

Carmi Merimovich

Tel-Aviv Academic College

January 10, 2017

Recall:

- Switching to the **scheduler**.
- Switching to a **process**.

sched()

```
void sched(void) {
 int intena:
 if (!holding(&ptable.lock))
  panic ("sched_ptable.lock");
 if (mycpu()->ncli != 1)
  panic("sched_locks");
 if (mvproc()->state == RUNNING)
  panic("sched_running");
 if (readeflags()&FL_IF)
  panic("sched_interruptible");
  intena = mycpu()->intena;
  swtch(&myproc()->context, mycpu()->scheduler);
  mycpu()->intena = intena;
```

2808

scheduler()

```
void scheduler(void) {
 struct proc *p;
 for(;;) { sti();
mycpu()->proc=0
  acquire(&ptable.lock);
  for(p = ptable.proc; p < &ptable.proc[NPROC]; p++) {</pre>
   if (p->state != RUNNABLE) continue;
   mycpu()->proc = p;
   switchuvm(p):
   p->state = RUNNING;
   swtch(&(mycpu()->scheduler), p->context);
   switchkvm();
   mycpu()->proc = 0;
  release(&ptable.lock);
```

2758

The **unnamed** event.

(does not exists in xv6)

Process suspension code

Consider the following code snippet:

```
suspend() {
 myproc()->state = SLEEPING;
 sched();
}
```

- What will happen upon execution?
 - The process will get stuck.
- How do we unstuck it?
 - · A Different process should un-stuck it.
 - Meaning setting its state to RUNNABLE.

un-suspending

```
void unsuspend() {
  struct proc *p;
  for (p=ptable.proc; p < &ptable.proc[NPROC] ;p++) {
    if (p->state == SLEEPING)
     p->state = RUNNABLE;
  }
}
```

- What happens upon execution of unsuspend():
 - All suspended processes become RUNNABLE.
 - Note. The processes will actually run at the discretion of the scheduler.

Example: Using the unnamed event.

Waiting for a Zombie'd child

```
struct proc *child_kaput() {
     for(;;) \{ int nochild=1;
      acquire(&ptable.lock);
      for (p = ptable.proc;p < &ptable.proc[NPROC];p++) {</pre>
       if (p->state == UNUSED || p->parent != myproc()) conti
       if (p->state == ZOMBIE) {
        release(&ptable.lock);
        return (p);
       nochild = 0:
      if (nochild) {
       release(&ptable.lock);
       return (0);
      suspend(); // What is expected of the child??????
      release(&ptable.lock)
     } // Is this any good????
Carmi Merimovich (Tel-Aviv Academic College) xv6-rev10 events
                                                     January 10, 2017 9 / 27
```

Waiting for a Zombie'd child

```
struct proc *child_kaput() {
 for(;;) \{ int nochild=1;
  acquire(&ptable.lock);
  for (p = ptable.proc;p < &ptable.proc[NPROC];p++) {</pre>
   if (p->state == UNUSED || p->parent != myproc()) conti
   if (p->state == ZOMBIE) {
    release(&ptable.lock);
    return (p);
   nochild = 0:
  if (nochild) {
   release(&ptable.lock);
   return (0);
  if (myproc()->killed) {release(&ptable.lock; return(-1)
  suspend(); // What is expected of the child??????
  release(&ptable.lock)
```

Zombie'ing a process

```
acquire(&ptable.lock)
:
:
myproc()->state=ZOMBIE;
unsuspend();
sched()
```

Comments on the unnamed event

- Each exiting process should call unsuspend().
- Each parent wating for terminating child should call suspend().
- So a parent is unsuspended for each process exiting!
- In fact, if all we have is the unnamed event, any event unsuspends a parent.
- It would be nice if we reduce the unneeded unsuspends.

Named events.

Process suspension code

Consider the following code snippet:

```
suspend(int id) {
  p->state = SLEEPING; // No such state
  p->chan = id;
  sched();
}
```

- What will happen upon execution?
 - The process will stuck.
- How do we unstuck it?
 - A Different process should un-stuck it with the right id.

un-suspending

```
void unsuspend(int id) {
  struct proc *p;
  for (p=ptable.proc; p < &ptable.proc[NPROC] ; p++) {
    if (p->state == SLEEPING && p->chan == id)
      p->state = RUNNABLE;
  }
}
```

- What happens upon execution of unsuspend(id):
 - All suspended processes with id are runnable.
 - Note. The processes will actually run at the discretion of the scheduler.

