

Chapter 5: CPU Scheduling

肖卿俊

办公室：九龙湖校区计算机楼212室

电邮：csqjxiao@seu.edu.cn

主页： <https://csqjxiao.github.io/PersonalPage>


电话：025-52091022





Chapter 5: CPU Scheduling

- Basic Concepts
- Scheduling Criteria
- Scheduling Algorithms
- Real System Examples
- Thread Scheduling
- Algorithm Evaluation
- Multiple-Processor Scheduling






Basic Concepts


■ Maximum CPU utilization obtained with multiprogramming

■ A Fact: Process execution consists of an alternating sequence of CPU execution and I/O wait, called *CPU–I/O Burst Cycle*






CPU-I/O Burst Cycle




- Process execution repeats the CPU burst and I/O burst cycle.
- When a process begins an I/O burst, another process can use the CPU for a CPU burst.







CPU-bound and I/O-bound

- A process is **CPU-bound** if it generates I/O requests infrequently, using more of its time doing computation.
- A process is **I/O-bound** if it spends more of its time to do I/O than it spends doing computation.






- A CPU-bound process might have a few very long CPU bursts.
- An I/O-bound process typically has many short CPU bursts







CPU Scheduler

- When the CPU is idle, the OS must select another process to run.
- This selection process is carried out by the *short-term scheduler* (or *CPU scheduler*).
- The CPU scheduler selects a process from the ready queue and allocates the CPU to it.
- The ready queue does not have to be a FIFO one. There are many ways to organize the ready queue.






CPU Scheduling Occurs





Circumstances that scheduling may take place

1. A process switches from the **running** state to the **waiting** state (e.g., doing for I/O)
2. A process switches from the **running** state to the **ready** state (e.g., an **interrupt** occurs)
3. A process switches from the **waiting** state to the **ready** state (e.g., I/O completion)
4. A process **terminates**






Non-preemptive vs. Preemptive

■ **Non-preemptive scheduling**: scheduling occurs when a process voluntarily leave the CPU resource. It either enters the waiting state (case 1) or terminates (case 4).

- ◆ Simple, but very efficient with less context switch
- ◆ 对应以前提过的多道系统 (multi-programmed OS)

■ **Preemptive scheduling (抢占式调度)**: scheduling occurs in all possible cases.

- ◆ What if the running process is in critical section modifying some shared data? There is a possibility of race condition. Mutual exclusion of accessing critical section may be violated.
- ◆ The kernel must pay special attention to this situation and, hence, is more complex







Dispatcher

■ Dispatcher module (**分配器**) gives control of the CPU to the process selected by the short-term scheduler (**调度器**); this involves:

- ◆ switching execution context (save & reload)
- ◆ switching to user mode
- ◆ jumping to the proper location in the user program to restart that program


■ *Dispatch latency* – time it takes for the dispatcher to stop one process and start another running.





Chapter 6: CPU Scheduling

- Basic Concepts
- Scheduling Criteria
- Scheduling Algorithms
- Real System Examples
- Thread Scheduling
- Algorithm Evaluation
- Multiple-Processor Scheduling





Scheduling: Policy and Mechanism

■ Scheduling policy answers the question:

- ◆ Which process/thread, among all those ready to run, should be given the chance to run next? In what order do the processes/threads get to run? For how long?

■ Mechanisms are the tools for supporting the process/thread abstractions and affect how the scheduling policy can be implemented (this is review)


- ◆ How the process or thread is represented to the system - process or thread control blocks.
- ◆ What happens on a context switch.
- ◆ When do we get the chance to make these scheduling decisions (timer interrupts, thread operations that yield or block, user program system calls)





Separation of Policy and Mechanism


- “Why and What” vs. “How”
- Objectives and strategies vs. data structures
 - ◆ hardware and software implementation issues.
- Process abstraction vs. Process machinery





CPU Scheduling Policy


- The CPU scheduler makes a sequence of “moves” that determines the interleaving of processes
 - ◆ Programs use process synchronization to prevent “bad moves”
 - ◆ ...but otherwise scheduling choices appear (to the program) to be nondeterministic.
- The scheduler’s moves are dictated by a *scheduling policy*





