SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT, SUPERIOR COURT VS. NO. BA075063 REGIS DEON THOMAS, DEFENDANT-APPELLANT. 制作とうかの

> APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY HONORABLE EDWARD A. FERNS, JUDGE PRESIDING REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT:

DANIEL E. LUNGREN STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 300 SOUTH SPRING STREET NORTH TOWER, SUITE 5001 LOS ANGELES, CA 90013

FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT: IN PROPRIA PERSONA

VOLUME 33 OF 33 PAGES 4949 THROUGH 5048, INCLUSIVE



MARIANNE BRACCI, CSR #6168 GEORGE W. SABIA, CSR #3336 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. 106

HON. EDWARD A. FERNS, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

PLAINTIFF.

VS.

NO. BA075063

REGIS THOMAS,

VOL 33

DEFENDANT.

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT

JUNE 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 1995 JULY 7, 18, 1995 AUGUST 15, 1995 PAGES 4949 THROUGH 5048

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: GIL GARCETTI, DISTRICT ATTORNEY

BY: MARK ARNOLD, DEPUTY

18000 CRIMINAL COURTS BUILDING

210 WEST TEMPLE STREET

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

JAY JAFFE, ATTORNEY AT LAW

-AND-

VICTORIA DOHERTY, ATTORNEY AT LAW

SUITE 1200 WELLS FARGO BUILDING

433 NORTH CAMDEN DRIVE

BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA 90210

GEORGE W. SABIA, CSR #3336 MARIANNE BRACCI, CSR #6168

OFFICIAL REPORTERS

I N D E X

INDEX FOR VOLUME 33 PAGES 4949 TO 5048

DAY	DATE	SESSION	PAGE	VOL
WEDNESDAY	JUNE 7, 1995	A.M. P.M.	4949 4950	33 33
THURSDAY	JUNE 8, 1995	A.M. P.M.	4951 4952	33 33
FRIDAY	JUNE 9, 1995	A.M. P.M.	4961 4962	33 33
MONDAY	JUNE 12, 1995	A.M. P.M.	4963 4968	33 33
TUESDAY	JUNE 13, 1995	A.M. P.M.	4969 4970	33 33
WEDNESDAY	JUNE 14, 1995	A.M. P.M.	4971 4972	33 33
THURSDAY	JUNE 15, 1995	A.M. P.M.	4980 4981	33 33
FRIDAY	JUNE 16, 1995	A.M.	4982	33
FRIDAY	JULY 7, 1995	A.M.	4994	33
TUESDAY	JULY 18, 1995	A.M.	5000	33
TUESDAY	AUGUST 15, 1995	A.M.	5003	33

PROCEEDINGS

PROCEEDINGS	PAGE	VOL
VERDICT (PENALTY PHASE)	4987	33
MOTIONS AND SENTENCING	5005	33

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JUNE 7, 1995
2	9:30 A.M.
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. EDWARD A. FERNS, JUDGE
4	
5	(AT 9:30 A.M., THE JURY RESUMED
	THEIR DELIBERATIONS; AND AT
7	12:00 P.M. A RECESS WAS TAKEN
8	UNTIL 1:30 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JUNE 7, 1995
2	1:30 P.M.
. 3	DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. EDWARD A. FERNS, JUDGE
4	
5	(AT 1:30 P.M. THE JURY RESUMED
6	THEIR DELIBERATIONS; AND AT
7	4:00 P.M. THE MATTER WAS
8	CONTINUED UNTIL THURSDAY,
9	JUNE 8, 1995, AT 9:00 A.M.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
-	

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, JUNE 8, 1995
2	9:30 A.M.
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. EDWARD A. FERNS, JUDGE
4	(APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)
5	
6	
7	(AT 9:30 A.M., THE JURY RESUMED
8	THEIR DELIBERATIONS; AND AT
9	12:00 P.M. A RECESS WAS TAKEN
10	UNTIL 1:30 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, JUNE 8, 1995 1 1:30 P.M. 2 DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. EDWARD A. FERNS, JUDGE 3 4 (AT 1:30 P.M. THE JURY RESUMED 5 THEIR DELIBERATIONS; AND AT 6 2:00 P.M. THE FOLLOWING 7 PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN 8 COURT OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF 9 THE JURY:) 10 11 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. RECALL THE CASE OF REGIS 12 THOMAS. MR. THOMAS IS PRESENT. RESPECTIVE COUNSEL. WE 13 ARE OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF THE JURORS. 14 15 THE FOREPERSON WROTE A QUESTION ASKING: "COULD THE COURT PLEASE PROVIDE FURTHER 16 DEFINITION OF THE WORD "EXTENUATES" AS IT APPLIES TO 17 SPECIAL FACTOR (K) OF OUR JURY INSTRUCTIONS." 18 MR. JAFFE, DO YOU WANT TO BE HEARD? 19 MR. JAFFE: YES. THE QUESTION IS VAGUE TO THE 20 EXTENT THAT WE DON'T KNOW WHETHER THE JURY KNOWS THE 21 DEFINITION ITSELF OF THE WORD "EXTENUATES" OR WHETHER THEY 22 WANT, AS IS EXPRESSED IN THE NOTE, FURTHER DEFINITION. 23 I DON'T KNOW IF FURTHER DEFINITION MEANS A 24 DEFINITION. THEY MAY BE CONFUSED AS TO THE PLAIN MEANING 25 OF THE WORD "EXTENUATES." 26 ACCORDINGLY, I THINK THE COURT SHOULD ATTEMPT 27 TO AFFIRMATIVELY ANSWER THE QUESTION, AND I HAVE PROPOSED 28.

1 TWO POTENTIAL ADMONITIONS -- NOT ADMONITIONS, BUT ANSWERS TO THE JURY'S QUESTION. THE FIRST QUESTION REQUESTED RESPONSE WOULD 3 BE TO SAY: 5 THE LANGUAGE IN FACTOR (K), WHICH STATES THAT THE JURY IS TO CONSIDER ANY OTHER CIRCUMSTANCE WHICH 6 7 EXTENUATES THE GRAVITY OF THE CRIME EVEN THOUGH IT IS NOT 8 A LEGAL EXCUSE FOR THE CRIME, DIRECTS THE JURY TO CONSIDER THE DEFENDANT'S BACKGROUND AND CHARACTER OR ANY OTHER 9 FACTOR WHICH WOULD ALLOW THE JUROR TO FIX PUNISHMENT AT 10 11 CONFINEMENT IN THE STATE PRISON FOR LIFE WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE INSTEAD OF DEATH. 12 13 THE SECOND -- IF THAT'S NOT ACCEPTABLE, AND I 14 HOPE THAT IT IS, BUT THE SECOND POTENTIAL RESPONSE COULD 15 BE: 16 THE WORD "EXTENUATES" AS IT APPLIES TO FACTOR 17 (K) MEANS TO MITIGATE OR LESSEN OR TO PROVIDE A REASON TO 18 FIX PUNISHMENT AT CONFINEMENT IN THE STATE PRISON FOR LIFE WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE INSTEAD OF DEATH. 19 "EXTENUATE" DOES NOT MEAN AGGRAVATE. 20 OR. THIRD, I SUPPOSE AND FINALLY THE COURT 21 COULD MERELY STATE THE WORD "EXTENUATES" AS IT APPLIES TO 22 23 FACTOR (K) MEANS TO MITIGATE OR LESSEN. THE COURT: MR. ARNOLD? 24 MR. ARNOLD: I AGREE WITH MR. JAFFE. I THINK YOU 25 SHOULD AFFIRMATIVELY ANSWER THE QUESTION. I WOULD OBJECT 26

I WOULD AGREE OR GO ALONG WITH HIS LAST

TO MR. JAFFE'S FIRST AND SECOND ALTERNATIVE.

27

1 | SUGGESTION.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. PEOPLE VERSUS PAYTON AT 3
CAL.4TH 1050 AT PAGE 1070 SAYS:

SINCE THEIR DECISION IN EASLEY, HOWEVER, THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS HELD THE LANGUAGE OF FACTOR (K) SATISFIES THE FEDERAL EIGHT AMENDMENT.

THE COURT REASONED THAT FACTOR (K) DOES NOT, AS THE PETITIONER IN THAT CASE ARGUED, QUOTE, LIMIT THE JURY'S CONSIDERATION TO ANY OTHER CIRCUMSTANCE OF THE CRIME WHICH EXTENUATES THE GRAVITY OF THE CRIME, CLOSED OUOTE.

INSTEAD, THE FACTOR DIRECTS THE JURY, QUOTE, TO CONSIDER ANY OTHER CIRCUMSTANCE THAT MIGHT EXCUSE THE CRIME, BUT CERTAINLY INCLUDES DEFENDANT'S BACKGROUND -- WHICH CERTAINLY INCLUDES A DEFENDANT'S BACKGROUND AND CHARACTER.

I THINK THE (K) FACTOR BASICALLY GIVES THEM
TWO REASONS -- OR IT GIVES THEM TWO OPTIONS. IT TELLS
THEM THAT ANY CIRCUMSTANCE -- EVEN THOUGH THERE IS NO
LEGAL JUSTIFICATION, ANY FACTOR THAT MAY EXTENUATE, WHICH
THE COMMON DEFINITION OF EXTENUATE WOULD BE TO LESSEN THE
GRAVITY OF THE CRIME, AND THEN THEY ALSO CAN USE ANY
SYMPATHETIC OR OTHER ASPECT OF THE DEFENDANT'S CHARACTER
OR RECORD AS A BASIS FOR A SENTENCE LESSER THAN DEATH.

SO "EXTENUATE" ACTUALLY APPEARS TO ME FROM

THE CASE THAT I READ HAS TO DO WITH THE SPECIFICS OF THE

CRIME, BUT THAT'S ONE THING THEY CAN USE FOR LIFE WITHOUT

THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE, OR THEY CAN USE ANY SYMPATHY NO

MATTER HOW GREAT THEY THINK THE CRIME IS. 1 MR. JAFFE: THE PROBLEM WITH THE QUESTION IS THAT 2 IF THE JURY BELIEVES THAT THE WORD "EXTENUATES" MEANS TO 3 AGGRAVATE, THEN THEY MAY POSSIBLY USE THE (A) FACTOR AND 4 THE (K) FACTOR AS DOUBLING UP THE SERIOUSNESS OF THE 5 6 CRIME. THE COURT: WHAT I WILL DO IS I WILL ASK THE -- DO YOU WANT ME TO INQUIRE OF THE FOREPERSON IN FRONT OF THE 8 OTHER JURORS, ONE, WHEN THEY ASKED FOR A FURTHER 9 10 DEFINITION, I INFER FROM THAT THAT YOU HAVE A DEFINITION OF "EXTENUATE," AND WHAT IS THE JURY'S UNDERSTANDING OF 11 THE WORD "EXTENUATE"? 12 OR DO YOU WANT ME TO TELL THEM THAT THE WORD 13 14 "EXTENUATE" MEANS TO LESSEN? GO AHEAD, MR. ARNOLD. 15 MR. ARNOLD: I THINK THAT YOU SHOULD MAKE THE 16 INITIAL INQUIRY AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THE JURY UNDERSTANDS 17 OR HAS AN IDEA OF THE DEFINITION OF "EXTENUATE." IF THEY 18 DO, THEN PERHAPS YOUR ORIGINAL SUGGESTION OF NOT GOING 19 INTO IT FURTHER IS APPROPRIATE. 20 IF HE SAYS, NO, WE DON'T KNOW WHAT THE WORD 21 MEANS, THEN I THINK MR. JAFFE'S THIRD ALTERNATIVE WOULD BE 22 AN APPROPRIATE ONE. 23 MR. JAFFE: THE PROBLEM IS THAT IF THE JURY SAYS, 24 YES, WE KNOW THE WORD "EXTENUATES," AND THEN AFTERWARDS WE 25 FIND OUT THAT THEY THINK IT MEANS TO AGGRAVATE --26

THE COURT: RIGHT. WELL, THAT'S WHY IF I AM GOING
TO ASK THEM IF THEY KNOW WHAT THE WORD "EXTENUATES" MEANS,

```
MY QUESTION WOULD BE WHAT DO YOU UNDERSTAND THE WORD TO
 1
 2
   MEAN.
           MR. JAFFE: I WOULD PROPOSE THAT THE COURT STATE
 3
   THAT THE WORD "EXTENUATE" MEANS TO MITIGATE OR LESSEN, AND
    THEN SAY DO YOU WISH FURTHER CLARIFICATION.
                 AND THEN IF THEY SAY, YES, THEN YOU MIGHT
 6
   SAY, WELL, I CAN'T GIVE YOU ANY FURTHER CLARIFICATION.
           MR. ARNOLD: I AM GOING TO GO ALONG WITH WHAT
 8
    MR. JAFFE SAID, BUT I THINK IF YOU SAY DO YOU REQUEST
 9
    FURTHER CLARIFICATION, AND THEN THEY SAY YES, AND THEN YOU
10
    SAY, WELL, I'M NOT GOING TO GIVE IT TO YOU --
11
           THE COURT: THAT MEANS I CAN'T GIVE IT TO THEM.
12
    THAT MEANS THEY ARE LIMITED.
13
           MR. ARNOLD: PERHAPS IF YOU SAID IT MEANS TO
14
    MITIGATE OR LESSEN, AND SHOULD YOU NEED FURTHER, THE LAW
15
    DOES NOT PERMIT ME TO GIVE FURTHER CLARIFICATION.
16
           MR. JAFFE: LET'S JUST SAY THAT THE WORD
17
    "EXTENUATES" THAT APPLIES TO FACTOR (K) MEANS TO MITIGATE
18
    AND LESSEN AND JUST LEAVE IT AT THAT.
19
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
20
           MR. JAFFE: IS THAT OKAY?
21
           MR. ARNOLD: YES, BUT I THINK IF YOU ARE GOING TO
22
    MENTION CLARIFICATION, THAT YOU SHOULD TELL THEM.
23
           MR. JAFFE: I WOULD SAY DON'T MENTION
24
25
   CLARIFICATION.
           MR. ARNOLD: THAT'S FINE. THAT'S FINE.
26
           THE COURT: SO I WILL TELL THEM AS FAR AS THESE
27
    COUNSEL -- I WON'T SAY AS FAR AS COUNSEL IS CONCERNED, BUT
28
```

```
I WILL SAY THAT THE WORD "EXTENUATES," THE MEANING OF THAT
1
   IS TO MITIGATE OR LESSEN.
2
          MR. JAFFE: OKAY.
3
          MR. ARNOLD: YOU KNOW WHAT. I COULD SEE THE
4
   QUESTION THEN BEING MITIGATES OR LESSENS WHAT?
5
           THE COURT: WELL, THAT -- I KNOW WE ARE NOT HERE TO
   GIVE THEM A GRAMMAR LESSEN, AND, YOU KNOW, MY FOURTH
   GRADER JUST GOT HIS TEST BACK AND MISSED WHAT AN ADVERB
    WAS, BUT THE LANGUAGE IN PAYTON SAYS -- AND I AM GOING TO
9
    WAIT AND SEE WHAT THEY DO, BUT IT SAYS ANY OTHER
10
    CIRCUMSTANCE OF THE CRIME WHICH EXTENUATES THE GRAVITY OF
11
   THE CRIME.
12
                 SO IT REFERS TO THE GRAVITY OF THE CRIME.
13
    THEN THAT'S WHAT IT RELATES TO, LESSENS THE GRAVITY OF THE
14
15
    CRIME.
                 IT MAY WELL BE --
16
           MR. ARNOLD: WHY DON'T YOU GIVE THEM THAT THEN.
17
           THE COURT: NO, BECAUSE THAT'S NOT WHAT THEY ARE
18
19
    ASKING YET.
           MR. JAFFE: RIGHT.
20
           THE COURT: IT MAY WELL BE THAT THERE IS SOMEBODY
21
    BACK THERE THAT IS ARGUING ABOUT WHAT THE WORD "EXTENUATE"
22
23
    MEANS.
                       I THINK THAT WHAT THEY ARE REALLY
           MR. JAFFE:
24
    CONCERNED IS -- I THINK WE'RE PROBABLY OVERREACTING TO THE
2.5
    QUESTION. I REALLY BELIEVE THAT THERE MAY BE A COUPLE
26
    JURORS WHO DON'T UNDERSTAND THE PLAIN MEANING OF THE WORD
27
    "EXTENUATES."
28
```

```
THE COURT: OKAY.
 1
 2
          MR. JAFFE: BUT --
           THE COURT: WELL, IN TYPICAL FASHION, OBVIOUSLY
 3
 4
    WRITING CALJIC INSTRUCTIONS IS VERY DIFFICULT, BUT
    SOMETIMES YOU WONDER WHY THEY DON'T JUST SAY "LESSEN."
 5
    THAT'S WHAT THE WORD MEANS.
 6
 7
           MR. ARNOLD: TRUE.
           MR. JAFFE: I DID NOT HEAR.
 8
           THE COURT: WHY THEY JUST DON'T USE THE WORD
9
    "LESSEN" IF THAT'S WHAT THEY MEAN RATHER THAN "EXTENUATE."
10
11
           MR. JAFFE: WELL --
           THE COURT: I MEAN THE CONCERN I HAVE OBVIOUSLY AS
12
    A TRIAL JUDGE IS THERE MAY BE SOME MEANING IN THE WORD
13
14
    "EXTENUATE" FROM SOME CASE THAT I AM NOT FAMILIAR WITH.
                 BUT IF BOTH COUNSEL WANT THAT DEFINITION OF
15
16
   TO MITIGATE OR LESSEN, THEN I'LL GIVE IT.
17
           MR. ARNOLD: WAIT. WHAT IS YOUR SUGGESTION THEN?
           THE COURT: GENERALLY, I'M PRETTY GUN SHY ON
18
    EXPLAINING, DEFINING WORDS, BUT I WILL DO IT IN THIS
19
20
    PARTICULAR CASE.
                 GENERALLY, I'M CONCERNED ABOUT DEFINING I
21
    GUESS YOU CAN CALL IT LEGAL TERMS OR LEGAL PRINCIPLES.
22
   MAYBE THAT'S NOT WHAT WE HAVE HERE. MAYBE JUST THE WORD
23
    "EXTENUATE" THERE IS A DISCUSSION AS TO WHAT THAT WORD
24
   MEANS.
25
           MR. JAFFE: THE REASON WHY I THINK THE COURT REALLY
26
   MUST ACT AFFIRMATIVELY -- AND I THOUGHT ABOUT IT WHEN THE
27
    CLERK CALLED, THAT NORMALLY I WOULD WAIVE MY APPEARANCE
```

AND SAY JUST GO AHEAD AND DO WHAT YOU WANT TO DO. 1 BUT IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE BECAUSE IT'S A 2 PENALTY PHASE OF A CAPITAL CASE AND THERE'S BEEN SO MUCH 3 DISCUSSION IN APPELLATE DECISIONS ABOUT VAGUENESS IN TERMS 4 OF THE JURY'S OBLIGATION TO VOTE LIFE OR DEATH AND WHAT 5 PARAMETERS THEY HAVE AND WHAT ARE THE FACTORS FOR THEM TO 6 CONSIDER, I THINK THAT THIS IS THE APPROPRIATE TIME FOR THE COURT TO DEFINE IT. 8 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU WANT TO GET THE 9 10 ALTERNATES. 11 (THE JURORS ENTERED THE 12 COURTROOM AND THE FOLLOWING 13 PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD:) 14 15 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE RECORD SHOULD REFLECT 16 THAT THE JURORS AND THE ALTERNATES ARE NOW PRESENT. THE 17 18 FOREPERSON HAS SENT A REQUEST ASKING THAT: "COULD THE COURT PLEASE PROVIDE FURTHER 19 DEFINITION OF THE WORD "EXTENUATES" AS IT APPLIES TO 20 SPECIAL FACTOR (K) OF OUR JURY INSTRUCTIONS." 21 AND THE DEFINITION I AM GOING TO GIVE YOU, 22 THE WORD "EXTENUATES" MEANS TO MITIGATE OR LESSEN. 23 AND WITH THAT, THE TWELVE OF YOU CAN RETURN 24 AND CONTINUE YOUR DELIBERATIONS. 25 26 27

1	(THE JURORS EXITED THE COURTROOM
2	AND THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS
3	WERE HELD:)
4	
5	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL BE IN RECESS UNTIL WE
6	HEAR SOMETHING ELSE.
7	
8	(AT 4:00 P.M., THE EVENING ADJOURNMENT WAS
9	TAKEN UNTIL FRIDAY, JUNE 9, 1995, AT 9:30
10	A.M.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 9, 1995
2	9:30 A.M.
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. EDWARD A. FERNS, JUDGE
4	
5	(AT 9:30 A.M., THE JURY RESUMED
6	THEIR DELIBERATIONS; AND AT
7	12:00 P.M. A RECESS WAS TAKEN
8	UNTIL 1:30 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 9, 1995
2	1:30 P.M.
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. EDWARD A. FERNS, JUDGE
4.	
5	(AT 1:30 P.M. THE JURY RESUMED
6	THEIR DELIBERATIONS; AND AT
7	4:00 P.M. THE MATTER WAS
8	CONTINUED UNTIL MONDAY,
9	JUNE 12, 1995, AT 9:30 A.M.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2,5	
26	
27	
28	

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, JUNE 12, 1995
2	9:20 A.M.
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. EDWARD A. FERNS, JUDGE
4	(APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)
5	
6	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE
7	HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE
8	PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)
9	
10	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. RECALL THE CASE OF PEOPLE
11	VERSUS REGIS THOMAS. MR. THOMAS IS PRESENT. MS. DOHERTY
12	IS HERE ON HIS BEHALF. ALSO PRESENT IS MR. ARNOLD.
13	WE ARE OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF THE JURORS AND
14	THE ALTERNATES. AND MS. DOHERTY ASKED TO HAVE THE CASE
15	CALLED.
16	MS. DOHERTY: YES, YOUR HONOR.
17	THE COURT: OR MR. JAFFE DID.
18	MS. DOHERTY: YOUR HONOR, WHEN MR. ARNOLD GAVE HIS
19	ARGUMENT, IF YOU WILL RECALL THAT HE MADE A COMMENT TO THE
20	JURY. IT IS ON PAGE 4861 OF THE TRANSCRIPT:
21	"YOU WILL DELIBERATE, AND YOUR DECISION MUST
22	BE UNANIMOUS. IF YOU ARE NOT UNANIMOUS, IT IS A HUNG
23	JURY. A MISTRIAL WILL BE DECLARED ON THE PENALTY PORTION,
24	AND THE ENTIRE THING HAS TO BE DONE ALL OVER AGAIN."
25	THE COURT THEN ADMONISHED THE JURY TO
26	DISREGARD THE COMMENT.
27	THE CONCERN IS WHETHER OR NOT THE JURY
28	UNDERSTANDS EVEN THOUGH THE COURT HAS ADMONISHED THEM,

