

SOME REMINDERS for TODAY

\forall^- -sentence $\equiv \forall \bar{a} (\Phi(\bar{a}) \rightarrow \perp)$
 ↑ qf

\exists^+ -formula (or \exists^+ -formula) $\equiv \exists \bar{x} \Phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$
 ↑ qf with no \neg

BASIC FACTS ABOUT T_{\forall^-}

- For Φ \exists^+ -sentence, $T \cup \{\Phi\}$ is sat. iff $T_{\forall^-} \cup \{\Phi\}$ is sat.
- $T_{\forall^-} = S_{\forall^-}$ iff every model of T maps homomorphically to a model of S & vice versa

CONTINUATION TO ep-closed models

For $K \geq \max(|T|, N_0)$, $A \models T$, $|A| \leq K$, there is a T -ep-closed B s.t. $|B| \leq K$ and A maps homomorphically to B .

B is T -ep-closed iff for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ every ep- n -type in A is a maximal ep-type of T .

SOME REMINDERS (cont)

EQUIVALENTS TO BEING A MC-CORE Let B be a static ω -categorical. Then, tfae:

① B is a model complete core

All endomorphisms of B are embeddings

③ Every f.o.-formula is equivalent to an \exists^+ -formula

⑤ $\overline{\text{Aut}(B)} = \text{End}(B)$

For any $e \in \text{End}(B)$ and $t \in B^n$ there is $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(B)$ s.t. $\alpha t = e(t)$.

⑧ B has a model complete core theory.

All homomorphisms between models of T are elementary embeddings

§ 4.6 EXISTENTIALLY - POSITIVE RYLL-NARDZEWSKI

Let T be a satisfiable theory

Let Φ_1, Φ_2 be e.p. formulas with n free variables.

$\Phi_1 \sim_n^T \Phi_2$ iff for all ep-formulas Ψ in free $x_1 \dots x_n$
 $\{\Phi_1, \Psi\} \cup T$ is SAT iff $\{\Phi_2, \Psi\} \cup T$ is SAT.

The INDEX of an equivalence relation is the $*$ of its classes

BASIC FACTS ABOUT \sim_n^T

a) $U_{A^-} = T_{A^-} \Rightarrow (\Phi_1 \sim_n^U \Phi_2 \text{ iff } \Phi_1 \sim_n^T \Phi_2)$

b) Every maximal ep- n -type P is determined entirely by the \sim_n^T -classes of ep- n -formulas in P .

Proof:

a) $\{\Phi_1, \Psi\} \cup T$ is sat iff $\{\Phi_1, \Psi\} \cup T_{A^-}$ is sat iff ... iff $\{\Phi_1, \Psi\} \cup U$ is sat.

b) P, q max. $\forall \Phi_1 \in P \exists \Phi'_1 \in q$ s.t. $\Phi_1 \sim_n^T \Phi'_1$ and vice versa.

$S \subseteq q$ finite $\Phi_1 \cup S \cup T$ is sat iff $\Phi'_1 \cup S \cup T$ is sat

By compactness $\Phi_1 \cup q \cup T$ is sat $\stackrel{\text{max}}{\Rightarrow} \Phi_1 \in q$. so $P = q$ \square

EP-RYLL NARDZEWSKI (CONSTRUCTION of the CORE)

Let T be satisfiable, in a countable rel signature and with JHP. Then

① T has an ω -cat mc-core Companion

② \sim_n^T has finite index for each n .

③ T has fin many maximal ep-types in each n .

④ There is a (finite or countable) ω -categorical model complete core B s.t. for $\exists^+ \varphi$, $T \cup \{\varphi\}$ is sat iff $B \models \varphi$.

Proof:

① \Rightarrow ② \cup be the mc-core comp of T . $\cup_{A^-} = T_{A^-}$.

So $\varphi_1 \sim_n^T \varphi_2$ iff $\varphi_1 \sim_n^\cup \varphi_2$ by ③.

