

LogisticRegression

From the ROC plot we can conclude that Logistic regression is not a best model for this problem. The Balance Accuracy is 69.50 with sensitivity of 88.65207 and specificity of 50.34.

```
library(caret)
library(tidyr)
library(MASS)
library(e1071)
library(pROC)
```

Reading the data

```
data1 <- read.table(file = "C://Users/cs_mo/Downloads/ISYE7406/ProjectCreditCard/creditcards.csv", header= TRUE, sep= ",", skip = 1)
names(data1)[25] <- 'default'
head(data1)
```

```
##   ID LIMIT_BAL SEX EDUCATION MARRIAGE AGE PAY_0 PAY_2 PAY_3 PAY_4 PAY_5 PAY_6
## 1  1    20000  2         2         1  24     2     2    -1    -1    -2    -2
## 2  2   120000  2         2         2  26    -1     2     0     0     0     2
## 3  3    90000  2         2         2  34     0     0     0     0     0     0
## 4  4    50000  2         2         1  37     0     0     0     0     0     0
## 5  5    50000  1         2         1  57    -1     0    -1     0     0     0
## 6  6    50000  1         1         2  37     0     0     0     0     0     0
##   BILL_AMT1 BILL_AMT2 BILL_AMT3 BILL_AMT4 BILL_AMT5 BILL_AMT6 PAY_AMT1 PAY_AMT2
## 1      3913      3102       689         0         0         0         0       689
## 2      2682      1725      2682      3272      3455      3261         0      1000
## 3     29239     14027     13559     14331     14948     15549     1518     1500
## 4     46990     48233     49291     28314     28959     29547     2000     2019
## 5       8617       5670     35835     20940     19146     19131     2000     36681
## 6     64400     57069     57608     19394     19619     20024     2500     1815
##   PAY_AMT3 PAY_AMT4 PAY_AMT5 PAY_AMT6 default
## 1         0         0         0         0         1
## 2       1000       1000         0      2000         1
## 3       1000       1000      1000      5000         0
## 4       1200       1100      1069      1000         0
## 5      10000       9000       689       679         0
## 6        657       1000      1000       800         0
```

Removing 167 outliers as identified in the data exploration part

```
out <- boxplot.stats(data1$LIMIT_BAL)$out
out_ind <- which(data1$LIMIT_BAL %in% c(out))
mydata1 <- data1[-out_ind,]
dim(mydata1)
```

```
## [1] 29833    25
```

Cleaning up Marriage and Education feature

```
mydata1$MARRIAGE[mydata1$MARRIAGE == "0"] <- "3"
mydata1$EDUCATION[mydata1$EDUCATION== "6"]<-"4"
mydata1$EDUCATION[mydata1$EDUCATION== "5"]<-"4"
mydata1$EDUCATION[mydata1$EDUCATION== "0"]<-"4"
```

```
mydata1$default[mydata1$default=="0"] <- "ND"
mydata1$default[mydata1$default=="1"] <- "DEF"
```

Removing the ID column...

```
mydata <- mydata1[,2:25]
head(mydata)
```

```
##   LIMIT_BAL SEX EDUCATION MARRIAGE AGE PAY_0 PAY_2 PAY_3 PAY_4 PAY_5 PAY_6
## 1    20000  2          2          1  24    2    2   -1   -1   -2   -2
## 2   120000  2          2          2  26   -1    2    0    0    0    2
## 3    90000  2          2          2  34    0    0    0    0    0    0
## 4    50000  2          2          1  37    0    0    0    0    0    0
## 5    50000  1          2          1  57   -1    0   -1    0    0    0
## 6    50000  1          1          2  37    0    0    0    0    0    0
##   BILL_AMT1 BILL_AMT2 BILL_AMT3 BILL_AMT4 BILL_AMT5 BILL_AMT6 PAY_AMT1 PAY_AMT2
## 1     3913     3102      689         0         0         0         0      689
## 2     2682     1725     2682     3272     3455     3261         0     1000
## 3     29239    14027    13559    14331    14948    15549    1518     1500
## 4     46990    48233    49291    28314    28959    29547    2000     2019
## 5      8617     5670    35835    20940    19146    19131    2000    36681
## 6     64400    57069    57608    19394    19619    20024    2500     1815
##   PAY_AMT3 PAY_AMT4 PAY_AMT5 PAY_AMT6 default
## 1         0         0         0         0     DEF
## 2        1000        1000         0        2000     DEF
## 3        1000        1000        1000        5000     ND
## 4        1200        1100        1069        1000     ND
## 5       10000         9000         689         679     ND
## 6         657        1000        1000         800     ND
```

```
dim(mydata)
```

```
## [1] 29833    24
```