Scheduling Criteria


- Before presenting detailed scheduling policies, we discuss how to evaluate the “goodness” of a scheduling policy.
- There are many criteria for comparing different scheduling policies. Here are five common ones
 - ◆ CPU utilization (CPU利用率)
 - ◆ Throughput (执行任务的吞吐量)
 - ◆ Turnaround time (进程的周转时间)
 - ◆ Waiting time (进程的等待时间)
 - ◆ Response time (对用户的响应时间)





CPU Utilization

- We want to keep the CPU as busy as possible.
- CPU utilization ranges from 0 to 100 percent. Normally 40% is lightly loaded and 90% or higher is heavily loaded.
- You can bring up a CPU usage meter to see CPU utilization on your system.






Throughput

- The number of processes completed per time unit is called *throughput*.
- Higher throughput means more jobs get done.
- However, this criteria is affected by the characteristics of processes.
 - ◆ For long processes, this rate may be one job per hour, and, for short jobs, this rate may be per minute.





Turnaround Time

- The time period between job submission to completion is the **turnaround time**.
 - From a user's point of view, turnaround time is more important than CPU utilization and throughput.
 - Turnaround time is the sum of
 - ◆ waiting time before entering the system
 - ◆ waiting time in the ready queue
 - ◆ waiting time in all other events (e.g., I/O)
 - ◆ time the process actually running on the CPU
- 




Waiting Time

■ Waiting time is the sum of the periods that a process spends waiting in the ready queue.

■ Why only ready queue?


- ◆ CPU scheduling algorithms do not affect the amount of time during which a process is waiting for I/O and other events.
- ◆ However, CPU scheduling algorithms do affect the time that a process stays in the ready queue





Response Time


- The time from the submission of a request (in an interactive system) to the first response is called **response time**. It **does not** include the time that it takes to output the response.
- For example, in front of your workstation, you perhaps care more about the time between hitting the **Return** key and getting your first output than the time from hitting the **Return** key to the completion of your program (e.g., turnaround time).





Optimization Criteria


- Max CPU utilization
- Max throughput
- Min turnaround time
- Min waiting time
- Min response time





Chapter 6: CPU Scheduling

- Basic Concepts
- Scheduling Criteria
- **Scheduling Algorithms**
- Real System Examples
- Thread Scheduling
- Algorithm Evaluation
- Multiple-Processor Scheduling






Scheduling Algorithms

■ We will discuss a number of scheduling algorithms (or scheduling policies):


- ◆ First-Come, First-Served (FCFS)
- ◆ Round-Robin
- ◆ Lottery Scheduling (with demonstration code)
- ◆ Shortest-Job-First (SJF)
- ◆ Priority
- ◆ Multilevel Queue
- ◆ Multilevel Feedback Queue





First-Come, First-Served (FCFS) Scheduling

- The process that requests the CPU first is allocated the CPU first.
- This can easily be implemented using a queue.
- FCFS is not preemptive. Once a process has the CPU, it will occupy the CPU until the process completes or voluntarily enters the wait state.





FCFS Scheduling (Cont.)

| <u>Process</u> | <u>Burst Time</u> |
|----------------|-------------------|
| P_1 | 24 |
| P_2 | 3 |
| P_3 | 3 |



■ Suppose that the processes arrive in the order:

P_1, P_2, P_3

The Gantt Chart for the schedule is:

Average waiting time?

Waiting time?






FCFS Scheduling (Cont.)


Suppose that the processes arrive in the order

$$P_2, P_3, P_1 .$$

■ The Gantt chart for the schedule is:




- Waiting time for $P_1 = 6; P_2 = 0; P_3 = 3$
- Average waiting time: $(6 + 0 + 3)/3 = 3$
- Much better than previous case.
- *Convoy effect* short process behind long process





FCFS Problems

- It is easy to have the *convoy effect*: all the processes wait for the one big process to get off the CPU.
- Consider a CPU-bound process running with many I/O-bound process.
- It is in favor of long processes and may not be fair to those short ones. What if your 1-minute job is behind a 10-hour job?
- It is troublesome for time-sharing systems, where each user needs to get a share of the CPU at regular intervals.





