CS 260: Assignment #1

Due on Thursday, Jaunary 14, 2016 $Prof.\ \ Wim\ van\ Dam$

Chad Spensky

The problem asks to prove that:

$$H(X) \leq 2log(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sqrt{p(x)}$$

This can be done in the following way:

$$H(X) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} -p(x)log(p(x)) \tag{1}$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} -2\frac{1}{2}p(x)log(p(x)) \tag{2}$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} 2p(x) \log(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p(x)}}) \tag{3}$$

$$= E_p[2log(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p(x)}}))] \tag{4}$$

$$\leq 2log[E_p(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p(x)}})] \tag{5}$$

$$2log[E_p(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p(x)}})] = 2log[\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{p(x)}{\sqrt{p(x)}}]$$
 (6)

$$=2log[\sum_{x\in\mathcal{X}}\sqrt{p(x)}]\tag{7}$$

where 5 is an applications of Jensen's inequality.

In this question we are asked for the various entropies give all of the joint probabilities.

$$Pr[\mathcal{X} = 0, \mathcal{Y} = a] = 0.15$$
 $Pr[\mathcal{X} = 0, \mathcal{Y} = b] = 0.3$ $Pr[\mathcal{X} = 0, \mathcal{Y} = c] = 0.05$ $Pr[\mathcal{X} = 1, \mathcal{Y} = a] = 0.25$ $Pr[\mathcal{X} = 1, \mathcal{Y} = b] = 0.15$ $Pr[\mathcal{X} = 1, \mathcal{Y} = c] = 0.1$

First, we'll define the individual probability distributions:

$$Pr[\mathcal{X} = 0] = Pr[\mathcal{X} = 0, \mathcal{Y} = a] + Pr[\mathcal{X} = 0, \mathcal{Y} = b] + Pr[\mathcal{X} = 0, \mathcal{Y} = c] = 0.5$$

 $Pr[\mathcal{X} = 1] = Pr[\mathcal{X} = 1, \mathcal{Y} = a] + Pr[\mathcal{X} = 1, \mathcal{Y} = b] + Pr[\mathcal{X} = 1, \mathcal{Y} = c] = 0.5$

$$Pr[\mathcal{Y} = a] = Pr[\mathcal{X} = 0, \mathcal{Y} = a] + Pr[\mathcal{X} = 1, \mathcal{Y} = a]$$
 = 0.4
 $Pr[\mathcal{Y} = b] = Pr[\mathcal{X} = 0, \mathcal{Y} = b] + Pr[\mathcal{X} = 1, \mathcal{Y} = b]$ = 0.45
 $Pr[\mathcal{Y} = c] = Pr[\mathcal{X} = 0, \mathcal{Y} = c] + Pr[\mathcal{X} = 1, \mathcal{Y} = c]$ = 0.15

First, we will calculate the basic entropies:

$$\begin{split} H(X) &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} -p(x)log(p(x)) \\ &= -0.5*log(0.5) - 0.5*log(0.5) \\ H(Y) &= \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} -p(y)log(p(y)) \\ &= -0.4*log(0.4) - 0.45*log(0.45) - 0.15*log(0.15) \\ &= 0.439 \end{split}$$

Recall:

$$Pr[X,Y] = Pr[X] * Pr[Y|X]$$

$$Pr[Y|X] = \frac{Pr[X,Y]}{Pr[Y]}$$

Next, we will compute the conditional entropies:

$$\begin{split} H(X|Y=a) &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} -p(x|y=a)log(p(x|y=a)) \\ &= -\frac{0.15}{0.5}log(\frac{0.15}{0.5}) - \frac{0.25}{0.5}log(\frac{0.25}{0.5}) \\ &= 0.307 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} H(X|Y=b) &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} -p(x|y=b)log(p(x|y=b)) \\ &= -\frac{0.3}{0.5}log(\frac{0.3}{0.5}) - \frac{0.15}{0.5}log(\frac{0.15}{0.5}) \\ &= 0.290 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} H(X|Y=c) &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} -p(x|y=c)log(p(x|y=c)) \\ &= -\frac{0.05}{0.5}log(\frac{0.05}{0.5}) - \frac{0.1}{0.5}log(\frac{0.1}{0.5}) \\ &= 0.240 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} H(X|Y) &= \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p(y) H(X|Y=y) \\ &= 0.4*0.307 + 0.45*0.29 + 0.15*0.240 \\ &= .289 \end{split}$$

or, more concisely (ignoring rounding errors):

$$\begin{split} H(X|Y) &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}} p(x, y) log(\frac{p(y)}{p(x, y)}) \\ &= 0.15 log(\frac{0.4}{0.15}) + 0.3 log(\frac{0.45}{0.3}) + 0.05 log(\frac{0.15}{0.05}) \\ &+ 0.25 log(\frac{0.4}{0.25}) + 0.15 log(\frac{0.45}{0.15}) + 0.1 log(\frac{0.15}{0.1}) \\ &= 0.281 \end{split}$$

$$H(Y|X) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}} p(x, y) log(\frac{p(x)}{0.15})$$

$$\begin{split} H(Y|X) &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) log(\frac{p(x)}{p(x,y)}) \\ &= 0.15 log(\frac{0.5}{0.15}) + 0.3 log(\frac{0.5}{0.3}) + 0.05 log(\frac{0.5}{0.05}) \\ &+ 0.25 log(\frac{0.5}{0.25}) + 0.15 log(\frac{0.5}{0.15}) + 0.1 log(\frac{0.5}{0.1}) \\ &= 0.419 \end{split}$$