$\varphi_1 =_n \varphi_2 \Rightarrow \varphi_1 \sim_n^T \varphi_2$. If \sim_n^T has infinite index, then there would be inf many \equiv_n^T -inequivalent formulas in \cup ~~✓~~ ω -categoricity of \cup . \square

② \Rightarrow ③: By ⑥ V

④ \Rightarrow ①: B is a mc core iff B has a mc core theory

NIS: $\text{Th}(B)_{A^-} = T_{A^-}$

$T \models \forall_a (\varphi(a) \rightarrow 1)$ iff $T \cup \{\varphi\}$ is ms. iff $B \not\models \varphi(\bar{a})$ for any \bar{a} iff $\text{Th}(B) \models \forall_a (\varphi(a) \rightarrow 1)$ ✓

③ \Rightarrow ④: By JHP there is C (ctble or finite) s.t. for $\exists^+ \notin T \cup \{\phi\}$ is s.t. if $C \models \Phi(\bar{a})$ for some $\bar{a} \in C$. $\text{Th}(C)_{\forall^-} = T_{\forall^-}$

- C is finite \rightarrow take the core of C . B has all of the required properties.
- C is ctable. take a hom of C into pc-closed model B also ctable. $\text{Th}(B)_{\forall^-} = T_{\forall^-}$

CLAIM: $\text{ep-tp}(\bar{a}) = \text{ep}(\bar{a}')$. Then, there is $f \in \text{Aut}(B)$ s.t. $f(\bar{a}) = \bar{a}'$.

Proof: $b \in B \setminus \bar{a}$. $p := \text{ep-tp}(\bar{a}, b)$ & p is maximal (being in ep model)

For each q ep-max n+1-type $\Phi_q(\bar{a}, y)$ \exists^+ in p and not in q .
 Since there are fin many such type take conjunction = \exists^+ -form $\Phi(\bar{a}, y)$
 $\Phi(\bar{a}, y)$ ep by maximality.

$\exists y \Phi(\bar{a}, y) \in \text{ep-tp}(\bar{a})$ so $\in \text{ep-tp}(\bar{a}')$.

there is b' s.t. $B \models \Phi(\bar{a}', b')$. Let $f(b) = b'$.

By construction $\text{ep-tp}(\bar{a}, b) = \text{ep-tp}(\bar{a}', b')$.

From claim: $\text{ep tp}(\bar{\alpha}) = \text{ep tp}(\bar{\alpha}') \Rightarrow \bar{\alpha} = \bar{\alpha}'$.

Finitely many max ep n -types \rightarrow these are dist. by formulas

Since ep types determine types, types are isolated by ep-form.

- \mathbb{B} is ω -cat
- \mathbb{B} is a mc core

* every fo formula is eq to an ep-one



Note: $(\mathbb{Z}, <)$ has mc core $\overset{\text{w-lat}}{\vee} (\mathbb{Q}, <)$.

inf many 2-types
but fin many
ep n -types for each n .

Lemma Let B and C be countable ω -categorical with $\text{Th}(B)_{\forall^-} = \text{Th}(C)_{\forall^-}$. Then, B and C are homomorphically eq.

Proof: $C \xrightarrow{\text{hom}} B$.

Lemma 4.1.7 $C \xrightarrow{\text{hom}} B$ iff all fin. substructures of C map hom to B .

$$C \in \mathcal{F} \quad P = \text{cptp}(C)$$

$B \models P(\bar{b})$ for some tuple by ω -sat. \bar{c} map hom to B $\bar{c} \rightarrow \bar{b}$. \square

THEOREM 4.7.4 Every countable ω -categorical structure \mathbb{B} is homomorphically equivalent to an ω -categorical model complete core \mathbb{C} . This is unique up to isomorphism.

Proof: $\text{Th}(B)$ meets the req of ep-Ryll-Nordéz. So there is mc core companion $S_{\text{all.} \mathbb{B}}$ is ω -cat. \square

Note: the model-complete core \mathbb{C} of \mathbb{B} embeds into \mathbb{B} .

Let $\mathbb{B} \xrightarrow{h} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{B}$. $h \circ i$ is an embedding $\Rightarrow i$ is an embedding.

