

594 HW3

Casey Sobecks

16 March 2021

1 Problem 1

1.1 Question

In this problem, you will complete the derivation we sketched in class to derive the equations needed to calculate the gradient of the cost function in a feed-forward neural network using backpropagation.

Recall that the output from the j^{th} neuron in layer l is given by:

$$a_i^j = f(z_j^l) \quad (1)$$

where $f(\cdot)$ is our non-linear activation function and

$$z_j^l = \sum_k a_k^{l-1} w_{kj}^l + b_j^l \quad (2)$$

with w_{kj}^l representing the weights and b_j^l and biases of the l^{th} layer of the network. Assume that the cost function \mathcal{C} can be expressed as an average of the squared loss C over each point in the dataset \mathcal{D} :

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{1}{2|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D}} C(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \|a^L(\mathbf{x}) - y(\mathbf{x})\|^2 \rangle \quad (3)$$

$N \equiv |\mathcal{D}|$ is the number of samples, y is the desired output (target) and $a^L \equiv F(\mathbf{x})$ is the result of the feed-forward neural network which has L layers in total.

Define the notation:

$$\Delta_j^l \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial z_j^l} \quad (4)$$

(a) Show that the quantity Δ_j^l can be expressed as:

$$\Delta_j^L = \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial a_j^L} f'(z_j^L) \quad (5)$$

$$\Delta_j^l = \sum_k \Delta_j^{l+1} [w^{l+1T}]_{kj} f'(z_j^l) \quad l < L \quad (6)$$

(b) Show that the partial derivatives of the cost6 function with respect to the network's weights and biases can be calculated as:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial w_{ij}^l} = a_i^{l-1} \Delta_j^l \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial b_j^l} = \Delta_j^l \quad (8)$$

1.2 Part a

For this case, $l = L$. Therefore, this can be solved with a simple chain rule.

$$\Delta_j^L = \frac{\partial C}{\partial z_j^L} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial a_j^L} \frac{\partial a_j^L}{\partial z_j^L}$$

Looking at the second partial derivative, the following can be done.

$$\begin{aligned} a_j^L &= f(z_j^L) \\ \frac{\partial a_j^L}{\partial z_j^L} &= f'(z_j^L) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the final solution is

$$\Delta_j^L = \frac{\partial C}{\partial a_j^L} \frac{\partial a_j^L}{\partial z_j^L} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial a_j^L} f'(z_j^L) \quad (9)$$

The next case that is to be considered is for $l < L$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_j^l &= \frac{\partial C}{\partial z_j^l} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j^l} \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (a_k^L - y_k)^2 \\ &= \sum_k (a_k^L - y_k) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j^l} f(z_k^L) \\ &= \sum_k (a_k^L - y_k) f'(z_k^L) \frac{\partial z_k^L}{\partial z_j^l} \\ &= \Delta_k^l \frac{\partial z_k^L}{\partial z_j^l} \end{aligned}$$

The next thing to consider is the following

$$z_k^L = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{L-1}} a_i^{L-1} w_{ik}^L + b_k^L = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{L-1}} f(z_k^{L-1}) w_{ik}^L + b_k^L$$

so the following can be written

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial z_k^L}{\partial z_j^l} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_{L-1}} f'(z_i^{L-1}) w_{ik}^L \frac{\partial z_i^{L-1}}{\partial z_j^l} \\ &= \sum_{i_1=1}^{n_{L-1}} \sum_{i_2=1}^{n_{L-2}} f'(z_{i_1}^{L-1}) w_{i_1 k}^L f'(z_{i_2}^{L-2}) w_{i_2 i_1}^{L-1} \frac{\partial z_{i_2}^{L-2}}{\partial z_j^l}\end{aligned}$$

Continuing this pattern yields the following,

$$\begin{aligned}&= \sum_{i_1=1}^{n_{L-1}} \dots \sum_{i_{L-l}=1}^{n_l} [w_{i_1 k}^L f'(z_{i_1}^{L-1})] \dots [w_{i_{L-l} i_{L-l+1}}^l f'(z_{i_{L-l}}^l)] \frac{\partial z_{i_{L-l}}^l}{\partial z_j^l} \\ &= \sum_{i_1=1}^{n_{L-1}} \dots \sum_{i_{L-l}=1}^{n_l} [w_{i_1 k}^L f'(z_{i_1}^{L-1})] \dots [w_{i_{L-l} i_{L-l+1}}^l f'(z_{i_{L-l}}^l)] \delta_{i_{L-l}, j} \\ &= \sum_{i_1=1}^{n_{L-1}} \dots \sum_{j=1}^{n_l} [w_{i_1 k}^L f'(z_{i_1}^{L-1})] \dots [w_{i_j i_{L-l+1}}^l f'(z_{i_j}^l)]\end{aligned}$$

