatic facial expression recognition on a single 3D face by exploring shape deformation, in: Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Multimedia, Beijing, China, 2009, pp. 569-572.

Google Scholar

17. [17]

M. Hall, E. Frank, G. Holmes, B. Pfahringer, P. Reutemann, I.H. Witten

The weak data mining software: an update

SIGKDD Explorations Newsletters, 11 (2009), pp. 10-18

CrossrefGoogle Scholar

18. [18]

G. Batista, M.C. Monard

An analysis of four missing data treatment methods for supervised learning

Applied Artificial Intelligence, 17 (2003), pp. 519-533

CrossrefView in ScopusGoogle Scholar

19. [19]

S. Gupta, M.K. Markey, A.C. Bovik

Anthropometric 3D face recognition

International Journal of Computer Vision, 90 (3) (2010), pp. 331-349

CrossrefView in ScopusGoogle Scholar

20. [20]

Y. Sun, X. Chen, M. Rosato, L. Yin

Tracking vertex flow and model adaptation for three-dimensional spatiotemporal face analysis

IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics ? Part A, 40 (3) (2010),

pp. 461-474

View in ScopusGoogle Scholar

## Cited by (73)

\* ### Facial expression recognition with Convolutional Neural Networks: Coping with few data and the training sample order

2017, Pattern Recognition

Citation Excerpt :

However, in this work, just the 2D image of the subjects are used to recognize the expressions. There are other works in the literature that presents higher facial expression recognition accuracies, but using 3D information to infer the expressions [72?74]. A better evaluation of the proposed method in real environments is the cross-database experiments, i.e. train the method with one database and test with another (in this case the BU-3DFE).

Show abstract

Facial expression recognition has been an active research area in the past 10 years, with growing application areas including avatar animation, neuromarketing and sociable robots. The recognition of facial expressions is not an easy problem for machine learning methods, since people can vary significantly in the way they show their expressions. Even images of the same person in the same facial expression can vary in brightness, background and pose, and these variations are emphasized if considering different subjects (because of variations in shape, ethnicity among others). Although facial expression recognition is very studied in the literature, few works perform fair evaluation avoiding mixing subjects while training and testing the proposed algorithms. Hence, facial expression recognition is still a challenging problem in computer vision. In this work, we propose a simple solution for facial expression recognition that uses a combination of Convolutional Neural Network and specific image pre-processing steps. Convolutional Neural Networks achieve better accuracy with big data. However, there are no publicly available datasets with sufficient data for facial expression recognition with deep architectures. Therefore, to tackle the problem, we apply some pre-processing techniques to extract only expression specific features from a face image and explore the presentation order of the samples during training. The experiments employed to evaluate our technique were carried out using three largely used public databases (CK+, JAFFE and

BU-3DFE). A study of the impact of each image pre-processing operation in the accuracy rate is presented. The proposed method: achieves competitive results when compared with other facial expression recognition methods ? 96.76% of accuracy in the CK+ database ? it is fast to train, and it allows for real time facial expression recognition with standard computers.

\* ### Static and dynamic 3D facial expression recognition: A comprehensive survey  
2012, Image and Vision Computing

Citation Excerpt :

In [113], the rules were discovered via ant colony and particle swarm optimisations (ACO and PCO). One of the main methods of classification that have been employed is Support Vector Machines (SVMs)

[110,99,96,68,101,116,109,95,111], including multi-class SVMs [92,117].

Another technique that has been widely used is AdaBoost classification [106,99,101,109] with a selection of different weak classifiers such as linear regressors and LDA.

Show abstract

Automatic facial expression recognition constitutes an active research field due to the latest advances in computing technology that make the user's experience a clear priority. The majority of work conducted in this area involves 2D imagery, despite the problems this presents due to inherent pose and illumination variations. In order to deal with these problems, 3D and 4D (dynamic 3D) recordings are increasingly used in expression analysis research. In this paper we survey the recent advances in 3D and 4D facial expression recognition. We discuss developments in 3D facial data acquisition and tracking, and present currently available 3D/4D face databases suitable for 3D/4D facial expressions analysis as well as the existing facial expression recognition systems that exploit either 3D or 4D data in detail. Finally, challenges that have to be addressed if 3D facial expression recognition systems are to become a part of future applications are extensively discussed.