1	WE JUST WANT TO ENSURE THEY UNDERSTAND THAT IT IS NOT THE
2	WHOLE TRIAL THAT HAS TO BE REDONE, JUST THE PENALTY PHASE.
3	THE COURT: MR. ARNOLD, DO YOU WANT TO BE HEARD?
4	MR. ARNOLD: WELL, IF YOU INSTRUCT THEM ON THAT,
5	THAT WILL THEN BE TELLING THEM TO CONSIDER WHAT I SAID
6	WHEN YOU ALREADY TOLD THEM NOT TO CONSIDER WHAT I SAID.
7	I HAD NOT MADE MENTION OF THIS BEFORE, BUT I
8	APOLOGIZE TO THE COURT FOR LETTING THOSE LAST FEW WORDS
9	OUT THAT A MISTRIAL WOULD HAVE TO BE DECLARED AND THE CASE
10	WOULD HAVE TO BE REDONE.
11	I WOULD ASK THAT YOU NOT DO IT. HOWEVER, IF
12	YOU FEEL IT IS APPROPRIATE, I AM THE ONE THAT MADE THE
13	MISTAKE, AND I APOLOGIZE.
14	IF THE COURT FEELS IT IS APPROPRIATE, THEN,
15	BY ALL MEANS.
16	THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE, MS. DOHERTY?
17	MS. DOHERTY: JUST THE FACT, YOUR HONOR, THAT THEY
18	HAVE BEEN DELIBERATING FOR FOUR DAYS, AND THAT WAS THE
19	MR. ARNOLD: HOLD ON.
20	
21	(JURORS PASS THROUGH THE COURTROOM)
22	
23	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MS. DOHERTY, YOU WERE
24	SAYING ABOUT THE FACT THEY HAVE BEEN DELIBERATING FOR FOUR
25	DAYS.
26	MS. DOHERTY: FOR FOUR DAYS. AND JUST THAT THEY
27	UNDERSTAND THAT SOMETIMES A MISTRIAL DOES OCCUR.
28	I WILL SUBMIT ON THAT.

```
1
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AS YOU READ -- ACTUALLY YOU
    READ WHAT EACH OF US HAD SAID. MR. ARNOLD HAD SAID IN HIS
    ARGUMENT ABOUT -- YOU HAVE ALREADY READ THAT PORTION.
 4
                 AND IT IS WITHIN SEVEN LINES OF THAT COMMENT
    THAT I INTERRUPTED HIM, INTERRUPTED MR. ARNOLD, AND SAID:
 5
 6
                 "LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, HIS COMMENT ABOUT WHAT
    WILL HAPPEN IF THERE IS A MISTRIAL, YOU ARE TO COMPLETELY
 7
 8
    DISREGARD THAT. THAT IS NOT A FACTOR IN YOUR
    DECISION-MAKING. AS IF YOU DIDN'T HEAR IT, DISREGARD IT.
 9
10
                 "GO AHEAD, MR. ARNOLD."
11
                 I THINK THAT THAT SATISFIES IT BECAUSE IT IS
12
    NOT A FACTOR. I MEAN THEY ARE DECIDING DEATH OR LIFE
13
    WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE, AND I THINK THAT TRULY
    WITH THIS JURY -- IT TOOK -- THEY DELIBERATED FOR PROBABLY
14
15
    14 DAYS ON THE GUILT PHASE.
16
                 I THINK THAT THEY ARE UNUSUAL AS IT MAY SEEM.
17
   MANY JURORS DO THINGS ON EMOTION, AND I DON'T THINK THIS
18
    GROUP IS. I THINK THAT AS WE SAW IN THE GUILT PHASE, THEY
19
    DATED ONE VERDICT FORM -- OR TWO VERDICT FORMS I THINK IT
20
    WAS MAY 12TH, AND THEN THEY RETURNED WITH THEIR OTHER
21
    VERDICT MAY 17TH OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. SO OF THE THREE
22
    MURDERS, THEY ARE DOING IT IN A SYSTEMATIC MANNER.
23
                 THE FACT THAT THEY ARE STILL DELIBERATING I
24
    THINK IS POSITIVE. THEY ARE PROBABLY FOLLOWING THE
2.5
    INSTRUCTIONS.
                 SO I WILL DENY YOUR REQUEST.
26
                 I JUST WANT TO PUT SOMETHING ON THE RECORD.
27
           MR. ARNOLD: HOLD ON.
28
```

1 -	THE COURT: OKAY.
2	
3	(A JUROR PASSES THROUGH THE COURTROOM)
4	
5	THE COURT: AT THE CLOSE OF THE CASE, I HAD TOLD
6	THEM THAT IF THEY WANTED ANY EXHIBITS FROM THE GUILT PHASE
7	OF THE TRIAL THEY COULD HAVE THEM.
8	THEY SENT OUT A NOTE ON FRIDAY MAKING CERTAIN
9	REQUESTS. I ASSUME I THINK MY CLERK HAD CONTACTED EACH
10	OF YOUR OFFICES.
11	AND THEY ASKED:
12	COULD THE COURT CLERK PLEASE PROVIDE THE
13	FOLLOWING PEOPLE'S EXHIBITS FROM THE GUILT PHASE OF THE
14	TRIAL:
15	X RAYS OF KEVIN BURRELL'S HEAD. THEY'VE GOT
16	PEOPLE'S 102, 103, WITH A QUESTION MARK, AND ARM, PEOPLE'S
17	88.
18	TWO, THE PHOTO DISPLAYS OF MANNEQUINS SHOWING
19	BULLET TRAJECTORY, PEOPLE'S 91 AND 97.
20	THREE, THE AUTOPSY PHOTOS OF ALL THE VICTIMS.
21	THEY THEN SENT OUT ANOTHER QUESTION
22	REQUESTING DEFENSE EXHIBIT W FROM THE GUILT PHASE.
23	MY CLERK PROVIDED THEM PEOPLE'S 10, 50, 84,
24	88, 89, 91, 97, 102, 103, 104, AND DEFENDANT'S W.
25	ALL RIGHT. WITH THAT WE'LL BE IN RECESS
26	UNTIL WE HEAR FROM THEM.
27	MR. ARNOLD: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
28	THE COURT: THANK YOU.

(AT 9:30 A.M., THE JURY RESUMED
THEIR DELIBERATIONS; AND AT
12:00 P.M. A RECESS WAS TAKEN
UNTIL 1:30 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.)

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, JUNE 12, 1995
2	1:30 P.M.
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. EDWARD A. FERNS, JUDGE
4	
5	(AT 1:30 P.M. THE JURY RESUMED
6	THEIR DELIBERATIONS; AND AT
7	4:00 P.M. THE MATTER WAS
8	CONTINUED UNTIL TUESDAY,
9	JUNE 13, 1995, AT 9:30 A.M.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
2.8	

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, JUNE 13, 1995
2	9:30 A.M.
.3	DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. EDWARD A. FERNS, JUDGE
4	
5	(AT 9:30 A.M., THE JURY RESUMED
6	THEIR DELIBERATIONS; AND AT
7	12:00 P.M. A RECESS WAS TAKEN
8	UNTIL 1:30 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, JUNE 13, 1995
2	1:30 P.M.
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. EDWARD A. FERNS, JUDGE
4	
5	(AT 1:30 P.M. THE JURY RESUMED
6	THEIR DELIBERATIONS; AND AT
7	4:00 P.M. THE MATTER WAS
8	CONTINUED UNTIL WEDNESDAY,
9	JUNE 14, 1995, AT 9:30 A.M.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1.	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JUNE 14, 1995
2	9:30 A.M.
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. EDWARD A. FERNS, JUDGE
4	
5	(AT 9:30 A.M., THE JURY RESUMED
6	THEIR DELIBERATIONS; AND AT
7	12:00 P.M. A RECESS WAS TAKEN
8	UNTIL 1:00 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JUNE 14, 1995 1:00 P.M.

DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. EDWARD A. FERNS, JUDGE (APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)

> (AT 1:00 P.M. THE JURY RESUMED THEIR DELIBERATIONS; AND AT 1:30 P.M. THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. RECALL THE CASE OF REGIS THOMAS. MR. THOMAS IS PRESENT WITH RESPECTIVE COUNSEL. WE ARE OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF THE JURORS AND THE ALTERNATES.

MR. JAFFE, MY CLERK INFORMED ME THAT YOU WANTED TO CALL THIS MATTER THIS AFTERNOON.

MR. JAFFE: YES, I WANTED TO ASK THE COURT TO DO SOMETHING.

AS A MATTER OF FACT, JUST AS THE COURT WAS COMING OUT, MR. THOMAS HAD MADE A STATEMENT TO ME BEFORE THE COURT CALLED THE CASE. HE SAID WHY DON'T YOU ASK THE JUDGE TO TELL THE JURY -- NO, HE SAID IF THE JURY DOES COME BACK WITH A VERDICT OF DEATH, WHY DON'T YOU POLE THEM TO SEE WHETHER OR NOT THEY THOUGHT THAT IF THEY WEREN!T UNANIMOUS, THAT THE GUILT PHASE WOULD HAVE TO BE RETRIED.

AND I TOLD HIM THAT'S EXACTLY THE REASON I AM

HERE TODAY.

```
1
                 SO HE UNDERSTANDS THE REASON. I DID NOT EVEN
    TELL HIM THE REASON I WAS HERE TODAY. BUT THAT'S EXACTLY
    THE REASON I AM HERE, YOUR HONOR.
                 TODAY IS THE SEVENTH DAY OF DELIBERATIONS.
 4
 5
    MY INSTINCTS TELL ME THAT THERE MAY BE A POSSIBILITY THAT
    THIS JURY MAY FEEL THAT IF THEY CAN'T REACH UNANIMITY ON
    THE PENALTY PHASE THAT THE GUILT PHASE WOULD HAVE TO BE
 8
    RETRIED.
 9
                 AND I REALLY DON'T SEE THE HARM IN ADVISING
10
    THE JURY THAT IF THEY CANNOT REACH A UNANIMOUS DECISION ON
    PENALTY, THAT THE VERDICT REGARDING THE GUILT PHASE
11
12
    REMAINS INTACT. I DON'T SEE THE PROBLEM WITH DOING THAT.
13
                 SHOULD, HYPOTHETICALLY, THE JURY THEN COME
14
    BACK WITH A DEATH VERDICT AND WE WERE ABLE TO INTERVIEW
15
    SOME JURORS AND MY INSTINCTS ARE RIGHT THAT THEY FELT THAT
    THEY HAD TO BE UNANIMOUS, THEN THEY WOULD HAVE NOT ADHERED
16
17
    TO THE COURT'S ADMONITION THAT THEY WERE TO DISREGARD ANY
18
    STATEMENT MADE BY THE PROSECUTION IN THE PENALTY PHASE
    ARGUMENT THAT A MISTRIAL WOULD BE DECLARED AND THIS WHOLE
19
    THING WOULD HAVE TO BE RETRIED.
20
21
                 NOW, THEY MAY BELIEVE THAT THIS WHOLE THING
    WOULD HAVE TO BE RETRIED, MEANING THE ENTIRE CASE,
22
    INCLUDING THE GUILT PHASE.
23
                 I JUST CAN'T SEE ANY HARM IN TELLING THE
24
    JURY -- WE ARE NOT TELLING THEM ANY FEW FACTS. WE ARE NOT
25
    TELLING THEM ANY NEW LAW, BUT IF THAT'S A POSSIBILITY, AND
26
   HERE IT'S THE SEVENTH DAY.
27
```

THE COURT: MR. ARNOLD?

```
MR. ARNOLD: I WOULD OBJECT TO YOU SAYING ANYTHING.
 1
 2
    IT WOULD APPEAR THAT THEY ARE DELIBERATING AS
    CONSCIENTIOUSLY AS THEY DID DURING THE GUILT PHASE.
 3
 4
                 THESE PEOPLE KNOW THAT THEY CAN SEND NOTES
 5
    OUT TO YOU IF THEY ARE HAVING PROBLEMS, AND IT'S MY
    UNDERSTANDING THAT THERE HAVE BEEN NO NOTES. I WOULD ASK
 6
 7
    THAT YOU NOT ADMONISH THEM IN ANY WAY.
 8
          THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE, MR. JAFFE?
 9
          MR. JAFFE: NO.
10
          THE COURT: WELL, HERE'S THE SITUATION. MY FEELING
    ABOUT THIS -- AND I KNOW MS. DOHERTY WAS HERE THE OTHER
11
    DAY ASKING ME NOT EXACTLY THE SAME THING, BUT SOMETHING
12
13
    VERY SIMILAR ON BEHALF OF MR. THOMAS WHO WAS IN THE
14
    COURTROOM AT THE TIME.
15
                 AND AS I SAID, SHE POINTED OUT THE ARGUMENT,
16
    THE FINAL ARGUMENT, PENALTY PHASE OF MR. ARNOLD AT PAGE
    4861, AND IT BEGAN -- HIS COMMENT WAS AT LINE 20 THROUGH
17
18
    23.
                 THEN PAGE 4862, LINES 3 THROUGH 8, I
19
    INTERRUPTED HIS ARGUMENT AND TOLD THEM THAT THIS IS NOT A
20
21
    FACTOR IN THEIR DECISION-MAKING.
                NOW, THAT WAS EARLY IN HIS ARGUMENT. I THINK
22
23
   IT WAS CURED.
                 THIS JURY -- YOU KNOW, I DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY
24
   ARE DOING OR HOW THEY ARE GOING ABOUT IT. OBVIOUSLY MANY
25
   OF US THAT HAVE BEEN THROUGH THIS WERE SURPRISED AT THE
26
   LENGTH OF TIME THEY TOOK -- I SHOULD NOT SPEAK FOR THE TWO
27
   OF YOU. I WILL SPEAK FOR MYSELF.
28
```

IT TOOK A VERY LONG TIME AT THE GUILT PHASE. 1 AND THEY TOOK A VERY LONG TIME -- I WON'T SAY A VERY LONG TIME. TYPICALLY, A SIGNIFICANT PERIOD OF TIME IN THE PENALTY. THEY ARE STILL DELIBERATING. 4 5 THEY ASKED A QUESTION RIGHT AWAY WHEN THEY GOT INTO THEIR DISCUSSIONS ABOUT DEFINING THE WORD 6 "EXTENUATE" OR "EXTENUATING." IT WAS DEFINED FOR THEM. 7 8 THEY RETURNED TO CONTINUE THEIR DELIBERATIONS. 9 THEY HAD ASKED FOR SOME EXHIBITS, AND I PUT ON THE RECORD WHAT EXHIBITS THEY HAVE PREVIOUSLY ASKED 10 FOR, AND THE CLERK GAVE THEM TO THEM. AND I TOLD THEM IF 11 12 THEY NEEDED ANYTHING TO ASK FOR IT. 13 ON JUNE 12TH MY CLERK INFORMED ME -- MY CLERK 14 INFORMED ME THAT HE CONTACTED BOTH LAWYERS AS FAR AS THEIR 15 REQUEST FOR PEOPLE'S 11 AND 46. AND THAT WAS PROVIDED TO 16 THEM. 17 BUT I'M NOT GOING TO INSTRUCT THEM AS TO WHAT 18 IS THE SIGNIFICANCE AND/OR THE CONSEQUENCES OR LACK OF CONSEQUENCES ON THE GUILT IF THEY DO NOT REACH A VERDICT 19 20 ON THE PENALTY PHASE. 21 THIS IS ONE OF THESE SITUATIONS THAT I 22 REALIZE, MR. JAFFE, YOU FEEL THAT THERE CAN BE NO HARM IN 23 WHETHER AN APPELLATE LAWYER TAKES THAT SAME POSITION IF A DEATH VERDICT WAS RETURNED AND WHETHER THE CALIFORNIA 24 25 SUPREME COURT OR THE 9TH CIRCUIT TOOK THAT POSITION, I'M NOT WILLING AT THIS TIME TO VENTURE AN OPINION OTHER THAN 26 TO SAY THAT WITHOUT A REQUEST FROM THEM AS TO ANY OTHER 27

INFORMATION OR ANY OTHER DIRECTION BY THE COURT, I AM NOT

GOING TO CALL THEM OUT AND INFORM THEM OF THAT. 1 MR. JAFFE: OKAY. THE COURT: IF IT WERE SOMETHING THAT WAS 3 SPECIFICALLY ASKED, THEN I WOULD HAVE TO GIVE IT SOME 4 5 THOUGHT. BUT AT THIS POINT I'M NOT AT THE REQUEST OF THE DEFENSE OR THE PROSECUTION ON MY OWN MOTION GOING TO DO 6 7 THAT. 8 THEY ARE DELIBERATING. YESTERDAY THEY ASKED 9 TO GO HOME AT 3:30, AND THEY WERE EXCUSED AT THAT TIME. 10 BUT OTHER THAN THAT, THEY COME IN IN A TIMELY MANNER. 11 THEY ARE HERE. I -- THEY GO OUT AT LUNCH. THEY COME 12 BACK. AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED, THEY MUST BE DELIBERATING. 13 MR. JAFFE: I KNOW THERE HAVE BEEN CASES -- I KNOW THIS FROM PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE WHERE THERE HAVE BEEN 14 15 MULTIPLE COUNTS TRIED BEFORE A JURY. 16 FOR INSTANCE, THREE COUNTS. THEY COME TO A 17 VERDICT ON TWO COUNTS, SIGN THOSE VERDICT FORMS, AND NOW 18 ARE DELIBERATING ON COUNT III, AND THEY SEND A NOTE OUT IF 19 THEY FAIL TO REACH A VERDICT ON COUNT III DOES THAT 20 INVALIDATE OUR VERDICTS ON COUNT I AND II. 21 THE COURT: RIGHT. 22 MR. JAFFE: SO IT IS SORT OF A --23 THE COURT: I HAD THAT HAPPEN IN THE LAST TRIAL, THE LAST SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE. IF WE COULD REACH A 2.4 VERDICT ON CERTAIN COUNTS, WHAT HAPPENS IF WE CAN'T ON THE 25

27 MR. JAFFE: ALL RIGHT.

2.6

28

THE COURT: WHILE I HAVE BOTH OF YOU HERE, I WAS

OTHERS. BUT THAT WAS AN INQUIRY ON THEIR PART.

- 1 GOING TO HAVE MY CLERK BRING YOU IN ON FRIDAY, BUT SINCE 2 YOU ARE HERE, AS YOU KNOW, MY VACATION BEGINS JUNE 19TH.
- 3 JUDGE O'NEILL IS GOING TO TAKE THE VERDICT IF THEY REACH A
- 4 VERDICT OR HANDLE ANY QUESTIONS. JUDGE FLYNN ALSO
- 5 VOLUNTEERED. I WAS TALKING TO HIM YESTERDAY. SO I FEEL
- 6 IT IS IN GOOD HANDS, EITHER ONE OF THEM.
- 7 HOWEVER, ONE OF THE JURORS HAS NOTIFIED --
- 8 INFORMED MY CLERK THAT ON THE 16TH SHE HAS A GRADUATION
- 9 FOR A FAMILY MEMBER AT SC IN THE AFTERNOON.
- 10 | SO IF THEY HAVE NOT REACHED A VERDICT, IT IS
- 11 MY INTENTION TO CUT THEM -- GIVE THEM FRIDAY AFTERNOON
- 12 OFF.
- 13 ALSO, ANOTHER JUROR HAS INFORMED MY CLERK
- 14 THAT HE HAS RESERVATIONS AND HE -- I DON'T KNOW WHETHER HE
- 15 MENTIONED IT EARLY ON IN THE CASE, BUT WE DID NOT EXPECT
- 16 THEM TO STILL BE HERE. HE AND HIS FAMILY HAVE
- 17 RESERVATIONS AT YOSEMITE JUNE 19TH THROUGH JUNE 20TH.
- NOW, MY INCLINATION WITHOUT HEARING FROM YOU,
- 19 AND IF THERE THEY ARE STILL DELIBERATING AS OF FRIDAY,
- 20 | I'LL HAVE YOU DOWN, BUT MY INCLINATION IS TO GIVE THEM
- 21 THOSE TWO DAYS OFF SINCE THEY HAVE BEEN IN THIS PROCESS
- 22 NOW FOR SEVEN DAYS, RATHER THAN HAVE -- AND THEN HAVE THEM
- 23 COME BACK ON WEDNESDAY TO CONTINUE THEIR DELIBERATIONS.
- 24 ANOTHER ALTERNATE HAS INFORMED THE COURT
- 25 STAFF THAT SHE HAS A VACATION BEGINNING JUNE 19TH. IT MAY
- 26 HAVE BEEN THE WOMAN THAT RAISED IT. I KNOW ONE JUROR
- 27 RAISED THE FACT THAT THEY HAD A VACATION, AND I SAID DON'T
- 28 WORRY ABOUT IT BECAUSE I'LL BE GONE, YOU DON'T HAVE TO

WORRY ABOUT BEING HERE. 1 IF IN FACT IT IS ONE OF THE -- IT IS A 2 SCHEDULED VACATION FOR A WEEK OR TWO WEEKS. IT WOULD BE 3 4 MY INCLINATION, UNLESS I HEAR OTHERWISE FROM COUNSEL, TO 5 EXCUSE HER FROM THE JURY SERVICE SINCE IT'S AN ALTERNATE. AND BASICALLY THEY -- THE FIVE OF THEM SAT 6 7 OUT IN THE HALL TALKING TO EACH OTHER EACH AND EVERYDAY, NOT ABOUT THE CASE. BUT I JUST WANTED TO INFORM YOU OF 8 THAT SO YOU CAN GIVE IT SOME THOUGHT, BUT THOSE WERE MY --9 10 HOW I FEEL ABOUT HANDLING IT. THEY MAY REACH A VERDICT. I DON'T KNOW. I 11 12 DON'T THINK THAT THE JURORS KNOW OR REMEMBER THAT I'M NOT 13 GOING TO BE HERE. I DON'T THINK THAT WHEN THEY COME IN 14 THEY SEE THE ITEMS MARKED OFF. 15 THEY KNOW THAT IN THE MORNING WHEN THEY WALK 16 THROUGH SOMETHING IS DIFFERENT IN THAT I AM CALLING 17 DEPARTMENT 117S CALENDAR BECAUSE WE HAVE A LOT OF PEOPLE 18 SITTING IN THE AUDIENCE AND A LOT OF LAWYERS MILLING AROUND AND TALKING. 19 20 ANYTHING FURTHER, MR. JAFFE? 21 MR. JAFFE: NO. 22 THE COURT: MR. ARNOLD? MR. ARNOLD: SO YOU ARE GOING TO BE -- THEY WILL 23 NOT BE DELIBERATING FRIDAY AFTERNOON, MONDAY, OR TUESDAY? 24 THE COURT: YES, THAT'S WHAT I WILL TELL THEM WHEN 25

I EXCUSE THEM ON FRIDAY IF YOU HAVE NO OBJECTION TO THAT

MR. ARNOLD: THAT'S FINE.