Splitting the data....

```
mydata$SEX <- as.numeric(mydata$SEX)
mydata$EDUCATION <- as.numeric(mydata$EDUCATION)
mydata$MARRIAGE <- as.numeric(mydata$MARRIAGE)

set.seed(7406)
flag<- sort(sample(1:29833,4475))
data_train <- mydata[~flag,]
data_test <- mydata[flag,]
dim(data_train)
```

```
## [1] 25358    24
```

```
dim(data_test)
```

```
## [1] 4475    24
```

```
head(data_train)
```

```
##   LIMIT_BAL SEX EDUCATION MARRIAGE AGE PAY_0 PAY_2 PAY_3 PAY_4 PAY_5 PAY_6
## 1    20000  2      2      1  24    2    2   -1   -1   -2   -2
## 2   120000  2      2      2  26   -1    2    0    0    0    2
## 3    90000  2      2      2  34    0    0    0    0    0    0
## 4    50000  2      2      1  37    0    0    0    0    0    0
## 5    50000  1      2      1  57   -1    0   -1    0    0    0
## 7   500000  1      1      2  29    0    0    0    0    0    0
##   BILL_AMT1 BILL_AMT2 BILL_AMT3 BILL_AMT4 BILL_AMT5 BILL_AMT6 PAY_AMT1 PAY_AMT2
## 1     3913     3102      689         0         0         0         0      689
## 2     2682     1725     2682     3272     3455     3261         0     1000
## 3     29239    14027    13559    14331    14948    15549    1518     1500
## 4     46990    48233    49291    28314    28959    29547    2000     2019
## 5      8617     5670    35835    20940    19146    19131    2000     36681
## 7    367965   412023   445007   542653   483003   473944   55000    40000
##   PAY_AMT3 PAY_AMT4 PAY_AMT5 PAY_AMT6 default
## 1         0         0         0         0     DEF
## 2        1000        1000         0        2000     DEF
## 3        1000        1000        1000        5000      ND
## 4        1200        1100        1069        1000      ND
## 5       10000         9000         689         679      ND
## 7       38000       20239       13750       13770      ND
```

Logistic Regression Full model

```
fullmod <- glm(as.factor(default)~., data = data_train, family = binomial)
summary(fullmod)
```

```
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = as.factor(default) ~ ., family = binomial, data = data_train)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.1893   0.2799   0.5458   0.7021   3.1280
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept)  5.539e-01  1.293e-01   4.286 1.82e-05 ***
## LIMIT_BAL    6.857e-07  1.754e-07   3.910 9.24e-05 ***
## SEX          1.341e-01  3.334e-02   4.023 5.75e-05 ***
## EDUCATION    1.129e-01  2.399e-02   4.707 2.52e-06 ***
## MARRIAGE     1.712e-01  3.449e-02   4.965 6.89e-07 ***
## AGE         -6.498e-03  1.939e-03  -3.351 0.000806 ***
## PAY_0        -5.706e-01  1.919e-02 -29.730 < 2e-16 ***
## PAY_2        -7.864e-02  2.195e-02  -3.583 0.000340 ***
## PAY_3        -5.602e-02  2.465e-02  -2.272 0.023068 *
## PAY_4        -3.578e-02  2.715e-02  -1.318 0.187549
## PAY_5        -3.926e-02  2.914e-02  -1.347 0.177978
## PAY_6        -9.842e-03  2.419e-02  -0.407 0.684103
## BILL_AMT1     6.947e-06  1.289e-06   5.388 7.12e-08 ***
## BILL_AMT2    -4.436e-06  1.641e-06  -2.703 0.006864 **
## BILL_AMT3    -1.385e-06  1.447e-06  -0.957 0.338415
## BILL_AMT4     1.988e-06  1.540e-06   1.291 0.196850
## BILL_AMT5    -1.860e-06  1.759e-06  -1.057 0.290288
## BILL_AMT6    -5.675e-07  1.348e-06  -0.421 0.673750
## PAY_AMT1      1.616e-05  2.578e-06   6.269 3.62e-10 ***
## PAY_AMT2      9.674e-06  2.334e-06   4.144 3.41e-05 ***
## PAY_AMT3      1.764e-06  1.858e-06   0.950 0.342218
## PAY_AMT4      6.571e-06  2.161e-06   3.041 0.002360 **
## PAY_AMT5      5.575e-06  2.125e-06   2.624 0.008690 **
## PAY_AMT6      3.560e-06  1.492e-06   2.385 0.017060 *
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##      Null deviance: 26812  on 25357  degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 23567  on 25334  degrees of freedom
## AIC: 23615
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6
```