\* ### A brief review of facial emotion recognition based on visual information  
2018, Sensors (Switzerland)

\* ### Multimodal 2D+3D Facial Expression Recognition with Deep Fusion Convolutional Neural Network  
2017, IEEE Transactions on Multimedia

\* ### Survey on RGB, 3D, Thermal, and Multimodal Approaches for Facial Expression Recognition: History, Trends, and Affect-Related Applications  
2016, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence

\* ### 3D facial expression recognition using SIFT descriptors of automatically detected keypoints  
2011, Visual Computer

View all citing articles on Scopus

**Ahmed Maalej** is currently a Ph.D. candidate within the Fundamental Computer Science laboratory of Lille (LIFL UMR 8022), France. He obtained the M.S. degree in Telecommunications from the Higher School of Communications of Tunis (SUP?COM), Tunisia, in 2008, and the electrical engineering degree from the National Engineering School of Monastir (ENIM), Tunisia, in 2005. His main research interests focus on 3D facial expression recognition.

**Boulbaba Ben Amor** received the M.Sc. degree in 2003 and the Ph.D. degree in Computer Science in 2006, both from Ecole Centrale de Lyon, France. Currently, he is an associate-professor in Institut Telecom/Telecom Lille 1. He is also a member of the Computer Science Laboratory in University Lille 1 (LIFL UMR CNRS 8022). His research interests are mainly focused on statistical three-dimensional face analysis and recognition and facial expression recognition using 3D. He is co-author of several papers in refereed journals and proceedings of international conferences. He has been involved in French and International projects and has served as program committee member and

reviewer for international journals and conferences.

**\*\*Mohamed Daoudi\*\*** is a Full Professor of Computer Science in the Institut TELECOM ; TELECOM Lille 1. He received the Ph.D. degree in Computer Engineering from the University Lille 1, France, in 1993 and Habilitation à Diriger des Recherches (HDR) from the University of Littoral, France, in 2000. He is the founder and the head of the MIIRE research group of LIFL (UMR CNRS 8022). His research interests include pattern recognition, image processing, invariant representation of images and shapes, three-dimensional analysis and retrieval and more recently 3D face recognition. He has published more than 80 papers in refereed journals and proceedings of international conferences. He is the author the book 3D Processing: Compression, Indexing and Watermarking (Wiley, 2008). He has served as a Program Committee member for the International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR) in 2004 and the International Conference on Multimedia and Expo (ICME) in 2004 and 2005. He is a frequent reviewer for IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence and for Pattern Recognition Letters. His research has been funded by ANR, RNRT and European Commission grants. He is Senior Member of IEEE.

**\*\*Anuj Srivastava\*\*** is a Professor of Statistics at Florida State University in Tallahassee, FL. He obtained his MS and Ph.D. degrees in Electrical Engineering from Washington University in St. Louis in 1993 and 1996, respectively. After spending the year 1996-1997 at Brown University as a visiting researcher, he joined FSU as an Assistant Professor in 1997. He has received the Developing Scholar and the Graduate Faculty Mentor Awards at FSU. His research is focused on pattern theoretic approaches to problems in image analysis, computer vision, and signal processing. He has developed computational tools for performing statistical inferences on certain non-linear manifolds, in particular the shape spaces of curves and surfaces. He has published over 120 journal and conference articles in these areas.

**\*\*Stefano Berretti\*\*** received the Laurea Degree in Electronics Engineering, the Postlaurea Degree in Multimedia Content Design, and the Ph.D. degree in Information and Telecommunications engineering in 1997, 2000, and 2001, respectively, from the University of Florence, Italy, where, since 2002, he has been an assistant professor and teaches "Operating Systems" and "Fundamentals of Computer Programming" in the School of Computer Engineering, and since 2001, he has also been teaching "Database Systems" in the postdoctoral school in "Multimedia Content Design." His scientific interests are pattern recognition, content-based image retrieval, 3D object partitioning and retrieval, and 3D face recognition.