Thus, we obtain:

$$H(X,Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X)$$

$$= 0.301 + 0.419$$

$$= 0.720$$

$$H(X,Y) = H(Y) + H(X|Y)$$

$$= 0.439 + 0.281$$

$$= 0.720$$

Finally, we compute:

$$I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$

$$= 0.301 - 0.281$$

$$= 0.02$$

$$I(Y;X) = H(Y) - H(Y|X)$$

$$= 0.439 - 0.419$$

$$= 0.02$$

In this question we given the distribution $p_{\lambda} = (1 - \lambda) * p_0 + \lambda * p_1$, asked to prove relationships between $H(p_{\lambda}), H(p_0), H(p_1), \lambda$, and D.

The immediately obvious ones are:

$$\begin{split} H(p_{\lambda}) &= H(p_0) & (\lambda = 0) \\ H(p_{\lambda}) &= H(p_1) & (\lambda = 1) \\ H(p_{\lambda}) &\leq H(p_0) + H(p_1) \end{split}$$

$$D(p||q) = D(p||q) = 0 \Rightarrow p = q \Rightarrow H(p_{\lambda}) = H(p_0) = H(p_1)$$

Intuitively, it seems like something of the form

$$H(p_{\lambda}) = (1 - \lambda) * H(p_0) + \lambda * H(p_1)$$

Note that

$$p_0 = \frac{p_{\lambda} - \lambda p_1}{1 - \lambda}$$
$$p_1 = \frac{p_{\lambda} - (1 - \lambda)p_0}{\lambda}$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} H(p_1) &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{p_{\lambda} - (1 - \lambda)p_0}{\lambda} log(\frac{p_{\lambda} - (1 - \lambda)p_0}{\lambda}) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{p_{\lambda} - (1 - \lambda)p_0}{\lambda} log(p_{\lambda} - (1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{p_{\lambda} - (1 - \lambda)p_0}{\lambda} log(\lambda) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{p_{\lambda}}{\lambda} log(p_{\lambda} - (1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{1}{\lambda} log(p_{\lambda} - (1 - \lambda)p_0) + \frac{\lambda p_0}{\lambda} log(p_{\lambda} - (1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{p_{\lambda} - (1 - \lambda)p_0}{\lambda} log(\lambda p_1) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{p_{\lambda}}{\lambda} log(\lambda p_1) - \frac{1}{\lambda} log(\lambda p_1) + \frac{\lambda p_0}{\lambda} log(\lambda p_1) - \frac{p_{\lambda} - (1 - \lambda)p_0}{\lambda} log(\lambda) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{(1 - \lambda)p_0 + \lambda p_1}{\lambda} log(\lambda p_1) + \frac{1}{\lambda} log(\lambda p_1) + \frac{\lambda p_0}{\lambda} log(\lambda p_1) - \frac{p_{\lambda} - (1 - \lambda)p_0}{\lambda} log(\lambda) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{(1 - \lambda)p_0}{\lambda} log(\lambda p_1) + p_1 log(\lambda p_1) - \frac{1}{\lambda} log(\lambda p_1) + \frac{\lambda p_0}{\lambda} log(\lambda p_1) - \frac{p_{\lambda} - (1 - \lambda)p_0}{\lambda} log(\lambda) \\ H(p_0) &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{p_{\lambda} - \lambda p_1}{1 - \lambda} log(\frac{p_{\lambda} - \lambda p_1}{1 - \lambda}) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{p_{\lambda} - \lambda p_1}{1 - \lambda} log(p_{\lambda} - \lambda p_1) - \frac{p_{\lambda} - \lambda p_1}{1 - \lambda} log(1 - \lambda) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{p_{\lambda} - \lambda p_1}{1 - \lambda} log((1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{\lambda p_1}{1 - \lambda} log((1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{p_{\lambda} - \lambda p_0}{1 - \lambda} log(1 - \lambda) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{p_{\lambda}}{1 - \lambda} log((1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{\lambda p_1}{1 - \lambda} log((1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{p_{\lambda} - \lambda p_0}{1 - \lambda} log(1 - \lambda) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{p_0 log((1 - \lambda)p_0) + \frac{\lambda p_1}{1 - \lambda} log((1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{\lambda p_1}{1 - \lambda} log((1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{p_{\lambda} - \lambda p_0}{1 - \lambda} log(1 - \lambda) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{p_0 log((1 - \lambda)p_0) + \frac{\lambda p_1}{1 - \lambda} log((1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{\lambda p_1}{1 - \lambda} log((1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{p_{\lambda} - \lambda p_0}{1 - \lambda} log(1 - \lambda) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{p_0 log((1 - \lambda)p_0) + \frac{\lambda p_1}{1 - \lambda} log((1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{\lambda p_1}{1 - \lambda} log((1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{p_{\lambda} - \lambda p_0}{1 - \lambda} log((1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{p_{\lambda} - \lambda p_0}{1 - \lambda} log((1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{p_{\lambda} - \lambda p_0}{1 - \lambda} log((1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{p_{\lambda} - \lambda p_0}{1 - \lambda} log((1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{p_{\lambda} - \lambda p_0}{1 - \lambda} log((1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{p_{\lambda} - \lambda p_0}{1 - \lambda} log((1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{p_{\lambda} - \lambda p_0}{1 - \lambda} log((1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{p_{\lambda} - \lambda p_0}{1 - \lambda} log((1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{p_{\lambda} - \lambda p_0}{1 - \lambda} log((1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{p_{\lambda} - \lambda p_0}{1 - \lambda} log((1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{p_{\lambda} - \lambda p_0}{1 - \lambda} log((1 - \lambda)p_0) - \frac{p_{\lambda} - \lambda p$$