TRANSFER OF PROPERTIES Let B be c-table w -categorical and C be its mc-core.

- ① B is homogeneous $\Rightarrow C$ is homogeneous
- ② Let $i: C \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism.
 $t_1, t_2 \in C^n$ are s.t. $t_1 = t_2$. Then $\exists e_1, e_2 \in \text{End}(B)$ s.t.
 $e_1(i(t_1)) = i(t_2)$ and $e_2(i(t_2)) = t_1$.
- ③ $i(t_1) = i(t_2) \Rightarrow t_1 = t_2$
- ④ For every n ,
* orbits of n -tuples under $\text{Aut}(C)$ \leq * orbits of n -tuples under $\text{Aut}(B)$.
- ⑤ If we have equality, $B \cong C$.

Proof: ③ \Rightarrow ④

$$\text{qftp}(t_1) = \text{qftp}(t_2)$$

③ \Rightarrow ①
negations of F.O. formulas are \exists^+ -funs in C

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Th}(C)^{\perp\perp} &= \text{Th}(B)^{\perp\perp} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{qftp}} \text{qftp}(i(t_1)) &= \text{qftp}(i(t_2)) \\ \xrightarrow{B \text{ hom}} i(t_1) &\equiv i(t_2) \xrightarrow{\text{③}} t_1 \equiv t_2. \end{aligned}$$

② $i: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ a homomorphism. $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ $t_1 = t_2$.

Then, $\exists e_1, e_2 \in \text{End}(\mathbb{B})$ s.t.

$$e_1(i(t_1)) = i(t_2) \quad \text{and} \quad e_2(i(t_2)) = t_1$$

③ $i(t_1) = i(t_2) \Rightarrow t_1 = t_2$.

ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 be pp-defs of the orbits of t_1 and t_2 (\mathbb{C} is a corr)

$$i(t_1) = i(t_2) \Rightarrow i(t_2) \models \phi_1 \implies \phi_1 = \phi_2 \Rightarrow t_1 = t_2$$

pp-forms are
preserved by homom.

If they are diff orbits

$$\mathbb{C} \models H \bar{x} (\phi_1(\bar{x}) \wedge \phi_2(\bar{x})) \rightarrow \perp$$

But \mathbb{B} would also satisfy this formula.

⑤ If $\#$ orbits of n -tuples under $\text{Aut}(C)$ = $\#$ orbits of n -tuples under $\text{Aut}(B)$
then $B \cong C$.

Proof: We prove that B is a mc core and so $\cong C$
by showing $\overline{\text{Aut}(B)} = \text{End}(B)$.

For each $\text{Aut}(C)$ -orbit O let s_0 be a representative.

$I: \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \text{orbits of } n\text{-tuples} \\ \text{in } C \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \text{orbits of } n\text{-tuples} \\ \text{in } B \end{smallmatrix} \right\} O \xrightarrow{i} \text{orbit of } i(s_0)$

By ③ I is an injection, it is also a bijection by C is a mc core.

Let $t \in B^n$ $e \in \text{End}(B)$. choose

$s \in C$ from preimage of the orbit of t $\exists \alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}(B)$ s.t.
 $s' \in C$ $\xleftarrow{\text{orbit of } e(t)}$ $\alpha i(s) = t \quad \beta i(s') = e(t)$.

Since C is a mc core

$\xleftarrow[B \rightarrow C]{h \circ e} \xleftarrow[\mathcal{C} \rightarrow B]{\alpha \circ i} \in \overline{\text{Aut}(C)}$ so s and $h \circ e \circ \alpha \circ i(s)$ are in some $\text{Aut}(C)$ -orbit.

$h \circ e \circ \alpha \circ i(s) = h \circ e(t) = \underbrace{h \circ \beta \circ i(s')}_{e \in \text{End}(C)}$ so s and s' are in some $\text{Aut}(C)$ -orbit.

But then, by choice of s and s' (and since I is a map between orbits)
 $e(t)$ is in the same orbit as t . So $\overline{\text{Aut}(B)} = \text{End}(B)$