Stepwise regression

As we can see in below results “backward” and “both” selection processes give the lowest AIC score. And also they selected same variables. We can use features to train our model.

```
forward <- stepAIC(fullmod, trace = FALSE, direction = "forward")
forward
```

```
##
## Call: glm(formula = as.factor(default) ~ LIMIT_BAL + SEX + EDUCATION +
## MARRIAGE + AGE + PAY_0 + PAY_2 + PAY_3 + PAY_4 + PAY_5 +
## PAY_6 + BILL_AMT1 + BILL_AMT2 + BILL_AMT3 + BILL_AMT4 + BILL_AMT5 +
## BILL_AMT6 + PAY_AMT1 + PAY_AMT2 + PAY_AMT3 + PAY_AMT4 + PAY_AMT5 +
## PAY_AMT6, family = binomial, data = data_train)
##
## Coefficients:
## (Intercept)    LIMIT_BAL          SEX    EDUCATION    MARRIAGE          AGE
##  5.539e-01    6.857e-07    1.341e-01    1.129e-01    1.712e-01   -6.498e-03
##      PAY_0      PAY_2      PAY_3      PAY_4      PAY_5      PAY_6
## -5.706e-01  -7.864e-02  -5.602e-02  -3.578e-02  -3.926e-02  -9.842e-03
##  BILL_AMT1  BILL_AMT2  BILL_AMT3  BILL_AMT4  BILL_AMT5  BILL_AMT6
##  6.947e-06  -4.436e-06  -1.385e-06  1.988e-06  -1.860e-06  -5.675e-07
##  PAY_AMT1  PAY_AMT2  PAY_AMT3  PAY_AMT4  PAY_AMT5  PAY_AMT6
##  1.616e-05  9.674e-06  1.764e-06  6.571e-06  5.575e-06  3.560e-06
##
## Degrees of Freedom: 25357 Total (i.e. Null);  25334 Residual
## Null Deviance:      26810
## Residual Deviance: 23570      AIC: 23620
```

```
bac <- stepAIC(fullmod, trace = FALSE, direction = "backward")
bac
```

```
##
## Call: glm(formula = as.factor(default) ~ LIMIT_BAL + SEX + EDUCATION +
## MARRIAGE + AGE + PAY_0 + PAY_2 + PAY_3 + PAY_5 + BILL_AMT1 +
## BILL_AMT2 + BILL_AMT5 + PAY_AMT1 + PAY_AMT2 + PAY_AMT3 +
## PAY_AMT4 + PAY_AMT5 + PAY_AMT6, family = binomial, data = data_train)
##
## Coefficients:
## (Intercept)    LIMIT_BAL          SEX    EDUCATION    MARRIAGE          AGE
##  5.560e-01    7.028e-07    1.347e-01    1.127e-01    1.709e-01   -6.541e-03
##      PAY_0      PAY_2      PAY_3      PAY_5  BILL_AMT1  BILL_AMT2
## -5.723e-01  -7.890e-02  -7.123e-02  -6.443e-02  6.950e-06  -4.751e-06
##  BILL_AMT5  PAY_AMT1  PAY_AMT2  PAY_AMT3  PAY_AMT4  PAY_AMT5
## -1.524e-06  1.624e-05  8.866e-06  3.294e-06  5.734e-06  5.224e-06
##  PAY_AMT6
##  3.615e-06
##
## Degrees of Freedom: 25357 Total (i.e. Null);  25339 Residual
## Null Deviance:      26810
## Residual Deviance: 23570      AIC: 23610
```

```
both <- stepAIC(fullmod, trace = FALSE, direction = "both")
both
```

```
##
## Call:  glm(formula = as.factor(default) ~ LIMIT_BAL + SEX + EDUCATION +
##        MARRIAGE + AGE + PAY_0 + PAY_2 + PAY_3 + PAY_5 + BILL_AMT1 +
##        BILL_AMT2 + BILL_AMT5 + PAY_AMT1 + PAY_AMT2 + PAY_AMT3 +
##        PAY_AMT4 + PAY_AMT5 + PAY_AMT6, family = binomial, data = data_train)
##
## Coefficients:
## (Intercept)      LIMIT_BAL          SEX      EDUCATION      MARRIAGE          AGE
##  5.560e-01    7.028e-07    1.347e-01    1.127e-01    1.709e-01   -6.541e-03
##      PAY_0      PAY_2      PAY_3      PAY_5    BILL_AMT1    BILL_AMT2
## -5.723e-01  -7.890e-02  -7.123e-02  -6.443e-02   6.950e-06  -4.751e-06
##    BILL_AMT5    PAY_AMT1    PAY_AMT2    PAY_AMT3    PAY_AMT4    PAY_AMT5
## -1.524e-06    1.624e-05    8.866e-06    3.294e-06    5.734e-06    5.224e-06
##      PAY_AMT6
##   3.615e-06
##
## Degrees of Freedom: 25357 Total (i.e. Null);  25339 Residual
## Null Deviance:      26810
## Residual Deviance: 23570      AIC: 23610
```