How do we choose an id?

- We can make a whole system of id's.
- Or have a simple .h file.
- Or use a person capable of being the id's tzar.
 - E.g., John Postel (alas, RFC 2468).
- Or we can we Thompson's trick.

Waitng for a Zombie'd child

```
struct proc *child_kaput() {
     for(;;) \{ int nochild=1;
      acquire(&ptable.lock);
      for (p = ptable.proc;p < &ptable.proc[NPROC];p++) {</pre>
       if (p->state == UNUSED || p->parent != myproc()) conti
       if (p->state == ZOMBIE) {
        release(&ptable.lock);
        return (p);
       nochild = 0:
      if (nochild) {
       release(&ptable.lock);
       return (0);
      if (myproc()->killed) { release(&ptable.lock); return(-)
      suspend(myproc()); //unsuspend(myproc()->parent).
      release(&ptable.lock);
Carmi Merimovich (Tel-Aviv Academic College)
                              xv6-rev10 events
                                                    January 10, 2017 17 / 27
```

Zombie'ing a process

```
acquire(&ptable.lock)
:
:
myproc()->state=ZOMBIE;
unsuspend(myproc()->parent);
sched()
```

Comments on named event

- The number of unneeded unsuspends reduced considerably.
- We cannot be **sure** no other event uses the same id, but it is plausible.
- So, we should still assume unsuspending for no good reason can happen.

What about locks?

- child_kaput has a lock on ptable.lock.
- exit (i.e., zombie'ing) has a lock on ptable.lock.
- This situation is quite common.
- However, different spinlocks are also plausible.

suspend with spinlock

```
suspend(int id, struct spinlock *lk) {
 if (lk != ptable.lock) {
  acquire(&ptable.lock);
  release(lk);
 myproc()->state = SLEEPING; // No such state
 myproc()->chan = id;
 sched();
 if (lk != ptable.lock) {
  release(&ptable.lock);
  acquire(lk);
```

Waiting for a Zombie'd child

struct proc *child_kaput() {
 for(;;) { int nochild=1;
 acquire(&ptable.lock);

```
for (p = ptable.proc;p < &ptable.proc[NPROC];p++) {</pre>
       if (p->state == UNUSED || p->parent != myproc()) conti
       if (p->state == ZOMBIE) {
         release(&ptable.lock);
        return (p);
       nochild = 0:
      if (nochild) {
       release(&ptable.lock);
       return (0);
      if (myproc()->killed) { release(&ptable.lock); return(-)
      suspend(myproc(),&ptable.lock); //unsuspend(proc->pare
      release(&ptable.lock);
Carmi Merimovich (Tel-Aviv Academic College)
                              xv6-rev10 events
                                                     January 10, 2017
                                                                 22 / 27
```

xv6 event management

sleep

```
void sleep(void *chan, struct spinlock *lk) {
 if (lk != &ptable.lock) {
  acquire(&ptable.lock);
  release(lk);
 myproc()->chan = chan;
 myproc()-> state = SLEEPING;
 sched();
 myproc()->chan = 0;
 if (lk != &ptable.lock) {
  release(&ptable.lock);
  acquire(lk);
```

2874

Using sleep

- **sleep** is called always (always!) inside a loop.
- Resuming after sleep means an event has occured.
- Not necessarily the intended event!
- myproc()->killed is almost always(!!) checked before entering sleep.
- Only in the file-system sleep is called without myproc()->killed checking.

wakeup

- wakeup is the "event manager" of xv6.
- wakeup(chan) scans the proc table and switches to the RUNNABLE state all processes which are SLEEPING and their chan field is equal to the chan argument.
- wakeup protects the scaning with ptable.lock.
- This is in accordance with the proc table scanning contract.
- wakeup1 has the same functionaly as wakeup without the ptable.lock protetion.
- wakeup1 should be used (must be used!) if ptable.lock was already acquired!

wakeup

```
2953 static void wakeup1(void *chan) {
    struct proc *p;
    for (p = ptable.proc; p < &ptable.proc[NPROC]; p++)
     if (p->state == SLEEPING && p->chan == chan)
      p->state = RUNNABLE;
   void wakeup(void *chan) {
2964
    acquire(&ptable.lock);
```

wakeup1(chan);

release(&ptable.lock);