RSA, POLYNOMAILS, SECRET SHARING, ERASURE ERRORS 4

COMPUTER SCIENCE MENTORS 70

September 25-29, 2017

RSA

1.1 Questions

1. How does RSA work?

a. Alice wants to send Bob a message m=5 using his public key (n=26, e=11). What cipher text E(m) will Alice send?

Solution:

$$5^{1} = 5 \mod 26$$

 $5^{2} = 5 \mod 26$
 $= -1 \mod 26$
 $5^{4} = (-1)^{2} \mod 26$
 $= 1 \mod 26$
 $5^{8} = 1 \mod 26$
 $5^{11} = 5^{8} * 5^{2} * 5^{1} \mod 26$
 $= 1 * -1 * 5 \mod 26$
 $= -5 \mod 26$
 $= 21 \mod 26$

b. What is the value of d (Bobs private key) in this scheme? Note that traditional RSA schemes use much larger prime numbers, so its harder to break n down into its prime factors than it is in this problem.

Solution:
$$n=26 o$$
 because $26=pq$ and $p\neq a*q$ for all a within integers, $p=13, q=2$
$$d=e^{-1}\mod(13-1)(2-1)$$

$$d=11^{-1}\mod12$$

$$d=11$$

2. In RSA, if Alice wants to send a confidential message to Bob, she uses Bobs public key to encode it. Then Bob uses his private key to decode the message. Suppose that Bob chose N=77. And then Bob chose e=3 so his public key is (3, 77). And then Bob chose d=26 so his private key is (26, 77).

Will this work for encoding and decoding messages? If not, where did Bob first go wrong in the above sequence of steps and what is the consequence of that error? If it does work, then show that it works.

Solution: e should be co-prime to (p-1)(q-1). e=3 is not co-prime to (7-1)(11-1)=60, so this is incorrect, since therefore e does not have an inverse $\mod 60$.

3. Coin tosses over text messages

You and one of your friends want to get your hands on the new gadget thats coming out. One of you has to wait in line overnight so that you have a chance to get the gadgets while they last. In order to decide who this person should be, you both agree to toss a coin. But you wont meet each other until the day of the actual sale and you have to settle this coin toss over text messages (using your old gadgets). Obviously neither of you trusts the other person to simply do the coin toss and report the results.

How can you use RSA to help fix the problem?

Solution: Firstly, we need some way to create two events of equal probability. One way to do this is have both of you flip a coin, and if you both get the same coin (i.e. "HH" or "TT") then one of you has to wait in line, and if you both get different coins (i.e. "HT" or "TH") then the other one of you needs to wait in line. Since each combination of two flips has probability $\frac{1}{4}$, each of you has a $2 \cdot \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2}$ chance of having to wait in line.

Some form of encryption is necessary in this question, because otherwise, you could send your non-encrypted result to your friend and they could simply lie and say that they got the result that would cause you to have to go wait in line. Consider the following abstraction:

- 1. I flip a coin, write down my result on a piece of paper, and turn over the piece of paper
- 2. I give you the paper, you flip your coin, and then turn over my paper

The main idea of the above scheme boiled down to the fact that I was able to give you my result without you being able to see it. After flipping my coin and writing down the result, I'm unable to change my flip, therefore I was forced to "commit"

to my answer. Since you weren't able to see my coin, you had no choice but to actually flip the coin, as you had no additional information.

Let's implement this with RSA.

- 1. You generate a public key (N, e), and flip your coin. If you got heads, encrypt some random word beginning with the letter "H". If you got tails, encrypt some random word beginning with the letter "T". The reasoning for this will be evident in the next step.
- 2. Send your encrypted message to your friend, along with your public key. Sending the public key is important, since it forces you to commit to the result that you got (if you were to send your public key after your friend flipped their coin, you could calculate a public key and private key such that your encrypted message decrypts to whatever you want it to).
- 3. Since your friend currently has no information about your flip, as you can't decrypt with just the public key, they have no choice but to just flip their coin and relay their result back to you.
- 4. Now, you send your friend your private key. With your public key and private key, they can decrypt your message to see what your original word was, telling them whether you got heads or tails.