Buidling model using features selected by Stepwise regression.

```
submodel <- glm(as.factor(default)~LIMIT_BAL+SEX+EDUCATION+MARRIAGE+AGE+PAY_0+PAY_2+PAY_3+PAY_5+
BILL_AMT1+BILL_AMT2+BILL_AMT5+PAY_AMT1+PAY_AMT2+PAY_AMT3+PAY_AMT4+PAY_AMT5+PAY_AMT6, data = data
_train, family = binomial)
summary(submodel)
```

```
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = as.factor(default) ~ LIMIT_BAL + SEX + EDUCATION +
##      MARRIAGE + AGE + PAY_0 + PAY_2 + PAY_3 + PAY_5 + BILL_AMT1 +
##      BILL_AMT2 + BILL_AMT5 + PAY_AMT1 + PAY_AMT2 + PAY_AMT3 +
##      PAY_AMT4 + PAY_AMT5 + PAY_AMT6, family = binomial, data = data_train)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.2205   0.2807   0.5459   0.7020   3.1278
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept)  5.560e-01  1.292e-01   4.303 1.69e-05 ***
## LIMIT_BAL    7.028e-07  1.749e-07   4.019 5.84e-05 ***
## SEX          1.347e-01  3.333e-02   4.041 5.32e-05 ***
## EDUCATION    1.127e-01  2.398e-02   4.700 2.60e-06 ***
## MARRIAGE     1.709e-01  3.448e-02   4.955 7.23e-07 ***
## AGE         -6.541e-03  1.939e-03  -3.374 0.000742 ***
## PAY_0        -5.723e-01  1.915e-02 -29.889 < 2e-16 ***
## PAY_2        -7.890e-02  2.191e-02  -3.601 0.000317 ***
## PAY_3        -7.123e-02  2.209e-02  -3.224 0.001264 **
## PAY_5        -6.443e-02  1.951e-02  -3.302 0.000960 ***
## BILL_AMT1     6.950e-06  1.283e-06   5.416 6.10e-08 ***
## BILL_AMT2    -4.751e-06  1.443e-06  -3.293 0.000992 ***
## BILL_AMT5    -1.524e-06  7.399e-07  -2.060 0.039444 *
## PAY_AMT1     1.624e-05  2.575e-06   6.307 2.85e-10 ***
## PAY_AMT2     8.866e-06  2.078e-06   4.266 1.99e-05 ***
## PAY_AMT3     3.294e-06  1.645e-06   2.003 0.045213 *
## PAY_AMT4     5.734e-06  1.956e-06   2.931 0.003379 **
## PAY_AMT5     5.224e-06  1.845e-06   2.831 0.004642 **
## PAY_AMT6     3.615e-06  1.475e-06   2.452 0.014222 *
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##      Null deviance: 26812  on 25357  degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 23571  on 25339  degrees of freedom
## AIC: 23609
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6
```

```
pred_glm <- predict(submodel, data_test, type = "response")
head(pred_glm)
```


```
##           6           14           19           25           28           32
## 0.7608835 0.6004588 0.7106056 0.7656185 0.8098012 0.5066689
```

```

par(pty = "s")
roc(as.factor(data_test[,24]), pred_glm, plot = TRUE, legacy.axes = T, percent = TRUE,
    print.auc = TRUE,

    #auc.polygon = TRUE,
    xlab= "1-Specificity",
    ylab= "Sensitivity"
    #xlab = "False Positive Percentage",
    #ylab = " True positive Percentage"
)