26

27

PROCEDURE.

1	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
2	MR. JAFFE: HAVE A NICE VACATION IF I DON'T SEE
, · 3	YOU.
4	THE COURT: WELL, YOU'LL SEE ME FRIDAY BECAUSE I
5	WILL HAVE YOU HERE JUST TO EXCUSE THE ONE ALTERNATE ON THE
6	RECORD AND EXPLAIN TO THE OTHER JURORS WHAT IS GOING ON.
7	I WILL NOT MENTION TO THEM THOUGH WELL,
8	MAYBE I WILL MENTION TO THEM IF IT IS 12:00 NOON ON FRIDAY
9	THAT I AM GOING ON VACATION. ANOTHER JUDGE IS GOING TO
10	HANDLE ANY QUESTIONS OR ANY FURTHER PROCEEDINGS THAT MAY
11	ARISE IN THE CASE.
12	MR. ARNOLD: IF THERE ARE PROCEEDINGS IN FRONT OF
13	ANOTHER JUDGE, WILL IT BE IN THIS COURT?
14	THE COURT: COURTROOM?
15	MR. ARNOLD: YES.
16	THE COURT: YES. I WON'T MOVE THEM AROUND.
17	ALL RIGHT. THEN WE'LL BE IN RECESS UNTIL WE
18	HEAR SOMETHING FROM THE JURORS.
19	
20	(AT 3:00 P.M., THE EVENING ADJOURNMENT WAS
21	TAKEN UNTIL THURSDAY, JUNE 15, 1995, AT
22	9:30 A.M.)
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
1	

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, JUNE 15, 1995
2	9:30 A.M.
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. EDWARD A. FERNS, JUDGE
4	
5	(AT 9:30 A.M., THE JURY RESUMED
6	THEIR DELIBERATIONS; AND AT
7	12:00 P.M. A RECESS WAS TAKEN
8	UNTIL 1:30 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1 1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, JUNE 15, 1995
2	1:30 P.M.
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. EDWARD A. FERNS, JUDGE
4	
5	(AT 1:30 P.M. THE JURY RESUMED
6	THEIR DELIBERATIONS; AND AT
7	4:00 P.M. THE MATTER WAS
8	CONTINUED UNTIL FRIDAY,
9	JUNE 16, 1995, AT 8:30 A.M.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 16, 1995
2	10:50 A.M.
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. EDWARD A. FERNS, JUDGE
4	(APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)
5	
6	(AT 8:45 A.M. THE JURORS RESUMED
7	THEIR DELIBERATIONS; AND AT 10:50
8	A.M. THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE
9	HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE
10	PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)
11	
12	THE COURT: CALL THE CASE OF PEOPLE VERSUS REGIS
13	THOMAS. MR. THOMAS IS PRESENT. RESPECTIVE COUNSEL ARE
14	PRESENT.
15	I'LL SEE COUNSEL AT THE SIDE.
16	
17	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
18	AT THE BENCH:)
19	
20	THE COURT: I JUST WANT TO PUT ON THE RECORD WE HAD
21	TALKED YESTERDAY ON THE RECORD ABOUT SCHEDULING AS FAR AS
22	LETTING JURORS OFF OR NOT OFF AND THAT TYPE OF THING.
23	DAVID, WHO WAS THE JUROR THAT HAD A
24	COMMITMENT THIS AFTERNOON?
25	THE CLERK: NUMBER 88.
26	THE COURT: OKAY. AND HER NAME IS?
27	THE CLERK: BEVERLY REED.
28	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND YOU HAD TOLD HER

```
YESTERDAY THAT WE WOULD LET HER GO TO THE GRADUATION; IS
 1
   THAT CORRECT?
           THE CLERK: THAT'S CORRECT.
 3
           THE COURT: AND THE CLERK ALSO HAD INFORMED ALL THE
 4
   JURORS YESTERDAY AT THE END OF THE DAY THAT THEY WERE
 5
   COMING IN AT 8:30 THIS MORNING AND WERE GOING TO BE
 6
   EXCUSED AT NOON.
 7
                 AND I THINK THAT THEY BUZZED WITH A VERDICT
 8
   ABOUT 9:00.
 9
                 THERE WAS THE OTHER JUROR WHO WAS CONCERNED
10
   ABOUT MONDAY AND TUESDAY, AND WE -- I BELIEVE THEY HAD
11
    COMMUNICATED WITH HIM THAT WE WOULD TAKE IT UP ON FRIDAY
12
    AND NOT TO BE CONCERNED ABOUT HIS PRIOR COMMITMENT. AND I
13
    THINK THAT'S WHAT THE CLERK TOLD HIM.
14
                 IS THAT CORRECT?
15
16
           THE CLERK: THAT'S CORRECT.
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE?
17
           MR. JAFFE: NO.
18
           THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE?
19
                 ALL RIGHT. WE WILL BE IN RECESS UNTIL -- YOU
20
   SAID THAT DETECTIVE BUMCROT IS ON HIS WAY.
21
           MR. ARNOLD: YES, HE LEFT A MESSAGE THAT I RECEIVED
22
    THAT HE WANTS TO BE HERE. HE IS ON THE WAY, BUT HE SAID
23
    HE MAY BE A LITTLE LATE.
24
                 SO I WOULD ASK THAT YOU WAIT UNTIL 11:15. IF
25
   HE IS NOT HERE BY 11:15, THEN YOU JUST GO FORWARD.
26
           THE COURT: MR. JAFFE, I SEE HIS WIFE, MR. THOMAS'
27
```

WIFE IS HERE.

1	IS THERE ANYBODY ELSE YOU NEED TO WAIT FOR?
2	MS. DOHERTY: WELL, HIS MOTHER AND HIS AUNT ARE ON
3	THEIR WAY.
4	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL BE IN RECESS THEN.
5	
6	(RECESS)
7	
8	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE
9	HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE
10	PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)
11	
12	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. RECALL THE CASE OF REGIS
13	THOMAS.
14	MR. THOMAS IS PRESENT. RESPECTIVE COUNSEL.
15	THE DETECTIVES. WE ARE OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF THE JURORS
16	AND ALTERNATES.
17	COUNSEL, I MEANT TO BRING UP SOMETHING ELSE
18	AT THE SIDEBAR. LET ME TALK TO YOU FOR A SECOND.
19	
20	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
21	AT THE BENCH:)
22	
23	THE COURT: THE JURORS SENT OUT A NOTE THIS
24	MORNING, AND IT'S BASICALLY SOMETHING THAT I CAN I AM
25	GOING TO HANDLE ON THE RECORD, BUT I JUST WANTED YOU TO BE
26	AWARE OF IT.
27	THE FIRST ONE IS:
28	COULD THE CLERK PLEASE CONTACT THE JURY ROOM

```
TO HAVE THEM GENERATE OUR PAPERWORK.
 1
 2
                 THEY ARE STILL GOING TO HAVE TO GO DOWN
    THERE. I THINK THEY WANTED TO GET OUT OF THE BUILDING
 3
    WITHOUT THE MEDIA BOTHERING THEM IS MY INSTINCT ON THAT.
 4
 5
                 THE SECOND ONE IS:
 6
                 ALSO, WHATEVER STEPS CAN BE TAKEN TO KEEP OUR
 7
   NAMES AND OTHER PERSONAL INFORMATION CONFIDENTIAL WOULD BE
   APPRECIATED, UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED ON AN INDIVIDUAL
 9
   BASIS.
                 WHAT I AM INTENDING TO DO IS -- I THINK IT'S
10
11
   237(B) OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE. I AM GOING TO MAKE
   AN ORDER CONDITIONALLY SEALING ALL THE INFORMATION THAT
12
13
   HAS TO DO WITH THE JURORS. AND I'LL FIND THAT THERE IS
14
    GOOD CAUSE BASED ON THE CASE THAT IS INVOLVED.
15
                 I WILL TELL THE JURORS THOUGH THAT I AM DOING
    THAT. I WILL TELL THEM THOUGH THAT IF UNDER THE LAW AT
16
   SOME POINT EITHER OF THE LAWYERS HAS THE RIGHT TO CONTACT
17
18
    THEM, EITHER PERSONALLY OR BY INVESTIGATORS, THAT I WILL
    SEND THEM LETTERS NOTIFYING THEM THAT I HAVE MADE SUCH AN
19
    ORDER, AND THAT THE LAWYERS WILL BE CONTACTING THEM DOWN
20
    THE ROAD OR THEIR INVESTIGATORS. JUST SO THAT THEY ARE
21
    AWARE OF THAT.
22
23
           MR. ARNOLD: ARE YOU GOING TO TELL THEM ON THE
   RECORD THAT IF THEY WANT TO TALK TO US, THEY ARE WELCOME
24
   TO DO THAT?
25
          THE COURT: YES.
26
           MR. ARNOLD: ALTHOUGH I HAVE A FEELING THAT THEY
27
```

28 ARE NOT GOING TO WANT TO.

```
THE COURT: AND WHAT I INTEND TO DO ALSO IS AFTER I
 1
   TAKE THE VERDICT, I'M GOING TO HAVE THEM GO BACK IN THE
   JURY ROOM WITH THE ALTERNATES.
                 AND IF IN FACT THEY WANT TO BE ESCORTED DOWN
 4
   THE BACK ELEVATOR, THE SERVICE ELEVATOR BY THE DEPUTIES,
 5
   I'LL LET THEM DO THAT.
 6
           MR. ARNOLD: ALL RIGHT.
7
           THE COURT: AND IT MAY BE A SITUATION THOUGH THAT
 8
   THEY HAVE TO GO TO THE 11TH FLOOR FIRST, THE JURY ROOM.
9
10
   BUT THAT MAY BE WHATEVER.
                 ACTUALLY, WHAT I AM GOING TO DO I AM GOING TO
11
12
   TELL MY CLERK TO CALL THE JURY ROOM TO TELL THEM TO STAY
   OPEN SO THAT THEY DON'T GO TO LUNCH AT A QUARTER TO 12:00.
13
           MR. ARNOLD: OKAY.
14
15
           THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE?
16
           MR. JAFFE: NO.
17
           THE COURT: READY?
           MR. ARNOLD: YES. ALL RIGHT.
18
19
                 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE
20
                 HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE
21
                  PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)
22
23
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ARE YOU READY TO PROCEED,
24
25
   MR. JAFFE?
           MR. JAFFE: YES.
26
           THE COURT: MR. ARNOLD?
27
           MR. ARNOLD: SIR?
28
```

1	THE COURT: ARE YOU READY?
2	MR. ARNOLD: YES.
3	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU WANT TO BUZZ OUT THE
4	JURORS, PLEASE.
5	THE BAILIFF: THE ALTERNATES?
6	THE COURT: PLEASE.
7	
8	(AT 11:30 A.M. THE JURORS ENTERED
9	THE COURTROOM AND THE FOLLOWING
10	PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD:)
11	
12	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND
13	GENTLEMEN.
14	THE JURY (COLLECTIVELY): GOOD MORNING.
15	THE COURT: THE RECORD SHOULD REFLECT THAT THE
16	JURORS AND THE ALTERNATES ARE NOW PRESENT.
17	MR. FOREMAN, WE HEARD THREE BUZZES.
18	DO YOU HAVE A VERDICT?
19	THE FOREMAN: YES, WE DO, YOUR HONOR.
20	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET ME JUST COVER
21	SOMETHING. I MEANT TO DO THIS BEFORE YOU CAME OUT, BUT
22	I'LL DO IT WHILE YOU ARE HERE.
23	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, THE FOREPERSON HAS
24	INDICATED TO ME THEY HAVE A VERDICT. AGAIN, IF WE ARE
25	GOING TO HAVE A PROCEDURE OF READING THE VERDICT AND THEN
26	PROBABLY POLLING THE JURORS AS WE DID IN THE GUILT PHASE
27	OF THE CASE.
28	IF THERE IS ANY AUDIBLE OUTCRY LIKE THERE WAS

```
IN THE GUILT PHASE, THAT PERSON WILL BE REMOVED FROM THE
 1
    COURTROOM.
 2
                 SO EVERYBODY IS ON NOTICE. AND I'LL STOP
 3
 4
    THIS TIME AND HAVE YOU REMOVED. JUST SO THAT YOU ARE ALL
    AWARE OF THAT.
 5
                 DID YOU BRING BOTH FORMS OUT?
 6
           THE FOREPERSON: (NODS HEAD.)
 7
 8
           THE COURT: CAN YOU HAND BOTH FORMS TO THE BAILIFF,
 9
    PLEASE.
10
11
                  (PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.)
12
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I'LL HAVE THE CLERK READ
13
    THE VERDICT FORM.
14
15
           THE CLERK: TITLE OF COURT AND CAUSE:
                           WE, THE JURY IN THE
16
            ABOVE-ENTITLED ACTION, HAVING FOUND THE
17
            DEFENDANT, REGIS DEON THOMAS, GUILTY OF
18
            FIRST DEGREE MURDER IN COUNT II AND III,
19
            AND HAVING FOUND THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES
20
            TRUE, FIX THE PENALTY OF DEATH.
21
                  THE VERDICT FORM IS SIGNED THE 16TH DAY OF
22
    JUNE, 1995, BY THE FOREPERSON.
23
                 LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, IS THIS
24
    YOUR VERDICT SO SAY YOU ONE, SO SAY YOU ALL?
25
26
                  (THE JURORS ANSWERED IN THE AFFIRMATIVE.)
27
```

```
THE COURT: MR. JAFFE, DO YOU WANT THE JURORS
 1
   POLLED?
 2
           MR. JAFFE: YES, PLEASE.
 3
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, AS WE
 4
    DID IN THE OTHER PHASE OF THE TRIAL, THE CLERK IS GOING TO
 5
    CALL OUT YOUR -- THE JUROR NUMBER WE HAVE GIVEN YOU AND
 6
    YOUR SEAT DESIGNATION.
 7
                 HE IS GOING TO ASK YOU IF THAT IS YOUR
 8
    VERDICT. IF IT IS YOUR VERDICT, YOU SAY YES. IF IT IS
9
10
   NOT, YOU TELL US IT IS NOT.
             GO AHEAD, DAVID.
11
           THE CLERK: AS I CALL YOUR NAME, PLEASE ANSWER YES
12
    OR NO TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTION: IS THIS YOUR VERDICT?
13
14
                 JUROR NUMBER 35 IN SEAT NUMBER 1?
15
           JUROR NO. 1: YES.
           THE CLERK: JUROR NUMBER 97 IN SEAT NUMBER 2?
16
17
           JUROR NO. 2: YES.
18
           THE CLERK: JUROR NUMBER 36 IN SEAT NUMBER 3?
           JUROR NO. 3: YES.
19
           THE CLERK: JUROR NUMBER 88 IN SEAT NUMBER 4?
20
           JUROR NO. 4: YES.
21
           THE CLERK: JUROR NUMBER 13 IN SEAT NUMBER 5?
22
           JUROR NO. 5: YES.
23
           THE CLERK: JUROR NUMBER 95 IN SEAT NUMBER 6?
24
           JUROR NO. 6: YES.
25
           THE COURT: JUROR NUMBER 59 IN SEAT NUMBER 7?
26
           JUROR NO. 7: YES.
27
           THE CLERK: JUROR NUMBER 49 IN SEAT NUMBER 8?
28
```

1	JUROR NO. 8: YES.
2	THE CLERK: JUROR NUMBER 68 IN SEAT NUMBER 9?
3	JUROR NO. 9: YES.
4	THE CLERK: JUROR NUMBER 84 IN SEAT NUMBER 10?
5	JUROR NO. 10: YES.
6	THE CLERK: JUROR NUMBER 11 IN SEAT NUMBER 11?
7	JUROR NO. 11: YES.
8	THE CLERK: JUROR NUMBER 23 IN SEAT NUMBER 12?
9	JUROR NO. 12: YES.
10	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I'LL ORDER THAT THE CLERK
11	RECORD THE VERDICT AS READ.
12	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, I JUST WANT TO MAKE A
13	COUPLE COMMENTS TO YOU.
14	FIRST OF ALL, YOU HAVE MADE AN INQUIRY ABOUT
15	THE PRIVACY OF YOUR NAMES AND OTHER PERSONAL INFORMATION.
16	AND PURSUANT TO THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE
17	SECTION 237(B), I'LL FIND THAT THERE IS A COMPELLING
18	GOVERNMENTAL INTEREST AND ORDER THAT ALL THE COURT'S
19	RECORDS OF THE PERSONAL JURY IDENTIFYING INFORMATION BE
20	CONDITIONALLY SEALED.
21	WHAT THAT MEANS IT IS NOW CONFIDENTIAL. IT
22	IS SEALED.
23	WHAT I WANT YOU TO UNDERSTAND THOUGH IS IF
24	THERE BECOMES A FINDING BY ME OR ANOTHER COURT THAT EITHER
25	THE DEFENSE OR THE PROSECUTION, EITHER THROUGH THE LAWYERS
26	INDIVIDUALLY OR THEIR REPRESENTATIVES, ARE ABLE TO CONTACT
27	YOU, IF IT'S IF I MAKE THAT FINDING OR THAT RULING, I
28	WILL SEND A LETTER TO YOU AT THE ADDRESS THAT HAS BEEN

- 1 PROVIDED TO THE JURY SERVICES PEOPLE NOTIFYING YOU THAT
- 2 THE LAWYERS ARE GOING TO CONTACT YOU OR THEIR
- 3 REPRESENTATIVE.
- 4 AND I WILL SEND THAT TO YOU AND GIVE -- IT
- 5 WILL PROBABLY BE A LEAD TIME OF TWO WEEKS SO YOU CAN
- 6 ANTICIPATE SOMEBODY CONTACTING YOU.
- 7 I AM GOING TO DISCHARGE YOU IN A MOMENT.
- 8 AFTER I DISCHARGE YOU, I AM GOING TO HAVE ALL OF YOU GO IN
- 9 THE JURY ROOM UNTIL THE COURT IS CLEARED, AND THEN WE WILL
- 10 ASSIST YOU IN GETTING TO THE JURY ROOM SO THAT YOU CAN
- 11 | FILL OUT THE APPROPRIATE PAPERWORK TO END YOUR JURY
- 12 | SERVICE.
- WHEN YOU ARE DISCHARGED, THE ADMONITION ABOUT
- 14 NO LONGER TALKING ABOUT THE CASE WITH ANYONE NO LONGER
- 15 APPLIES.
- 16 THAT MEANS YOU CAN TALK TO PEOPLE ABOUT THE
- 17 CASE IF YOU WANT TO. HOWEVER, THE OPPOSITE APPLIES. THAT
- 18 IS, YOU DON'T HAVE TO TALK TO ANYBODY IF YOU DON'T WANT
- 19 TO.
- 20 ALL RIGHT. AND I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT ON
- 21 BEHALF OF MYSELF AND EVERYBODY INVOLVED AND THE JUDGES OF
- 22 THE COURT, I APPRECIATE YOUR PARTICIPATION IN THIS SYSTEM.
- 23 | I AM GOING TO EDITORIALIZE A LITTLE BIT HERE,
- 24 BUT I THINK MR. JAFFE TOUCHED ON IT IN HIS ARGUMENT OR
- 25 DISCUSSION WITH YOU, THAT WHEN YOU CAME INTO THIS
- 26 COURTROOM YOU NEVER EXPECTED TO BE IN THE SITUATION YOU
- 27 ARE IN NOW. YOU DID NOT KNOW WHAT KIND OF CASE YOU WERE
- 28 GOING TO BE ON, WHAT THE FACTS OF THE CASE WERE, OR WHAT