2.1 Introduction

- 1. There is a unique polynomial of degree n-1 such that $P(i)=m_i$ for each packet m_1,\ldots,m_n
- 2. To account for errors we send $c_1 = P(1), \ldots, c_{n+j} = P(n+j)$
- 3. If polynomial P(x) has degree n-1 then we can uniquely reconstruct it from any n distinct points.
- 4. If a polynomial P(x) has degree n-1 then it can be uniquely described by its n coefficients

2.2 Questions

- 1. Define the sequence of polynomials by $P_0(x) = x + 12$, $P_1(x) = x^2 5x + 5$ and $P_n(x) = xP_{n-2}(x) P_{n-1}(x)$. (For instance, $P_2(x) = 17x 5$ and $P_3(x) = x^3 5x^2 12x + 5$.)
 - (a) Show that $P_n(7) \equiv 0 \pmod{19}$ for every $n \in N$.

Solution:

(a) Prove using strong induction.

Base Case There are two base cases because each polynomial is defined in terms of the two previous ones except for P_0 and P_1 .

$$P_0(7) \equiv 7 + 12 \equiv 19 \equiv 0 \pmod{19}$$

 $P_1(7) \equiv 7^2 - 5 \cdot 7 + 5 \equiv 49 - 35 + 5 \equiv 19 \equiv 0 \pmod{19}$

Inductive Hypothesis Assume $P_n(7) \equiv 0 \pmod{19}$ for every $n \leq k$. **Inductive Step** Using the definition of P_{k+1} , we have that

$$P_{k+1}(7) \equiv x P_{k-1}(7) - P_k(7) \pmod{19}$$

 $\equiv x \cdot 0 - 0 \pmod{19}$
 $\equiv 0 \pmod{19}$

Therefore, $P_n(7) \equiv 0 \pmod{19}$ for all natural numbers n.

(b) Show that, for every prime q, if $P_{2013}(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$, then $P_{2013}(x)$ has at most 2013 roots modulo q.

Solution: This question asks to prove that, for all prime numbers q, if $P_{2013}(x)$ is a non-zero polynomial \pmod{q} , then $P_{2013}(x)$ has at most 2013 roots \pmod{q} .

The proof of Property 1 of polynomials (a polynomial of degree d can have at most d roots) still works in the finite field GF(q). Therefore we need only show that P_{2013} has degree at most 2013. We prove that $\deg(P_n) \leq n$ for n > 1 by strong induction.

Base cases There are 4:

$$\deg(P_0) = \deg(x+12) = 1$$

$$\deg(P_1) = \deg(x^2 - 5x + 5) = 2$$

$$\deg(P_2) = \deg(xP_0(x) - P_1(x)) \le 2$$

$$\deg(P_3) = \deg(xP_1(x) - P_2(x)) \le 3$$

Inductive Hypothesis Assume $deg(P_n) \le n$ for all $2 \le n \le k$. Inductive Step Then

$$\deg(P_{k+1}(x)) \\ \leq \max\{\deg(xP_{k-1}(x)), \deg(P_k(x))\} \\ = \max\{1 + \deg(P_{k-1}(x)), \deg(P_k(x))\} \\ \leq \max\{1 + k - 1, k\} \\ \leq k \\ \leq k + 1$$

3 Secret Sharing

3.1 Questions

- 1. Suppose the Oral Exam questions are created by 2 TAs and 3 Readers. The answers are all encrypted and we know that:
 - (a) Together, both TAs should be able to access the answers
 - (b) All 3 Readers can also access the answers
 - (c) One TA and one Reader should also be able to do the same

Design a secret sharing scheme to make this work.

Solution: Use a 2 degree polynomial which requires at least 3 shares to recover the polynomial. Generate a total of 7 shares, give each Reader a share, and each TA 2 shares. Then, all possible combinations will have at least 3 shares to recover the answer key. Basically the point of this problem is to assign different weights to different classes of people. If we give one share to everyone, then 2 Readers can also recover the secret and the scheme is broken.