Round Robin (RR)

- RR is similar to FCFS, except that each process is assigned a **time quantum**.
- All processes in the ready queue is a **FIFO** list.
- When CPU is free, the scheduler picks the **first** and lets it run for **one time quantum (or slice)**
- If that process uses CPU for less than one time quantum, it is moved to the **waiting list**.
- Otherwise, when one time quantum is up, that process is **preempted** by the scheduler and moved to the **tail** of the ready queue, a **FIFO list**





Example of RR with Time Quantum = 20

Process Burst Time



P_1 53

P_2 17

P_3 68

P_4 24


■ The Gantt chart is:





RR Scheduling: Some Issues

- If time quantum is too large (i.e., larger than all the CPU bursts), RR reduces to FCFS
- If time quantum is too small (smaller than all the CPU bursts), RR becomes processor sharing
- Context switching may affect RR's performance
 - ◆ Shorter time quantum means more context switches
- Turnaround time also depends on the size of time quantum.
- In general, 80% of the CPU bursts should be shorter than the time quantum






Time Quantum and Context Switch Time


■ Context switching may affect RR's performance

- ◆ Shorter time quantum means more context switches






Turnaround Time Varies With The Time Quantum



| process | time |
|---------|------|
| P_1 | 6 |
| P_2 | 3 |
| P_3 | 1 |
| P_4 | 7 |


- When time quantum = 1,
Turnaround of P_1 = 15
Turnaround of P_2 = 9
Turnaround of P_3 = 3
Turnaround of P_4 = 17
Average Turnaround = 11
- If using SJF (P_3, P_2, P_1, P_4)
Turnaround of P_1 = 10
Turnaround of P_2 = 4
Turnaround of P_3 = 1
Turnaround of P_4 = 17
Average Turnaround = 8





Summary on Round-Robin


- RR is awful in turnaround time. Is it?
- Any policy that is fair, performs poorly on performance metrics such as turnaround time.
 - ◆ Tradeoff between fairness and performance.
- Typically, higher average turnaround time than SJF, but shorter *response time*.





Lottery Scheduling

- RR gives a roughly equal share of CPU to all ready processes
- Lottery scheduler is a proportional-share scheduler (fair-share scheduler)
- Instead of optimizing for turnaround or response time, a scheduler might instead try to guarantee that each job obtain a certain percentage of CPU time






Lottery Scheduling (cont.)

■ Basic idea

- ◆ Every so often, hold a lottery to determine which process should get to run next;
- ◆ Processes that should run more often should be given more chances to win the lottery.

■ Tickets

- ◆ are used to represent the share of a resource that a process (or user or whatever) should receive.






A Simple Unfairness Metric

■ Suppose:

- ◆ Two jobs competing against one another, each with the same number of tickets and the same run time.

■ An unfairness metric U:

- ◆ The time the first job completes divided by the time that the second job completes.
- ◆ With a perfect fair scheduler, two jobs should finish at roughly the same time, i.e., $U=1$.





A Simple Unfairness Metric





Figure 9.2: Lottery Fairness Study

Only as the jobs run for a significant number of time slices does the lottery scheduler approach the desired outcome.






Lottery Scheduling: Summary

- Lottery scheduling has not achieved wide-spread adoption as CPU schedulers.
 - ◆ Ticket assignment is a hard problem.


- However, it is useful in domains where this problem is relatively easy to solve.
 - ◆ VMWare: You might like to assign one-quarter of your CPU cycles to the Windows VM and the rest to your base Linux installation





Lottery Demonstration Code


```
int gtickets = 0; // global ticket count      int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {  
  
struct node_t {  
    int         tickets;  
    struct node_t *next;  
};  
  
struct node_t *head = NULL;  
  
void insert(int tickets) {  
    struct node_t *tmp =  
        malloc(sizeof(struct node_t));  
    assert(tmp != NULL);  
    tmp->tickets = tickets;  
    tmp->next   = head;  
    head       = tmp;  
    gtickets   += tickets;  
}  
  
.....  
// populate list with some number of jobs  
insert(50);  insert(100);  insert(25);  
for (int i = 0; i < loops; i++) {  
    int counter = 0;  
    int winner  = random() % gtickets;  
    struct node_t *current = head;  
    while (current) {  
        counter = counter + current->tickets;  
        if (counter > winner) break;  
        current = current->next;  
    }  
    printf("winner: %d %d\n\n", winner,  
          current->tickets);  
}
```

A larger, pink cartoon dinosaur is standing on a rocky hill on the right side of the slide. It is holding a white megaphone up to its mouth with both front legs. It appears to be shouting or announcing something.



