

Theorem 6.2.1 Let \mathcal{C} be an essentially unary operation clone with domain $\{0,1\}$. Then every minimal operation above \mathcal{C} is among one of the following:

- (Post) • a unary operation
- the binary $(x,y) \mapsto \min(x,y)$
- the binary $(x,y) \mapsto \max(x,y)$
- the minority operation
- the majority operation

Corollary 6.2.2 All polymorphisms of $(\{0,1\}; 1/N3)$ are projections.

Proof: $1/N3$ is not preserved by neither min, max, minority, or majority. The only unary polymorphism is identity.

$$\hookrightarrow (0) \mapsto (0) \notin 1/N3 \quad \square$$

Def 6.2.4 A propositional formula φ in CNF is called reduced if whenever we remove a literal from a clause in φ , the resulting formula is not equivalent to φ .

Normal forms for Boolean relations

Preserved by...	definition by...
minority	conjunction of bin. eq. mod 2
min	conjunction of Horn clauses, i.e. $\bigwedge_i (A_i \vee B_{i+1} \vee \dots \vee B_k)$
majority	conjunction of clauses of size two, i.e. $\bigwedge_i (A_i \vee B_i)$
max	conjunction of dual-Horn clauses, i.e. $\bigwedge_i (\neg A_i \vee B_{i+1} \vee \dots \vee B_k)$

Theorem 6.2.7 Let \mathcal{B} be a structure with finite signature

Theorem 6.1.42

Let \mathcal{C} be an essentially unary clone and let f be a minimal operation above \mathcal{C} . Then f is one of the following types:

- a unary operation
- a binary essential operation s.t. $f \in \mathcal{C}$
- a quasi Malcev
- a quasi majority
- a k -ary quasi semiprojection for $k \geq 3$

Proof for minority-NF

Let R n-ary, $R \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$

R affine iff solution space of system of lin. eq. mod 2.

affine spaces are precisely those closed under affine comb., so

$$\alpha_1 X_1 + \dots + \alpha_k X_k \text{ s.t. } \sum_i \alpha_i = 1.$$

If R affine: R preserved by
sol. sp. of lin. eq. $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto x_1 + x_2 + x_3$

This is minority mod 2.

If R preserved by minority: then
we can write $x_1 + \dots + x_k$ k odd
minority($x_1, x_2, \text{minority}(x_3, x_4, \text{minority}(\dots x_{k-1}, x_k)) \dots$)
this is contained in R . \rightsquigarrow Def by lin. eq.

Proof for min-NF: (and max)

R can be defined as Horn, i.e. every clause is a disjunction with at most one positive literal.

$$a, b \in R \dots R = \bigwedge (x \vee \neg y_1 \vee \dots \vee y_n)$$

Proof of Thm 6.2.1:

Let f minimal above \mathcal{C} , arity ≥ 2 .

$\rightsquigarrow f \in \mathcal{C}$ cannot be constant.

$\overset{\text{either}}{f}$ is identity $\rightsquigarrow f$ is idempotent

$\overset{\text{either}}{f}$ is \neg $\rightsquigarrow f$ is idempotent
 $f \in \mathcal{C}$.

Wlog f idempotent.

There are only 4 binary idempotent operations on $\{0,1\}$, two are projections - projections are not minimal

- the only other two options are
min max

Next: Semiprojections of arity ≥ 3 on $\{0,1\}$
are projections.

So: either Malcev or Majority.

If $f(x,y,z) = y \rightsquigarrow f$ minority

If $f(x,y,z) = x$

$$g(x,y,z) := f(x, f(x,y,z), z)$$

fulfills $\begin{cases} g(xxz) = x \\ g(xyz) = y \\ g(xyx) = x \end{cases}$

$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{g majority} \\ \text{in Clone} \end{array} \right\}$

$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{f minimal} \\ \text{in } \mathcal{C} \end{array} \right\}$

Theorem 6.2.7 (Schaefer) Let \mathcal{B} be a structure with finite signature over $\{0, 1\}$. Then either $(f_0, f_1; 1 \text{ or } 3)$ has a pp-definition in \mathcal{B} , and $CSP(\mathcal{B})$ is NP-complete, or:

- (1) \mathcal{B} is preserved by a constant operation.
- (2) \mathcal{B} is preserved by min.
- (3) \mathcal{B} is preserved by max.
- (4) \mathcal{B} is preserved by majority.
- (5) \mathcal{B} is preserved by minority.