1	THE POSSIBLE PENALTIES WERE GOING TO BE.
2	YOU HAVE PROBABLY PARTICIPATED IN THE MOST
3	SIGNIFICANT TYPE OF CASE THAT IS IN THE SYSTEM. AND I
4	APPRECIATE YOUR CONSCIENTIOUSNESS AND THE AMOUNT OF THE
5	EFFORT THAT YOU PUT IN.
6	DAY IN AND DAY OUT IN THESE COURTS WE HANDLE
7	THESE KIND NOT NECESSARILY THIS PARTICULAR FACTUAL
8	SITUATION, BUT SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE CASES.
9	AND I HAVE SAID BEFORE, AND I THINK WE MIGHT
10	HAVE SAID IT IN JURY SELECTION, THAT IF YOU READ THE PAPER
11	OR WATCH TV, YOU WOULD THINK THERE WAS ONLY ONE CASE
12	OCCURRING IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. AND I THINK THAT THAT IS
13	WHAT IS WRONG WITH I DON'T WANT TO SAY THE SYSTEM, BUT
14	THE COVERAGE. IT ALMOST MAKES IT A FARCE.
15	SO, AGAIN, I JUST WANT TO THANK YOU ON BEHALF
16	OF EVERYBODY, AND YOU ARE DISCHARGED AT THIS TIME. AND IF
17	ALL OF YOU COULD GO IN THE JURY ROOM, PLEASE.
18	
19	(THE JURORS EXITED THE COURTROOM
20	AND THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS
21	WERE HELD:)
22	
23	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE RECORD SHOULD REFLECT
2 4	THAT THE JURORS AND ALTERNATES HAVE LEFT THE COURTROOM.
25	MR. JAFFE, WHAT DATE DO YOU WANT FOR
26	SENTENCING?
27	MR. JAFFE: JUST SET IT WITHIN THE STATUTORY
2.8	PERIOD. YOUR HONOR.

```
THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WITHIN 20 DAYS. I THINK IT
 1
    IS THE 24TH DAY IF I'M CORRECT.
 2
                 HOW IS JULY 14TH FOR YOUR CALENDAR?
 3
           MR. JAFFE: THAT SHOULD BE ALL RIGHT. THAT'S FINE.
 4
 5
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IS THAT ALL RIGHT WITH YOU,
 6
    MR. ARNOLD.
 7
           MR. ARNOLD: I WILL BE HERE.
 8
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. ARNOLD, YOU ALSO HAVE
 9
    TO PREPARE THE COMMITMENT ORDER FOR THE SENTENCING.
10
                 AND AT THAT TIME, MR. JAFFE, IF YOU HAVE ANY
    MOTIONS, IF YOU ARE -- WHAT I WOULD ASK IS THAT IF YOU ARE
11
12
    GOING TO SCHEDULE MOTIONS AND THEY NEED TO BE -- AND YOU
13
    NEED A CONTINUANCE, IF YOU WOULD NOTIFY US SO THAT WE
    CAN -- I PLAN ON NOT SETTING ANYTHING THAT DAY, AND THAT
14
15
    WAY MR. ARNOLD CAN THEN NOTIFY THE PARENTS OR FAMILY OF
16
    THE VICTIMS BECAUSE I THINK PROBABLY THEY WANT TO BE
17
    HEARD.
18
                 AND I WILL ORDER THAT A PROBATION REPORT BE
    PREPARED FOR THE SENTENCING.
19
20
                 ANYTHING ELSE?
21
           MR. JAFFE: NO.
22
           THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE, MR. ARNOLD?
23
           MR. ARNOLD: NO, YOUR HONOR.
24
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THEN WE WILL BE IN RECESS,
    AND SENTENCING WILL BE CALENDARED ON JULY 14TH.
25
26
                 (AT 11:37 A.M., AN ADJOURNMENT WAS TAKEN
27
                  UNTIL FRIDAY, JULY 14, 1995, AT 8:30 A.M.)
28
```

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JULY 7, 1995 1 9:00 A.M. 2 HON. EDWARD A. FERNS, JUDGE DEPARTMENT NO. 106 3 (APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.) 4 5 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. CALL THE CASE OF PEOPLE 6 VERSUS REGIS THOMAS. MR. THOMAS IS PRESENT WITH HIS 7 ATTORNEY. MS. DOHERTY IS HERE ON HIS BEHALF. THE PEOPLE 8 ARE REPRESENTED BY MR. ARNOLD. 9 MS. DOHERTY, MR. JAFFE AND YOU HAD FILED A 10 MOTION AND ASKED IT CALENDARED ON TODAY'S DATE. YOU GAVE 11 NOTICE TO THE PROSECUTION FOR A MOTION TO DISCLOSE THE 12 NAME, ADDRESSES, AND PHONE NUMBERS OF THE JURORS PURSUANT 13 TO CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE SECTION 206, OR IN THE 14 ALTERNATIVE FOR THE COURT TO CONTACT JURORS IN ORDER TO 15 ACCOMMODATE JUROR INTERVIEWS. 16 AND IT IS FOR THE LIMITED PURPOSE I TAKE IT 17 OF ANY POSSIBLE MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL? 18 MS. DOHERTY: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. 19 THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO BE HEARD, MR. ARNOLD? 20 YOU FILED A RESPONSE. YOUR RESPONSE 21 INDICATED THE PROCEDURE YOU WOULD LIKE THE COURT TO 22 FOLLOW, AND I THINK YOU TOOK THAT FROM THE JONES CASE. 2.3 MR. ARNOLD: IT IS CONSISTENT WITH JONES VERSUS 24 SUPERIOR COURT OF SAN DIEGO. HOWEVER, I WROTE THAT BEFORE 2.5 I ACTUALLY RECEIVED THE DEFENDANT'S MOVING PAPERS, AND 26 THE -- I WOULD OBJECT AT THIS POINT TO THE RELEASE OF THE 27 ADDRESSES, BUT I DO NOT OBJECT TO COUNSEL'S REQUEST IN THE 28

ALTERNATIVE FOR THE COURT TO CONTACT THE JURORS. 1 AND I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH THE LETTER, THE 2 PROPOSED LETTER THAT COUNSEL WOULD LIKE THE COURT TO SEND. 3 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. HERE'S WHAT I AM GOING TO 4 5 DO: ONE IS I AM GOING TO GRANT YOUR REQUEST FOR 6 THE ADDRESSES OF THE JURORS. 7. THE LETTER THAT WAS PROFFERED TO ME I BELIEVE 8 IS -- IT'S A FORM THAT COULD BE USED. IT JUST SAYS THAT 9 THE DEFENSE WANTS TO TALK WITH YOU. I TAKE IT, 10 MR. ARNOLD, IF THE DEFENSE IS TALK TO THEM, YOU WOULD BE 11 INCLINED TO TALK TO THEM ALSO OR AT LEAST SOME OF THE 12 JURORS. 13 MR. ARNOLD: YES, IF THE DEFENSE SPEAKS TO A JUROR, 14 THEN I WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK TO THAT JUROR. 15 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AS IS INCLUDED OR 16 ARTICULATED IN THE PROPOSED LETTER, I TOLD THE JURORS THAT 17 I WOULD NOTIFY THEM IF THE LAWYERS WERE GOING TO CONTACT 18 19 THEM. THE ONE PROCESS OF ME SENDING A LETTER AND 2.0 THEN HAVING THEM INITIAL AND CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE THING, 21 CHOICE, AND THEN CONTACTING EACH OF YOU TO INFORM YOU OF 22 WHAT THEY WANT TO DO, I THINK THAT TYPICALLY IF IT WERE A 23 SMALL JURISDICTION MIGHT BE A REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE. 24 BUT TRULY I DON'T GET MAIL. I CAN GO THREE 25 WEEKS, FOUR WEEKS WITHOUT GETTING MAIL THAT HAS BEEN SENT 26 TO ME BECAUSE OF CONFUSION IN THE COUNTY. 27

28

SO RATHER THAN HAVE IT BE SCREENED THROUGH ME

- 4996 OR MY CLERK AND THEN THERE'S A SITUATION OF LATER DOWN THE 1 ROAD IF SOMEBODY, AN APPELLATE LAWYER, IS ABLE TO CONTACT 2 A JUROR WHO SAYS, OH, I WAS ALWAYS AT THAT ADDRESS, I 3 DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY I DIDN'T GET IT OR WHAT HAVE YOU, I'M GOING TO RELEASE THE ADDRESSES DIRECTLY TO COUNSEL. 5 WHAT I AM GOING TO DO IS I AM GOING TO DRAFT 6 A LETTER USING THE LANGUAGE THAT IS POSED BY THE DEFENSE 7 BASICALLY STATING THAT COUNSEL FOR THE PROSECUTION AND THE 8 DEFENSE OR THEIR REPRESENTATIVES MAY DESIRE TO CONTACT YOU 10 AND DISCUSS THE CASE. THE LANGUAGE WILL THEN SAY THE DECISION 11 WHETHER OR NOT TO SPEAK WITH COUNSEL IS YOURS AND YOURS 12 13 ALONE. YOU ARE NOT REQUIRED TO SPEAK WITH COUNSEL. YOU MAY DO SO IF YOU CHOOSE. AND I WILL INDICATE THAT I AM 14 15 RELEASING THE INFORMATION TO THEM.
- I WILL PUT IN THE LETTER THAT COUNSEL -
 SINCE YOU HAVE POSED IT IN YOUR PROPOSED LETTER, THAT

 COUNSEL WILL, IF NECESSARY, MAKE ARRANGEMENTS TO MEET YOU

 EITHER AT THE COURTHOUSE, AT THEIR LAW OFFICES, OR AT

 THEIR -- YOUR HOMES OR PLACE OF BUSINESS TO ACCOMMODATE

 YOU.
- AND I WILL HAVE THE LETTER PROBABLY -- I'M

 GOING TO TRY TO GET THE LETTER MAILED OUT ON MONDAY, WHICH

 WILL BE THE 10TH.
- 25 SO I TAKE IT, MS. DOHERTY, YOU WANT TO VACATE
 26 THE 14TH?
- MS. DOHERTY: YES, YOUR HONOR.
- THE COURT: ON YOUR MOTION I'LL VACATE THE 14TH,

```
AND I'LL TAKE A TIME WAIVER IN A MOMENT FROM MR. THOMAS.
1
                I WILL RELEASE THE INFORMATION TO COUNSEL.
2
   IT WILL BE AVAILABLE ON THE 18TH, ASSUMING THE SECRETARY
3
   GETS THE LETTERS OUT ON THE 10TH OR 11TH. MY CLERK WILL
5
   HAVE THE INFORMATION.
                WHAT DATE DO YOU WANT FOR THE --
6
          MS. DOHERTY: SENTENCING?
 7
         THE COURT: YES.
8
          MS. DOHERTY: WE WOULD REQUEST AUGUST 11TH.
9
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND THAT WILL BE FOR MOTION
10
   FOR A NEW TRIAL.
11
12
         MS. DOHERTY: CORRECT.
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. THOMAS, YOU HAVE HEARD
13
   WHAT HAS BEEN SAID HERE.
14
            WE HAD PICKED JULY 14TH FOR YOUR PROBATION
15
   AND SENTENCING HEARING.
16
              IS IT AGREEABLE, SIR, THAT WE TAKE THAT DAY
17
   OFF AS FAR AS SETTING IT IN THIS COURT AND SET THAT
18
   HEARING AND MOTION FOR THE NEW TRIAL ON AUGUST 11TH?
19
          THE DEFENDANT: YES, SIR.
20
          THE COURT: YOU JOIN?
21
          MS. DOHERTY: YES, SIR.
22
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO THEN AUGUST 11TH FOR
23
   MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL, FURTHER PROCEEDINGS.
24
                 AGAIN, MS. DOHERTY, I WOULD ASK THAT IF AS A
25
   RESULT -- OR, MR. ARNOLD, IF AS A RESULT OF TALKING TO
26
   PEOPLE YOU ARE GOING TO FILE PAPERS OR WHAT HAVE YOU AND
27
   YOU DON'T FEEL -- OR YOU CANNOT GET A HOLD OF SOMEBODY
```

```
11TH IS NOT GOING TO BE A DAY THAT IS GOING TO PROCEED.
 2
    PLEASE NOTIFY OPPOSING COUNSEL SO THAT THEN THE PARENTS OF
 3
    THE DEFENDANT AND THE PARENTS OF THE VICTIMS DON'T HAVE TO
   MAKE A TRIP HERE UNNECESSARILY.
 5
 6
                ANYTHING ELSE?
 7
           MR. ARNOLD: NO, YOUR HONOR.
           THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE?
 8
           MS. DOHERTY: NO, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.
9
           THE COURT: ONE OTHER THING WHILE WE ARE HERE.
10
                                                            MY
    CLERK JUST WAVED AT ME, AND I RECALL IT.
11
                 YESTERDAY MY CLERK BROUGHT TO MY ATTENTION --
12
13
   AND, MS. DOHERTY, YOU MAY WANT TO TALK TO MR. JAFFE ABOUT
    IT. I DON'T THINK IT IS NECESSARY, BUT I'LL PUT IT OVER
14
15
    IF NECESSARY.
                 THE VERDICT FORMS WERE COUNT I, COUNT II,
16
    COUNT III, AND COUNT IV, WHEN IN REALITY COUNT IV WAS
17
    COUNT VI BECAUSE HE HAD PLED TO COUNT IV AND V.
18
                 I THINK THAT EVERYBODY UNDERSTOOD THAT WHAT
19
    THAT VERDICT FORM TRULY WAS FOR WAS COUNT VI. IN A WAY IT
20
    PROBABLY INURED TO THE BENEFIT OF THE DEFENDANT IN THAT IT
21
   DIDN'T SEEM LIKE THERE WAS SOMETHING MISSING IN THE
2.2
23
   NUMERICAL ORDER.
                 MY QUESTION IS, WHETHER YOU WANT TO DO IT AT
24
    THIS TIME OR PUT IT OVER, BY INTERLINEATION TO STRIKE THE
25
    COUNT IV AND AGREE THAT IT APPLIES TO COUNT VI.
26
           MS. DOHERTY: WELL, DUE TO THE NATURE OF THE CASE,
27
```

WHY DON'T WE PUT IT OVER, AND I'LL --

BECAUSE HE OR SHE IS ON VACATION OR WHAT HAVE YOU, AND THE

1

THE COURT: JUST SO YOU CAN TALK TO MR. JAFFE AND 1 DISCUSS IT. WE'LL TAKE IT UP AT THAT TIME. 2 MR. ARNOLD: THE ONLY THING I WANT -- I'D LIKE IS 3 FOR MS. DOHERTY TO NOTIFY ME SHOULD SHE MAKE ARRANGEMENTS 4 TO CONTACT ANY OF THE JURORS SO I CAN INTERVIEW THEM AFTER 5 SHE FINISHES. THE COURT: OKAY. AND, OBVIOUSLY, I DON'T REALLY 7 FEEL IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE I HAVE TO ARTICULATE THIS, 8 BUT I WILL FOR THE RECORD. 9 THE RELEASE OF THE PERSONAL INFORMATION IS 10 STRICTLY FOR JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS IN THIS CASE, NOT TO BE 11 PROVIDED TO ANY OTHER SOURCE OR ANY OTHER PARTY. 12 DO YOU UNDERSTAND, MS. DOHERTY? 13 MS. DOHERTY: THAT IS WELL UNDERSTOOD, YOUR HONOR. 14 THE COURT: MR. ARNOLD? 15 MR. ARNOLD: SURE. 16 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. 17 MR. ARNOLD: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 18 19 (PROCEEDINGS CONTINUED TO JULY 18, 1995, AT 20 8:30 A.M.) 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, JULY 18, 1995
2	8:55 A.M.
. 3	DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. EDWARD A. FERNS, JUDGE
4	(APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)
5	
6	THE COURT: CALL THE CASE OF REGIS THOMAS.
7	MR. THOMAS IS PRESENT REPRESENTED BY MS. DOHERTY.
8	MR. ARNOLD IS HERE ON BEHALF OF THE PROSECUTION.
9	ALL RIGHT. I MAILED OUT THE WELL, I
10	SIGNED THE LETTERS TO THE JURORS JULY 10. SO ASSUMING THE
11	SECRETARY EITHER MAILED THEM THAT DAY OR THE FOLLOWING
12	DAY.
13	SO I'LL PROVIDE TO COUNSEL THE ADDRESSES AND
14	I BELIEVE IT WAS PHONE NUMBERS OF THE TWELVE JURORS.
15	MS. DOHERTY: FOR THE RECORD, YOUR HONOR, COULD WE
16	ALSO GET A COPY OF THE LETTER?
17	THE COURT: YES. I SEALED THEM AND PUT THEM IN
18	LET ME OPEN IT.
19	DO YOU WANT TO HEAR WHAT IT SAYS?
20	IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE INTERESTED IN?
21	MS. DOHERTY: YES, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.
22	THE COURT: I WILL OPEN IT UP. BASICALLY IT'S THE
23	LANGUAGE THAT WAS TYPICALLY PROPOSED, BUT IT SAYS:
24	"DEAR WHOMEVER:
25	"AS YOU ARE AWARE, DURING THE
26	TRIAL IN THE CASE OF PEOPLE OF THE STATE
27	OF CALIFORNIA VERSUS REGIS THOMAS, THE
2.8	JURY WAS ANONYMOUS. THIS WAS DONE TO

ENSURE YOUR ANONYMITY AND THE 1 CONFIDENTIALITY OF YOUR HOME AND BUSINESS ADDRESSES AS WELL AS TELEPHONE NUMBERS. 3 "AS I MENTIONED, AT THE CLOSE 4 OF TRIAL THE ATTORNEYS MAY DESIRE TO 5 CONTACT YOU TO DISCUSS THE CASE. 6 DEFENSE COUNSEL AND THE PROSECUTOR HAVE 7 REQUESTED THE TELEPHONE NUMBERS AND 8 ADDRESSES FOR THE PURPOSE OF POSSIBLY 9 DISCUSSING THE CASE WITH YOU. 10 "UNDER THE PRESENT LAW, THEY 11 ARE ENTITLED TO THIS INFORMATION. THE 12 DECISION WHETHER OR NOT TO SPEAK WITH THE 13 TRIAL ATTORNEYS IS YOURS ALONE. YOU ARE 14 NOT REQUIRED TO SPEAK WITH THE ATTORNEYS, 15 BUT MAY DO SO IF YOU CHOOSE. 16 "I WILL RELEASE THE REQUESTED 17 INFORMATION TO THE ATTORNEYS ON TUESDAY, 18 JULY 18, 1995. THE ATTORNEYS HAVE 19 INDICATED TO ME THAT IF YOU CHOOSE TO 20 SPEAK WITH THEM, THEY WILL MAKE 21 ARRANGEMENTS TO INTERVIEW EITHER AT THE 22 COURTHOUSE OR SOME OTHER LOCATION THAT IS 23 CONVENIENT FOR YOU. 24 "THANK YOU AGAIN FOR YOUR 25 PARTICIPATION IN THE SYSTEM AND IN THIS 26 PARTICULAR CASE. 27

"SINCERELY YOURS."

1	MS. DOHERTY: THANK YOU.
2	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ANYTHING ELSE?
3	ALL RIGHT. THEN I'LL PUT THIS BACK IN.
4	ALL RIGHT. THEN, MR. THOMAS, WE HAD SELECTED
5	I BELIEVE IT WAS AUGUST 11TH FOR YOUR SENTENCING.
6	MR. JAFFE AND MS. DOHERTY ARE REQUESTING AUGUST 15TH.
7	IS IT AGREEABLE THAT WE CONTINUE IT TO THAT
8	DATE, SIR?
9	THE DEFENDANT: YES, SIR.
10	THE COURT: YOU JOIN, MS. DOHERTY?
11	MS. DOHERTY: YES, I DO.
12	THE COURT: THEN AUGUST 15TH WILL BE THE SENTENCING
13	HEARING.
14	MR. ARNOLD: YOUR HONOR
15	THE COURT: I'LL VACATE THE DATE OF THE
16	MR. ARNOLD: INITIALLY IT WAS SET ON JULY 14TH.
17	WAS THERE A WAIVER FOR AUGUST 11TH?
18	THE COURT: YES. WE VACATED THE 14TH DAY.
19	MR. ARNOLD: OKAY.
20	THE COURT: AND I AM GOING TO VACATE THE 11TH DAY.
21	ALL RIGHT. WE'LL BE IN RECESS.
22	MR. ARNOLD: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
23	MS. DOHERTY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
24	
25	(PROCEEDINGS CONTINUED TO AUGUST 15, 1995,
26	AT 8:30 A.M.)
27	

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, AUGUST 15, 1995
2	9:15 A.M.
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. EDWARD A. FERNS, JUDGE
4	APPEARANCES: MARK ARNOLD, DEPUTY DISTRICT
5	ATTORNEY, REPRESENTING THE PEOPLE OF THE
6	STATE OF CALIFORNIA; JAY JAFFE AND
7	VICTORIA DOHERTY, ATTORNEYS AT LAW,
8	REPRESENTING THE DEFENDANT, REGIS DEON
9	THOMAS.
10	
11	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
12	IN CHAMBERS:)
13	
14	THE COURT: WE ARE IN CHAMBERS ON THE CASE OF
15	PEOPLE VERSUS REGIS THOMAS.
16	MR. JAFFE IS PRESENT, MS. DOHERTY,
17	MR. ARNOLD.
18	MR. JAFFE, YOU ASKED TO BE HEARD?
19	MR. JAFFE: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
20	I ADVISED MR. ARNOLD THAT MR. THOMAS MADE A
21	REQUEST OF ME YESTERDAY, AND THAT WAS HE WISHED THE MATTER
22	CONTINUED TO SEPTEMBER 1ST.
23	I ADVISED HIM THAT I WOULD MAKE THE MOTION ON
24	HIS BEHALF, THAT HE EXPRESSED REASONS WHY HE WANTED THE
25	MATTER CONTINUED TO THE 1ST. I TOLD HIM THAT I WOULD
26	ADVISE THE COURT OF THAT FACT.
27	SO ON HIS BEHALF I AM IN FACT MOVING TO
28	CONTINUE THIS MATTER TO SEPTEMBER 1ST.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND NO LEGAL BASIS HAVING BEEN ARTICULATED, THE MOTION WILL BE DENIED. (WHEREUPON IN CAMERA PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.) -8 1,7

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, AUGUST 15, 1995
2	DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. EDWARD A. FERNS, JUDGE
3	9:40 A.M.
4	
5	(APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)
6	
7	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
8	CALLING THE CASES OF PEOPLE VERSUS REGIS
9	THOMAS, CASE YA004885 AND BA075063, MR. THOMAS IS
10	PRESENT. HIS ATTORNEY IS PRESENT ON HIS BEHALF AND THE
11	PEOPLE ARE REPRESENTED.
12	TODAY IS THE PROBATION AND SENTENCING THAT
13	HAS BEEN SET FOR CASE BA075063.
14	IT'S MY INTENTION TO HANDLE THE PROBATION
15	CASE INITIALLY SO THAT I CAN RESOLVE THAT AND IN THE
16	MAKE UP OF THINGS, IT'S PROBABLY A MATTER OF PAPERWORK,
17	THAT IS YA004885.
18	MR. JAFFE, DO YOU WANT TO BE HEARD AT ALL
19	AS TO THAT CASE?
20	MR. JAFFE: NO, YOUR HONOR.
21	THE COURT: MR. ARNOLD.
22	MR. ARNOLD: SUBMITTED.
23	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
24	BASED ON THE CONVICTION IN CASE BA075063, I
25	FIND THE DEFENDANT IN VIOLATION OF PROBATION AND ORDER
26	THAT HIS PROBATION BE REVOKED.
27	I'LL SELECT THE MIDTERM OF THREE YEARS IN
28	STATE PRISON. ON THAT STATE PRISON COMMITMENT, HE'LL

GET CREDIT FOR 1,336 DAYS THAT HE SERVED PENDING THE 1 PROBATION VIOLATION, 668 GOOD TIME/WORK TIME PLUS THE 2 270 DAYS THAT HE HAS ALREADY SERVED FOR A TOTAL OF 2,274 3 4 DAYS CREDIT. THAT WILL TAKE CARE OF THAT CASE. 5 ALL RIGHT. 6 NOW, IN CASE BA075063, THE FIRST MATTER 7 I'LL TAKE UP IS THE MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL THAT WAS FILED 8 BY YOU, MR. JAFFE. I HAVE READ AND CONSIDERED THE 9 MOTION, AND DID YOU WANT TO ADD ANYTHING TO THAT? 10 MR. JAFFE: NO, YOUR HONOR. I WOULD SUBMIT THE 11 MATTER ON THE MOTION FILED. 12 THE MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL WITH RESPECT TO 13 THE GUILT PHASE IS BASED ON 11 SEPARATE MATTERS. THOSE 14 MATTERS WERE REFERRED TO IN THE MOTION REGARDING THE 15 GUILT PHASE, AND WE WOULD SUBMIT ON THE PAPERS FILED. 16 SHOULD THE COURT DENY THE MOTION FOR NEW 17 TRIAL IN THE GUILT PHASE, AT THIS TIME WE WOULD ALSO 18 MAKE A MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL IN RESPECT TO THE PENALTY 19 20 PHASE. SPECIFICALLY, YOUR HONOR, THERE ARE SIX 21 ENUMERATED ITEMS WITH REGARD TO THE MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL 22 23 ON THE PENALTY PHASE. OF COURSE, I BELIEVE THAT THE SINGLE MOST 24 SIGNIFICANT FACTOR IN GRANTING THE MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL 25 ON THE PENALTY PHASE IS THE NEWER CONCEPT OF VICTIM 26

FOLLOWING THE VERDICT IN THIS CASE, BOTH

IMPACT.

