

SYSTEMS OF LINEAR EQUATIONS

EXERCISE 7

---

# Solving a Linear System with LU Decomposition

---

*Author*  
CESARE DE CAL

*Professor*  
ANNIE CUYT  
*Assistant Professor*  
FERRE KNAEPKENS

October 31, 2019

# 1 Introduction

In this exercise I am going to use solve a linear system  $A\vec{x} = \vec{y}$  using LU decomposition. The goal is to verify that first element of the unknowns vector,  $x_1$ , will contain an approximation of  $e - 2$ .

As we've seen in class, there are multiple ways of solving a linear system  $AX = B$ . Assume  $A$  is a  $n \times n$  square matrix,  $B$  is a "constant" term matrix  $n \times h$ , and  $X$  is a  $n \times h$  unknown matrix. To solve for  $X$ , we could compute the inverse of  $A$  and find  $x = A^{-1}y$ . We've seen that this approach, however, requires more computations than necessary and returns a less accurate result.

On the other hand, the LU decomposition technique is a way to represent the matrix  $A$  in the form of simpler matrices,  $L$  and  $U$  (lower triangular and upper triangular matrices, respectively):

$$PA = LU$$

This method uses forward substitution (solving for  $Y$  from  $LY = B$ ) and backward substitution (solving for  $X$  from  $UX = Y$ ). I'll be specifically solving the system by using Gaussian Elimination with partial pivoting, which reduces round-offs errors compared to its naive implementation. I'll also be calculating the error and the condition number as the variable  $n$  increases, and plot the results.

## 2 Tools

The following programming language and libraries have been used in this exercise:

- C
- GSL (GNU Scientific Library)

The following double-precision GSL data types have been used in the exercise:

- `gsl_vector`
- `gsl_matrix`
- `gsl_permutation`

The following GSL methods have been used in the exercise:

- `gsl_matrix_alloc(size1, size2)`
- `gsl_matrix_set_zero(matrix)`
- `gsl_matrix_set(matrix, row, column, value)`
- `gsl_matrix_get(matrix, row, column)`
- `gsl_vector_alloc(size)`
- `gsl_vector_set_zero(vector)`
- `gsl_vector_set(vector, index, value)`
- `gsl_vector_get(vector, index)`
- `gsl_matrix_memcpy(matrixToCopyFrom, matrix)`
- `gsl_linalg_SV_decomp(A, V, S, workspaceVector)`
- `gsl_vector_minmax(vector, minInVector, maxInVector)`

In order to factorize a matrix into the LU decomposition, and then solve the square system  $Ax = y$  using the decomposition of A, I've used the following methods:

- `gsl_linalg_LU_decomp(A, permutation, signum)`
- `gsl_linalg_LU_solve(LU, permutation, b, x)`
- `gsl_permutation_alloc(size)`

### 3 Solving the linear system

In order to solve the system  $Ax = y$ , I first need to build the matrix  $A$  by understanding how it's build. The requirements are to build a tridiagonal matrix with the values  $-1$  on the adjacent upper diagonal, the entries  $+1$  on the adjacent lower diagonal, and on the main diagonal the values  $b_i$ , with  $i = 1, \dots, n$  given by

$$b_i = \frac{2(i+1)}{3}, \quad i+1 = 3, 6, 9, \dots$$

$$b_i = 1, \quad i+1 = 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, \dots$$

By looking closely at the first rule, we see that the  $i+1$  are all multiples of 3 ( $i+1 = 3 * k$ , for some  $k$ ). Hence the  $i$  are of the form  $i = 3 * k - 1$ , for some  $k$ . For  $n = 5$ , for example, this is what the matrix looks like:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1.0000000000 & -1.0000000000 & 0.0000000000 & 0.0000000000 & 0.0000000000 \\ 1.0000000000 & 2.0000000000 & -1.0000000000 & 0.0000000000 & 0.0000000000 \\ 0.0000000000 & 1.0000000000 & 1.0000000000 & -1.0000000000 & 0.0000000000 \\ 0.0000000000 & 0.0000000000 & 1.0000000000 & 1.0000000000 & -1.0000000000 \\ 0.0000000000 & 0.0000000000 & 0.0000000000 & 1.0000000000 & 4.0000000000 \end{bmatrix}$$

The coefficients matrix  $A$  is first allocated by using the `gsl_matrix_alloc` method, then I set all the elements to zero with `gsl_matrix_set_zero` and finally nested `for` loops fill the diagonal values by checking the indexes. The coefficients reported above on the diagonal have 5 significant digits for improve the readability of this report.

