Prolog is *declarative*, not *imperative*. You describe logic, not a flow of control.

Facts, rules, and queries.

## It compiles facts + rules (together called a *knowledge base*) to support efficient querying.

If a symbol begins with a lowercase character it's an atom - a

If it begins with an uppercase character it's a variable.

fixed value.

['relative/path/file.pl']	
or	
<pre>['/absolute/path/to/file.pl']</pre>	

yes means "yes I can prove that" or "yes I know that to be true".

no means "no I can't prove that" or "no I don't know that to be true".

## Use the \+ predicate, .e.g,

```
somePred(X, Y) :- \ \ (X = Y), someOtherPred(X, Y)
```

- Type a fact and see if Prolog can prove it.
- Type a fact with a variable (conventionally named What) to see if Prolog can find bindings that satisfy the fact. Use semicolons (;) to ask for another binding, or a to get all of them.

= is **not** assignment, it is *unification*, the attempt to make both sides logically the same.

Only one of the clauses must be satisfied.

Every subgoal must be satisfied.

Make your recursive subgoal the last subgoal in a rule.

```
?- [one, two, three, four] = [Head | Tail].
Head = one,
Tail = [two, three, four].
```

One which has constraints that are easily expressed but difficult to satisfy. Since Prolog figures out the satisfying bindings, Prolog is doing the hard part for you.

- Semantic web

- Games

- Al and NLP

- Scheduling

- It's not a general purpose language.
- It may not scale due to inefficient DFS approach to

unification and heavy use of recursion.