[View Abstract](#)

Copyright © 2011 Elsevier Ltd. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

**## Recommended articles**

\* **###** Relaxor characteristics and pyroelectric energy harvesting performance of BaTi<sub>0.91</sub>Sn<sub>0.09</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ceramic  
Journal of Alloys and Compounds, Volume 872, 2021, Article 159699

Hend Kacem, ?, J. Dhahri

[View PDF](#)

\* **###** Influence of calcium promoter on catalytic pyrolysis characteristics of iron-loaded brown coal in a fixed bed reactor

Journal of the Energy Institute, Volume 93, Issue 2, 2020, pp. 695-710

Qiuxiang Lu, ?, Shenfu Yuan

[View PDF](#)

\* **###** Achieving large negative electrocaloric effect in AgNbO<sub>3</sub>-based antiferroelectric ceramics based on dipole disordering

Ceramics International, Volume 50, Issue 14, 2024, pp. 25859-25865

Xiaoxu Feng, ?, Xihong Hao

[View PDF](#)

\* ### High energy storage performance induced by the introduction of BiScO<sub>3</sub> into (Bi<sub>0.5</sub>Na<sub>0.5</sub>)TiO<sub>3</sub>/BaTiO<sub>3</sub> lead-free ferroelectric ceramics

Ceramics International, Volume 50, Issue 16, 2024, pp. 28522-28531

Haiyan Kuang, ?, Dongfang Pang

[View PDF](#)

\* ### Electrocaloric response enhancement over a broad temperature range in lead-free BT-based ceramics

Ceramics International, Volume 50, Issue 16, 2024, pp. 28159-28167

Yunyao Huang, ?, Li Jin

[View PDF](#)

\* ### Tuning phase structure for enhanced electrostrain in Nb<sup>5+</sup>-doped Bi<sub>0.5</sub>(Na<sub>0.81</sub>K<sub>0.19</sub>)<sub>0.5</sub>TiO<sub>3</sub> lead-free piezoelectric ceramics

Ceramics International, Volume 50, Issue 16, 2024, pp. 28335-28343

Wanchang Man, ?, Li Jin

[View PDF](#)

[Show 3 more articles](#)

[## Article Metrics](#)

[Citations](#)

\* Citation Indexes: 73

[Captures](#)

\* Readers: 65

[View details](#)

\* [About ScienceDirect](#)

\* [Remote access](#)

\* [Shopping cart](#)

\* [Advertise](#)

\* [Contact and support](#)

\* [Terms and conditions](#)

\* [Privacy policy](#)

Cookies are used by this site. [Cookie Settings](#)

All content on this site: Copyright © 2024 Elsevier B.V., its licensors, and contributors. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies. For all open access content, the Creative Commons licensing terms apply.

[## Cookie Preference Center](#)

We use cookies which are necessary to make our site work. We may also use additional cookies to analyse, improve and personalise our content and your digital experience. For more information, see our [Cookie Policy](#) and the list of [Google Ad-Tech Vendors](#).

You may choose not to allow some types of cookies. However, blocking some types may impact your experience of our site and the services we are able to offer. See the different category headings below to find out more or change your settings.

Allow all

[### Manage Consent Preferences](#)

[#### Strictly Necessary Cookies](#)

Always active

These cookies are necessary for the website to function and cannot be switched off in our systems. They are usually only set in response to actions made by you which amount to a request for services, such as setting your privacy preferences, logging in or filling in forms. You can set your browser to block or alert you about these cookies, but some parts of the site will not then work. These cookies do not store any personally identifiable information.

[Cookie Details List?](#)



#### #### Functional Cookies

##### Functional Cookies

These cookies enable the website to provide enhanced functionality and personalisation. They may be set by us or by third party providers whose services we have added to our pages. If you do not allow these cookies then some or all of these services may not function properly.

[Cookie Details List?](#)

#### #### Performance Cookies

##### Performance Cookies

These cookies allow us to count visits and traffic sources so we can measure and improve the performance of our site. They help us to know which pages are the most and least popular and see how visitors move around the site.

[Cookie Details List?](#)

#### #### Targeting Cookies

##### Targeting Cookies

These cookies may be set through our site by our advertising partners. They may be used by those companies to build a profile of your interests and show you relevant adverts on other sites. If you do not allow these cookies, you will experience less targeted advertising.

[Cookie Details List?](#)

[Back Button](#)

[### Cookie List](#)

[Search Icon](#)

[Filter Icon](#)

[Clear](#)

[checkbox label label](#)

[Apply Cancel](#)

[Consent Leg.Interest](#)

[checkbox label label](#)

[checkbox label label](#)

[checkbox label label](#)

[Confirm my choices](#)