MR. ARNOLD AND I HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK WITH THE

MEMBERS OF THE JURY. AND IT SEEMS THAT MOST OF THE

JURORS WERE, AND UNDERSTANDABLY SO, COMPELLED TO VOTE

THE WAY THEY DID BY VIRTUE OF THE TESTIMONY OF THE

PARENTS OF THE OFFICERS AND THAT IS CERTAINLY

UNDERSTANDABLE.

IT WAS VERY COMPELLING TESTIMONY. IT WAS VERY SAD TESTIMONY. WE ALL SAT THROUGH IT, AND I DON'T BELIEVE THAT THERE WAS A DRY EYE IN THE HOUSE AFTER BOTH SETS OF PARENTS TESTIFIED.

THE LAW IN PEOPLE VERSUS EDWARDS IS SUCH

THAT THE VICTIM IMPACT IS IMPORTANT EVIDENCE TO THE

EXTENT THAT THE JURY CAN UNDERSTAND THE IMPACT THAT THE

LOSS HAS UPON THE FAMILY.

HOWEVER, THE JURY IS NOT TO VIEW VICTIM

IMPACT IN AN EMOTIONAL SENSE. HOW ONE DISTINGUISHES ONE

FROM THE OTHER IS LITERALLY IMPOSSIBLE. TO LISTEN TO

THE VICTIMS' FAMILY SPEAK AND TALK ABOUT THE LIFE AND

WHAT THE LIFE OF THOSE TWO GENTLEMEN MEANT TO THEM, THE

JURY HAS TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE LOSS AND THE IMPACT OF

THE LOSS UPON THE FAMILY AND EXCLUDE FROM THEIR DECISION

HOW THE LOSS AFFECTS THEM EMOTIONALLY.

I THINK THAT IS JUST AN IMPOSSIBLE TASK FOR A JURY TO DO. THAT MAY BE THE STATE OF THE LAW THAT THE JURY HAS TO TRY TO MAKE THAT DECISION AND EXCLUDE THE EMOTIONAL IMPACT, BUT TO INCLUDE AND CONSIDER THE FACTUAL IMPACT OF THE LOSS.

SO ON THAT BASIS, YOUR HONOR, I BELIEVE

IT HAS

THAT SINCE THE JURY IS UNABLE TO DISTINGUISH THE TWO, 1 THAT THE COURT SHOULD GRANT THE NEW TRIAL IN RESPECT TO 2 THE PENALTY PHASE, AND I WOULD SUBMIT IT AS TO THE OTHER 3 ITEMS WHICH ARE PRESENTED IN THE MOVING PAPERS. 4 AFTER THE COURT RULES ON THIS MOTION, I 5 WOULD LIKE TO BE HEARD FURTHER. 6 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 7 MR. ARNOLD, DO YOU WANT TO BE HEARD? 8 MR. ARNOLD: JUST BRIEFLY, YOUR HONOR. 9 REGARDING THE ISSUES THAT MR. JAFFE HAS SET 10 OUT IN HIS MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL WITH RESPECT TO THE 11 GUILT AND PENALTY PHASE, EACH AND EVERY ISSUE WAS 12 LITIGATED DURING THE COURSE OF THE TRIAL. 13 THE COURT CAME UP WITH A RULING WHICH I 14 BELIEVE IS WELL SUPPORTED BY THE EVIDENCE, AND I THINK 15 THAT THE COURT'S RULINGS WERE PROPER. 16 BASED ON THAT, I WOULD ASK THAT YOU DENY 17 THE MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL BASED ON YOUR PREVIOUS RULINGS. 18 WITH RESPECT TO VICTIM IMPACT EVIDENCE, 19 THIS TYPE OF EVIDENCE IS ADMISSIBLE IN TRIALS. 20 BEEN FOUND ADMISSIBLE AS YOU KNOW BY THE UNITED STATES 21 SUPREME COURT AND THE CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT, AND THE 22 FACT THAT THE PARENTS OF THE OFFICERS IN THIS CASE 23 TESTIFIED AND THEY WERE EMOTIONAL, THAT IS CERTAINLY 24 APPROPRIATE AND PROPER. 25 HOW BEST FOR THE JURY TO UNDERSTAND THE 26 IMPACT OF WHAT THE DEATHS OF THESE TWO OFFICERS HAS

MEANT TO THEM OTHER THAN TO HEAR IT OUT OF THE MOUTHS OF

28

1 THE PARENTS.

I THINK IT WOULD BE SOMEWHAT ASTOUNDING HAD
THE PARENTS OF THESE OFFICERS NOT DEMONSTRATED SOME
EMOTION. I ALSO THINK THAT IT WOULD BE SOMEWHAT
ASTOUNDING HAD THE JURORS NOT BEEN MOVED BY THAT.

IN ANY EVENT SINCE VICTIM IMPACT EVIDENCE
IS ADMISSIBLE AND ALL OF THE EVIDENCE THAT WAS ADDUCED
FROM THE PARENTS OF THE OFFICERS AND FROM THE PARENTS OF
THE RELATIVES OF CARLOS ADKINS WAS PROPER AND
APPROPRIATE, I WOULD ASK YOU TO DENY THE MOTION FOR A
NEW PENALTY PHASE ON THAT GROUND.

I WOULD SUBMIT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

I BELIEVE THE MATERIALS THAT WERE RAISED,

THE ISSUES THAT WERE RAISED IN THE MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL

WERE LITIGATED THROUGHOUT THE TRIAL AND THERE IS NOTHING

NEW.

I KNOW THAT I HAD ORDERED THE RELEASE OF
THE ADDRESSES OF THE JURORS AND THE NAMES OF THE JURORS
SO THAT COUNSEL COULD EACH CONTACT THEM IF IT WAS
NECESSARY, AND I HAD SENT OUT A LETTER THAT WE HAD
TALKED ABOUT BEFORE THAT IT WAS UP TO THE JURORS WHETHER
OR NOT THEY SPOKE WITH YOU.

I ASSUME THAT INVESTIGATION HAS BEEN COMPLETED. THE ISSUES THAT WERE RAISED IN THE MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL I HAVE ALREADY RULED ON AND THE RULINGS WILL REMAIN THE SAME.

AS FAR AS THE VICTIM IMPACT IS CONCERNED,

2.8

THE TESTIMONY OF THE PARENTS, I BELIEVE THAT OBVIOUSLY 1 IT'S ADMISSIBLE UNDER THE LAW. 2 I THINK THAT LOOKING AT THIS PARTICULAR 3 CASE, I REALIZE, MR. JAFFE, YOU'RE PUT IN A SITUATION, 4 IT'S A VERY EMOTIONAL SITUATION. 5 HOWEVER, I THINK YOUR APPROACH AND ARGUMENT 6 IN THE PENALTY PHASE WAS SUCH THAT THERE IS NO EXCUSE 7 FOR WHAT OCCURRED AND MY HEART GOES OUT TO THE FAMILY 8 9 MEMBERS. HOWEVER, YOU ASKED THE JURY TO SPARE YOUR 10 CLIENT'S LIFE. I BELIEVE THE JURORS DELIBERATED ON THAT 11 12 PHASE, IT WAS EITHER SEVEN OR EIGHT DAYS. I THINK INFERENTIALLY I CAN MAKE A FINDING 13 OR THE APPELLATE COURT COULD MAKE A FINDING THAT IT WAS 14 NOT AN EMOTIONAL DECISION. WHEN I SAY AN EMOTIONAL 15 DECISION, ONE OF THESE WHERE PEOPLE GO BACK STRICTLY ON 16 EMOTION AND MAKE A DECISION AND COME BACK 45 MINUTES OR 17 AN HOUR LATER AND SAY, "THIS IS OUR FINDING." 18 SO THE MOTION FOR THE NEW TRIAL IS DENIED 19 20 AND BASED ON MY PRIOR RULINGS. NOW, THE NEXT THING THAT I'LL TAKE UP IS 21 THE AUTOMATIC APPLICATION TO MODIFY THE VERDICT PURSUANT 22 TO 190.4 OF THE CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE. 23 DO YOU WANT TO BE HEARD ON THAT, MR. JAFFE? 24 MR. JAFFE: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, YOUR HONOR. 25 STANDING BEFORE THE COURT ARGUING TO MODIFY 26 THE VERDICT OF DEATH TO LIFE WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF 27 PAROLE IS IN MANY RESPECTS A VERY SURREAL EXPERIENCE. 28

THE RESPONSIBILITY IS UPON ME TO ASK THIS

COURT TO SPARE THE LIFE OF REGIS THOMAS. IN A SENSE,

IT'S THE SAME WAY -- RATHER IT'S THE SAME RESPONSIBILITY

IN A SENSE THAT I HAVE AS DID DEFENSE COUNSEL IN ARGUING

TO THE JURY TO SPARE THE LIFE OF REGIS THOMAS.

AND AS I TOLD THE JURY, I AS A LAWYER DO
NOT HAVE ANY SPECIAL WISDOM NOR DO I HAVE ANY SPECIAL
KNOWLEDGE OR EXPERIENCE WHICH ALLOWS ME TO ARGUE THIS
POINT AS OPPOSED TO ANY OTHER PERSON WHO MAY NOT HAVE A
LEGAL EDUCATION.

WHERE DOES A LEGAL EDUCATION ALLOW AN INDIVIDUAL OR GIVE AN INDIVIDUAL ANY GREATER WISDOM TO ARGUE WHY A PERSON'S LIFE SHOULD BE SPARED? IT JUST DOESN'T. IT JUST DOESN'T HAPPEN.

BUT NOTWITHSTANDING THAT, UNDER THE RULES

OF LAW, THE RESPONSIBILITY DOES FALL UPON ME TO MAKE THE REQUEST. WHETHER THAT IS APPROPRIATE OR NOT, THAT IS

THE WAY IT IS, AND I ACCEPT THAT RESPONSIBILITY, AND I'M ASKING THE COURT TO SPARE THE LIFE OF REGIS THOMAS FOR THE REASONS STATED IN MY MOVING PAPERS.

I HAVE BEEN PRACTICING FOR SEVERAL YEARS.

THE COURT HAS PRACTICED FOR SEVERAL YEARS AND NOW HAS

BEEN A JUDGE FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS AND HAS SEEN MANY,

MANY SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE CASES.

AS I TOLD THE JURY, THIS IS NOT A TIME OF WINNING AND LOSING. THIS IS NOT A TIME FOR CELEBRATION. GENERALLY ONE SIDE WINS AND THE OTHER SIDE LOSES. BUT IN THIS CASE, UNFORTUNATELY WE'RE ALL LOSERS.

EVERYBODY HAS LOST IN THIS CASE.

MR. THOMAS HAS LOST. HE IS, IN ESSENCE, ABOUT TO LOSE
HIS LIFE. THE OFFICERS LOST THEIR LIVES. THE FAMILIES
OF THE VICTIMS HAVE LOST THE LOVE OF THEIR SONS, AND IN
THE ADKINS CASE, THE LOSS OF A FATHER, A SON.

SO THERE ARE NO WINNERS. THIS IS NOT A TIME TO DEBATE THE RIGHT AND THE WRONG, THE WISDOM OF THE DEATH PENALTY IN GENERAL. THE VOTERS HAVE SPOKEN. THE VOTERS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SPOKE ON THAT ISSUE.

I'M NOT HERE TO SPEAK AGAINST THE DEATH
PENALTY OR IN FAVOR OF THE DEATH PENALTY BUT MERELY TO
TALK ABOUT THE INDIVIDUALIZED DETERMINATION THAT REGIS
THOMAS SHOULD RECEIVE AS IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR
THE COURT TO INDEPENDENTLY REVIEW THE JURY'S VERDICT.

I'M MINDFUL OF THE FACT THAT I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THERE HAS EVER BEEN A JURY VERDICT WHICH HAS, IN FACT, BEEN MODIFIED TO LIFE WITHOUT PAROLE. THERE WOULD HAVE TO BE SOME SPECIAL OR UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES I SUPPOSE FOR THE COURT TO DO THAT. AND SO WHEN I READ IN THE NEWSPAPER OR HEAR ON THE NEWS THAT A JURY RECOMMENDS THE DEATH PENALTY, I MUST CHUCKLE TO SOME DEGREE BECAUSE IT'S MORE THAN A RECOMMENDATION. IT IS, IN FACT, A VERDICT AND THAT VERDICT GENERALLY DOES STAND.

HOWEVER, I'M ASKING THE COURT TO REVIEW THIS MATTER INDEPENDENTLY AND ORDER THAT MR. THOMAS SERVE A LIFE IMPRISONMENT WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE FOR THE FOLLOWING FACTORS, FOR THE FOLLOWING

REASONS:

MR. THOMAS UNLIKE MANY OTHER DEFENDANTS WHO APPEAR BEFORE THE COURTS IN SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE CASES LACKS A PREVIOUS VIOLENT CRIMINAL HISTORY.

TWO, HE LACKS A SUBSTANTIAL CRIMINAL RECORD. I THINK THE COURT CAN TAKE INTO EFFECT THAT THE DEFENDANT HAD NEVER MET HIS BIOLOGICAL FATHER AND WHAT EFFECT OF HAVING NO BIOLOGICAL FATHER IN THE HOUSE HAD UPON HIM.

THE EFFECT OF HIS UPBRINGING IN THE NICKERSON GARDENS AS OPPOSED TO BEING BROUGHT UP IN PERHAPS ANOTHER AREA OF TOWN. THE EFFECT OF THE DEFENDANT'S CHILDHOOD AND FAMILY LIFE. THE IMPORTANCE OF THE DEFENDANT'S INFLUENCE AND GUIDANCE IN THE UPBRINGING OF HIS CHILDREN. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE DEFENDANT AND HIS MOTHER, SIBLINGS, COUSINS, WIFE, CHILDREN AND OTHER CLOSE FRIENDS.

AND ALSO THE FACT OF THE DEFENDANT'S BENEVOLENT NATURE AND THE FACT THAT HE GAVE MONEY TO HOMELESS PEOPLE AND HE AIDED A HANDICAPPED YOUNGSTER.

I CAN IMAGINE WHEN YOU HEAR THESE FACTORS,
ANY ONE OF WHICH COULD HAVE JUSTIFIED THE JURY'S GIVING
LIFE INSTEAD OF DEATH, WHAT MAY STAND OUT IN RESPONSE TO
THAT ARGUMENT IS, YES, BUT THERE ARE OTHERS WHO WERE
BROUGHT UP IN THE NICKERSON GARDENS, AND THERE ARE
OTHERS WHO HAVE BEEN DISADVANTAGED IN THEIR YOUTH, AND
THERE ARE OTHERS WHO HAVE BEEN BROUGHT UP IN A FAMILY
WHERE THERE HAS BEEN NO FATHER AND YET THOSE PERSONS DID

1 2

1 NOT KILL THREE PEOPLE.

23.

CERTAINLY THAT IS A CONTRARY ARGUMENT AND I UNDERSTAND THAT THAT IS THE CONTRARY ARGUMENT.

BUT IN THIS CASE, THE JURY, IT SEEMS TO ME,
BASED UPON THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CRIME AND THE
GRAVITY OF THE CRIME FOUND THAT THAT SO OVERWHELMINGLY
WEIGHED AGAINST THESE FACTORS THAT THEY FELT THAT DEATH
WAS THE APPROPRIATE PUNISHMENT.

BUT I THINK THAT AN ARGUMENT, ALSO, COULD BE MADE THAT FOR AN INSTANT IN MR. THOMAS' YOUNG LIFE, THAT THE DECISION THAT WAS MADE TO TAKE THE LIFE OF THE OFFICERS WAS MADE IN A RASH IMPULSIVE MOMENT, AND IN THAT RASH, IMPULSIVE MOMENT WITHOUT DUE DELIBERATION, CONSIDERATION, DELIBERATION, THOUGHT THAT HE AT THAT TIME WOULD HAVE FORFEITED HIS LIFE, THAT IS A FAR CRY FROM THE INDIVIDUAL WHO PLANS FOR PURPOSES OF GREED, FOR PURPOSES OF REVENGE, FOR PURPOSES OF HATRED, THE DEATH OF ANOTHER HUMAN BEING.

THERE YOU HAVE THE TYPE OF DELIBERATION

THAT I BELIEVE THAT THE LAW ENVISIONED WHEN IT FELT THAT

THE DEATH PENALTY WOULD BE APPROPRIATE.

IN THIS CASE, I DON'T BELIEVE THAT THOSE CIRCUMSTANCES EXIST. THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CRIME IN THIS CASE WERE SUCH THAT THE SHOOTING OCCURRED WITHIN SECONDS, AND WITHIN THOSE SECONDS THAT REGIS THOMAS WOULD HAVE, IF THE COURT AFFIRMS THE JURY'S VERDICT, WOULD HAVE FORFEITED HIS LIFE.

IT'S NOT THE TYPE OF DELIBERATION AND

PREMEDITATION THAT WOULD REQUIRE, AS FAR AS I CAN SEE,
THE IMPOSITION OF THE PENALTY OF DEATH. THAT DOES NOT
MEAN TO MINIMIZE THE RESULT THAT OCCURRED IN THIS CASE.

FOR ALL OF THESE REASONS, YOUR HONOR, I
WOULD ASK THE COURT TO INDEPENDENTLY REVIEW THE
EVIDENCE, KEEPING IN MIND THE FACTORS THAT I HAVE
INDICATED TO THE COURT AND FIND THAT THE APPROPRIATE
PUNISHMENT IN THIS CASE IS LIFE WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY
OF PAROLE RATHER THAN THE PENALTY OF DEATH.

THE COURT: MR. ARNOLD.

MR. ARNOLD: YOUR HONOR, WERE IT NOT FOR THIS
DEFENDANT AND BARRING SOMETHING UNFORESEEN, CARLOS
ADKINS WOULD BE ALIVE TODAY. HE WOULD BE ABLE TO ENJOY
HIS FAMILY AND BASICALLY DO THE THINGS AND ENJOY THE
THINGS THAT PEOPLE DO.

NEVERTHELESS, THIS DEFENDANT MADE THE DECISION TO KILL HIM IN 1992 FOR THE EGREGIOUS SIN OF CARLOS ADKINS SAYING, "YOU DON'T KNOW ME, EITHER."

THE DEFENDANT COULD HAVE LEFT. HE COULD HAVE NOT BEEN INVOLVED ANY MORE THAN HE ALREADY HAD, BUT HE THEN MADE THE DECISION TO PLACE THE GUN AGAINST CARLOS ADKINS' HEAD. AS YOU KNOW, THE STRUGGLE ENSUED AND CARLOS ADKINS DIED ON ANDRE CHAPPELL'S COUCH.

THERE WAS NO REASON FOR THAT TO HAPPEN.

THIS DEFENDANT WAS CLEARLY THE AGGRESSOR. THERE WAS NO

JUSTIFICATION AT ALL. THERE WAS JUST NO EXCUSE FOR IT.

NEVERTHELESS, THIS DEFENDANT MURDERED REALLY WITHOUT REASON.

KEVIN BURRELL TODAY, WERE IT NOT FOR THIS
DEFENDANT AND AGAIN BARRING SOMETHING UNFORESEEN, HE
WOULD BE PROCEEDING WITH A SUCCESSFUL CAREER WITH THE
COMPTON POLICE DEPARTMENT. MAYBE TODAY HE WOULD BE AN
EXPERIENCED OFFICER, TRAINING OFFICER. MAYBE HE WOULD
BE A DETECTIVE. MAYBE HE WOULD BE A SERGEANT. HE WOULD
BE ENJOYING HIS CHILD. HE WOULD BE ENJOYING THE THINGS
THAT WE'RE SUPPOSED TO. HE WOULD BE ENJOYING THE RIGHTS
OF PASSAGE.