```



```

##
## Call:
## roc.default(response = as.factor(data_test[, 24]), predictor = pred_glm, percent = TRUE,
## plot = TRUE, legacy.axes = T, print.auc = TRUE, xlab = "1-Specificity", ylab = "Sensitivity")
##
## Data: pred_glm in 1003 controls (as.factor(data_test[, 24]) DEF) < 3472 cases (as.factor(data_test[, 24]) ND).
## Area under the curve: 72.07%

```

```

roc.infoglm <- roc(as.factor(data_test[,24]), pred_glm, plot = FALSE, legacy.axes = TRUE)
auc(roc.infoglm)

```



```
## Area under the curve: 0.7207
```

```
roc.dfglm <- data.frame(sensitivity = roc.infoglm$sensitivities*100,
                        specificity =(roc.infoglm$specificities)*100,
                        thresholds = roc.infoglm$thresholds)
```

```
roc.infoglm
```

```
##
## Call:
## roc.default(response = as.factor(data_test[, 24]), predictor = pred_glm,      plot = FALSE, le
gacy.axes = TRUE)
##
## Data: pred_glm in 1003 controls (as.factor(data_test[, 24]) DEF) < 3472 cases (as.factor(data
_test[, 24]) ND).
## Area under the curve: 0.7207
```

```
roc.dfglm$Balance <- ((roc.dfglm$sensitivity + roc.dfglm$specificity)/2)
head(roc.dfglm)
```

```
##      sensitivity specificity thresholds  Balance
## 1      100.0000      0.0000000      -Inf 50.00000
## 2      100.0000      0.0997009      0.007771642 50.04985
## 3       99.9712      0.0997009      0.015457444 50.03545
## 4       99.9712      0.1994018      0.032691027 50.08530
## 5       99.9712      0.2991027      0.068560704 50.13515
## 6       99.9424      0.2991027      0.094402549 50.12075
```

Printing the top 10 records with the highest Balance accuracy.

```
dfglm <- roc.dfglm[with(roc.dfglm,order(-Balance)),]
head(dfglm)
```

```
##      sensitivity specificity thresholds  Balance
## 900      88.65207      50.34895      0.7105493 69.50051
## 901      88.62327      50.34895      0.7107179 69.48611
## 902      88.59447      50.34895      0.7108903 69.47171
## 903      88.56567      50.34895      0.7109541 69.45731
## 899      88.65207      50.24925      0.7104873 69.45066
## 877      89.14171      49.75075      0.7076620 69.44623
```