- 2. An officer stored an important letter in her safe. In case she is killed in battle, she decides to share the password with her troops. Everyone knows there are 3 spies among the troops, but no one knows who they are except for the three spies themselves. The 3 spies can coordinate with each other and they will either lie and make people not able to open the safe, or will open the safe themselves if they can. Therefore, the officer would like a scheme to share the password that satisfies the following conditions:
 - 1. When M of them get together, they are guaranteed to be able to open the safe even if they have spies among them.
 - 2. The 3 spies must not be able to open the safe all by themselves.

Please help the officer to design a scheme to share her password. What is the scheme? What is the smallest M? Show your work and argue why your scheme works and any smaller M couldnt work.

Solution: The key insight is to realize that both polynomial-based secret-sharing and polynomial-based error correction work on the basis of evaluating an underlying polynomial at many points and then trying to recover that polynomial. Hence they can be easily combined. Suppose the password is s. The officer can construct a polynomial P(x) such that s=P(0) and share (i,P(i)) to the i-th person in her troops. Then the problem is: what should the degree of P(x) be and what is the smallest M? First, the degree of polynomial d should not be less than 3. It is because when d < 3, the 3 spies can decide the polynomial P(x) uniquely. Thus, n will be at least 4 symbols. Lets choose a polynomial P(x) of degree 3 such that s=P(0). We now view the 3 spies as 3 general errors. Then the smallest M=10 since n is at least 4 symbols and we have k=3 general errors, leading us to a codeword of $4+2\cdot 3=10$ symbols (or people in our case). Even though the 3 spies are among the 10 people and try to lie on their numbers, the 10 people can still be able to correct the k=3 general errors by the Berlekamp-Welch algorithm and find the correct P(x).

Alternative solution: Another valid approach is making P(x) of degree M1 and adding 6 public points to deal with 3 general errors from the spies. In other words, in addition to their own point (i, P(i)), everyone also knows the values of 6 more points, $(t+1, P(t+1)), (t+2, P(t+2)), \ldots, (t+6, P(t+6))$, where t is the number of the troops. The spies have access to total of 3+6=9 points so the degree M-1 must be at least 9 to prevent the spies from opening the safe by themselves. Therefore, the minimum M is 10.

4.1 Introduction

We want to send n packets and we know that k packets could get lost.

3 1 5 0 → 1 5 C

How many more points does Alice need to send to account for *k* possible errors? __

Solution: k

What degree will the resulting polynomial be? ___

Solution: n-1

How large should q be if Alice is sending n packets with k erasure errors, where each packet has b bits?

Solution: Modulus should be larger than n + k and larger than 2^b and be prime

What would happen if Alice instead send n+k-1? Why will Bob be unable to recover the message?

Solution: Bob will receive n-1 distinct points and needs to reconstruct a polynomial of degree n-1. By Fact #3 this is impossible. There are q polynomials of at most degree n-1 in GF(q) that go through the n-1 points that Alice sent.

4.2 Questions

1. Suppose A = 1, B = 2, C = 3, D = 4, and E = 5. Assume we want to send a message of length 3. Recover the lost part of the message, or explain why it can not be done.

Solution: P(0) = 3, P(2) = 1, P(3) = 1. Once we interpolate the polynomial over mod 7, as E is 5, we get $5x^2 + 3x + 3$. Now, once we evaluate this at 1, we get 4. So, in the end, its CDAA.

2. CE__

Solution: Impossible. In order to get the original degree 2 polynomial, we need at least 3 > 2 points.

2. Suppose we want to send n packets, and we know p = 20% of the packets will be erased. How many extra packets should we send? What happens if p increases (say to 90%)?

Solution: We want to have (1-p)*(n+k) = n, where k is the number of additional packets we send. Solving for k, we get $\frac{n}{1-p} - n$. When p is large, we have to send many times the number of original packets. (fraction packets not erased)*(how many packets are sent) = (number packets in original message)