Shortest-Job-First (SJF) Scheduling


- Associate with each process the length of its next CPU burst. Use these lengths to schedule the process with the shortest time.
- When a process must be selected from the ready queue, the process with the smallest next CPU burst is selected.
- Thus, the processes in the ready queue are sorted in CPU burst length, to avoid the convoy effect.





Shortest-Job-First (SJF) Scheduling (Cont.)

- SJF can be non-preemptive or preemptive.
 - ◆ non-preemptive – once CPU given to the process it cannot be preempted until completes its CPU burst.
 - ◆ preemptive – if a new process arrives (or enters the ready queue) with CPU burst length less than remaining time of current executing process, preempt. This scheme is known as the Shortest-Remaining-Time-First (SRTF).
- SJF is optimal – gives minimum average waiting time for a given set of processes.






SJF is provably optimal

A waits 0

B waits a


a

b




average = $a/2$


- Every time we make a short job before a long job, we reduce average waiting time.



average = $b/2$

- We may switch out of order jobs until all jobs are in order.
- If the jobs are sorted, job switching is impossible.






Example of Non-Preemptive SJF


| Process | Arrival Time | Burst Time |
|---------|--------------|------------|
|---------|--------------|------------|


| | | |
|-------|-----|---|
| P_1 | 0.0 | 7 |
| P_2 | 2.0 | 4 |
| P_3 | 4.0 | 1 |
| P_4 | 5.0 | 4 |

■ SJF (non-preemptive)



■ Average waiting time = $(0 + 6 + 3 + 7)/4 = 4$






Example of Preemptive SJF


Process Arrival Time Burst Time

| | | |
|-------|-----|---|
| P_1 | 0.0 | 7 |
| P_2 | 2.0 | 4 |
| P_3 | 4.0 | 1 |
| P_4 | 5.0 | 4 |

■ SJF (preemptive)



■ Average waiting time = $(9 + 1 + 0 + 2)/4 = 3$






But How Do We Know the Next CPU Burst?

- Without a good answer to this question, SJF cannot be used for CPU scheduling.
- We try to predict the next CPU burst!
- Can be done by using the length of previous CPU bursts, using exponential averaging.

- Let t_n be the actual length of n^{th} CPU burst
- Let τ_{n+1} be the predicted value for the next CPU burst
- Given $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$
- Define:
$$\tau_{n+1} = \alpha t_n + (1 - \alpha) \tau_n.$$





Two Extreme Examples of Exponential Averaging


$$\tau_{n+1} = \alpha t_n + (1 - \alpha) \tau_n.$$

■ When $\alpha = 0$,

- ◆ $\tau_{n+1} = \tau_n$
- ◆ Recent history does not count.

■ When $\alpha = 1$,

- ◆ $\tau_{n+1} = t_n$
- ◆ Only the actual last CPU burst counts.





Expansion of Exponential Averaging Formula

- If we expand the formula, we get:


$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{n+1} = \alpha t_n + (1 - \alpha) \alpha t_{n-1} + \dots \\ + (1 - \alpha)^j \alpha t_{n-j} + \dots \\ + (1 - \alpha)^{n+1} \tau_0\end{aligned}$$

- ◆ Then, τ_{n+1} is a linear combination of $\tau_0, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$
- Since both α and $(1 - \alpha)$ are less than or equal to 1, each successive term has less weight than its predecessor.
- Exponential averaging is just one time series prediction tool, and there are many others





An Example of Predicting the Length of the Next CPU Burst




Assume $\alpha = 0.5$

CPU burst (t_i)

6 4 6 4 13 13 13 ...