Using this general form, the simplest two cases can be checked. The first of these is $l = L - 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_j^{L-1} &= \sum_{k=1}^{n_L} \Delta_k^L \sum_{i=1}^{n_{L-1}} w_{ik}^L f'(z_i^{L-1}) \delta_{i,j} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n_L} \Delta_k^L [w^{L^T}]_{kj} f'(z_j^L) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n_{l+1}} \Delta_k^{l+1} [w^{(l+1)^T}]_{kj} f'(z_j^l)\end{aligned}$$

The next case is $l = L - 2$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_j^{L-1} &= \sum_{k=1}^{n_L} \Delta_k^L \sum_{i=1}^{n_{L-1}} w_{ik}^L f'(z_i^{L-1}) \sum_{i'=1}^{n_{L-2}} w_{i' i}^{L-1} f'(z_{i'}^{L-2}) \delta_{i', j} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n_{L-1}} \Delta_k^{l+1} [w^{L-1^T}]_{ij} f'(z_j^{L-2}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n_{l+1}} \Delta_k^{l+1} [w^{l+1^T}]_{ij} f'(z_j^l)\end{aligned}$$

Following this pattern gives the general form.

$$\Delta_j^l = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{l+1}} \Delta_i^{l+1} [w^{l+1^T}]_{ij} f'(z_j^l)$$

1.3 Part b

For this problem, the chain rule will be used again.

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial w_{ij}^l} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial a_j^l} \frac{\partial a_j^l}{\partial z_i^l} \frac{\partial z_j^l}{\partial w_{ij}^l} = \Delta_j^l \frac{\partial z_j^l}{\partial w_{ij}^l}$$

Looking at the final partial derivative gives the following.

$$\frac{\partial z_j^l}{\partial w_{ij}^l} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{ij}^l} [a_i^{l-1} w_{ij}^l + b_j^l] = a_i^{l-1}$$

Putting it all together gives,

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial w_{ij}^l} = \Delta_j^l \frac{\partial z_j^l}{\partial w_{ij}^l} = \Delta_j^l a_i^{l-1}$$

The other portion of this problem is solved the following way.

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial b_j^l} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial a_j^l} \frac{\partial a_j^l}{\partial z_j^l} \frac{\partial z_j^l}{\partial b_j^l} = \Delta_j^l \frac{\partial z_j^l}{\partial b_j^l}$$

Solving for the last partial,

$$\frac{\partial z_j^l}{\partial b_j^l} = \frac{\partial}{\partial b_j^l} [a_k^{l-1} w_{kj}^l + b_j^l] = 1$$

So the solution is

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial b_j^l} = \Delta_j^l \frac{\partial z_j^l}{\partial b_j^l} = \Delta_j^l$$

2 Problem 2

2.1 Question

Suppose we replace the our non-linearity $f(z)$ with a linear function $f(z) = z$ at every neuron. Re-derive the backpropagation algorithm for this case.

2.2 Part a

To start this problem, take the derivative of the function $f(z)$.

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= z \\ f'(z) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the same equations from problem 1(a) and 1(b) can be used with $f'(z)$ replaced by 1. This gives the following for Part a.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_j^L &= \frac{\partial C}{\partial a_j^L} \\ \Delta_j^l &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_{l+1}} \Delta_i^{l+1} [w^{l+1^T}]_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

2.3 Part b

Similarly for part a, $f'(z)$ will be replaced by 1. Since neither of the equations from 1(b) depend on $f'(z)$, the equations for 1(b) are the same equations for this problem.

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial w_{ij}^l} = \Delta_j^l a_i^{l-1}$$
$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial b_j^l} = \Delta_j^l$$

3 Problem 5

3.1 Question

The purpose of this question is for you to start thinking about your final project. You can either work individually, or in groups of up to 3 students (let me know this in your description). You are free to suggest any topic connected to your interests and/or research as long as it has any connection to the physical sciences.

Please submit 1-2 paragraphs summarizing your project idea, including at least 1 reference. Your idea doesn't have to be final at this point and we can iterate. Reproducing part (or all) of a published paper is fine. While it is no longer actively updated, a list of papers employing machine learning in physics can be found here: <https://physicsml.github.io/pages/papers.html>. Start with the oldest as these may be the most approachable. There are some physicsrelated projects suggestions here: <http://cs229.stanford.edu/suggestions.html> and more recent results (presented at NeurIPS 2020) can be found here: <https://ml4physicalsciences.github.io/2020/>.

3.2 Proposal

For my project, I am looking at the paper "Solving the Quantum Many-Body Problem with Artificial Neural Networks" by Carleo and Troyer. Their research employs many different coding and machine learning techniques to create a method for solving the quantum many body problem.

The portion of the paper that I feel most capable of completing is Appendix A: Stochastic Optimization For The Ground State. Therefore, my proposed project is to choose a quantum Hamiltonian and use Stochastic Reconfiguration to produce the ground state of the Hamiltonian. Ideally, I would be able to produce a graph similar to that shown in Figure 5 of their paper.