In case (1-5) the problem $CSP(\mathcal{B})$ is in P.

Proposition 6.2.8 Let \mathcal{B} be a structure over a two-element universe. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) The relation NAE has a pp-def. in \mathcal{B} .
- (2) \mathcal{B} is preserved neither by min, max, minority, majority, nor the constant operation.
- (3) Either the polym. clone of \mathcal{B} contains only projections, or it is generated by $x \mapsto \neg x$.
- (4) Every f-o. formula is equivalent over \mathcal{B} to a pp-formula.

Proof of 6.2.8

(1) \Rightarrow (2): NAE is not preserved by min, max, minority, majority, constant.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): unary ops which remain (only candidate for min op) are id or \neg id

(3) \Rightarrow (4): 6.1.20 (there (3) \Rightarrow (1))

(4) \Rightarrow (1): $NAE(x, y, z) : \Leftrightarrow (x \neq y \vee y \neq z)$ \square

is a disjunction with at most one positive literal.

$$a, b \in R \dots R = 1(x \vee \neg y_1 \vee \dots \vee \neg y_k)$$

If any of y_i^a or y_i^b are 0

$$\text{then also } \min(y_i^a, y_i^b) = 0 \quad (\checkmark)$$

$$\text{So consider } y_i^a, y_i^b = 1$$

$$x^a, x^b \text{ need to be } 1$$

$$\min \text{ is } 1 \rightarrow \in R.$$

Assume R preserved by min.

φ reduced CNF defining R.

Suppose φ contains clause C with more than one positive literal.

$$C = (A \vee B \vee \neg C \vee \dots)$$

Reduced: There are s_n : s.t. $s_n(A) = 1$
 $s_n(y) = 0$

There are s_1 : s.t. $s_1(B) = 1$

$$s_1(y) = 0$$

then $\min(s_n, s_1) = (0 \ 0 \ \dots)$ does not satisfy C, and is not in R,
so R not preserved by min

The max-operation has the same
in dual for dual-Horn \square

Proof for majority-NF:

R has a bijunctive definition. \Rightarrow preserved by majority.

R preserved by majority.

φ reduced CNF defining R.

\square
R preserved by majority.

if reduced CNF defining R.

Assume clause C with three literals ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3

Reduced $\rightsquigarrow \exists s_1, s_2, s_3$ s.t. s_i only ℓ_i eval. to 1

majority($s_1(x), s_2(x), s_3(x)$) $\Rightarrow 0$ everywhere

So majority does not preserve R \square

Theorem: Let A finite, with a $(d+1)$ -ary
(Baker-Pixley) near-unanimity operation. Then any
function $f: A^n \rightarrow A$ is a term function
of A if f preserves all subuniverses of A^d .

Majority: $d=2$

Proof of 6.2.7
(Schaefer) (1) $\text{Pol}(\mathcal{B}) \ni \text{constant}$

\Rightarrow one element core

1.1.12: $\text{CSP}(\mathcal{B}) \in \mathcal{P}$. \square

Assume: We don't have constant.

If NAE is pp-definable: by 1.2.8
 $\text{CSP}(\mathcal{B})$ is NP-hard.

Assume now: NAE is not pp-definable
by 6.1.2 there is $f \in \text{Pol}(\mathcal{B})$
which does not preserve NAE.

If $f = \text{id} \Rightarrow f$ idemp.

$\hat{f} = \neg \text{id} \Rightarrow \neg f$ idemp.

So wlog assume f idempotent.

f generates minimal operation $g \in \text{Pol}(\mathcal{B})$

g arity ≥ 2 .

By 6.2.1: $g = \begin{cases} \min & \text{max} \\ \text{minority} & \text{majority} \end{cases}$

Case 1) $g = \min$, or $g = \max$

\hookrightarrow Known from literature that

Horn, dual-Horn are in \mathcal{P} .

Case 2) $g = \text{majority}$:

2SAT is in P (Literature).

Case 3) $g = \text{minority}$:

System of lin. eq. mod 2

\leadsto Gauß in P.

□