"guess" (τ_i) 10

What are predicted values? ...






An Example of Predicting the Length of the Next CPU Burst




Assume $\alpha = 0.5$

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-----|
| CPU burst (t_i) | 6 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 13 | 13 | 13 | ... |
| "guess" (τ_i) | 8 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 9 | 11 | 12 | ... |






Architecture of a traditional RNN (Recurrent Neural Networks)



- The loss function L of all time steps is defined based on the loss function of every time step

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{y}, y) = \sum_{t=1}^{T_y} \mathcal{L}(\hat{y}^{<t>}, y^{<t>})$$

<https://stanford.edu/~shervine/teaching/cs-230/cheatsheet-recurrent-neural-networks>







Look into an RNN neural cell

- For each time step t , the cell state a^t and the cell output y^t are expressed as follows

$$a^{} = g_1(W_{aa}a^{} + W_{ax}x^{} + b_a)$$

$$\text{and } y^{} = g_2(W_{ya}a^{} + b_y)$$


where W_{ax} , W_{aa} , W_{ya} , b_a , b_y are coefficients that are shared temporally and g_1, g_2 are activation functions.





SJF Problems


- It is difficult to estimate the next burst time value accurately.
- SJF is in favor of short jobs. As a result, some long jobs may not have a chance to run at all. This is called *starvation*.





Priority Scheduling


- Each process has a *priority*.
- Priority may be determined internally or externally:
 - ◆ **internal priority**: determined by time limits, memory requirement, # of files, and so on.
 - ◆ **external priority**: not controlled by the OS (e.g., importance of the process)
- The scheduler always picks the process (in ready queue) with the **highest priority** to run.
- FCFS and SJF are **special cases** of priority scheduling. (*Why?*)






Priority Scheduling (Cont.)


- Priority scheduling can be **non-preemptive** or **preemptive**.
- With preemptive priority scheduling, if the newly arrived process has a higher priority than the running one, the latter is preempted.
- **Indefinite block** (or **starvation**) may occur: a low priority process may never have a chance to run





Aging

- Aging is a technique to overcome the starvation problem.
- *Aging*: gradually increases the priority of processes that wait in the system for a long time.
- Example:
 - ◆ If 0 is the highest (*resp.*, lowest) priority, then we could decrease (*resp.*, increase) the priority of a waiting process by 1 every fixed period (*e.g.*, every minute).






A Short Recap

| | Average Turnaround Time | Response Time | Fairness |
|---|---|---|--|
| FCFS | Bad, Convoy effect | Bad, convoy effect | Bad |
| Round Robin | Bad, change with time quantum | Good | Good |
| Lottery | Bad, any policy that seeks fairness is bad on performance | Probabilistic, so no guarantee on the worst case | Better and more flexible, but ticket assignment is hard |
| SJF | Provably optimal | Bad | Bad, essentially a priority scheduler that favors short jobs |
| Priority Scheduling (I/O bound > CPU bound) | Could be good, if higher priority is given to processes with shorter CPU bursts | Bad, a low-priority process may not be executed after a long time | Bad, have starvation problem, can be mitigated by aging |






Multilevel Queue

- Ready queue is partitioned into separate queues:
 - ◆ foreground (interactive)
 - ◆ background (batch)
 - Each process is assigned **permanently** to one queue based on some properties of the process (e.g., memory usage, priority, process type)
 - Each queue has its own scheduling algorithm,
 - ◆ foreground – RR
 - ◆ background – FCFS
- 



highest
priority

Ready Queue



- A process P can run only if all queues above the queue that contains P are empty.
- When a process is running and a process in a higher priority queue comes in, the running process is preempted.


lowest
priority





Multilevel Queue (Cont.)


