Use placeholders.

_ in place of a type makes an existential type just as in place of expression makes a function literal. Each _ is a new existential type.

```
Iterator[_ <: Component]
Iterator[T] for Some {type T <: Component}</pre>
```

It must be done using Java notation and compiled with javac.

By using existential types, a fully supported part of the language in practice used only for Java compatibility.

Iterator[T] for Some {type T}
Iterator[T] for Some {type T <: Component}</pre>

Scala can check program soundness even though types and values in forSome clause are unknown.

e.g., val contents = (new JavaClass).contents is
fine even if JavaClass.contents is Collection<?>.

A combination of static and instance methods.

They use a class called <code>ObjectName\$</code> with one instance stored as <code>MODULE\$</code> field.

If it's standalone, it will create ObjectName class with static forwarder methods for each singleton method.

It forwards them on to Java bytecode.

The Java bytecode verifier does not check the declarations, only javac does in source code.

It indicates the presence of a static initializer block.

- When passing existential type to a method, move type parameters from forSome clause to type parameter of the method. Then in the method body it has a name.
- Instead of returning existential type from method, return an object that has abstract members for each part of forSome clause.

Only when <code>@throws</code> annotation is used for Java compatibility.

@throws(classOf[IOException])

It's generally not practical.

translated directly to a Java interface and can be

If the scala trait has only abstract methods, however, it will be

implemented in Java.

There is no way to name the existential type.

e.g., how would one parameterize Set.empty[??] if you had taken advantage of Scala's ability to ignore most existential types from Java?

type for Some {declarations}

where type is some arbitrary Scala type and declarations is a list of abstract vals and types.