I used the `gsl_vector_alloc` method to create an instance of the vector. All of its elements were set to zero by using `gsl_vector_set_zero(vector)`. The exercise asks us to set the first element of the  $y$  vector to one, so I used `gsl_vector_set(vector, 0, 1)` to assign the value 1 to index 0. For  $n = 5$ , we have:

$$\vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0000000000 \\ 0.0000000000 \\ 0.0000000000 \\ 0.0000000000 \\ 0.0000000000 \end{bmatrix}$$

Given the  $Ax = y$  system, my goal is now to find the vector of the unknowns  $x$ . To do so, I first factorize  $A$  into its LU decomposition by allocating a new matrix (so that the matrix which represents  $A$  doesn't get overridden) using `gsl_matrix_memcpy` and then by calling `gsl_linalg_LU_decomp`. This method utilizes Gaussian Elimination with partial pivoting to compute the decomposition. The following is the  $LU$  matrix for  $n = 5$ :

$$LU = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0000000000 & -1.0000000000 & 0.0000000000 & 0.0000000000 & 0.0000000000 \\ 1.0000000000 & 3.0000000000 & -1.0000000000 & 0.0000000000 & 0.0000000000 \\ 0.0000000000 & 0.3333333333 & 1.3333333333 & -1.0000000000 & 0.0000000000 \\ 0.0000000000 & 0.0000000000 & 0.7500000000 & 1.7500000000 & -1.0000000000 \\ 0.0000000000 & 0.0000000000 & 0.0000000000 & 0.5714285714 & 4.5714285714 \end{bmatrix}$$

I can now use the  $LU$  matrix to solve the system by passing  $LU$ ,  $x$ , a permutation structure `gsl_permutation` (it contains the order of the indexes of the equations in the system to keep track of swapping) and  $y$  to `gsl_linalg_LU_solve`. This method uses forward and back-substitution to modify the contents of the  $x$  vector given in input, which now looks like this (for  $n = 5$ ):

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.7187500000 \\ -0.2812500000 \\ 0.1562500000 \\ -0.1250000000 \\ 0.0312500000 \end{bmatrix}$$

The first element looks contains an approximation of  $e - 2$ . By increasing the size of the matrix  $n$ ,  $x_i$  becomes increasingly more precise. For  $n = 10$ , for example:

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.7182817183 \\ -0.2817182817 \\ 0.1548451548 \\ -0.1268731269 \\ 0.0279720280 \\ -0.0149850150 \\ 0.0129870130 \\ -0.0019980020 \\ 0.0009990010 \\ -0.0009990010 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, I calculate the condition number of the matrix  $A$  of order  $n$  which will give me a better idea if this is a well-conditioned or an ill-conditioned linear system. In GSL there is no direct function that calculates the condition number, but it's possible to use the ratio of the largest singular value of matrix  $A$ ,  $\sigma_n(A)$ , to the smallest  $\sigma_1(A)$ :

$$\kappa(A) := \frac{\sigma_n(A)}{\sigma_1(A)} = \frac{\|A\|}{\|A^{-1}\|^{-1}}$$

I proceed to factorize  $A$  into its singular value decomposition  $SVD$  using the `gsl_linalg_SV_decomp` method, and then use `gsl_vector_minmax` to extract the minimum and maximum singular values out of the vector  $S$  that contains the diagonal elements of the singular value matrix.