- Scheduling must be done between the queues.
 - ◆ Fixed priority scheduling; (i.e., serve all from foreground then from background). Possibility of starvation.
 - ◆ Lottery Scheduling – each queue gets a certain amount of CPU time which it can schedule amongst its processes, i.e., 80% to foreground in RR, 20% to background in FCFS





Multilevel Feedback Queue

- *Multilevel queue with feedback scheduling* is similar to multilevel queue; however, it allows processes to move between queues.
 - ◆ Aging can be implemented by this way
- Basic Idea: Processes with shorter (longer) CPU bursts are given higher (lower) priority.
- If a process uses more (less) CPU time, it is moved to a queue of lower (higher) priority. As a result, I/O-bound (CPU-bound) processes will be in higher (lower) priority queues.
 - ◆ Example: if a process didn't finish (or finish) in its allocated time quantum, it will be demoted to a lower-priority queue (or promoted to a higher-priority queue)






Example of Multilevel Feedback Queue

■ Three queues:

- ◆ Q_0 – RR with time quantum 8 milliseconds
- ◆ Q_1 – RR with time quantum 16 milliseconds
- ◆ Q_2 – FCFS



■ An example of demotion to low-priority queue

- ◆ A new job enters Q_0 which is served by RR. When it gains CPU, job receives 8 milliseconds. If it does not finish in 8 milliseconds, job is moved to Q_1 .
- ◆ At Q_1 job is again served FCFS and receives 16 additional milliseconds. If it still does not complete, it is preempted and moved to queue Q_2 .







Multilevel Feedback Queues



Ready Queue



- Processes in queue i have time quantum 2^i
- When a process' behavior changes, it may be placed (*i.e.*, **promoted** or **demoted**) into a difference queue.
- Thus, when an I/O-bound process starts to use more CPU, it may be demoted to a lower queue





Multilevel Feedback Queue (Cont.)

■ Multilevel-feedback-queue scheduler defined by the following parameters:


- ◆ number of queues
- ◆ scheduling algorithms for each queue
- ◆ method used to determine when to upgrade a process
- ◆ method used to determine when to demote a process
- ◆ method used to determine which queue a process will enter when that process needs service







Chapter 6: CPU Scheduling

- Basic Concepts
- Scheduling Criteria
- Scheduling Algorithms
- Real System Examples
- Thread Scheduling
- Algorithm Evaluation
- Multiple-Processor Scheduling





Solaris 2 Scheduling






Solaris Dispatch Table


Lowest priority

| priority | time quantum | time quantum expired | return from sleep |
|----------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| 0 | 200 | 0 | 50 |
| 5 | 200 | 0 | 50 |
| 10 | 160 | 0 | 51 |
| 15 | 160 | 5 | 51 |
| 20 | 120 | 10 | 52 |
| 25 | 120 | 15 | 52 |
| 30 | 80 | 20 | 53 |
| 35 | 80 | 25 | 54 |
| 40 | 40 | 30 | 55 |
| 45 | 40 | 35 | 56 |
| 50 | 40 | 40 | 58 |
| 55 | 40 | 45 | 58 |
| 59 | 20 | 49 | 59 |

Highest priority

Demoted to
lower priority






Windows 2000(XP) Priorities

| Thread priority level | real-time | high | above normal | normal | below normal | idle priority |
|-----------------------|-----------|------|--------------|--------|--------------|---------------|
| time-critical | 31 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| highest | 26 | 15 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 6 |
| above normal | 25 | 14 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 5 |
| normal | 24 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 4 |
| below normal | 23 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 3 |
| lowest | 22 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| idle | 16 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Process priority class

[https://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/windows/desktop/ms685100\(v=vs.85\).aspx](https://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/windows/desktop/ms685100(v=vs.85).aspx)






Linux Scheduling

■ Two algorithms: time-sharing and real-time

■ Time-sharing

- ◆ Prioritized credit-based – process with most credits is scheduled next
- ◆ Credit subtracted when timer interrupt occurs
- ◆ When credit = 0, another process chosen
- ◆ When all runnable processes have credit = 0, recreditting occurs
- ✓ Based on factors including priority and history







Linux Scheduling (Cont.)