$$\begin{split} H(p_{\lambda}) &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} ((1-\lambda)p_0 + \lambda p_1)log((1-\lambda)p_0 + \lambda p_1) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (1-\lambda)p_0log((1-\lambda)p_0 + \lambda p_1) + \lambda p_1log((1-\lambda)p_0 + \lambda p_1) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} log((1-\lambda)p_0 + \lambda p_1) - \lambda p_0log((1-\lambda)p_0 + \lambda p_1) + \lambda p_1log((1-\lambda)p_0 + \lambda p_1) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} log(p_{\lambda}) - \lambda p_0log(p_{\lambda}) + \lambda p_1log(p_{\lambda}) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} H(p_{\lambda}) - H(p_0) &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} log(p_{\lambda}) - \lambda p_0 log(p_{\lambda}) + \lambda p_1 log(p_{\lambda}) - p_0 log(p_0) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} log(p_{\lambda}) - p_0 (\lambda log(p_{\lambda}) + log(p_0)) + \lambda p_1 log(p_{\lambda}) \end{split}$$

Nevertheless, after countless wasted hours and scratch paper, I am unable to come up with anything more interesting than the obvious w.r.t. these relationships...

For this question, we were asked to prove or disprove the following relationship:

$$D(p||q) + D(q||r) \ge D(p||r)$$

We can disprove this with a counter example. First, write out our definitions.

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) log(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)})$$

$$D(q||r) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} q(x) log(\frac{q(x)}{r(x)})$$

$$D(p||r) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) log(\frac{p(x)}{r(x)})$$

Thus, we would like to show the following:

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) log(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}) + \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} q(x) log(\frac{q(x)}{r(x)}) \ge \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) log(\frac{p(x)}{r(x)})$$
(8)

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) log(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}) - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) log(\frac{p(x)}{r(x)}) \ge \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} q(x) log(\frac{q(x)}{r(x)}) \tag{9}$$

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) [log(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}) - log(\frac{p(x)}{r(x)})] \ge \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} q(x) log(\frac{q(x)}{r(x)}) \tag{10}$$

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) log(\frac{r(x)}{q(x)}) \ge \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} q(x) log(\frac{q(x)}{r(x)})$$
 (11)

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \left[p(x) log(\frac{r(x)}{q(x)}) - q(x) log(\frac{q(x)}{r(x)}) \right] \ge 0 \tag{12}$$

If we assume that p(x) = q(x), this reduces to:

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \left[log\left(\frac{r(x)}{p(x)} - log\left(\frac{p(x)}{r(x)}\right) \right] \ge 0$$
 (13)

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log(\frac{r(x)^2}{p(x)^2}) \ge 0 \tag{14}$$

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) log[(\frac{r(x)}{p(x)})^2] \ge 0 \tag{15}$$

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} 2p(x)log(\frac{r(x)}{p(x)}) \ge 0 \tag{16}$$

(17)

If we let $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$ s.t. p(0) = p(1) = .5, and r(0) = .7, r(1) = .3, then:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} 2p(x)log(\frac{r(x)}{p(x)}) &= 2*0.5log(\frac{.7}{.5}) + 2*0.5log(\frac{.3}{.5}) \\ &= -0.076 < 0 \end{split}$$

Which violates our assumption, thus this inequality does not hold in the general case. $\hfill\Box$