

# INTERPRETERS, PROGRAMS AS DATA & MACROS Solutions

---

## COMPUTER SCIENCE MENTORS 61A

April 14 – April 18, 2025

---

### 1 Interpreters

---

An **interpreter** is a computer program that understands, processes, and executes other programs. The Scheme interpreter we will cover in CS 61A is built around the **Read-Eval-Print Loop**, which consists of the following steps:

1. **Read** the raw input and parse it into a data structure we can easily handle.
2. **Evaluate** the parsed expression.
3. **Print** the result to output.

One of the challenges of designing interpreters is to represent the input in a way that the interpreter's language can understand. For example, since our Scheme interpreter is written in Python, we need to parse Scheme tokens into a usable Python representation. Conveniently, every Scheme call expression and special form is represented in Scheme as a linked list. Therefore, we will represent Scheme lists with the `Pair` class, which is a type of linked list.

Just like `Link`, a `Pair` instance has two attributes, `first` and `second`, which contain the first element and rest of the linked list, respectively. Instead of using `Link.empty` to represent an empty list, `Pair` uses `nil`.

For example, during the read step, the Scheme expression `(+ 1 2)` would be **tokenized** into `('(', '+', '1', '2', ')')` and then organized into a `Pair` instance as `(Pair('+', Pair(1, Pair(2, nil))))`.

Once we have parsed our input, we evaluate the expression by calling `scheme_eval` on it. If it's a procedure call, we recursively call `scheme_eval` on the operator and the operands. Then we return the result of calling `scheme_apply` on the evaluated operator and operands, which computes the procedure call. If it's a special form, the relevant evaluation rules are followed in a similar matter.

For example, when we provide `(+ 1 (+ 2 3))` as input to the interpreter, the following happen:

- `(+ 1 (+ 2 3))` is parsed to `Pair('+', Pair(1, Pair(Pair('+', Pair(2, Pair(3, nil))), nil)))`
- The interpreter recognizes this is a procedure call.
- `scheme_eval` is called on the operator, `'+'`, and returns the addition procedure.
- `scheme_eval` is called on the operand `1` and returns `1`.
- `scheme_eval` is called on the operand `Pair('+', Pair(2, Pair(3, nil)))`.
  - The interpreter recognizes this is a procedure call.
  - `scheme_eval` is called on the operator, `'+'`, and returns the addition procedure.
  - `scheme_eval` is called on the operand `2` and returns `2`.
  - `scheme_eval` is called on the operand `3` and returns `3`.
  - `scheme_apply` is called on the evaluated procedure and parameters (`Pair(2, Pair(3, nil))`) and returns `5`.
- `scheme_apply` is called on the evaluated procedure and parameters (`Pair(1, Pair(5, nil))`) and returns `6`.
- `6` is printed to output.

1. The following questions refer to the Scheme interpreter. Assume we're using the implementation seen in lecture and in the Scheme project.

- (a) What's the purpose of the read stage in a Read-Eval-Print Loop? For our Scheme interpreter, what does it take in, and what does it return?

The read stage returns a representation of the code that is easier to process later in the interpreter by putting it in a new data structure. In our interpreter, it takes in a string of code, and outputs a `Pair` representing an expression (which is really just the same as a Scheme list).

- (b) What are the two components of the read stage? What do they do?

The read stage consists of

1. The lexer, which breaks the input string and breaks it up into tokens (individual characters or symbols)
2. The parser, which takes that string of tokens and puts it into the data structure that the read stage outputs (in our case, a `Pair`).

- (c) Write out the constructor for the `Pair` object that the read stage creates from the input string `(define (foo x) (+ x 1))`

`Pair("define", Pair(Pair("foo", Pair("x", nil)), Pair(Pair("+", Pair("x", Pair(1, nil))), nil)))`

- (d) For the previous example, imagine we saved that `Pair` object to the variable `p`. How could we check that the expression is a `define` special form? How would we access the name of the function and the body of the function?

We could check to see that it's a `define` special form by checking if `p.first == "define"`.

We could get its name by accessing `p.second.first.first` and get the body of the function with `p.second.second.first`.

2. Circle or write the number of calls to `scheme_eval` and `scheme_apply` for the code below.

```
(if 1 (+ 2 3) (/ 1 0))
```

```
scheme_eval    1  3  4  6
```

```
scheme_apply   1  2  3  4
```

6 `scheme_eval`, 1 `scheme_apply`. Evals: (1) on the entire expression, (2) on 1 (**if** is not evaluated), (3) on (+ 2 3), (4-6) on +, 2, 3. Apply: (1) with applying + on (+ 2 3).

```
(or #f (and (+ 1 2) 'apple) (- 5 2))
```

```
scheme_eval    6  8  9 10
```

```
scheme_apply   1  2  3  4
```

8 `scheme_eval`, 1 `scheme_apply`.

```
(define (square x) (* x x))
```

```
(+ (square 3) (- 3 2))
```

```
scheme_eval    2  5 14 24
```

```
scheme_apply   1  2  3  4
```

14 `scheme_eval`, 4 `scheme_apply`.

```
(define (add x y) (+ x y))
```

```
(add (- 5 3) (or 0 2))
```

13 `scheme_eval`, 3 `scheme_apply`.