JAMES MACDONALD, WERE IT NOT FOR THIS

DEFENDANT, WOULD BE SOMEWHAT OF A SEASONED OFFICER NOW

WITH THE SAN JOSE POLICE DEPARTMENT. BUT NEITHER OF

THESE THINGS OCCURRED. KEN BURRELL WAS NOT ABLE TO

CONTINUE WITH HIS CAREER AND ENJOY HIS FAMILY AND JIMMY

MACDONALD WAS NOT ABLE TO EVEN START HIS CAREER WITH THE

SAN JOSE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND CONTINUE HIS ENJOYING HIS

LIFE WITH HIS FAMILY BECAUSE THIS DEFENDANT KILLED THE

BOTH OF THEM.

AND IT'S NOT SO MUCH OF THE KILLING OF TWO POLICE OFFICERS. IT'S THE MANNER IN WHICH IT WAS DONE.

AS I HAD MENTIONED TO THE JURY IN FINAL ARGUMENT, THAT IF THE DEFENDANT DID WHAT HE DID BECAUSE HE HAD SOMETHING IN THAT TRUCK THAT HE DIDN'T WANT THE OFFICERS TO FIND OUT OR PERHAPS IT'S BECAUSE HE KNEW HE HAD A GUN AND THAT HE WAS GOING TO GO TO JAIL FOR BEING A FELON IN POSSESSION OF A FIREARM.

HE HAD ALREADY SHOT THE OFFICERS. THEY
WERE ALREADY DOWN. THEY WERE OUT OF THE PICTURE. THEY

1 2

POSED NO THREAT TO HIM ANYMORE, BUT HE, NEVERTHELESS, TOOK THE ADDITIONAL STEP OF BENDING DOWN AND SHOOTING KEVIN BURRELL IN THE HEAD FATALLY.

HE NEVERTHELESS TOOK THE ADDITIONAL STEP OF BENDING DOWN AND PUTTING THAT NINE MILLIMETER AGAINST THE EAR, BEHIND THE EAR OF JIMMY MACDONALD AND SHOOTING HIM. THESE WERE EXECUTION ROUNDS, EXECUTION SHOTS.

IT WASN'T NECESSARY TO DO THAT, AND IN MY VIEW, THOSE LAST SHOTS, IF NOTHING ELSE, THOSE SHOTS CALL OUT FOR THIS DEFENDANT TO SUFFER THE ULTIMATE PENALTY.

MR. JAFFE SUGGESTS TO YOU IT'S THE DEFENDANT'S UPBRINGING AND IT'S THE FACT THAT HE GREW UP IN NICKERSON GARDENS, AND HIS MOTHER UNFORTUNATELY WAS ADDICTED TO DRUGS. NONE OF THIS IS JUSTIFICATION, IT'S NOT AN EXCUSE. NONE OF THIS CAUSED THIS DEFENDANT TO PULL THE TRIGGER ON CARLOS ADKINS, TO SHOOT JIMMY MACDONALD AND TO SHOOT OFFICER BURRELL, KEVIN BURRELL AND THEN BEND DOWN AND ADMINISTER THOSE EXECUTION SHOTS.

THERE ARE MANY YOUNG MEN AND WOMEN WHO GROW UP IN SOUTH CENTRAL LOS ANGELES WHO DON'T ENGAGE IN THIS TYPE OF ACTIVITY. MANY PEOPLE HAVE UNFORTUNATELY GROWN UP IN ONE PARENT FAMILIES WHERE THERE ARE DRUG PROBLEMS.

NONE OF THIS SPEAKS TO ANY TYPE OF EXCUSE I WOULD ASK YOU NOT TO FIND THAT THERE OR MITIGATION. IS ANY MITIGATION OR EXCUSE IN THE DEFENDANT'S UPBRINGING.

AS A RESULT OF WHAT THIS DEFENDANT DID, IN

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

THE KILLING OF THREE PEOPLE WHICH IS IMPACTED OBVIOUSLY
NOT ONLY THE THREE VICTIMS BUT THE FAMILIES AND THE
EXTENDED FAMILIES OF CARLOS ADKINS, KEVIN BURRELL AND
JIMMY MACDONALD.

WHAT THIS DEFENDANT HAS DONE HAS IMPACTED MANY, MANY LIVES, MANY, MANY LIVES AND THE DEATH PENALTY, YOUR HONOR, BASED ON THE DEFENDANT'S CONDUCT IN THIS CASE AND NOT THE FACT THAT THREE MURDERS OCCURRED, AND NOT SO MUCH THE FACT THAT IT WAS TWO FIRST DEGREE MURDERS, BUT IT'S THE MANNER IN WHICH THEY WERE CARRIED OUT.

I WOULD ASK YOU TO BE MINDFUL OF THAT AND
TO BE MINDFUL, ALSO, OF THE VICTIM IMPACT EVIDENCE THAT
YOU HEARD OF THE PARENTS OF THE OFFICERS, AND BE MINDFUL
FROM THE TESTIMONY OF THE CORONER AS TO THE MANNER IN
WHICH THESE SHOTS WERE ADMINISTERED, AND AS A RESULT OF
ALL OF THAT, FIND THAT THIS CASE IS, IN FACT, THE
APPROPRIATE CASE FOR THE ULTIMATE PUNISHMENT.

I WOULD ASK YOU TO NOT MITIGATE THE SENTENCE TO LIFE WITHOUT PAROLE BUT TO GO AHEAD AND TAKE THE JURY'S RECOMMENDATION AND SENTENCE THIS DEFENDANT TO A SENTENCE THAT HE SO JUSTLY DESERVES AND THAT IS THAT HE SUFFER THE PENALTY OF DEATH.

THE COURT: MR. JAFFE, DO YOU WANT TO RESPOND AT ALL?

MR. JAFFE: NO, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

ALL RIGHT, COUNSEL. IN THIS PARTICULAR

CASE, I REREAD THE DAILY TRANSCRIPTS OF THE ARGUMENTS OF COUNSEL, AND I REVIEWED MY NOTES ON THE CASE, AND I WILL INDICATE THAT I HAVE GIVEN THIS SOME THOUGHT. AS I SAID, I HAVE REVIEWED THE MATERIALS. AND I HAVE WRITTEN OUT SOME THOUGHTS THAT I HAVE, AS A RESULT OF MY REVIEW, AND BOTH COUNSEL BASICALLY TOUCHED ON POINTS THAT WERE IN THE PREVIOUS OR IN THE TRANSCRIPTS THAT I PREVIOUSLY REVIEWED.

6.

IN THIS CASE, THE DEFENDANT WAS CONVICTED
ON MAY 17TH, 1995, OF FIRST DEGREE MURDER -- EXCUSE
ME -- OF FIRST DEGREE MURDER AS CHARGED IN COUNT 2, THE
MURDER OF KEVIN BURRELL. THE JURY FOUND TRUE THE
SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE ALLEGATION THAT KEVIN BURRELL WAS A
PEACE OFFICER WHO WAS INTENTIONALLY KILLED WHILE ENGAGED
IN THE PERFORMANCE OF HIS DUTIES, WITHIN THE MEANING OF
PENAL CODE SECTION 190.2(A) SUBSECTION 7; OF FIRST
DEGREE MURDER AS CHARGED IN COUNT 3, THE MURDER OF JAMES
MACDONALD.

THE JURY FOUND TRUE THE SPECIAL

CIRCUMSTANCES ALLEGATION THAT JAMES MACDONALD WAS A

PEACE OFFICER WHO WAS INTENTIONALLY KILLED WHILE ENGAGED

IN THE PERFORMANCE OF HIS DUTIES, WITHIN THE MEANING OF

PENAL CODE SECTION 190.2 PARAGRAPH A, SUBSECTION 7, AND

OF SECOND DEGREE MURDER CHARGED IN COUNT 1, THE MURDER

OF CARLOS ADKINS.

THE JURY FURTHER FOUND THAT THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE ALLEGATION OF MULTIPLE MURDERS, WITHIN THE MEANING OF PENAL CODE SECTION 190.2 (A) SECTION 3 TO BE

TRUE.

FURTHER, THE JURY TRIAL IN THE PENALTY

PHASE COMMENCED ON MAY 31, 1995, AND WAS CONCLUDED WITH

A VERDICT DECREEING DEATH FOR REGIS DEON THOMAS. THE

VERDICT WAS SIGNED JUNE 16, 1995.

PENAL CODE SECTION 190.4 PROVIDES IN EVERY
CASE IN WHICH A TRIER OF FACT HAS RETURNED A VERDICT
IMPOSING DEATH, THE DEFENDANT IS DEEMED TO HAVE MADE AN
AUTOMATIC APPLICATION FOR A MOTION TO MODIFY THE
VERDICT.

IN RULING ON THE MOTION TO MODIFY THE VERDICT, THE COURT ACKNOWLEDGES THAT ITS FUNCTION IS NOT TO MAKE AN INDEPENDENT AND DE NOVO PENALTY DETERMINATION. RATHER, THE COURT'S ROLE IS TO REWEIGH THE EVIDENCE OF AGGRAVATING AND MITIGATING FACTORS, AND TO DETERMINE WHETHER IN THE COURT'S INDEPENDENT JUDGMENT THE WEIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE SUPPORTS THE JURY'S VERDICT.

THE COURT IS ALSO AWARE OF ITS OBLIGATION

TO ASSESS THE CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES, TO DETERMINE THE

PROBATIVE FORCE OF THE TESTIMONY AND WEIGH THE EVIDENCE

INCLUDING REVIEWING THE FACTORS UNDER PENAL CODE SECTION

190.3.

IN ITS REVIEW, THE COURT HAS MADE THE

DETERMINATION THAT THE VERDICT IS NOT CONTRARY TO THE

LAW OR TO THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT FINDS THAT THE TRUTH

OF THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES WAS PROVEN BEYOND ANY

DOUBT. THE COURT'S CONCLUSION IS THAT THE JURY'S

FINDING OF DEATH IS PROPER ACCORDING TO THE LAW AND THE

FACTS UNDER AN INDEPENDENT REVIEW BY THE COURT OF ALL THE EVIDENCE.

AS TO THE CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES, THE

COURT FINDS THE PROSECUTION WITNESSES TO BE CREDIBLE AND

REASONABLE. A SPECIFIC COMMENT, THOUGH, SHOULD BE MADE

CONCERNING CALVIN COOKSEY. ALTHOUGH MR. COOKSEY HAD A

CIVIL SUIT PENDING AND THE COURT RULED THAT HE WAS IN

CONTEMPT OF THIS COURT'S ORDER THAT HE TESTIFY IN THE

TRIAL, THIS COURT IS SATISFIED THAT HIS TESTIMONY ON THE

MATERIAL AND RELEVANT ISSUES BEFORE THE TRIER OF FACT

WAS CORROBORATED BY THE TESTIMONY OF OFFICER WILLIAM

JACKSON, DEPUTY LARRY BRANDENBURG, KEYON PYE, ROBERT

ROJAS, ALICIA JORDON, AND MARGARETTA GULLY AND THUS

FINDS HIS TESTIMONY IMPLICATING THE DEFENDANT AS THE

KILLER OF OFFICERS MACDONALD AND BURRELL TO BE TRUTHFUL.

THE COURT AGREES WITH THE JURY'S IMPLICIT FINDINGS THAT THE CIRCUMSTANCES IN AGGRAVATION SUBSTANTIALLY OUTWEIGH THE CIRCUMSTANCES IN MITIGATION AS TO THE DEFENDANT, WARRANTING THE PENALTY OF DEATH AS TO MR. REGIS DEON THOMAS.

THE JURY'S ASSESSMENT OF THE EVIDENCE THAT
THE FACTORS IN AGGRAVATION SUBSTANTIALLY OUTWEIGH THE
FACTORS IN MITIGATION AND THAT DEATH IS WARRANTED IS
SUPPORTED BY THE EVIDENCE. IN REVIEWING THE FACTORS OF
SECTION 190.3 OF THE PENAL CODE, THE COURT FINDS AS
FOLLOWS:

AS TO FACTOR A, THAT IS THAT THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CRIME WHICH THE DEFENDANT WAS

CONVICTED IN THE PRESENT PROCEEDING AND THE EXISTENCE OF THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES FOUND TO BE TRUE.

2.5

THIS CASE INVOLVED THE KILLING OF THREE
PEOPLE, CARLOS ADKINS, KEVIN BURRELL, AND JAMES
MACDONALD. CARLOS ADKINS WAS KILLED FOR MISTAKENLY
CALLING SOMEONE "ROMEO." OFFICER BURRELL AND OFFICER
MACDONALD WERE KILLED IN COLD BLOOD WHILE PERFORMING
THEIR LAWFUL DUTIES AS POLICE OFFICERS. THEY WERE
EXECUTED DURING WHAT APPEARED TO BE A ROUTINE TRAFFIC
STOP BY THE DEFENDANT WHO FIRED MULTIPLE ROUNDS AT EACH
OF THE OFFICERS.

FACTOR B IS THE PRESENCE OR ABSENCE OF

CRIMINAL ACTIVITY BY THE DEFENDANT WHICH INVOLVED THE

USE OR ATTEMPTED USE OF FORCE OR VIOLENCE. THE

DEFENDANT SUFFERED THE CONVICTION OF THE CRIME OF

BATTERY ON A PEACE OFFICER, WHICH OCCURRED ON FEBRUARY

16TH, 1990.

AS TO FACTOR C, THE PRESENCE OR ABSENCE OF THE PRIOR CONVICTION, AND I PERMITTED THE EVIDENCE FINDING THAT THESE WERE THE COUNTS ALLEGED IN THE INFORMATION IN COUNT 4 AND 5 TO WHICH THE DEFENDANT PLEADED GUILTY PRIOR TO THE PRESENTATION OF EVIDENCE IN THE GUILT PHASE OF THE TRIAL.

FACTORS D, E, F, G, H AND J ARE NOT
RELEVANT SINCE NO EVIDENCE WAS PRESENTED CONCERNING
THESE FACTORS. AS TO FACTOR I, THE DEFENDANT WAS 22
YEARS OLD AT THE TIME OF THE KILLINGS OF KEVIN BURRELL
AND JAMES MACDONALD.

AS TO FACTOR K, SEVERAL WITNESSES WERE

PRESENTED BY THE DEFENDANT, INCLUDING SEVERAL RELATIVES

AND FRIENDS OF THE DEFENDANT WHO TESTIFIED CONCERNING

THE EFFECT OF THE DEFENDANT'S MOTHER'S NARCOTICS

ADDICTION; THAT THE DEFENDANT NEVER MET HIS BIOLOGICAL

FATHER, AND THE EFFECT OF HAVING NO BIOLOGICAL FATHER

PRESENT IN THE HOME; THE EFFECT OF THE DEFENDANT'S

UPBRINGING IN NICKERSON GARDENS; THE DEFENDANT'S

CHILDHOOD AND FAMILY LIFE; THE IMPORTANCE OF THE

DEFENDANT'S INFLUENCE AND/OR GUIDANCE IN THE UPBRINGING

OF HIS CHILDREN, AND THE DEFENDANT'S AIDE TO A

HANDICAPPED YOUTH.

IN REVIEWING ALL THE EVIDENCE AVAILABLE
PURSUANT TO PENAL CODE SECTION 190.3, AND IN CAREFULLY
AND SEPARATELY WEIGHING THE AGGRAVATING AND MITIGATING
FACTORS, THE COURT FINDS THAT THE AGGRAVATING EVIDENCE
AS TO MR. THOMAS SUBSTANTIALLY OUTWEIGHS THE MITIGATING
EVIDENCE WARRANTING THE DEATH PENALTY AND SUPPORTING THE
JURY'S CONCLUSION TO THAT EFFECT.

I MUST STATE THAT THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE KILLINGS OF THE POLICE OFFICERS STANDING ALONE SUBSTANTIALLY OUTWEIGH THE MITIGATING EVIDENCE PRESENTED BY THE DEFENDANT.

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS A TRANSCRIPT BE
MADE OF THE COURT'S REASONS FOR DENYING THE MOTION FOR A
NEW TRIAL AND AUTOMATIC MOTION FOR MODIFICATION OF
VERDICT AND ENTERED IN THE CLERK'S MINUTES.

NOW, MR. JAFFE, DID YOU WANT TO BE HEARD ON

SOME OTHER MATTER AT THIS PARTICULAR TIME OR SHOULD WE PROCEED WITH THE HEARING OF THE WITNESSES IN THE SENTENCING? MR. JAFFE: WE CAN PROCEED, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: JUST SO THE RECORD IS CLEAR, WHAT MY INTENTION IS -- I HAVE NOT READ THE PROBATION REPORTS. IT'S MY INTENTION TO HEAR FROM WHOMEVER INTENDS TO SPEAK, EITHER FROM THE PROSECUTION OR THE DEFENSE. THEN I'LL TAKE A BREAK, AND I WILL REVIEW THE PROBATION REPORT. IF EITHER COUNSEL WANT TO BE HEARD AS TO THE CONTENTS OF THE PROBATION REPORT AS TO CORRECTING OR MODIFYING THAT IS ARTICULATED IN THE PROBATION REPORT, I'LL HEAR FROM THAT AND THEN I'LL PROCEED WITH THE SENTENCING ON THIS PARTICULAR CASE. MR. ARNOLD.

```
1
           MR. ARNOLD: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
 2
                 YOUR HONOR, I BELIEVE THAT SEVERAL PEOPLE
    WANT TO MAKE STATEMENTS PURSUANT TO THE VICTIM'S BILL OF
 3
    RIGHTS: CHIEF HEURIE TAYLOR OF THE COMPTON POLICE
 4
    DEPARTMENT, MR. CLARK BURRELL, AND MR. AND MRS. JAMES
 5
    MACDONALD.
 6
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IN THIS PARTICULAR
 7
    PROCEEDING, I AM GOING TO HAVE THEM SPEAK FROM THE PODIUM.
 9
                 SO WHOMEVER YOU WANT TO HAVE ADDRESS THE
    COURT FIRST, HAVE THEM DO THAT, AND THEN, MR. JAFFE, IF
10
    YOU HAVE PEOPLE OR ANYBODY ON BEHALF OF MR. THOMAS WANTS
11
    TO MAKE A STATEMENT, I WILL GIVE THEM THE OPPORTUNITY.
12
                 MR. BURRELL, CAN YOU -- YOU ARE GOING TO DO
13
    IT RIGHT THERE FROM THE PODIUM.
14
15
                CAN YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, PLEASE, FOR
    THE RECORD.
16
17
          MR. CLARK BURRELL: CLARK FITZ BURRELL.
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. BURRELL, GO AHEAD.
18
    THIS IS YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO ARTICULATE YOUR THOUGHTS.
19
20
    PLEASE DIRECT THEM TOWARDS ME.
          MR. CLARK BURRELL: OKAY.
21
22
          THE COURT: THANK YOU. GO AHEAD.
           MR. CLARK BURRELL: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR, AND
23
    EVERYBODY IN THE COURTROOM.
24
                 I AM KEVIN BURRELL'S FATHER, BUT IT'S HARD TO
25
    REALLY SAY WHAT'S ON MY MIND. FOR SOMEBODY TO
26
    DELIBERATELY DO SOMETHING LIKE THAT. IT'S PITIFUL.
27
```

AND EVER SINCE I WAS A LITTLE CHILD, MY DAD

```
ALWAYS TOLD US EACH AND EVERY DAY TO RESPECT EVERY HUMAN
1
 2
   BEING FOR THE PRIVILEGE OF THE UNITED STATES.
                 SO WHY THEY LAYING ON THE STREET? THEY STEAL
 3
   A HUMAN BEING, AND WHEN YOU HAVEN'T GOT RESPECT FOR A
4
   PERSON LIKE THIS, HOW YOU EXPECT TO GET RESPECT? BUT IF
5
   YOU GIVE RESPECT, YOU WILL ALSO RECEIVE RESPECT.
 6
 7
                 AND HOW CAN YOU GO AROUND AND JUST
   DELIBERATELY TAKE ANOTHER INDIVIDUAL'S LIFE IS HARD TO
 8
   SAY. WHAT KIND OF PERSON COULD DO THAT?
9
             MR. THOMAS, YOU TOOK THREE, BUT I DO HOPE
10
    THAT THE GOOD LORD WILL TAKE CARE OF ALL OF YOUR BAD
11
   WRONGS THAT YOU HAVE DONE. IT'S PITIFUL. IT'S SHAMEFUL.
12
                 THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
13
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. NEXT WITNESS, PLEASE.
14
       MR. ARNOLD: THAT WILL BE TONIA MACDONALD, YOUR
15
   HONOR.
16
           THE COURT: MS. MACDONALD, CAN YOU STATE YOUR NAME
17
    FOR THE RECORD, PLEASE.
18
           MS. TONIA MACDONALD: TONIA MACDONALD.
19
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
20
          MS. TONIA MACDONALD: FIRST OF ALL, JUDGE FERNS, I
21
    WOULD LIKE TO THANK YOU FOR THE COMPASSION YOU HAVE SHOWN
22
    THROUGH THIS WHOLE TRIAL.
23
                 I DON'T FEEL REGIS THOMAS DESERVES TO LIVE.
24
    AT FIRST I THOUGHT I COULD SETTLE WITH HIM GETTING LIFE IN
25
    PRISON, JUST KNOWING THAT HE WOULD NEVER BE OUT AGAIN AND
26
    THAT HIS DAYS WOULD BE THE SAME FOR THE REST OF HIS LIFE.
27
```