■ Real-time

- ◆ Posix.1b compliant – two classes
 - ✓ FCFS and RR
 - ✓ Highest priority process always runs first
- ◆ Soft real-time


■ Each CPU has a runqueue made up of 140 priority lists that are serviced in FIFO order. Tasks that are scheduled to execute are added to the end of their respective runqueue's priority list





The Relationship Between Priorities and Time-slice length

| numeric priority | relative priority | time quantum |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| 0 | highest | 200 ms |
| • | | |
| • | | |
| • | | |
| 99 | | |
| 100 | | |
| • | | |
| • | | |
| • | | |
| 140 | lowest | 10 ms |




- The first 100 priority lists of the runqueue are reserved for real-time tasks, and the last 40 are used for user tasks (MAX_RT_PRIO=100 and MAX_PRIO=140)

http://www.cs.montana.edu/~chandrima.sarkar/AdvancedOS/CSCI560_Proj_main/index.html




List of Tasks Indexed According to Priorities

- In addition to the CPU's runqueue, which is called the active runqueue, there's also an expired runqueue




- When a task on the active runqueue uses all of its time slice, it's moved to the expired runqueue. During the move, its time slice is recalculated (and so is its priority)






List of Tasks Indexed According to Priorities (cont.)



- If no tasks exist on the active runqueue for a given priority, the pointers for the active and expired runqueues are swapped, thus making the expired priority list the active one



Scheduler Policy


- Each process has an associated scheduling policy and a static scheduling priority
 - SCHED_FIFO - A First-In, First-Out **real-time process**
 - SCHED_RR - A Round Robin **real-time process**
 - SCHED_NORMAL: A conventional, time-shared process (used to be called SCHED_OTHER) for **normal tasks**
 - SCHED_BATCH - for "batch" style execution of processes; for **computing-intensive tasks**
 - SCHED_IDLE - for running very low priority **background job**





Chapter 6: CPU Scheduling


- Basic Concepts
- Scheduling Criteria
- Scheduling Algorithms
- Real System Examples
- Thread Scheduling
- Algorithm Evaluation
- Multiple-Processor Scheduling





Thread Scheduling

- Local Scheduling – How the threads library decides which thread to put onto an available LWP
- Global Scheduling – How the kernel decides which kernel thread to run next






Pthread Scheduling API

```
#include <pthread.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#define NUM_THREADS 5
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{ int i;
  pthread_t tid[NUM_THREADS];
  pthread_attr_t attr;
  /* get the default attributes */
  pthread_attr_init(&attr);

  /*set the scheduling algorithm to PROCESS or SYSTEM*/
  pthread_attr_setscope(&attr, PTHREAD_SCOPE_SYSTEM);
  /* set the scheduling policy - FIFO, RT, or OTHER */
  pthread_attr_setschedpolicy(&attr, SCHED_OTHER);

  /* create the threads */
  for (i = 0; i < NUM_THREADS; i++)
    pthread_create(&tid[i],&attr,runner,NULL);
```






Pthread Scheduling API


```
/* now join on each thread */
for (i = 0; i < NUM_THREADS; i++)
    pthread_join(tid[i], NULL);
}

/* Each thread will begin control
   in this function */
void *runner(void *param) {
    printf("I am a thread\n");
    pthread_exit(0);
}
```

SCHED_OTHER is the standard Linux time-sharing scheduler that is intended for all processes that do not require the special real-time mechanisms.


http://linux.die.net/man/2/sched_setscheduler





Chapter 6: CPU Scheduling


- Basic Concepts
- Scheduling Criteria
- Scheduling Algorithms
- Real System Examples
- Thread Scheduling
- Algorithm Evaluation
- Multiple-Processor Scheduling







Scheduling Algorithm Evaluation

- Deterministic modeling – takes a particular predetermined workload and defines the performance of each scheduling algorithm for that workload.
- Queuing models
- Simulations
- Implementation






Evaluation of CPU Schedulers by Simulation






Chapter 6: CPU Scheduling

- Basic Concepts
- Scheduling Criteria
- Scheduling Algorithms
- Real System Examples
- Thread Scheduling
- Algorithm Evaluation
- Multiple-Processor Scheduling





Multiple-Processor Scheduling

- CPU scheduling is more complex when multiple CPUs are available.
- *Homogeneous processors* within a multiprocessor system.
 - ◆ *Load sharing*
- *Asymmetric multiprocessing* – only one processor accesses the system data structures, alleviating the need for data sharing.

