## Problem 1.13

If u() is concave, by Jensen's ineq.
we have

$$\mathbb{H}\left(\mathsf{n}(\mathsf{m}+\mathfrak{F})\right)\cdot\leq\mathsf{n}\left(\mathbb{H}(\mathsf{m}+\mathfrak{F})\right)$$

= 
$$u(\omega)$$
 (w is const,  $\mathbb{H}(\hat{s})=0$ )

## Only If:

Assume for HW, Hi with E(i) = 0 we have

$$\mathbb{E}(u(w+3)) \leq u(\mathbb{E}(w+3))$$

then for  $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and for  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,

Let 
$$w = \lambda a + (1-\lambda)b$$
 and  $\tilde{z} = \begin{cases} a - \omega & P = \lambda \\ b - \omega & P = 1 - \lambda \end{cases}$ 

then we have

$$u(\lambda a + (1-\lambda)b) = u(w + \mathbb{H}(3))$$

$$= \lambda u(w+Q-w) + (1-\lambda)u(w+b-w)$$

so by definition u is concave!

QED

## Problem 1.14

$$\prod \approx \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 A(w) = \frac{1}{2} \kappa \sigma^2$$

given 
$$u(w) = -\frac{1}{k} \exp(-kw)$$
, then we want to prove that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[n(m+\tilde{s})\right] = n(m-1)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{k} exp\left(-k\mu + \frac{k^2\sigma^2}{2}\right)$$

$$RHS = u(w-T) = -\frac{1}{K} exp(-kw + \frac{k^2 \sigma^2}{2})$$

$$= -\frac{1}{1} \exp\left(-\frac{kh + \frac{5}{2}}{5}\right)$$

QED

Problem 1.15

$$(a) \Rightarrow (b)$$

V is more risk-averse than U

$$=$$
  $\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 A_{\nu}(\omega) \geq \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 A_{\nu}(\omega)$ 

$$\Rightarrow$$
  $A_{\nu}(\omega) \geq A_{\omega}(\omega)$ 

$$(b) \Rightarrow (c)$$

$$A_{\nu}(\omega) = -\frac{\nu''(\omega)}{\nu'(\omega)}$$
,  $A_{\mu}(\omega) = -\frac{\mu''(\omega)}{\mu'(\omega)}$ 

$$A_{\nu}(\omega) \geq A_{\nu}(\omega) = -\frac{\nu''(\omega)}{\nu'(\omega)} \geq -\frac{\alpha''(\omega)}{\alpha'(\omega)}$$

$$= > \frac{v''(w)}{v'(w)} \leq \frac{u''(w)}{u'(w)}$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
  $u'(\omega) v''(\omega) \leq v'(\omega) u''(\omega)$ 

assume 
$$v''(w) = \phi''(u)u'(w) + \phi'(u)u''(w)$$
  
then we have

$$\int \phi''(w) \le 0 \quad \text{(since } u'(w) > 0)$$

$$\int \phi'(w) = \frac{v'(w)}{u'(w)} \ge 0$$

So  $\phi(w)$  is concave function. According to chain rule it's easy to show that

where hum is affine and doesn't affect the concavity of of

$$(c) \Rightarrow (a)$$

$$V(W-\Pi_V) = \mathbb{E}(V(W+\widehat{3}))$$
$$= \mathbb{E}(\phi(u(W+\widehat{3})))$$

$$\leq \phi \Big[ \mathbb{E}(u(w+\tilde{z})) \Big] \\
= \phi \Big[ u(w-\Pi u) \Big] \\
= v(w-\Pi v)$$
Since v is increasing we conclude
$$\Pi v \geq \Pi u$$
Thus we can say (a), (b), (c) are equivalent

$$(x) = a + bu(x)$$

$$\begin{cases} c'(x) = bu'(x) \\ c''(x) = bu''(x) \end{cases}$$

$$=-\frac{bu'(x)}{bu'(x)}$$

$$= -\frac{u'(\alpha)}{u(\alpha)}$$

$$=A_{\alpha}(\chi)$$

they have the same rosk-oversion!

QED