28

HE WOULD BE LOSING SOME OF THE SAME THINGS HE

```
TOOK AWAY FROM JIMMY AND KEVIN. HE WOULD NEVER SEE HIS
1
 2
   CHILDREN GROWN, NEVER SEE THEM GET MARRIED. HE WOULD
   NEVER HOLD ONE OF HIS OWN GRANDCHILDREN IN HIS ARMS.
3
                 BUT THEN MY HUSBAND SAID WHY SHOULD HE BE
   ALLOWED TO LIVE UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES WHEN JIMMY AND
5
   KEVIN WERE NOT ALLOWED TO LIVE. HE WAS RIGHT.
 7
                 JIMMY AND KEVIN HAD A LOT TO OFFER MANKIND.
   WHAT DOES REGIS THOMAS HAVE TO OFFER? NOTHING.
                 SO HE SHOULD -- HE SHOULD GET JUST WHAT HE
9
   GAVE OUR BOYS, DEATH.
10
                 FOR OVER SEVEN WEEKS I SAT IN THIS COURTROOM.
11
   I HAD A NUMBER OF PEOPLE ASK ME HOW I COULD STAY. I SAW
12
   AND HEARD THINGS NO PARENT SHOULD HAVE TO SEE OR HEAR
13
   ABOUT HOW THEIR CHILD DIED.
14
                 I WAS HERE FOR TWO REASONS. THE FIRST WAS TO
15
   REPRESENT MY SON. HE NEEDED TO HAVE SOMEONE IN THE
16
   COURTROOM KNOW. HE NEEDED TO HAVE EVERYONE IN THE
17
    COURTROOM KNOW THAT HE WAS A PERSON AND NOT JUST A NAME.
18
                 THE SECOND REASON WAS FOR OUR OWN STATE OF
19
   MIND. WE HAD TO KNOW WHAT THE LAST FEW HOURS OF OUR SON'S
20
   LIFE WAS LIKE.
21
                 WE HEARD THAT JIMMY ATE HIS LAST MEAL WITH
22
    THE BURRELL'S. I FELT GOOD HEARING THAT.
23
                 WE WERE ORIGINALLY TOLD THAT JIMMY DIED
24
    INSTANTLY. THEN FROM THE CORONER I HEARD HE LIVED AN HOUR
25
    AFTER BEING SHOT.
26
                 I'LL ALWAYS WONDER IF HE KNEW HE WAS DYING,
27
```

IF HE WAS AFRAID, AND IF IN HIS MIND HE CALLED OUT TO ME,

- 1 HIS MOTHER, LIKE HE DID WHEN HE WAS A LITTLE BOY AND GOT 2 HURT.
- I LISTENED TO REGIS THOMAS' RELATIVES TELL
- 4 ABOUT WHAT A LOVING FATHER, GOOD HUSBAND, AND THOUGHTFUL
- 5 SON HE IS.
- 6 NO LOVING FATHER, GOOD HUSBAND, OR THOUGHTFUL
- 7 | SON WOULD PUT HIS FAMILY THROUGH WHAT REGIS THOMAS IS
- 8 PUTTING HIS THROUGH.
- 9 I AM SORRY FOR HIS CHILDREN. NOT BECAUSE
- 10 THEY WILL NEVER -- NO LONGER HAVE A FATHER AROUND, BUT
- 11 BECAUSE THEY ONLY HAD HIM FOR A ROLE MODEL.
- 12 MR. JAFFE STATED IN A NEWS CONFERENCE THAT
- 13 REGIS THOMAS SHOWED REMORSE FOR WHAT HE HAD DONE, HE FELT
- 14 BAD FOR THE FAMILIES.
- 15 THIS WAS OBVIOUSLY SAID WITHOUT REGIS'
- 16 KNOWLEDGE, BECAUSE NOT ONCE IN THIS COURTROOM DID HE SHOW
- 17 ANY REMORSE. EVEN WHEN HIS OWN MOTHER BEGGED THE JURY NOT
- 18 TO LET HER SON DIE, HE ONLY LOWERED HIS HEAD AND LOOKED AT
- 19 THE TABLE.
- 20 HE COULD NOT EVEN SHED A TEAR FOR HIS OWN
- 21 MOTHER, LET ALONE THE FAMILIES HE HAS DAMAGED.
- 22 REGIS' MOTHER SAID SHE FELT THE SAME PAIN IN
- 23 HER HEART THAT WE FEEL. NO ONE KNOWS WHAT WE FEEL UNLESS
- 24 THEY TOO HAVE LOST A CHILD.
- 25 MAYBE WHEN REGIS IS DEAD HIS MOTHER WILL KNOW
- 26 WHAT REAL PAIN IS LIKE.
- 27 ANY PUNISHMENT THAT YOU, REGIS, RECEIVE FROM
- 28 NOW UNTIL THE TIME YOU DIE IS GOING TO BE MENTAL.

CLARK BURRELL SAID IT BEST WHEN HE SAID YOU 1 TOOK THE LIVES OF THREE PEOPLE. YOU SHOULD GET ONE NOW, 2 AND THE OTHER TWO YOU CAN PAY FOR IN HELL. THAT IS I KNOW 3 WHERE GOD WILL SEND YOU, AND THAT IS WHEN YOUR REAL 5 PHYSICAL PAIN WILL BEGIN. YOU WILL FEEL A THOUSAND TIMES MORE PAIN THAN 6 7 OUR BOYS DID, AND YOUR PAIN WILL GO ON FOR ETERNITY. WHEN YOU SEE YOUR FAMILY FOR THE LAST TIME 8 BEFORE YOU LEAVE FOR PRISON, MAKE SURE AND HOLD IN YOUR 10 MIND WHAT IT FEELS LIKE TO HOLD AND KISS THEM BECAUSE YOU WILL NEVER AGAIN HAVE ANY PHYSICAL CONTACT WITH ANY OF 11 12 THEM. IN PRISON YOU WILL VISIT THROUGH A GLASS 13 WINDOW AND OVER A PHONE. YOUR VISITS WILL NOT BE OFTEN. 14 15 VISITORS HAVE TO MAKE AN APPOINTMENT TO COME AND SEE YOU. MY STRENGTH THROUGH ALL THIS HAS BEEN IN 16 KNOWING THAT SOME DAY I WILL HOLD MY SON IN MY ARMS AGAIN. 17 AFTER YOU DIE, YOUR MOTHER AND FAMILY WILL NEVER BE WITH 18 19 YOU AGAIN. WE WILL NEVER FORGET NOR FORGIVE YOU FOR WHAT 20 YOU HAVE DONE TO OUR FAMILIES. AND WHEN YOUR EXECUTION 21 DAY ARRIVES, YOU WILL NOT DIE ALONE BECAUSE WE WILL BE 22 THERE TO WATCH YOU DIE JUST LIKE YOU WATCHED OUR BOYS DIE. 23 THE COURT: NEXT WITNESS, PLEASE. 24 MR. MACDONALD, CAN YOU SAY YOUR NAME FOR THE 25 RECORD. 26 MR. JAMES MACDONALD: JAMES MACDONALD. 27 THE COURT: THANK YOU. GO AHEAD, SIR. 28

```
MR. JAMES MACDONALD: THE DAY MY SONS WERE BORN, I
 1
 2
    THANK GOD FOR THEM. I FINALLY HAD A FAMILY THAT I WANTED
    SO BADLY, AS I WAS A KID WITHOUT A FATHER.
 3
                 THEY ALWAYS GAVE JOY TO ME AND A FEELING OF
 4
    DEEP JOY AND SATISFACTION TO PUT THE REQUEST OF THE BOYS
 5
    AHEAD OF OUR INDIVIDUAL NEEDS.
 6
 7
                 EVEN TODAY IN THE DEEPEST PAIN I CAN IMAGINE,
    I AM THANKFUL JIMMY WAS IN MY LIFE. HIS FEARFUL
 8
    ADVENTURES SOMETIMES CAUSED US ANXIETY, BUT HE NEVER GAVE
 9
    US A MINUTE OF SORROW.
10
                 THE PAIN OF JIMMY'S DEATH SHATTERS MY DAYS
11
    AND INVADES MY NIGHT. I CONSTANTLY WONDER HOW LONG HE
12
    SUFFERED, HOW LONG HE LAID THERE IN PAIN KNOWING HE WAS
13
    DYING.
                 MY FIRST WAKING PICTURE EVERY MORNING IS MY
15
    BEAUTIFUL SON BEING MURDERED BY A CRAZY LUNATIC.
16
                 I LIE THERE IN THE ROAD SIDE WITH HIM AND
17
    FEEL HIS FEAR. I WOULD TRADE PLACES WITH HIM IN A HEART
18
19
    BEAT.
                 MY LIFE AND THE LIVES OF MANY PEOPLE WERE
20
    SHATTERED BY YOUR GRUESOME, UNCONSCIOUS ACT, REGIS THOMAS.
21
                 YOU DESTROYED NOT JUST MY SON AND KEVIN
22
    BURRELL, BUT THE HEART OF EVERY MEMBER OF THEIR FAMILIES.
23
                 I HOPE AND PRAY YOU ARE SENTENCED TO DEATH
24
    AND THAT I WILL BE ALLOWED TO WATCH YOU DIE.
25
                 THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO -- THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO
26
    DO NOT DESERVE THE GIFT OF LIFE ON THIS BEAUTIFUL PLANET.
27
```

JIMMY AND KEVIN DESERVE TO LIVE. REGIS

THOMAS DOES NOT. 1 2 THE COURT: THANK YOU, SIR. NEXT. 3 MR. ARNOLD: YOUR HONOR, THE LAST WITNESS WILL BE 4 5 CHIEF HEURIE TAYLOR FROM COMPTON POLICE DEPARTMENT: THE COURT: GO AHEAD, CHIEF TAYLOR. 6 CHIEF HEURIE TAYLOR: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. 7 LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, MR. AND MRS. BURRELL, 8. MR. AND MRS. MACDONALD, FAMILY, FRIENDS, AND COWORKERS. 9 YOUR HONOR, I REALLY DON'T KNOW IF I CAN 10 ADEOUATELY EXPRESS THE EMOTION THAT WE HAVE GONE THROUGH 11 IN THE COMPTON POLICE DEPARTMENT IN REFERENCE TO THIS 12 SITUATION. 13 YOU KNOW, WHEN YOU SIGN ONTO THIS JOB, YOU DO 14 IT TO, YOU KNOW, CONTRIBUTE TO SOCIETY, TO HELP MAKE OUR 15 ENVIRONMENT A BETTER PLACE TO LIVE. YOU DON'T SIGN ON TO 16 BE EXECUTED IN THE PERFORMANCE OF YOUR DUTIES. 17 WE IN THE COMPTON POLICE DEPARTMENT ARE VERY 18 SMALL. WE HAVE, OF COURSE, A VERY ACTIVE CITY. OVER THE 19 YEARS THE -- I THANK THE GOOD LORD HAS BLESSED US AND 20 WATCHED OVER US. WE ORIGINALLY ONLY HAD ONE OTHER 21 INDIVIDUAL KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY. 22 AND THEN TO HAVE AN INCIDENT LIKE THIS WHERE 23 WE HAVE TWO POLICE OFFICERS JUST ATROCIOUSLY KILLED AND 24 EXECUTED SIMULTANEOUSLY IN A SINGLE INCIDENT, IT'S -- I 25 MEAN IT'S JUST REALLY HEART WRENCHING. 26 I DON'T KNOW IF WE WILL EVER GET OVER IT. 27

MEAN I KNOW THAT WE'LL ALWAYS -- THE MEMORIES OF THESE

```
OFFICERS WILL ALWAYS BE IMPRINTED IN OUR MINDS AND HEARTS.
 1
                 BUT I THINK AS A SOCIETY AS DIFFICULT AS IT
    IS TO MAINTAIN ORDER AND SAFETY NECESSARY IN SOCIETY AND
 3
    THE MAGNIFICENT JOB THAT OUR LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS DO,
    I THINK WE AS A SOCIETY ARE MANDATED TO PROTECT OUR
 5
    OFFICERS AS BEST WE CAN.
 6
                 AND WE HAVE SITUATIONS LIKE THIS WHERE
 7
   DESPICABLE INDIVIDUALS JUST ATROCIOUSLY AND WANTONLY WITH
 8
    ANY DISREGARD -- WITHOUT ANY REGARD GO OUT AND KILL
 9
    SOCIETY'S PROTECTORS, I THINK THAT WE NEED TO MOVE
10
    AGGRESSIVELY AND EXPEDITIOUSLY IN BRINGING THOSE
11
12
    INDIVIDUALS TO JUSTICE.
                 I JUST URGE YOU, YOUR HONOR -- AND I KNOW IT
13
    IS AGAIN A DIFFICULT DECISION, CERTAINLY NOT ONE -- WHEN
14
    THE DEATH SENTENCE IS IMPOSED, BUT I THINK IT NEEDS TO BE
15
    IMPOSED, AND MR. THOMAS NEEDS TO GIVE THE ULTIMATE PRICE,
16
    THE PRICE OF HIS LIFE BECAUSE OF THE THREE INDIVIDUALS
17
    THAT WERE KILLED BY HIM IN THIS SITUATION.
18
                 AGAIN, IT IS CERTAINLY NOT GOING TO BRING --
19
    BRING THESE INDIVIDUALS BACK, BUT I THINK IT WILL SEND A
20
    MESSAGE TO MR. THOMAS AND INDIVIDUALS LIKE HIM WHO THINK
21
    THAT THEY CAN JUST COME AND KILL LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS.
2.2
                 I JUST THINK THAT MESSAGE NEEDS TO BE SENT
23
    OUT AND PEOPLE NEED TO KNOW THAT WE AS A SOCIETY WON'T
24
    TOLERATE THAT.
25
                 THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
26
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, SIR.
27
                 MR. ARNOLD, IS THERE ANYBODY ELSE ON BEHALF
28
```

```
OF THE VICTIMS OR FAMILY MEMBERS THAT WANT TO BE HEARD?
 1
 2
           MR. ARNOLD: NO, YOUR HONOR.
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. JAFFE?
 3
           MR. JAFFE: MAY I HAVE A MOMENT?
 4
          THE COURT: YES.
 5
 6
 7
              (PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.)
 8
           THE COURT: MR. JAFFE, I THINK SOMEBODY WANTS TO
 9
10
    SPEAK.
          MR. JAFFE: DESHAUNNA CODY.
11
12
          THE COURT: MA'AM, CAN YOU JUST GO TO THE PODIUM,
    PLEASE, AND SPEAK FROM THERE AND SAY YOUR NAME FOR THE
13
    RECORD, PLEASE.
14
          MS. DESHAUNNA THOMAS: DESHAUNNA THOMAS.
15
         THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. GO AHEAD, MA'AM.
16
          DESHAUNNA THOMAS: I HAVE LISTENED TO WHAT THE
17
    FAMILY MEMBERS HAVE TO SAY OF THE DECEASED, AND I AM SORRY
18
    FOR THEM.
19
                BUT NEVER HAVE I HEARD OR READ OF IN THE
20
    BIBLE WHERE IT SAYS WHEN SOMEONE GETS KILLED YOU TAKE A
21
    LIFE FOR A LIFE. THIS IS MY FIRST TIME HEARING THAT.
2.2
               AND I AM SORRY FOR YOUR FAMILY, BUT I STILL
23
    DON'T BELIEVE MY HUSBAND IS THE ONE THAT DONE IT.
24
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, MA'AM.
25
                 MR. JAFFE?
26
                 MR. JAFFE, I BELIEVE HIS MOTHER WANTS TO
27
28
    SPEAK.
```

MA'AM, CAN YOU JUST SAY YOUR NAME FOR THE 1 RECORD, PLEASE. 2 MS. IRIS THOMAS: MY NAME IS IRIS THOMAS. 3 YOU SAY -- YOU SAY MY SON'S LIFE IS GOING TO 4 MAKE YOU -- MAKE UP FOR YOU, YOUR SONS. IT'S NOT GOING TO 5 HELP YOUR SONS BY TAKING MY SON'S LIFE. 6 I DON'T KNOW HOW YOU FEEL THAT YOUR SONS ARE 7. DEAD, BUT I HAVE A HURT INSIDE OF ME BECAUSE YOU TRYING TO 8 KILL MINE. YOU KNOW, IT -- IT'S NOT -- YOU TAKING MY 10 SON'S LIFE IS NOT GOING TO BRING YOURS BACK, AND THE 11 FEELINGS ARE STILL GOING TO BE THERE. 12 SO WHY -- EVERYBODY HAS CONVICTED MY SON 13 BEFORE -- THERE WAS NO -- I MEAN I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT 14 HAPPENED REALLY, THE WAY THIS WENT DOWN. 15 THERE WAS -- YOU GOT THIS CRIMINAL THAT'S 16 TESTIFIED SAYING MY SON DID THIS, MY SON DID THAT. NO ONE 17 KNOWS WHO DID THIS. YOU GOT A RED TRUCK. IT'S PLENTY OF 18 THEM AROUND THROUGH MY PROJECTS NOW. IT STILL DON'T SAY 19 THAT THAT WAS MY SON. 20 WHY DO YOU KEEP ON WANTING TO SAY MY SON DID 21 THIS? EVEN HIS LAWYER -- HIS LAWYER FEELS HE DONE THIS. 22 HE STANDING THERE TELLING ME HE DIDN'T DO IT. 23 WHY DO YOU KEEP WANTING TO KILL MY SON? DO YOU REALLY 24 THINK MY SON DID THIS? 25 YOU SAY YOU REALLY THINK. HOW DO YOU -- WHY 26 DO YOU THINK MY SON DID THIS? BECAUSE YOU HAVE NO OTHER 27 WITNESSES. IF YOU HAD SOMEONE ELSE THERE BESIDE HIM TO 28

1 SAY THAT HE DID IT, YOU WOULD THINK HE DID IT TOO. 2 PLEASE DON'T KILL MY SON. 3 I LOVE YOU, REGGIE. 4 THE DEFENDANT: THANK YOU, MAMA. 5 THE COURT: MR. JAFFE, ANYBODY ELSE? MR. JAFFE: YES, MR. THOMAS WANTS TO ADDRESS THE 6 7 COURT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. THOMAS, YOU CAN DO 8 IT -- YOU DON'T HAVE TO STAND. YOU CAN DO IT SITTING 9 DOWN, SIR. AND IF YOU CAN KEEP YOUR VOICE UP SO THE 10 11 REPORTER CAN HEAR YOU. 12 THE DEFENDANT: TO THE BURRELLS AND MACDONALDS, I HOPE YOU CAN PLEAD WITH -- I KNOW IT DON'T MEAN ANYTHING 13 TO YOU OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT, BUT I HOPE YOU CAN ACCEPT MY 14 MOST HUMBLEST CONDOLENCES. 15 BUT I BEEN SITTING HERE -- I BEEN IN JAIL FOR 16 TWO AND A HALF YEARS. I KNEW THE DAY THAT I CAME TO JAIL 17 I KNEW I WAS GOING TO BE CONVICTED. I KNEW THAT THEY WAS 18 GOING TO GIVE ME THE DEATH PENALTY EVEN THOUGH I KNOW 19 WITHIN MY HEART I DIDN'T DO IT. AND I KNOW YOU PEOPLE 20 KNOW WITHIN YOUR HEARTS, KNOW I DIDN'T DO IT. 21 BUT I HAVE TO PAY THE PRICE FOR SOMEONE ELSE 2.2 BECAUSE THIS IS HOW SOCIETY IS. 23 BUT IT'S LIKE, YOU KNOW, I GOT TO GO BACK 24 THERE. I WILL NEVER BE ABLE TO HAVE A CHANCE TO HOLD MY 2.5 KIDS AGAIN. I HAVE EIGHT KIDS. I WILL NEVER BE ABLE TO 26 27 HAVE A CHANCE TO HOLD MY WIFE.

THE COURT: MR. THOMAS -- MR. THOMAS, CAN YOU

```
DIRECT THEM TO ME. I WILL GIVE YOU A CHANCE TO TALK TO
 1
   THE BURRELLS. IF YOU CAN TALK TO ME, PLEASE.
 2
          THE DEFENDANT: AND IT'S -- YOU KNOW, ALL I'VE
 3
 4
   HEARD IN THIS WHOLE COURTROOM IS KILL, KILL, KILL.
                 AND I'VE ALWAYS THOUGHT -- AND I'VE ALWAYS
 5
   LEARNED THAT KILLING WAS WRONG. BUT I -- I SEE DIFFERENT.
 6
 7
    PEOPLE ARE BEGGING THIS COURT TO KILL ME, BEGGING THIS
    COURT TO KILL ME.
 8
                 I UNDERSTAND YOUR SONS ARE GONE. I -- LORD
 9
    KNOWS -- LORD KNOWS HOW MUCH -- HOW I FEEL RIGHT NOW, OR
10
    HOW I'VE BEEN FEELING.
11
12
                PEOPLE SAY I HAVEN'T SHOWED REMORSE. WHEN MY
    MOTHER WAS ON THE STAND, I COULDN'T CRY FOR MY MOTHER.
13
    JUST PUT MY HEAD DOWN. BECAUSE I'M A STRONGER MAN THAN
14
    THAT. BUT I DO CRY. ALL MEN CRY, ALL GOOD MEN. I'M NOT
15
    GOING TO SAY I'M THE BEST MAN IN THE WORLD, BUT I DO TRY
16
    MY BEST. I TRY MY BEST IN EVERYTHING I DO.
17
                 YES, I WAS OUT THERE. I WAS A LITTLE HARD
18
    HEAD, DOING THIS, DOING THAT. BUT I'M NOT SUPERMAN. I
19
    CAN'T TAKE TWO PEOPLE. NOT AT THE SAME TIME, ESPECIALLY
2.0
    TWO HIGHLY TRAINED PROFESSIONALS.
2.1
                 THIS IS SOMETHING I DIDN'T DO. I DONE DID A
22
    LOT OF THINGS IN MY LIFE, BUT SOMETHING LIKE THAT,
2.3
24
    UNH-UNH.
25
```

BUT I DO SEND ALL MY LOVE TO YOUR FAMILIES.

YOU KNOW, I DO SEND ALL MY LOVE TO YOUR FAMILIES. IF YOU
CAN ACCEPT IT, ACCEPT IT. IF NOT, THAT'S -- MUCH LOVE.

26

27

28

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ANYTHING ELSE, MR. JAFFE?

