
META

Graphs, Trees, Hypercubes, Bijections, FLT, Modular Arithmetic

1 General Comments - Week

1. There will be a midterm on 9/18. You don't need to do anything to review, but just be aware there may be very stressed students in your section.

2 General Comments - Graphs

1. Make sure to take attendance!
2. If you didn't get to the first section last time, be sure to go over it as a refresher.
3. Emphasize that the worksheet this week is extremely long, it is not meant to be completely covered in 1.5 hours – they should practice the problems that you didn't do.
4. Make sure that you are comfortable with the subtle differences between a walk and path or a tour and cycle.
 - (a) The definitions on the worksheet correspond to definitions from the 70 notes. They might be confused between a path and a walk—say that in 70, when we refer to a path, we usually mean a simple path, which is a sequence of edges that don't repeat vertices.
5. Skip Eulerian Tour if short on time.
6. Have students take special note of the 4 properties of trees we list. Some good general tree advice is to have those 4 written out exactly, which makes for a much easier time coming up with proofs.
7. For Rooted Tree, a visual/intuitive explanation rather than a formal proof is fine.
8. If there is time, try and emphasize the Spanning Tree problem.

9. Remember that there are two major definitions of a hypercube and go over those. It's especially important that they understand these.

3 General Comments - Modular Arithmetic

1. Make sure your students understand bijections—onto and one-to-one. It's helpful to draw out examples of onto, one-to-one, both (bijections), and neither.
2. FLT Proof is important to go through; make sure they understand every step of the way. It's also not necessary to go through both. Do so if you have time, but skip last one if low on time

4 General Comments - RSA

- (a) Give a mini-lecture about RSA; Try and draw a picture to explain the different components of RSA to your students.
- (b) Definitely try and go over question 3, as it provides a lot of intuition on RSA.
- (c) If time, try and do question 1, but if not, encourage students to work on question 1 on their own time as it is a purely algebraic problem.
- (d) Stress the inability to factor integers as one of the reasons why RSA works.

5 Questions

5.1 Graph Theory

(a) 3 Cycle

For solving, it is easiest to proceed directly. When drawing out the graph on the board, draw something with 8 vertices, but have it be in two halves, 4 lined up on the left and 4 lined up on the right. Use the top left node as your example, don't draw edges for every node, that make too much clutter. The way to proceed is to show that since each node connects with 5 nodes, the top left node has to be connected to nodes from both sides. Then, take a second node, one that the first connected too, and show that there is no way to draw 5 edges without connecting to another node that the first node connected to. This alone is not the full formal solution but it should create a strong intuition.

(b) Build Up Error

- (*) For #6, many students do not know what buildup error is, so be ready to explain that thoroughly. If you want another good example of how buildup error can happen, the chocolate bar example from week 1 works well too.
- (*) Buildup error isn't inherently wrong; correct solutions can be written by creating an instance of an $n+1$ case from an n case. However, this is usually a very slippery slope as doing so lends itself to overly strong assumptions and non-generalizable conclusions.

5.2 Hypercubes

(a) Introduction

- * The first definition is a recursive one, the second one is the labeling of vertices with binary numbers
- * The recursive definition is usually easier to see, so start with that one. Draw a single vertex, pointing out that this is a 0-dimensional hypercube. Draw a second 0-dimensional hypercube and connect them. Now you have a 1-dimensional hypercube. Draw a second 1-dimensional hypercube, match up the vertices and you have a 2-dimensional hypercube, etc. Have students right out the general definition after seeing some of these—that a n -dimensional hypercube is made up of two $n-1$ -dimensional hypercubes that

are connected at each vertex. Next, bring up the binary definition of hypercubes. A good way to introduce this would be as follows: draw a 1-dimensional hypercube, label the two vertices 0 and 1. Now draw a second 1-dimensional hypercube labeled the same way. Now, when you connect the two hypercubes, take all the labels on 1 of them, and put a 0 in front of each one, and put a 1 in front of each label on the second one. This results in a square with vertices spanning from 00 to 11. This is a good time to show that to get from one vertex to a neighbor, you only need to flip one bit. You can also point out that this kind of looks like cartesian coordinates, with 00 being next to 01 and 10, and so forth. Maybe extend this to 3-dimensional hypercube to be sure everyone follows the process, and that should be all you'll need to do for definitions. Mention that knowing both definitions is very useful since they each have advantages when doing proofs. In general, the recursive definition works really well with inductive proofs, while the binary definition works better with direct proofs.