# Data Fitting

#### Exercise 3

# Comparison between Polynomial and Natural Cubic Spline Interpolation

Author Cesare De Cal Professor
Annie Cuyt
Assistant Professor
Ferre Knaepkens

### 1 Introduction

An imaginary chemistry experiment produces the following data set:

		-0.96					
$f_i$	-1.000	-0.151	0.894	0.986	0.895	0.500	-0.306

The purpose of this exercise is to use these data points to compute and plot the interpolating polynomial together with the natural cubic spline, and to report what it is observed. In the following sections I am going to describe the computation process and list the tools I used. I will then plot the data points, the interpolating polynomial and the natural cubic spine, and draw conclusions based on the plot.

My hypothesis is that there may be an unsatisfactory oscillating behavior in the polynomial interpolant, whereas the natural cubic spline will follow a more accurate trajectory between the data points.

#### 2 Tools

The following programming language and libraries have been used in this exercise:

- Python 3.7
- SciPy

The SciPy interpolate sub-package was used to compute the interpolating polynomial and the natural cubic spline:

- scipy.interpolate.lagrange(x, y)
- scipy.interpolate

The following NumPy methods of the SciPy environment have been used in this exercise:

- numpy.array(object)
- numpy.linspace(start, stop, num)
- numpy.polynomial.polynomial.Polynomial(poly)

The following Matplotlib methods of the SciPy environment have been used in this exercise to plot:

- matplotlib.pyplot.plot(x, y, formatting, label)
- matplotlib.pyplot.legend()
- matplotlib.pyplot.show()

# 3 Computation and plotting

The exercise asks to compute the interpolating polynomial of the given data set. To do so, I first create two arrays in Python containing the data points using np.array and a linear space from -1 to 1 containing 1000 points. These values are finally passed to the lagrange method which returns the Lagrange interpolating polynomial.

The polynomial of the 6th degree in the *power* form is:


 $\begin{array}{l} 0.04365005085x^6 + 16.0766955610565x^5 - 0.048402197837630x^4 - 20.10047681678335740x^3 \\ + 0.0168806991536721320x^2 + 5.029463735952404423200x - 0.006446071786954468800 \end{array}$ 


The following are coefficients the interpolating polynomial:


- $\bullet \ \ 4.3649939020826437e\text{-}02$
- 1.6076695441034385e+01
- $\bullet$  -4.8402059220598259e-02
- $\bullet$  -2.0100476671430044e+01
- 1.6880653327119008e-02
- $\bullet$  5.0294636999940936e+00
- -6.4460635283115466e-03

In order to calculate the natural cubic spline... The following are the coefficients of the cubic spline: ?

• 4.3649939020826437e-02







## 4 Observations

The natural cubic spline is a much more accurate representation than the *Lagrange* function which appears inconsistent with several *ups and downs*.

Since the natural cubic spline is a type of piece-wise interpolation, the graph passes through the experiment data points with low-degree polynomials. Since we only use low-degree polynomials, we eliminate the excessive oscillations that are present in the interpolating polynomial.