1 MR. JAFFE: NO. 2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WHAT WE WILL DO IS WE WILL TAKE A FIFTEEN-MINUTE BREAK, AND I WILL REVIEW THE 3 PROBATION REPORTS AS I HAVE NOT READ IT YET. I WILL TAKE 4 A LOOK AT THE PROBATION REPORT, AND THEN I WILL COME OUT 5 AND COMPLETE THE SENTENCING. 6 7 WE'LL BE IN RECESS UNTIL 10:45. 8 9 (RECESS.) 10 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. RECALL THE CASE OF PEOPLE 11 VERSUS REGIS THOMAS, CASE BA075063. MR. THOMAS IS PRESENT 12 13 WITH HIS ATTORNEYS. THE PEOPLE ARE REPRESENTED. AND THE RECORD SHOULD REFLECT THAT I HAVE 14 15 READ AND REVIEWED THE PROBATION OFFICER'S REPORT. 16 MR. JAFFE, DO YOU WANT TO BE HEARD AT ALL AS FAR AS ANY OF THE ENTRIES IN THE REPORT? 17 18 MR. JAFFE: NO, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. ARNOLD? 19 MR. ARNOLD: NO, YOUR HONOR. 20 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IT IS THE ORDER OF THE 21 COURT THAT: 22 23 TO THE SHERIFF OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES AND TO THE WARDEN OF THE STATE PRISON OF CALIFORNIA AT SAN 24 OUENTIN: 25 BE IT REMINDED THAT ON OCTOBER 20, 1993, AN 26 INFORMATION NO. BA075063 WAS DULY FILED AGAINST THE 27

DEFENDANT REGIS DEON THOMAS BY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF

```
LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CHARGING SAID DEFENDANT WITH THE
 1
 2
   FOLLOWING CRIMES:
                 IN COUNT I OF THE INFORMATION WITH THE CRIME
 3
 4
   OF THE MURDER OF CARLOS ADKINS, A VIOLATION OF PENAL CODE
 5
    SECTION 187(A), A FELONY.
                 IT IS FURTHER ALLEGED THAT THE DEFENDANT
 6
 7
   PERSONALLY USED A FIREARM, A NINE MILLIMETER HANDGUN,
   DURING THE COMMISSION OF THE MURDER WITHIN THE MEANING OF
 8
    PENAL CODE SECTION 12022.5(A).
                 IN COUNT II OF THE INFORMATION WITH THE CRIME
10
    OF THE MURDER OF OFFICER KEVIN BURRELL, A VIOLATION OF
11
12
    PENAL CODE SECTION 187(A), A FELONY.
                IT WAS FURTHER ALLEGED THAT THE DEFENDANT
13
    PERSONALLY USED A FIREARM, A NINE MILLIMETER HANDGUN,
14
    DURING THE COMMISSION OF THE MURDER WITHIN THE MEANING OF
15
    PENAL CODE SECTION 12022.5(A).
16
                 IT WAS FURTHER ALLEGED THAT THE VICTIM,
17
    OFFICER KEVIN BURRELL, WAS A PEACE OFFICER WHO WAS
18
    INTENTIONALLY KILLED WHILE ENGAGED IN THE PERFORMANCE OF
19
    HIS DUTIES WITHIN THE MEANING OF PENAL CODE SECTION
20
    190(A)(7).
21
                 IN COUNT III OF THE INFORMATION, WITH THE
22
    CRIME OF MURDER OF OFFICER JAMES MACDONALD, A VIOLATION OF
23
    PENAL CODE SECTION 187(A), A FELONY.
24
                 IT WAS FURTHER ALLEGED THAT THE DEFENDANT
25
    PERSONALLY USED A FIREARM, A NINE MILLIMETER HANDGUN,
26
```

DURING THE COMMISSION OF THE MURDER WITHIN THE MEANING OF PENAL CODE SECTION 12022.5(A).

1 IT WAS FURTHER ALLEGED THAT THE VICTIM, 2 OFFICER JAMES MACDONALD, WAS A PEACE OFFICER WHO WAS INTENTIONALLY KILLED WHILE ENGAGED IN THE PERFORMANCE OF 3 HIS DUTIES WITHIN THE MEANING OF PENAL CODE SECTION 5 190.2(A)(7). IT WAS FURTHER ALLEGED THAT THE MURDERS 6 7 ALLEGED IN COUNTS I, II, AND III WAS MULTIPLE MURDER WITHIN THE MEANING OF PENAL CODE SECTION 190.2(A)(3). 8 IN COUNT IV OF THE INFORMATION WITH THE CRIME 9 OF POSSESSION OF A FIREARM BY A FELON, WITHIN THE MEANING 10 11. OF PENAL CODE SECTION 12021(A), A FELONY. 12 IT WAS ALLEGED THAT THE DEFENDANT HAD BEEN CONVICTED OF PERJURY, A FELONY, ON SEPTEMBER 26, 1990, IN 13 LOS ANGELES COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT. 14 IN COUNT V OF THE INFORMATION WITH THE CRIME 15 OF CONVICTED PERSON HAVING CONCEALED FIREARM IN A VEHICLE 16 WITHIN THE MEANING OF PENAL CODE SECTION 12025(A)(1). 17 IT WAS ALLEGED THAT THE DEFENDANT HAD BEEN 18 CONVICTED OF CARRYING A CONCEALED WEAPON IN A VEHICLE 19 WITHIN THE MEANING OF PENAL CODE SECTION 12025 (A) ON 20 JANUARY 31, 1989, IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURT. 21 THE DEFENDANT WAIVED A FORMAL READING OF THE 2.2 INFORMATION AND STATEMENT OF HIS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. 23 TO THE CHARGES HE ENTERED PLEAS OF NOT GUILTY 24 AND DENIED THE SPECIAL ALLEGATIONS. 25 ON JANUARY 27, 1994, THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF 26 LOS ANGELES COUNTY MOVED TO AMEND THE INFORMATION ADDING A 27

COUNT VI, WHICH ALLEGED THE CRIME OF POSSESSION OF A

- FIREARM BY A FELON, WITHIN THE MEANING OF PENAL CODE SECTION 12021(A), A FELONY. 2 3 IT WAS ALLEGED THAT THE DEFENDANT HAD BEEN CONVICTED OF PERJURY, A FELONY, ON SEPTEMBER 26, 1990, IN 4 5 THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT. 6 THE DEFENDANT WAIVED FORMAL READING OF THE 7 AMENDED INFORMATION AND PLEADED NOT GUILTY. ON MARCH 6, 1995, DEFENSE MOTION TO SEVER 8 COUNTS I, IV, AND V OF THE AMENDED INFORMATION WAS HEARD, 9 10 ARGUED, AND DENIED BY THE COURT. ON MARCH 17, 1995, THE DEFENDANT PERSONALLY 11 WITHDREW HIS PLEA OF NOT GUILTY TO COUNT IV AND V AND 12 13 ENTERED PLEAS OF GUILTY TO BOTH COUNTS. THIS WAS DONE WITH THE APPROVAL OF THE COURT, 14 15 THE DEFENSE COUNSEL, AND THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY. SENTENCING ON COUNT IV AND V WAS CONTINUED 16 UNTIL THE CONCLUSION OF THE TRIAL. 17 ALSO, ON MARCH 17, 1995, JURY SELECTION 18 COMMENCED WITH THE VOIR DIRE PROCESS. 19 ON MARCH 22, 1995, THE JURY WAS DULY AND 20 REGULARLY IMPANELED AND SWORN TO TRY THE CASE. THE JURY 21 WAS ORDERED TO RETURN ON MARCH 27, 1995. 22 ON MARCH 27, 1995, EVIDENCE WAS PRESENTED TO 23 THE JURY AS TO THE ISSUES RAISED BY THE DEFENDANT'S PLEAS 24 OF NOT GUILTY AND HIS DENIAL OF THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE 25 ALLEGATIONS CONTAINED IN THE AMENDED INFORMATION. 26
 - ON MARCH 28, 1995, ALTERNATE JUROR JOSEPH
 TRIMBOLI WAS EXCUSED AND DISCHARGED PER STIPULATION OF THE

27

PARTIES. 1 ON APRIL 27, 1995, AFTER THE PROSECUTION AND 2 DEFENSE CONCLUDED A PRESENTATION OF THE EVIDENCE, THE 3 COURT INSTRUCTED THE JURY AS TO THE LAW APPLICABLE TO THE 4 5 CASE. ON APRIL 28, 1995, BOTH PROSECUTION AND 6 DEFENSE PRESENTED THEIR ARGUMENTS TO THE JURY. THE JURY 7 THEN RECEIVED CONCLUDING INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE COURT. 8 ON MAY 1, 1995, THE JURY BEGAN ITS GUILT 9 PHASE DELIBERATIONS. 10 ON MAY 17, 1995, THE JURY RENDERED A VERDICT 11 AS TO THE DEFENDANT REGIS DEON THOMAS AS FOLLOWS: 12 GUILTY OF MURDER IN THE SECOND DEGREE AS 13 CHARGED IN COUNT I OF THE AMENDED INFORMATION, THE MURDER 14 OF CARLOS ADKINS, A VIOLATION OF PENAL CODE SECTION 187. 15 THE JURY FOUND TRUE THE ALLEGATION THAT THE 16 DEFENDANT PERSONALLY USED A FIREARM DURING THE COMMISSION 17 OF THE OFFENSE WITHIN THE MEANING OF PENAL CODE SECTION 18 19 12022.5. GUILTY OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE AS 20 CHARGED IN COUNT II OF THE AMENDED INFORMATION, THE MURDER 21 OF OFFICER KEVIN BURRELL, A VIOLATION OF PENAL CODE 22 SECTION 187. 23 THE JURY FOUND TRUE THE ALLEGATION THAT THE 24 DEFENDANT PERSONALLY USED A FIREARM DURING THE COMMISSION 25 OF THE OFFENSE WITHIN THE MEANING OF PENAL CODE SECTION 26 27 12022.5.

THE JURY ADDITIONALLY FOUND TRUE THE SPECIAL

```
CIRCUMSTANCE ALLEGATION THAT OFFICER KEVIN BURRELL WAS A
 1
 2
    PEACE OFFICER AND WAS INTENTIONALLY KILLED WHILE IN THE
    PERFORMANCE OF HIS DUTIES WITHIN THE MEANING OF PENAL CODE
 3
    SECTION 190.2(A)(7).
               GUILTY OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE AS
 5
    CHARGED IN COUNT III OF THE AMENDED INFORMATION, THE
 6
 7
    MURDER OF OFFICER JAMES MACDONALD. A VIOLATION OF PENAL
    CODE SECTION 187.
 8
                 THE JURY FOUND TRUE THE ALLEGATION THAT THE
 9
    DEFENDANT PERSONALLY USED A FIREARM DURING THE COMMISSION
10
    OF THE MURDER WITHIN THE MEANING OF PENAL CODE SECTION
11
12
    12022.5.
                 THE JURY ADDITIONALLY FOUND TRUE THE SPECIAL
13
    CIRCUMSTANCE ALLEGATION THAT OFFICER JAMES MACDONALD WAS A
14
    PEACE OFFICER AND WAS INTENTIONALLY KILLED WHILE IN THE
15
16
    PERFORMANCE OF HIS DUTIES WITHIN THE MEANING OF PENAL CODE
17
    SECTION 190.2(A)(7).
                THE JURY ALSO FOUND TRUE THE SPECIAL
18
    CIRCUMSTANCE ALLEGATION OF MULTIPLE MURDER WITHIN THE
19
20
    MEANING OF PENAL CODE SECTION 190.2(A)(3).
                 GUILTY OF POSSESSION OF A FIREARM BY A FELON
21
    AS CHARGED IN COUNT VI OF THE AMENDED INFORMATION WITHIN
22
    THE MEANING OF PENAL CODE SECTION 12021(A).
23
                 FURTHER, COUNSEL, I MEANT TO BRING THIS UP
24
    EARLIER. THE VERDICT FORM READ COUNT IV, BUT EVERYBODY
25
    UNDERSTOOD IT WAS AS TO COUNT VI.
26
                 IS THAT CORRECT, MR. JAFFE?
27
```

MR. JAFFE: YES.

THE COURT: MR. ARNOLD? 1 2 MR. ARNOLD: YES, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 3 ON MAY 30, 1995, THE COURT IMPOSED THE 4 SENTENCE ON THE DEFENDANT HAVING BEEN FOUND -- HAVING BEEN 5 CONVICTED BY PLEA ON COUNT IV AND V OF THE AMENDED 6 7 INFORMATION. SENTENCE IMPOSED ON COUNT IV WAS THREE YEARS 8 IN THE STATE PRISON WITH CREDIT FOR 1,179 DAYS IN CUSTODY. 9 SENTENCE IMPOSED ON COUNT V WAS THREE YEARS 10 IN STATE PRISON WITH CREDIT FOR 1,179 DAYS IN CUSTODY. 11 THE. THE SENTENCE ON COUNT V WAS THEN STAYED PURSUANT TO 12 PENAL CODE SECTION 654. 13 ALSO, A DEFENSE MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL WAS 14 HEARD, ARGUED, AND DENIED. 15 ON MAY 31, 1995, JURY TRIAL IN THE PENALTY 16 PHASE WITH RESPECT TO COUNTS I, II, AND III OF THE AMENDED 17 INFORMATION COMMENCED. 18 ON JUNE 6, 1995, AFTER THE PROSECUTION AND 19 DEFENSE CONCLUDED PRESENTATION OF EVIDENCE AND ARGUMENT BY 20 BOTH SIDES, THE COURT INSTRUCTED THE JURY AS TO THE LAW 21 APPLICABLE TO THE PENALTY PHASE, AND DELIBERATIONS BEGAN. 22 ON JUNE 16, 1995, THE JURY RENDERED A VERDICT 23 DECREEING DEATH FOR THE DEFENDANT REGIS DEON THOMAS. 24 SENTENCING WAS SET FOR JULY 14, 1995. 25 ON JULY 7, 1995, DEFENSE COUNSEL'S MOTION TO 26 CONTACT THE TRIAL JURORS PURSUANT TO CODE OF CIVIL 2.7

PROCEDURE SECTIONS 206 AND 237 WAS GRANTED BY THE COURT.

THE JULY 14, 1995, SENTENCING DATE WAS VACATED UPON MOTION OF THE DEFENSE.

1

2

3

5

6

7.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ON AUGUST 15, 1995, THE DEFENDANT'S MOTION

FOR A NEW TRIAL, MODIFICATION OF THE VERDICT, AND FINDING

IMPOSING THE DEATH PENALTY WERE HEARD BY THE COURT.

THE MOTIONS WERE DENIED, AT WHICH TIME
COUNSEL STATED THERE IS NO LEGAL CAUSE WHY SENTENCE SHOULD
NOT NOW BE PRONOUNCED.

THE COURT PRONOUNCED JUDGMENT AS FOLLOWS:

REGIS DEON THOMAS, IT IS THE JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE OF THIS COURT THAT FOR THE OFFENSE OF MURDER OF CARLOS ADKINS, CHARGED IN COUNT I OF THE AMENDED INFORMATION, FOR WHICH YOU WERE FOUND GUILTY ON MAY 17, 1995, THE JURY HAVING FOUND THIS OFFENSE TO BE MURDER IN THE SECOND DEGREE; FOR THE MURDER OF KEVIN -- EXCUSE ME --FOR THE MURDER OF OFFICER KEVIN BURRELL, CHARGED IN COUNT II OF THE AMENDED INFORMATION, FOR WHICH YOU WERE FOUND GUILTY ON MAY 17, 1995, THE JURY HAVING FURTHER FOUND THE OFFENSE CHARGED IN COUNT II TO BE MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE AND THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE THAT OFFICER KEVIN BURRELL WAS A PEACE OFFICER WHO WAS INTENTIONALLY KILLED WHILE PERFORMING HIS DUTIES WITHIN THE MEANING OF PENAL CODE SECTION 190.2(A)(7) WAS TRUE; FOR THE MURDER OF OFFICER JAMES MACDONALD, CHARGED IN COUNT III OF THE AMENDED INFORMATION, FOR WHICH YOU WERE FOUND GUILTY ON MAY 17, 1995, THE JURY HAVING FURTHER FOUND THAT THE OFFENSE CHARGED IN COUNT III TO BE MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE AND THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE THAT OFFICER JAMES

```
MACDONALD WAS A PEACE OFFICER INTENTIONALLY KILLED WHILE
1
   PERFORMING HIS DUTIES WITHIN THE MEANING OF PENAL CODE
 2
   SECTION 190.2(A)(7), AND THAT THE MURDERS CHARGED IN COUNT
3
 4
    II AND III3 WERE MURDERS OF THE FIRST DEGREE WITHIN THE
   MEANING OF PENAL CODE SECTION 190.2(A)(3) WERE TRUE, AND
 5
    THE JURY ON JUNE 16, 1995, HAVING FIXED THE PENALTY FOR
 6
    THESE OFFENSES AT DEATH, THE COURT HAVING DENIED YOUR
 7
    MOTIONS FOR A NEW TRIAL AND APPLICATION FOR MODIFICATION
 8
    OF VERDICT AND FINDING IMPOSING THE DEATH PENALTY, SAID
    PENALTY SHALL BE INFLICTED UPON YOU, REGIS DEON THOMAS,
10
    WITHIN THE WALLS OF THE STATE PRISON AT SAN QUENTIN,
11
    CALIFORNIA IN THE MANNER PRESCRIBED BY LAW AT A TIME TO BE
12
    SET BY THIS COURT IN THE WARRANT OF EXECUTION.
13
                 SENTENCE IS TO BE IMPOSED AS FOLLOWS:
14
                 AS TO COUNTS I, II, AND III, YOU, REGIS DEON
15
    THOMAS, ARE SENTENCED TO DEATH.
16
                 AS TO COUNT VI, YOU, REGIS DEON THOMAS, ARE
17
    SENTENCED TO THE HIGH TERM OF THREE YEARS IN STATE PRISON.
18
                 THE COURT SELECTS THE HIGH TERM FINDING THAT
19
    THE DEFENDANT WAS ON PROBATION WHEN THE CRIME WAS
20
    COMMITTED AND THE RECORD OF CRIMES ARE OF INCREASING
21
22
    SERIOUSNESS.
                 THE THREE YEARS AS TO COUNT VI SHALL BE
23
    ORDERED STAYED PURSUANT TO PENAL CODE SECTION 654.
24
                 AS TO THE ALLEGATIONS WITHIN THE MEANING OF
25
    PENAL CODE SECTION 12022.5 OF PERSONAL USE OF A FIREARM,
26
    WHICH THE JURY FOUND TO BE TRUE AS TO COUNTS I, II, AND
```

III, THE COURT IMPOSES SIX YEARS AND FOUR MONTHS IN THE

27

1 STATE PRISON.

2.5

THE COURT SELECTS THE HIGH TERM OF FIVE YEARS

FOR THE PERSONAL USE ALLEGATION FOUND TO BE TRUE AS TO

COUNT III.

THE COURT SELECTS THE HIGH TERM FINDING AS A CIRCUMSTANCE IN AGGRAVATION THE MANNER IN WHICH THE GUN
WAS USED, THAT IS, THE FIRING OF MULTIPLE SHOTS.

AS TO THE PERSONAL USE ALLEGATION IN COUNT II, THE COURT SELECTS THE HIGH TERM OF FIVE YEARS.

IT IS ORDERED THAT THIS TERM BE ORDERED STAYED PURSUANT TO PENAL CODE SECTION 654 AND THE HOLDING OF IN RE CULBRETH, WHICH WAS THE APPLICABLE LAW AT THE TIME OF THE MURDERS. CONSECUTIVE THERETO, AN ADDITIONAL ONE YEAR AND FOUR MONTHS FOR THE PERSONAL USE ALLEGATION IN COUNT I.

THE TOTAL DETERMINATE TERM IMPOSED SHALL,

THEREFORE, BE SIX YEARS AND FOUR MONTHS.

THIS TERM IS ORDERED TO RUN CONCURRENTLY,
PENDING EXECUTION OF THE DEATH PENALTY AS THE SENTENCE
IMPOSED IN COUNTS I, II, AND III.

IT IS THE INTENTION OF THIS COURT THAT ANY SENTENCE STILL TO BE SERVED, IF ANY, AS TO ANY OF THE PERSONAL USES OF THE FIREARM IN COUNTS I, II, AND III SHALL TERMINATE AND BE DEEMED SERVED WHEN THE SENTENCE OF DEATH IS ACTUALLY EXECUTED.

REGIS DEON THOMAS, YOU ARE REMANDED TO THE CARE, CUSTODY, AND CONTROL OF THE SHERIFF OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY TO BE DELIVERED TO THE WARDEN OF THE STATE

PENITENTIARY AT SAN QUENTIN, CALIFORNIA, WITHIN TEN DAYS
FROM THE DATE OF THIS JUDGMENT FOR THE EXECUTION OF THE
SENTENCE OF DEATH.

YOU ARE TO BE HELD BY SAID WARDEN PENDING THE FINAL DETERMINATION OF YOUR APPEAL IN THIS MATTER, WHICH IS AUTOMATIC.

THE DEATH PENALTY SHALL BE EXECUTED UPON FINAL DETERMINATION OF SAID APPEAL, AND YOU ARE TO BE HELD BY SAID WARDEN DURING SAID PERIOD OF TIME UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THIS COURT. IT IS SO ORDERED.

THIS ORDER IS TO COMMAND YOU, THE SHERIFF OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY, AS PROVIDED IN SAID JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE TO TAKE REGIS DEON THOMAS TO THE STATE PRISON OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND TO DELIVER HIM INTO, THE CUSTODY OF THE WARDEN OF THE SAID STATE PRISON WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD DESCRIBED ABOVE.

FURTHER, THIS ORDER IS TO COMMAND YOU, THE WARDEN OF THE STATE PRISON OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AT SAN QUENTIN, CALIFORNIA, TO HOLD IN YOUR CUSTODY THE SAID REGIS DEON THOMAS, PENDING THE DECISION OF THIS CASE ON APPEAL, AND UPON THE JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE HEREIN BECOMING FINAL, TO CARRY INTO EFFECT THE JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE OF THIS COURT AT A TIME AND ON A DATE TO BE HEREAFTER FIXED BY ORDER OF THIS COURT WITHIN THE STATE PRISON, AT WHICH TIME AND PLACE YOU SHALL THEN AND THERE PUT TO DEATH THE SAID REGIS DEON THOMAS IN THE MANNER AND MEANS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I HAVE HERE UNTO SET MY

1	HAND AS JUDGE OF THE SAID SUPERIOR COURT AND HAVE CA	USED
2	THE SEAL OF THE SAID COURT TO BE AFFIXED THERETO.	
3	THIS HAS BEEN DONE IN OPEN COURT THIS 1	5TH A
4	DAY OF AUGUST, 1995.	
5	WE'LL STAND IN RECESS.	
6		
7	(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED.)	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		* 2 2
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		