Up to now, all of our programs have taken data—integers, strings, numbers, lists, and more—and manipulated this data to accomplish a wide variety of tasks. What if computer code itself could serve as the data used and produced by programs? What if we could treat **programs as data**?

We’ve already seen something similar when we built higher order functions. Functions can be thought of as “bundles of code,” and HOFs allowed us to treat this code as input and output to other functions. For example, the `twice` function below takes in a zero-argument function `f` and calls `f` twice.

<pre>def twice(f):     f()     f()</pre>	<pre>(define (twice f)   (f)   (f))</pre>
<pre>&gt;&gt;&gt; twice(lambda:     print(5)) 5 5 5</pre>	<pre>scm&gt; (twice (lambda () (print 5))) 5 5</pre>

Beyond HOFs, Scheme gives us many more tools for the treatment of programs as data.

## 2.1 Quotation

Recall that Scheme is a list processing language. The call expression `(+ 1 2)` is a list literally consisting of the symbol `+` and the numbers `1` and `2`. When this list is provided the interpreter, the appropriate evaluation rules are followed and the expression evaluates to `3`. Similarly, when we provide the list `(1 2 3)` to the Scheme interpreter, it attempts to evaluate the list as a call expression and encounters an error. In Scheme, there is no distinction between lists and call expressions/special forms.

Since Scheme programs are essentially stored as a long list, if we are to use programs as input to other programs, we need a way to prevent them from being evaluated while we manipulate them. The `quote` special form, also denoted by an apostrophe `'`, which simply returns its unevaluated operand:

```
scm> '(+ 1 2)
(+ 1 2)
scm> (list '(if #t (\ 1 0) 3) (+ 2 3))
((if #t (\ 1 0) 3) 5)
```

Quotation is a “protective shell” that prevents the immediately following expression from being evaluated as it passes through the interpreter.

On the other hand, **eval** is a procedure that simply evaluates its argument. Note that since **eval** is a procedure, its argument is evaluated first before applying **eval**. Whereas quotation prevents evaluation, **eval** evaluates things another time.

```
scm> (eval '(+ 1 2))
3
scm> (eval (list 1 2 3))
Error: int is not callable
```

Quotation allows us to begin taking code as input. For example, the following version of `twice` takes in an expression and evaluates it twice:

```
(define (twice expr)
  (eval expr)
  (eval expr))

scm> (twice '(print 5))
5
5
```

## 2.2 Quasiquotation

---

The quasiquote special form, denoted with a backtick ```, has the same effect as `'`, except that any subexpressions can be “unquoted” by preceding them with a comma `,`. Any unquoted subexpression is evaluated as normal, whereas everything else is left unevaluated.

```
(define (cool-string tens-digit ones-digit letter)
  (I love ,tens_digit ,ones_digit ,letter))

scm> (cool-string 6 1 'a)
(i love 6 1 a)
```

This is very similar to f-string behavior in Python:

```
def cool_string(tens_digit, ones_digit, letter):
    return f"I love {tens_digit}{ones_digit}{letter}"

>>> cool_string(6, 1, "a")
'I love 61a'
```

The analogy is summed up by the following:

- Quotation `'...` in Scheme is like strings `"..."` in Python
- Quasiquotation ``...` in Scheme is like f-strings in Python `f"..."`

- Unquotation , . . . in Schemes is like replacement fields { . . . } in Python

## 2.3 Macros

---

A call expression in Scheme is evaluated by evaluating the operator, then evaluating the operands, before finally applying the operator to the operands. Because the parameters of a Scheme procedure are evaluated before the body of the procedure is evaluated, we say that procedures operate on values.

In Scheme, a **macro** is similar to a procedure, but it operates on expressions rather than value. Thus the input to macros is code that we can manipulate as data. Macro evaluation involves three steps:

1. Evaluate the operator to a macro procedure.
2. Apply the macro procedure to the *unevaluated* operands
3. Evaluate the expression produced by the macro procedure in the same frame it was called in and return the result.

This may sound overwhelming at first, but just remember that the key difference between macro procedures and regular procedures are that 1) in macros, operands are not evaluated and 2) after the body of the macro produces an expression, the expression is automatically evaluated one more time.

