RANDOM NUMBER GENERATION AND SIMULATION EXERCISE 8

Area estimation using Monte Carlo method

Author Cesare De Cal Professor
Annie Cuyt
Assistant Professor
Ferre Knaepkens

1 Introduction

The exercise asks to approximate the area of the figure defined by

$$\begin{cases} 1 \le x \le 3 \\ -1 \le y \le 4 \\ x^3 + y^3 \le 29 \\ y \ge e^x - 2 \end{cases}$$

using the Monte Carlo method, which in Mathematics means solving a problem using random numbers.

2 Tools

To solve this exercise, I've used C and the following libraries:

- C
- C Math Library
- Intel Math Kernel Library (more specifically, the Vector Statistical Library)
- OpenMP

I've used the following Intel MKL routines:

- vslNewStream(&stream, brng, seed)
- vslLeapfrogStream(stream, k, nstreams)
- vsRngUniform(method, stream, nrRandomNumbers, array, start, end)
- vslDeleteStream(&streamToDelete)

Even though it wasn't required for this exercise, I've used OpenMP to support multithreading and make the computation more efficient. I've used the following OpenMP methods and procedures:

- omp_get_max_threads()
- #pragma omp parallel private(nrOfThreads, threadID)
- omp_get_thread_num()

To get started with the exercise, I have used the template file template.c provided on the website. To make the code more clear, I've also wrote my own function isInsideArea(x,y) which checks if a given pair of coordinates (x,y) is inside the area drawn by the system of inequalities.

To compile and run the code on my own machine with gcc, I created a Makefile based on the compiler options and link line specified here:

https://software.intel.com/en-us/articles/intel-mkl-link-line-advisor.

3 Computation

On a high level picture, the Monte Carlo method in this exercise works by generating random (x, y) coordinates in the rectangle formed by

$$\begin{cases} 1 \le x \le 3 \\ -1 \le y \le 4 \end{cases}$$

And then checks if the points satisfy the following inequalities using the isInsideArea(x,y) function I wrote.

$$\begin{cases} x^3 + y^3 \le 29\\ y \ge e^x - 2 \end{cases}$$

I define two constants LOOPS and N, which represent the number of iterations to compute and the numbers of points to generate for each iteration respectively. There's also a seed used to generate random numbers.

The area is given by the ratio of the points inside the system to all the random points N. This process is repeated LOOPS times, and in each iteration an area is calculated. At the end of the iterations, I calculate the average of all the areas calculated inside the loops.

To make this happen, I first initialize the threads using the VSL_BRNG_MCG59 random number generator. Then I create two independent streams of uniformly distributed random numbers with vdRngUniform in the (1,3) and (-1,4) intervals for x and y respectively. If the points are inside the figure, I increase a local variable which keeps count of the points inside the figure. At the end of the iteration, I calculate the area by dividing the count by LOOPS.

As mentioned before, the average is taken out of all the areas calculated in the iterations. The result is 7.581675111111076e-02 with N and LOOPS equal to 30000 and seed equal to -87654321.

The area of the rectangle is defined by

$$A_{\text{rectangle}} = \text{base} \times \text{height} = 2 \times 5 = 10$$

The area of the figure is then

$$A_{\rm figure} = A_{\rm rectangle} \times \frac{\rm number\ of\ points\ inside\ object}{\rm total\ number\ of\ points\ in\ rectangle}$$

$$A_{\text{figure}} = 10 \times 7.5816751111111076e - 02 = 7.5816751111111076e - 01$$


To find the area mathematically, I've used Maple to calculate the following integral:

$$\int_{1}^{a} (\sqrt[3]{29 - x^3} - e^x + 2) dx \approx 7.581218821150386e - 01$$

with x = a point of intersection between the two curves. To get the result above I computed a = 1.593743361313601 and then performed numerical integration. The absolute value of the error found is 0.00045628996.

4 Plots

To better understand the problem and the integration, I've plotted the system using Desmos:



5 Observations

Given the error obtained by comparing the mathematical solution with the results obtained through the Monte Carlo method, I can observe that the Monte Carlo method is a very compelling way to estimate areas. I can also observe that (at least in this experiment) reasonable precision is attained with only a moderate number of random numbers (1000) that with 1000 iterations leads to an average area of 7.692400000000001e - 01.