For  $n = 5$ , the condition number is

$$\kappa(A) = \frac{\sigma_n(A)}{\sigma_1(A)} = \frac{4.2051006107}{1.1426432872} = 3.6801516779$$

For  $n = 10$ , the condition number is

$$\kappa(A) = \frac{\sigma_n(A)}{\sigma_1(A)} = \frac{6.2820508697}{1.1424251953} = 5.4988728328$$

I calculate the error by subtracting the computed solution  $x_1^*$  from the exact mathematical solution  $\tilde{x}$  (which can be obtained by using the `M.E` GSL constant minus 2).

Finally, I am now going to insert the  $x_1$  component, the error, and the condition number for  $n$  from 1 to 50 in the next page. I chose  $n = 50$  as the upper limit because it looks like the error doesn't improve after a certain threshold ( $n = 20$ ).

$n$	$\tilde{x}_1$	$x_1^* - \tilde{x}_1$	$\kappa(A_n)$
01	1.000000000000000	-0.281718171540955	1.000000000000000
02	0.666666666666667	0.051615161792378	1.767591879243998
03	0.750000000000000	-0.031718171540955	2.561552812808830
04	0.714285714285714	0.003996114173331	2.258696038055887
05	0.718750000000000	-0.000468171540955	3.680151677879533
06	0.717948717948718	0.000333110510327	3.953864002022550
07	0.718309859154930	-0.000028030695884	3.847674609118915
08	0.718279569892473	0.000002258566572	5.377037588047721
09	0.718283582089552	-0.000001753630507	5.727581839289335
10	0.718281718281718	0.000000110177327	5.498872832802596
11	0.718281835205993	-0.000000006746947	7.100335770367996
12	0.718281822943950	0.000000005515095	7.582164637599711
13	0.718281828735696	-0.000000000276651	7.195531702121659
14	0.718281828445401	0.000000000013644	8.833149892375440
15	0.718281828470584	-0.000000000011539	9.488074730049041
16	0.718281828458563	0.000000000000482	8.911558696408528
17	0.718281828459065	-0.000000000000020	10.571522848352377
18	0.718281828459028	0.000000000000017	11.420182460381190
19	0.718281828459046	-0.000000000000001	10.638134074627382
20	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	12.313199658654300
21	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	13.368831043170752
22	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	12.371078212614004
23	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	14.057004794016557
24	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	15.328629826257806
25	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	14.108163769731830
26	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	15.802262487437682
27	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	17.296307059194255
28	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	15.848093475192302
29	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	17.548556166163607
30	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	19.269757243499750
31	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	17.590060434351265
32	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	19.295614845413013
33	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	21.247563252611098
34	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	19.333536447042945
35	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	21.043254557744980
36	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	23.228736221077760
37	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	21.078161279333553
38	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	22.791345987426897
39	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	25.212565199999691
40	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	22.823680837817037
41	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	24.539795564146115
42	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	27.198526001362339
43	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	24.569910774893234
44	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	26.288533903235187
45	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	29.186223702087091
46	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	26.316714104617667
47	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	28.037508462052152
48	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	31.175355146341044
49	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	28.063986916895736
50	0.718281828459045	-0.000000000000000	29.786678720210102

## 4 Observations

The linear system presented in this exercise gets increasingly ill-conditioned as  $n$  grows (as  $\kappa(A_n) \geq$  for most  $n$ ). It can be noticed, however, that a large condition number doesn't necessarily mean that the error will be large in all cases, just that it is possible to have a large error. The linear system appears to be ill-conditioned for most  $n$  as the condition number gets increasingly bigger = than 1. However, it can be observed that as  $n$  increases, the  $\tilde{x}_1$  component gets incrementally closer to the actual  $e - 2$  value. This error I have calculated represents how well the computed solution  $\tilde{x}_1$  approximates the true solution  $x_1^*$ , even though I operated in double-precision and there have been truncation errors when calculating  $e - 2$  and  $\tilde{x}_1$ . It can be noted that the Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting doesn't introduce any additional truncation errors.