New macros are defined using the special form **define-macro**. Below is an example of a macro `twice` that evaluates a given expression twice.

```
(define-macro (twice expr)
  (list 'begin expr expr))
```

```
scm> (twice (print 'hello))
hello
hello
```

When `twice` is called, the unevaluated expression `'(print 'hello)` is bound to the symbol `expr`. The body of the macro is executed as normal, producing the expression `(begin (print 'hello) (print 'hello))`. Finally, this expression is evaluated in the global frame, and the macro call prints `hello` twice.

Note that even though we pass in `(print 'hello)` as an operand, we don't evaluate the expression and print right away. Because the body is evaluated without evaluating the operands at first, macros allow us to implement new special forms, control the order of evaluation, and more.

## 1. What will Scheme output?

```
scm> (define x 6)
```

x

```
scm> (define y 1)
```

y

```
scm> '(x y a)
```

(x y a)

```
scm> `(:,x ,y a)
```

(6 1 a)

```
scm> `(:,x y a)
```

(6 y a)

```
scm> `(:,(if (- 1 2) '+ '-') 1 2)
```

(+ 1 2)

```
scm> (eval `(:,(if (- 1 2) '+ '-') 1 2))
```

3

```
scm> (define (add-expr a1 a2)
      (list '+ a1 a2))
```

add-expr

```
scm> (add-expr 3 4)
```

(+ 3 4)



```
scm> (eval (add-expr 3 4))
```

7

```
scm> (define-macro (add-macro a1 a2)
      (list '+ a1 a2))
```

add-macro

```
scm> (add-macro 3 4)
```

- 7
2. The built-in `apply` procedure in Scheme applies a procedure to a given list of arguments. For example, `(apply f '(1 2 3))` is equivalent to `(f 1 2 3)`. Write a macro procedure `meta-apply`, which is similar to `apply`, except that it works not only for procedures, but also for macros and special forms. That is, `(meta-apply operator (operand1 ... operandN))` should be equivalent to `(operator operand1 ... operandN)` for any operator and operands. See doctests for examples.

```
; Doctests
```

```
scm> (meta-apply + (1 2))
```

3

```
scm> (meta-apply or (#t (/ 1 0) #f))
```

```
#t
```

```
(define-macro (meta-apply operator operands)
```

```
)
```

```
(define-macro (meta-apply operator operands)
  (cons operator operands))
```

3. NAND (not and) is a logical operation that returns false if all of its operands are true, and true otherwise. That is, it returns the opposite of AND. Implement the `nand` macro procedure below, which takes in a list of expressions and returns the NAND of their values. Similar to **and**, `nand` should short circuit and return true as soon as it encounters a false operand, evaluating from left to right.

Hint: You may use `meta-apply` in your implementation.

```
;Doctests
scm> (nand (#t #t #t #t #t #t))
#f
scm> (nand (#t #f #t))
#t
scm> (nand (#f (/ 1 0)))
#t
(define-macro (nand operands))

)

(define-macro (nand operands)
  `(not (meta-apply and ,operands)))
```

4. Implement `apply-twice`, which is a macro that takes in a call expression with a single argument. It should return the result of applying the operator to the operand twice.

```
;Doctests
scm> (define add-one (lambda (x) (+ x 1)))
add-one
scm> (apply-twice (add-one 1))
3
scm> (apply-twice (print 'hi))
hi
undefined
```

```
(define-macro (apply-twice call-expr)
  _____
)
```

```
(define-macro (apply-twice call-expr)
  (list (car call-expr) call-expr)
)
```

5. Write a macro procedure `sensor`, which takes in an expression `expr` and a symbol phrase. If `expr` does not contain any instance of `phrase`, then `sensor` simply evaluates `expr`. However, if `expr` does contain an instance of the censored phrase, the symbol `censored` is returned and the expression is not evaluated.

```
;Doctests
scm> (sensor ((lambda (stanford tree) (+ stanford tree)) 4 5)
      stanford)
censored
scm> (sensor ((lambda (stanford tree) (+ stanford tree)) 4 5)
      tree)
censored
scm> (sensor ((lambda (stanford tree) (+ stanford tree)) 4 5)
      ree)
9
```

```
(define-macro (sensor expr phrase)
  (define (contains-phrase expr)
```

```
    )
    (if _____
        _____
        _____))
```

```
(define-macro (sensor expr phrase)
  (define (contains-phrase expr)
    (cond
      ((equal? expr phrase) #t)
      ((or (not (list? expr)) (null? expr)) #f)
      (else (or (contains-phrase (car expr))
                 (contains-phrase (cdr expr))))))
  (if (contains-phrase expr)
      'censored
      expr))
```